



Sergey Polikarpov on behalf of the CMS Collaboration*

Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences (LPI RAS), Moscow, Russia, National Research Nuclear University "Moscow Engineering Physics Institute" (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia E-mail: sergey.polikarpov@cern.ch

We present the observation of the $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0 \rightarrow B^0 K_S^0$ decay and the evidence for the $B_{s1}(5830)^0 \rightarrow B^{*0} K_S^0$ decay by the CMS experiment at the LHC in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. In addition, properties of the *P*-wave B_s^0 mesons are measured, as well as the mass differences $m_{B^0} - m_{B^+}$ and $m_{B^{*0}} - m_{B^{*+}}$, with the latter being measured for the first time.

Sixth Annual Conference on Large Hadron Collider Physics (LHCP2018) 4-9 June 2018 Bologna, Italy

*Speaker.

[©] Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

The CMS experiment [1] at the LHC continues to provide new important results in the Heavy Flavor physics sector. In this work, we report the study on excited B_s^0 mesons [2], including the first observation of the $B_{s2}^* \rightarrow B^0 K_s^0$ decay, the measurements of B_{s2}^* and B_{s1} properties, and the measurements of mass differences $M(B_{s1,2}^{(*)}) - M(B^{(*)}) - M(K)$ and $M(B^{(*)0}) - M(B^{(*)+})$. Here and in the following, the shorthand designations for particle names are used: $B_{s1} \equiv B_{s1}(5830)^0$, $B_{s2}^* \equiv B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$, $B_{s1,2}^{(*)}$ stands for either B_{s1} or B_{s2}^* , $K^{*0} \equiv K^*(892)^0$. Charge-conjugate states are implied throughout the text.

There are only a few experimental studies of excited B_s^0 mesons. In particular, the *P*-wave B_s^0 mesons were observed by the CDF and D0 collaborations at the Tevatron [3, 4] as the narrow peaks in the B⁺K⁻ invariant mass distribution. Later, the LHCb collaboration at the LHC presented precise measurements of the $B_{s1,2}^{(*)}$ properties [5], including the observation of the $B_{s2}^* \rightarrow B^{*+}K^-$ decay. This decay allowed to determine the mass difference $M(B^{*+}) - M(B^+)$. Using the full CDF run II sample, the CDF collaboration released a study of orbitally excited B mesons [6], which included updated measurements of $B_{s1,2}^{(*)}$ properties. All these analyses used only the decays into charged B meson and a kaon to reconstruct the $B_{s1,2}^{(*)}$ candidates, while we report on the search result for the decays into a neutral B meson and a neutral kaon.

Using the data sample collected by the CMS experiment in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of about 20 fb⁻¹, we reconstruct the B⁺K⁻ and B⁰K_S⁰ candidates. The B⁺ and B⁰ candidates are obtained using the decays B⁺ \rightarrow J/ ψ K⁺ and B⁰ \rightarrow J/ ψ K^{*0} (K^{*0} \rightarrow K⁺ π^-), where the J/ ψ meson is reconstructed in the decay J/ $\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, and these muons are used to trigger the event readout. The standard requirements are applied on the muon and track quality and muon identification. The B meson vertices are required to be significantly displaced from the pp interaction vertex and the B meson momentum is required to be collinear with the direction from the pp interaction vertex to the B meson vertex. In order to build B⁺K⁻ candidates, a track originating from the same primary vertex as the B⁺ candidate is combined with the selected B⁺ candidate. The K_S⁰ candidates are selected from significantly displaced from the pp interaction region two-track vertices, consistent with the K_S⁰ $\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ decay, as described in Ref. [7]. The selected K_S⁰ candidates are combined with B⁰ candidates to obtain the B⁰K_S⁰ candidates.

