

Radiative corrections in Dalitz decays of π^0 , η and η' mesons

Tomáš Husek^{*1,2}

¹*IFIC, Universitat de València – CSIC, Apt. Correus 22085, E-46071 València, Spain*

²*Institute of Particle and Nuclear Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,*

Charles University, V Holešovičkách 2, 18000 Praha 8, Czech Republic

E-mail: thusek@ific.uv.es

We briefly summarize current experimental and theoretical results on the rare decay $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and the Dalitz decay of π^0 , and provide a new value for the ratio $R = \Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma(\gamma))/\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 11.978(6) \times 10^{-3}$, which is by two orders of magnitude more precise than the current PDG average. This value is obtained using the complete set of the next-to-leading-order radiative corrections in the QED sector, and incorporates up-to-date values of the π^0 -transition-form-factor slope. The ratio R translates into the branching ratios of the two main π^0 decay modes: $\mathcal{B}(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 98.8131(6)\%$ and $\mathcal{B}(\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma(\gamma)) = 1.1836(6)\%$. Furthermore, we present the complete set of radiative corrections to the Dalitz decays $\eta^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma$ beyond the soft-photon approximation. The corrections inevitably depend on the $\eta^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \gamma^*\gamma^{(*)}$ transition form factors. For the singly-virtual transition form factor, recent dispersive calculations are used. For the one-photon-irreducible contribution at the one-loop level, we use a vector-meson-dominance-inspired model while taking into account the η - η' mixing.

*The 9th International workshop on Chiral Dynamics
17-21 September 2018
Durham, NC, USA*

^{*}Speaker.

1. Introduction

The rare decay of the neutral pion, i.e. the process $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$, is loop- and helicity-suppressed compared to the two-photon decay $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. This significant suppression makes it potentially sensitive to effects of new physics. The most recent and precise measurement of its branching ratio was done by KTeV experiment at Fermilab [1]:

$$\mathcal{B}(\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-(\gamma), x > 0.95)|_{\text{KTeV}} = (6.44 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-8}. \quad (1.1)$$

Subsequent comparison with the Standard Model prediction was performed [2] and interpreted as a 3.3σ discrepancy between theory and experiment. A conventional explanation should be sought before one concludes it is a sign for new physics.

In what follows we will investigate in detail the radiative corrections not only for the above mentioned rare decay; another possibility could be to look into the form-factor modeling and introduce an advanced model for the π^0 electromagnetic transition form factor: in Ref. [3] we discussed this option in the case of a novel two-hadron saturation (THS) model, which belongs to the family of large- N_c -motivated resonance-saturation models. The two-loop virtual radiative corrections for the π^0 rare decay were calculated in Ref. [4] and the bremsstrahlung beyond the soft-photon approximation was discussed in Ref. [5]. The final next-to-leading-order (NLO) correction was found to be $\delta^{\text{NLO}}(0.95) = -5.5(2)\%$, which differs significantly from the previous approximate results also used in Ref. [1]. When the exactly calculated radiative corrections are taken into account, the originally stated discrepancy reduces down to the inconclusive 2σ level or below [3].

2. Neutral-pion Dalitz decay

To provide relevant experimental results, the correct incorporation of radiative corrections is crucial. In the rare-pion-decay search performed by the KTeV experiment, the Dalitz decay was used as the normalization channel. It is thus important to have the radiative corrections under control also for this decay. Similarly, these are important in order to correctly extract from experiment valuable information about the singly-virtual transition form factor. Radiative corrections to the total decay rate were first (numerically) addressed by Joseph [6]. A pioneering study of the corrections to the differential decay rate was done by Lautrup and Smith [7], although only in the soft-photon approximation, and extended later in the work of Mikaelian and Smith [8].

The new investigation [9] of this topic was motivated by needs of NA48/NA62 experiments at CERN, the aim of which, among other goals, was to measure the slope a_π of the singly-virtual transition form factor $\mathcal{F}_{\pi^0\gamma^*\gamma^*}(0, q^2)$. Unlike before, we took into account the one-photon-irreducible ($1\gamma\text{IR}$) contribution, which was, due to inappropriate assumptions and arguments based on the Low's theorem [10, 11, 12], considered to be negligible and left out in the previous works; see also Refs. [8, 13]. The exact calculation though shows it to be significant [9, 14, 15, 16]. Finally, the C++ code was developed, which returns the radiative correction for any given kinematically allowed point. This code became a part of the Monte Carlo event generator in the NA62 experiment. Subsequently, 1.1×10^6 fully reconstructed π^0 Dalitz decays were analyzed with the result $a_\pi^{\text{NA62}} = 3.68(57)\%$ [17]. The current PDG value $a_\pi^{\text{PDG}} = 3.35(31)$ [18] is dominated by two inputs:

the above NA62 precise time-like-region result and the value provided by the CELLO collaboration ($a_\pi^{\text{CELLO}} = 3.26(37)\%$) [19] using the (model-dependent) extrapolation from the space-like region.

