Predictions for production and decay of the pseudoscalar glueball from the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto model
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The Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto model, a top-down gauge/gravity model of large-$N_c$ low-energy QCD based on type-IIA string theory and $N_c$ D4 branes with chiral quarks added by probe D8 branes, involves only one dimensionless parameter, the ’t Hooft coupling at its cutoff (Kaluza-Klein) scale. Although this cutoff scale is around 1 GeV, the model has turned out to be surprisingly predictive also quantitatively, reproducing masses of vector and axial vector mesons, their decay rates, as well as the anomalous mass of the $\eta'$ meson, all within 10–30% errors. Using it as a guide for glueball signatures, we have argued that it indicates that the meson $f_0(1710)$ may be a nearly pure glueball, with rather specific predictions for the still-to-be-measured $4\pi$ and $\eta\eta'$ decays. Here we present our new predictions for the decay pattern of the (very narrow) pseudoscalar glueball, which is closely related to the $U(1)_A$ problem that the model is in fact handling correctly.
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1. Introduction

The spectrum of (bare, unmixed) glueballs is rather well known from lattice QCD [1], but their interactions and thus questions regarding their decay pattern or mixing with $q\bar{q}$ states are still wide open. Correspondingly, there is no conclusive identification of any glueball in the meson spectrum. Various phenomenological models identify alternatingly the mesons $f_0(1500)$ and $f_0(1710)$ as dominantly glue (typically 50-70% or 75-90%, respectively), with some recent tendency towards the latter possibility of a comparatively pure glueball nature of $f_0(1710)$ [2]. For the tensor glueball, predicted by lattice around 2.4 GeV, the situation is even more unclear.

The pseudoscalar glueball, which in quenched lattice QCD is found at 2.6 GeV, is particularly elusive. In 1980, the isoscalar pseudoscalar $\iota(1440)$ was considered as the first glueball candidate in the meson spectrum, which is now listed as two states, $\eta(1405)$ and $\eta(1475)$ by the Particle Data Group [3]. Together with $\eta(1295)$ there seems to be a supernumerary state beyond the first radial excitations of the $\eta$ and $\eta'$ mesons, with $\eta(1405)$ singled out as a glueball candidate. However, this is not supported by lattice QCD, also not by recent studies of unquenching effects [4]. Moreover, the possibility that $\eta(1405)$ and $\eta(1475)$ could after all be just one state $\eta(1440)$ is still under discussion [5].

2. Glueballs in the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto model

The closest (top-down) holographic model of (large-$N_c$) QCD is currently provided by the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto model [6]. Since this model has been quite successful in reproducing essentially all qualitative features of low-energy QCD, and even performed surprisingly well when it comes to quantitative evaluations, it is certainly interesting to explore it with regard to predictions for glueball decay rates and branching ratios. This has been pioneered by Hashimoto et al. [7] and revisited by the present authors together with Parganlija [8, 9, 10, 11].

The glueball spectrum has been worked out in Refs. [12], where scalar and tensor glueballs correspond to modes with equal masses in the higher-dimensional dilaton and graviton fields. An extra, much lighter mode is provided by an “exotic polarization” along the artificial Kaluza-Klein dimension of the model. In Refs. [8, 9, 10] we have found that the decay pattern of this mode, which comes out at a mere 855 MeV, does not match any scalar glueball candidate, unless there is strong mixing (which would make the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto model inapplicable). Studying mass deformations of the model, we have found however in Ref. [10] that the decay pattern of $f_0(1710)$ can be reproduced almost perfectly, provided the not yet measured branching ratio for $\eta\eta'$ decays remains well below the current upper limit obtained by WA102 [13].

In the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto model, the pseudoscalar glueball is represented by fluctuations of the Ramond-Ramond (RR) 1-form field that is responsible for the Witten-Veneziano mass for singlet $\eta_0$ pseudoscalar mesons from the $U(1)_A$ anomaly contributions of order $1/N_c$, yielding

$$m_0^2 = \frac{N_f}{27\pi^2N_c}\lambda^2M_{KK}^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(2.1)}

This arises from

$$S_{C_1} = -\frac{1}{4\pi(2\pi l_s)^6} \int d^{10}x\sqrt{-g}|\tilde{F}_2|^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{F}_2 = \frac{6\pi u_{KK}^3M_{KK}^{-1}}{u^4} \left(\theta + \frac{\sqrt{2N_f}}{f_\pi}\eta_0\right) du \wedge dx^4, \quad \text{(2.2)}$$
where $\theta$ is the QCD theta angle and $\eta_0(x) = \frac{f_\pi}{\sqrt{2N_f}} \int dz \text{Tr} A_z(z,x)$. (Here $u = 0 \ldots \infty$ is the holographic coordinate of the bulk geometry, and $z = -\infty \ldots \infty$ the holographic coordinate along the joined D8-D8 branes; $x^4$ denotes the compactified extra spatial dimension, $x^4 \sim x^4 + 2\pi f_{\text{KK}}$, by which supersymmetry and conformal invariance is broken at low energies.)