Having at hand a relatively good knowledge of the form-factor shape (given sufficiently in the neutral-pion case by the form-factor slope a_π) and the complete set of NLO QED radiative corrections $\delta(x, y)$, we can determine very precisely and reliably the following ratio [20]:

$$R = \frac{\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma(\gamma))}{\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)} \simeq \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \iint (1 + a_\pi x)^2 (1 + \delta(x, y)) \frac{(1-x)^3}{4x} \left[1 + y^2 + \frac{4m_e^2}{M_\pi^2 x} \right] dx dy, \quad (2.1)$$

with $x = (p_{e^-} + p_{e^+})^2 / M_\pi^2$ and $y = 2p_{\pi^0} \cdot (p_{e^+} - p_{e^-}) / [M_\pi^2(1-x)]$. Choosing the value $a_\pi^{\text{univ}} \equiv 3.55(70)\%$ for the slope, which covers a whole interval of numerical values suggested by various experiments and theoretical predictions, we find $R = 11.978(5)(3) \times 10^{-3}$ [20]; the former uncertainty stands for the form-factor effects and the latter for neglecting the higher-order corrections. This value represents a significant improvement (by two orders of magnitude) to the current PDG-based value of R , and might be further used in theoretical predictions and experimental analyses. Using the fact that branching ratios of all the π^0 decays should sum up to 1, R translates into the branching ratios of the two main π^0 decay modes: $\mathcal{B}(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 98.8131(6)\%$ and $\mathcal{B}(\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma(\gamma)) = 1.1836(6)\%$ [20].

The precise determination of R and related quantities is possible due to the fact that the form-factor normalization $\mathcal{F}_{\pi^0\gamma^*\gamma^*}(0,0)$ drops out in R and the form-factor dependence in the $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$ decay is thus solely represented by its shape. In the neutral-pion-Dalitz-decay case, the transferred momentum squared is significantly (kinematically) limited with the linear expansion (of the form-factor shape) being a very good approximation. The slope parameter a_π then constitutes the only relevant parameter from the low-energy QCD sector. Allowing for such a high (20%) uncertainty on a_π^{univ} is then the consequence of the smallness of the slope and of the strong suppression of the region $x \simeq 1$ where the $a_\pi x$ term matters; cf. Eq. (2.1).

3. Dalitz decays of $\eta^{(\prime)}$ mesons

Studying $\eta^{(\prime)}$ Dalitz decays provides a way to access the electromagnetic transition form factors and consequently information about the structure of the related mesons. Naive radiative corrections for the $\eta \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$ process were published in Ref. [21]: compared to the earlier work [8], only the numerical value of the physical mass of the decaying pseudoscalar was changed. Ref. [22] completes the list of the NLO corrections in the QED sector and improves the previous approach [21]. Compared to Ref. [21], which relates only to the case of the $\eta \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$ decay, we took into account muon loops and hadronic corrections as a part of the vacuum-polarization contribution, $1\gamma\text{IR}$ contribution at one-loop level, higher-order final-state-lepton-mass corrections and form-factor effects. Moreover, we provide a complete systematic study of the NLO radiative corrections to the differential decay widths of three additional processes including η' decays: $\eta \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$, $\eta' \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$ and $\eta' \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$. Tables of values suitable for interpolation are submitted together with Ref. [22] in a form of ancillary files.

The resulting framework is general enough to incorporate the calculation of the radiative corrections not only for the η' decays, but it is, of course, directly applicable for the η and π^0 cases.




Figure 1: NLO QED radiative corrections to the Dalitz decay $P \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma$: a) vacuum polarization insertion, b) correction to the QED vertex, c) & d) one-loop one-photon-irreducible contributions, e) bremsstrahlung. Note that ‘cross’ in figure (c) corresponds to a diagram where the photon is emitted from the outgoing positron line and ‘cross’ in figure (e) stands for the diagrams with outgoing photons interchanged.

The numerical results obtained for the π^0 Dalitz decay using the new framework are indeed compatible with the form-factor-slope correction suggested at the end of Section V of Ref. [9]. There is thus no particular need to use this generalized framework for the pion case: one gains a correction to the correction at the level of 1 %.

Let us shortly discuss the subtleties and difficulties which one encounters when facing the radiative corrections for the Dalitz decays of $\eta^{(\prime)}$ mesons. First, it is the higher rest mass, which in the case of η is above the muon-pair production threshold and in the case of η' even higher than the masses of lowest-lying resonances ρ and ω , the former of which is a broad resonance in $\pi\pi$ scattering. This is connected to the fact that the form-factor effects are not negligible as they were in the pion case: the form factor cannot be scaled out anymore and its particular model needs to be taken into account. We then need to distinguish between two separate cases briefly discussed in next subsections.

3.1 Bremsstrahlung

Similarly to the leading-order decay width, in the case of the bremsstrahlung correction the singly-virtual transition form factor appears; the associated Feynman diagrams are shown in Fig. 1e. The calculation of this contribution includes integration over angles and energies of one of the photons. For these integrals to be well-defined, including the width of the lowest-lying vector-meson resonances becomes necessary. Such a calculation is unavoidably sensitive to the width of the broad ρ resonance. Hence, we incorporate the recent dispersive calculations [23, 24]. In the Källén–Lehmann spectral representation, we write for the form factor

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}(q^2)}{\mathcal{F}(0)} \simeq 1 - q^2 \int_{4m_\pi^2}^{\Lambda^2} \frac{\mathcal{A}(s) ds}{q^2 - s + i\epsilon}, \quad (3.1)$$

where we have used the common spectral density function

$$\mathcal{A}(s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{w_\omega M_\omega \Gamma_\omega}{(s - M_\omega^2)^2 + (M_\omega \Gamma_\omega)^2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{w_\phi M_\phi \Gamma_\phi}{(s - M_\phi^2)^2 + (M_\phi \Gamma_\phi)^2} + \frac{\kappa}{96\pi^2 F_\pi^2} \left[1 - \frac{4m_\pi^2}{s} \right]^{3/2} P(s) |\Omega(s)|^2. \quad (3.2)$$

Above, $P(s)$ is a polynomial and $\Omega(s)$ the Omnès function, a dispersive tool incorporating pion rescattering.

3.2 One-photon-irreducible correction

In the case of the 1γ IR correction (for diagrams see Figs. 1b and 1c), one needs to take into account the doubly-virtual transition form factor (beyond effective approach). Since the quark content of the $\eta^{(\prime)}$ physical states is not equal to the U(3) isoscalar states, the mixing between the η and η' mesons takes place. In the quark-flavor basis [25, 26], $j^\ell \equiv \frac{i}{2} [\bar{u}\gamma_5 u + \bar{d}\gamma_5 d]$, $j^s \equiv \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} [\bar{s}\gamma_5 s]$, this mixing occurs (for $A \in \{\ell, s\}$) among the states $|\eta^A\rangle$ defined as $\langle 0 | j^A | \eta^B \rangle = B_0 F_\pi f_A \delta^{AB}$ together with the orthonormality relation $\langle \eta^A | \eta^B \rangle = \delta^{AB}$ and can be written as

$$|\eta\rangle = \cos\phi |\eta^\ell\rangle - \sin\phi |\eta^s\rangle, \quad (3.3)$$

$$|\eta'\rangle = \sin\phi |\eta^\ell\rangle + \cos\phi |\eta^s\rangle. \quad (3.4)$$

We do not expect any substantial dependence of the resulting corrections on the vector-meson decay widths and we use a simple VMD-inspired model, which incorporates the strange-flavor content of $\eta^{(\prime)}$ mesons and the η - η' mixing: for instance, in the case of the η meson the form factor reads

$$e^2 \mathcal{F}_{\eta\gamma^*\gamma^*}^{\text{VMD}}(p^2, q^2) = -\frac{N_c}{8\pi^2 F_\pi} \frac{2e^2}{3} \left[\frac{5 \cos\phi}{3} \frac{1}{f_\ell} \frac{M_{\omega/\rho}^4}{(p^2 - M_{\omega/\rho}^2)(q^2 - M_{\omega/\rho}^2)} - \frac{\sqrt{2} \sin\phi}{3} \frac{1}{f_s} \frac{M_\phi^4}{(p^2 - M_\phi^2)(q^2 - M_\phi^2)} \right]. \quad (3.5)$$

Finally, let us mention that the approach used in Ref. [22] is rather general and allows to simply evaluate the contribution to the 1γ IR radiative corrections for the whole family of analytic resonance-saturation models, in which $\mathcal{F}_{P\gamma^*\gamma^*}$ is a rational function with vector-meson-mass poles.