For $N_f = N_c = 3$, and the choice of parameters considered by us in [8, 9], namely $M_{\text{KK}} = 949$ MeV, and 't Hooft coupling $\lambda$ varied from 12.55 to 16.63, one finds $m_0 = 730 \ldots 967$ MeV. Including finite quark masses and rediagonalizing, one obtains masses for $\eta$ and $\eta'$ which agree to within 10% with the experimental values, and mixing angles that cover the range discussed in phenomenology (Fig. 1).

The pseudoscalar glueball is described by fluctuations $C'_1$ in

$$ F_2 = dC'_1 + \frac{c}{u^4} \left( \theta + \frac{\sqrt{2N_f}}{f_\pi} \eta_0(x) \right) du \wedge dx^4. \quad (2.3) $$

Because there is no direct coupling of the RR field $C'_1$ to the flavor D8 branes, the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto model does not lead to direct interactions of the pseudoscalar glueball with $q\bar{q}$ states. The only relevant coupling is through a vertex involving a pseudoscalar glueball ($\tilde{G}$), the singlet $\eta_0$, and a scalar glueball ($G$) – without the latter, the potential mixing term of $\eta_0$ and the pseudoscalar glueball field vanishes. The resulting $G\tilde{G}\eta_0$ vertex turns out to read $2.583 \ldots i\lambda^{1/2}N_f^{1/2}N_c^{-3/2}M_{\text{KK}}$; those for $\eta$ and $\eta'$ are obtained by multiplication with $\sin \theta_P$ and $\cos \theta_P$, respectively.

With $M_{\text{KK}} = 949$ MeV, the (dilatonic) scalar glueball mass and the mass of the pseudoscalar glueball are given by 1487 and 1789 MeV, respectively. For our extrapolation to the real world, we raise the value of the scalar glueball mass to 1.723 GeV (corresponding to $f_0(1710)$) and the pseudoscalar glueball mass to 2.3 GeV. The resulting (resonant) decay rate is shown in Fig. 2 (neglecting the finite widths of the decay products).

Just as with decay, production of pseudoscalar glueballs to leading order involves a scalar glueball together with $\eta$; the only other possibility is a vertex involving two pseudoscalar glueballs and a scalar glueball. In fact, this would explain why no pseudoscalar glueball candidate has appeared yet in studies of radiative $J/\psi$ decays, since the co-production threshold is larger than the mass of $J/\psi$, if the pseudoscalar glueball mass is around 2.6 GeV; one would need excited $\psi$ or $\Upsilon$ instead.
Another possibility is central exclusive production (CEP) in high-energy hadron collisions. Parametric orders for the various production channels are given in Fig. 3.

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{G} & \sim \lambda^{-1} N_c^{-2} \\
\tilde{G} & \sim \lambda^0 N_f^{1/2} N_c^{-5/2} \\
\tilde{G} & \sim \lambda^{-1} N_f^1 N_c^{-3}
\end{align*}
\]

**Figure 3:** Parametric orders of the production amplitudes of pseudoscalar glueballs in double Pomeron or double Reggeon exchange. (In the uppermost diagram the full line stands for scalar or tensor glueball, in the others it denotes only the scalar glueball.)

In Fig. 4 the production cross section of \( \tilde{G}\tilde{G} \) and \( \tilde{G}\eta' \) pairs versus \( \eta'\eta' \) pairs is given, assuming that all those arise from excited glue in the form of a virtual scalar glueball. Assuming a pseudoscalar glueball mass of 2.6 GeV, pair production of two pseudoscalar glueballs turns out to be larger than \( \eta'\eta' \) above threshold for the former, whereas \( \tilde{G}\eta' \) pairs are one to two orders of magnitude lower. As a reference point we note that CEP of \( \eta'\eta' \) has been estimated in the Durham model as \( \sigma(\eta'\eta')/\sigma(\pi^0\pi^0) \sim 10^3 \ldots 10^5 \) at \( \sqrt{s} = 1.96 \text{ TeV} \) [14].

These results seem to indicate that central exclusive production might be a promising way to finally observe the pseudoscalar glueball, which according to the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto model should appear as a very narrow state with dominant decay into \( \eta(\prime) \) and a scalar glueball.
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Figure 4: Production of $\tilde{G}\tilde{G}$ and $\tilde{G}\eta'$ pairs versus $\eta'\eta'$ from a virtual scalar glueball $G_D$ for a pseudoscalar glueball mass of 2.6 GeV as functions of the center of mass energy of the produced pair. The full line gives $N(\tilde{G}\tilde{G})/N(\eta'\eta')$, which is independent of the 't Hooft coupling; upper and lower dashed lines correspond to $N(\tilde{G}\eta')/N(\eta'\eta')$ with 't Hooft coupling 12.55 and 16.63, respectively. (Figure taken from [11].)
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