3.3 Virtual corrections and the photon self-energy

In the high-invariant-mass region of the photon propagator (for the associated diagram see Fig. 1a) the hadronic effects become significant, which should be taken into account for the $\eta^{(\prime)}$ decays. Thus, in general, we shall deal with the photon self-energy in the form $\Pi(s) = \Pi_L(s) + \Pi_H(s)$. For the lepton loops (electrons and muons) we take

$$\Pi_L(M_P^2 x) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \left\{ \frac{8}{9} - \frac{\beta_{\ell'}^2(x)}{3} + \left(1 - \frac{\beta_{\ell'}^2(x)}{3} \right) \frac{\beta_{\ell'}(x)}{2} \log[-\gamma_{\ell'}(x) + i\epsilon] \right\}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\beta_{\ell'}(x) \equiv \sqrt{1 - v_{\ell'}^2/x}$ and $\gamma_{\ell'}(x) \equiv [1 - \beta_{\ell'}(x)]/[1 + \beta_{\ell'}(x)]$, with $v_{\ell'} \equiv 2m_{\ell'}/M_P$ and $x \in [v_{\ell'}^2, 1]$ (ℓ being the final-state lepton in the $\eta^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma$ decay). The hadronic contribution to the photon self-energy can be expressed via the dispersion integral [27]

$$\Pi_H(s) = -\frac{s}{4\pi^2 \alpha} \int_{4m_\pi^2}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_H(s') ds'}{s - s' + i\epsilon}. \quad (3.7)$$

Here, σ_H is the total cross section of the e^+e^- annihilation into hadrons and is related to the ratio $R(s)$ as $\sigma_H(s) = (4\pi\alpha^2/3s)R(s)$.

For completeness, let us revise at this point what we mean by the virtual correction. In agreement with Eq. (16) in Ref. [9], we use

$$\delta^{\text{virt}}(x,y) = \frac{1}{|1 + \Pi(M_{\rho}^2 x)|^2} - 1 + 2 \text{Re} \left\{ F_1(x) + \frac{2F_2(x)}{1 + y^2 + \frac{y^2}{x}} \right\}, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\Pi(s)$ contains not only lepton loops, but also the whole hadronic contribution. For the form factors F_1 and F_2 arising from the diagram depicted in Fig. 1d, see expressions in Ref. [9].

4. Results and conclusions

Examples of the results for the $\eta' \rightarrow e^+e^- \gamma$ decay, where the corrections are most significant, are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Apparently, the form-factor effects are considerable: the contribution of the ‘wiggles’, which appear only in the η' case, change the shape of the resonance peaks. In particular, Fig. 3c shows that the height of the ω peak is considerably influenced by the radiative corrections. This might be interesting for the extraction of ω properties or of the ω - η' interplay; one might deduce such information from $\eta' \rightarrow \omega\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^- \gamma$ or from $\eta' \rightarrow \omega\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$. It can be expected that the radiative corrections are different for these two decay branches. Ignoring such radiative corrections in the analyses of these decays might lead to contradictory conclusions.

The complete sets of NLO radiative corrections in the QED sector for the discussed decays—the Dalitz decays of π^0 [9], η and η' [22] and the rare decay $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ [4, 5]—are now available and their use in future experimental analyses should be essential. Finally, note that in Refs. [9, 22] we study fully inclusive radiative corrections: no momentum or angular cuts on additional photon(s) are applied.

Acknowledgments

The presented work is based on collaboration with K. Kampf, J. Novotný, S. Leupold and E. Goudzovski.

This contribution was supported by the Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI, ES) and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF, EU) [Grants No. FPA2014-53631-C2-1-P, FPA2017-84445-P and SEV-2014-0398], by Generalitat Valenciana [Grant No. PROMETEO/2017/053], by the Czech Science Foundation grant GAČR 18-17224S and by the ERC starting grant 336581 “KaonLepton”.

References

- [1] KTeV collaboration, E. Abouzaid et al., *Measurement of the Rare Decay $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$* , *Phys. Rev.* **D75** (2007) 012004 [hep-ex/0610072].
- [2] A. E. Dorokhov and M. A. Ivanov, *Rare decay $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$: Theory confronts KTeV data*, *Phys. Rev.* **D75** (2007) 114007.




Figure 2: The overall NLO correction $\delta(x, 0)$ (solid line) in comparison to its constituents for the decays $\eta^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma$. The correction à la Ref. [21] is depicted as a dashed line. The hadronic contribution to the virtual radiative corrections is shown as a small-spaced dotted line. The form-factor-model-dependent part of the bremsstrahlung correction is shown as a large-spaced dotted line. The 1γ IR contribution is shown as a dash-dot line.




Figure 3: The two-fold differential decay widths $d\Gamma(x, 0)$ at NLO (solid line) and its constituents for the $\eta^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma$ decays. The LO differential decay width for $y = 0$ is shown as a dotted line. The corresponding NLO contribution to the differential decay width is represented by a dashed line.

- [3] T. Husek and S. Leupold, *Two-hadron saturation for the pseudoscalar–vector correlator and phenomenological applications*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C75** (2015) 586 [1507.00478].
- [4] P. Vaško and J. Novotný, *Two-loop QED radiative corrections to the decay $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$: The virtual corrections and soft-photon bremsstrahlung*, *JHEP* **1110** (2011) 122 [1106.5956].
- [5] T. Husek, K. Kampf and J. Novotný, *Rare decay $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$: on corrections beyond the leading order*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C74** (2014) 3010 [1405.6927].
- [6] D. Joseph, *Electron Pair Creation in $\pi^- + p$ Capture Reactions from Rest*, *Nuovo Cim.* **16** (1960) 997.
- [7] B. Lautrup and J. Smith, *Radiative corrections to decays with a dalitz pair*, *Phys. Rev.* **D3** (1971) 1122.
- [8] K. O. Mikaelian and J. Smith, *Radiative corrections to the decay $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^-$* , *Phys. Rev.* **D5** (1972) 1763.
- [9] T. Husek, K. Kampf and J. Novotný, *Radiative corrections to the Dalitz decay $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$ revisited*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015) 054027 [1504.06178].
- [10] F. E. Low, *Bremsstrahlung of very low-energy quanta in elementary particle collisions*, *Phys. Rev.* **110** (1958) 974.
- [11] S. L. Adler and Y. Dothan, *Low-energy theorem for the weak axial-vector vertex*, *Phys. Rev.* **151** (1966) 1267.
- [12] J. Pestleau, *Radiative Decays of Hadrons and Current Conservation*, *Phys. Rev.* **160** (1967) 1555.
- [13] M. Lambin and J. Pestieau, *Comment on radiative corrections to the decay $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+ + e^- + \gamma$* , *Phys. Rev.* **D31** (1985) 211.
- [14] G. B. Tupper, T. R. Grose and M. A. Samuel, *Two Photon Exchange Effect in Radiative Corrections to $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma e^-e^+$* , *Phys. Rev.* **D28** (1983) 2905.
- [15] G. Tupper, *On the Two Photon Exchange Contribution to $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$* , *Phys. Rev.* **D35** (1987) 1726.
- [16] K. Kampf, M. Knecht and J. Novotný, *The Dalitz decay $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$ revisited*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C46** (2006) 191 [hep-ph/0510021].
- [17] NA62 collaboration, C. Lazzeroni et al., *Measurement of the π^0 electromagnetic transition form factor slope*, *Phys. Lett.* **B768** (2017) 38 [1612.08162].
- [18] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, M. Tanabashi et al., *Review of Particle Physics*, *Phys. Rev.* **D98** (2018) 030001.
- [19] CELLO collaboration, H. J. Behrend et al., *A Measurement of the π^0 , η and η' electromagnetic form-factors*, *Z. Phys.* **C49** (1991) 401.
- [20] T. Husek, E. Goudzovski and K. Kampf, *Precise determination of the branching ratio of the neutral-pion Dalitz decay*, 1809.01153.
- [21] K. O. Mikaelian and J. Smith, *Radiative corrections to the invariant-mass spectrum of a dalitz pair*, *Phys. Rev.* **D5** (1972) 2890.
- [22] T. Husek, K. Kampf, S. Leupold and J. Novotný, *Radiative corrections to the $\eta^{(\prime)}$ Dalitz decays*, *Phys. Rev.* **D97** (2018) 096013 [1711.11001].
- [23] C. Hanhart et al., *Dispersive analysis for $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma^*$* , *Eur. Phys. J.* **C73** (2013) 2668.
- [24] C. Hanhart et al., *The branching ratio $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ revisited*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C77** (2017) 98.

- [25] T. Feldmann, P. Kroll and B. Stech, *Mixing and decay constants of pseudoscalar mesons: The Sequel*, *Phys. Lett.* **B449** (1999) 339.
- [26] R. Escribano and J.-M. Frere, *Study of the η - η' system in the two mixing angle scheme*, *JHEP* **06** (2005) 029.
- [27] F. Jegerlehner, *Hadronic Contributions to Electroweak Parameter Shifts: A Detailed Analysis*, *Z. Phys.* **C32** (1986) 195.