The selected B^+K^- sample includes a contribution from the excited B^0 mesons decaying into B^+ and a charged pion, as illustrated in Fig. 1, obtained using the previously described B^+K^- data set, where the $m_{B^+\pi^-}$ is obtained by assigning the pion mass to the selected K^- candidate. In order to estimate the magnitude of these contributions, an unbinned maximum-likelihood fit is performed to the $B^+\pi^-$ invariant mass distribution, as shown in Fig. 1 (left). The three signals, corresponding to the decays $B_2^*(5747)^0 \rightarrow B^+\pi^-$, $B_2^*(5747)^0 \rightarrow B^{*+}\pi^-$, and $B_1(5721)^0 \rightarrow B^{*+}\pi^-$, are modelled with the relativistic Breit-Wigner (RBW) functions, convolved with the resolution obtained with Monte Carlo simulation. The combinatorial background is modelled with a smooth function. The fit yields in about 10,000 events for each of the three mentioned above signal contributions.

Figure 2 (left) shows the invariant mass distribution of the selected B^+K^- candidates with the fit results overlaid. The background model includes the smooth combinatorial background component and the three components accounting for the above discussed contributions from the $B_2^*(5747)^0 \rightarrow B^+\pi^-$, $B_2^*(5747)^0 \rightarrow B^{*+}\pi^-$, and $B_1(5721)^0 \rightarrow B^{*+}\pi^-$ decays, with shapes obtained



Figure 1: The B⁺ π^- invariant mass distribution (left) and the two-dimensional distribution of $m_{B^+\pi^-}$ versus $m_{B^+K^-}$ (right) [2].

in Monte Carlo simulation and yields fixed to those obtained from the fit to the $B^+\pi^-$ invariant mass distribution. The three signals, corresponding to the decays $B_{s2}^* \rightarrow B^+K^-$, $B_{s2}^* \rightarrow B^{*+}K^-$, and $B_{s1} \rightarrow B^{*+}K^-$, are modelled with RBW functions convolved with the resolution.

Figure 2 (right) shows the invariant mass distribution of the selected $B^0K_S^0$ candidates with the fit results overlaid. Similar to the B^+K^- channel, the three RBW functions convolved with resolution functions are used to describe the three signals: $B_{s2}^* \rightarrow B^0K_S^0$, $B_{s2}^* \rightarrow B^{*0}K_S^0$, and $B_{s1} \rightarrow B^{*0}K_S^0$. The charged pion and kaon may be swapped in the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+\pi^-$ reconstruction, which leads to narrow peaks at the same $m_{B^0K_S^0}$ value, as found in simulation. The fraction of events where this happens is estimated from the fit to $J/\psi K^+\pi^-$ invariant mass distribution to be around 19%. The contributions from from the signal decays with swapped kaon and pion in the B^0 reconstruction are included in $m_{B^0K_S^0}$ fit model.



Figure 2: The B^+K^- (left) and $B^0K^0_S$ (right) invariant mass distributions [2].

The fit results to the invariant mass distributions of the selected B^+K^- and $B^0K_S^0$ candidates are given in Table 1. They are used to measure the relative branching fractions $R_2^{0\pm}$, $R_1^{0\pm}$, R_{2*}^{\pm} , R_{2*}^{0} , R_{σ}^{\pm} , and R_{σ}^{0} , defined as:

$$\begin{split} R_2^{0\pm} &= \frac{\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B}_{s2}^* \to \mathbf{B}^0 \mathbf{K}_S^0)}{\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B}_{s2}^* \to \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{K}^-)}, \quad R_1^{0\pm} = \frac{\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B}_{s1} \to \mathbf{B}^{*0} \mathbf{K}_S^0)}{\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B}_{s1} \to \mathbf{B}^{*+} \mathbf{K}^-)}, \\ R_{2*}^{\pm} &= \frac{\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B}_{s2}^* \to \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{K}^-)}{\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B}_{s2}^* \to \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{K}^-)}, \quad R_{2*}^0 = \frac{\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B}_{s2}^* \to \mathbf{B}^{*0} \mathbf{K}_S^0)}{\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B}_{s2}^* \to \mathbf{B}^0 \mathbf{K}_S^0)}, \\ R_{\sigma}^{\pm} &= \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{pp} \to \mathbf{B}_{s1} \mathbf{X}) \times \mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B}_{s1} \to \mathbf{B}^{*+} \mathbf{K}^-)}{\sigma(\mathbf{pp} \to \mathbf{B}_{s2}^* \mathbf{X}) \times \mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B}_{s2}^* \to \mathbf{B}^{*0} \mathbf{K}_S^0)}, \\ R_{\sigma}^0 &= \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{pp} \to \mathbf{B}_{s1} \mathbf{X}) \times \mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B}_{s1} \to \mathbf{B}^{*0} \mathbf{K}_S^0)}{\sigma(\mathbf{pp} \to \mathbf{B}_{s2}^* \mathbf{X}) \times \mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B}_{s1}^* \to \mathbf{B}^{*0} \mathbf{K}_S^0)}. \end{split}$$

These ratios are obtained as the ratios of the corresponding signal yields observed in data corrected for the ratio of total efficiencies and, in case of $R_2^{0\pm}$ and $R_1^{0\pm}$, for the branching fractions of the intermediate decays involved ($\mathscr{B}(B^+ \to J/\psi K^+)$, $\mathscr{B}(B^0 \to J/\psi K^{*0})$, $\mathscr{B}(K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-)$, and $\mathscr{B}(K_S^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)$). For example,

$$\begin{split} R_2^{0\pm} &= \frac{\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B}^*_{s2} \to \mathbf{B}^0 \mathbf{K}^0_S)}{\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B}^*_{s2} \to \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{K}^-)} = \frac{N(\mathbf{B}^*_{s2} \to \mathbf{B}^0 \mathbf{K}^0_S)}{N(\mathbf{B}^*_{s2} \to \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{K}^-)} \times \frac{\varepsilon(\mathbf{B}^*_{s2} \to \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{K}^-)}{\varepsilon(\mathbf{B}^*_{s2} \to \mathbf{B}^0 \mathbf{K}^0_S)} \times \\ &\times \frac{\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B}^+ \to \mathbf{J}/\psi \mathbf{K}^+)}{\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B}^0 \to \mathbf{J}/\psi \mathbf{K}^{*0}) \mathscr{B}(\mathbf{K}^{*0} \to \mathbf{K}^+ \pi^-) \mathscr{B}(\mathbf{K}^0_S \to \pi^+ \pi^-)}. \end{split}$$

Table 1: R	esults from	the fits to	the $m_{\rm BK}$	distributions:	signal	yields	(N),	natural	widths	(Γ)	and	mass
differences.				I	- 1 -	_	I	- 00				

	$B^{+}K^{-}$	$B^{\circ}K_{S}^{\circ}$
$N(B^*_{s2} \rightarrow BK)$	5424 ± 269	128 ± 22
$N(\mathbf{B}^*_{\mathrm{s2}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{K})$	455 ± 119	12 ± 11
$N(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{s}1} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{K})$	1329 ± 83	34.5 ± 8.3
$\Gamma(B_{s2}^*)$ [MeV]	1.52 ± 0.34	2.1 ± 1.3
$\Gamma(B_{s1})$ [MeV]	0.10 ± 0.15	0.4 ± 0.4
$M(B_{s2}^*) - M(B) - M(K)$ [MeV]	66.926 ± 0.093	62.42 ± 0.48
$M(B_{s1}) - M(B^*) - M(K)$ [MeV]	10.495 ± 0.089	5.65 ± 0.23

In addition, we measure the mass differences between the neutral and charged B mesons, using the following equations:

$$m_{\mathrm{B}^{0}} - m_{\mathrm{B}^{+}} = \Delta M_{\mathrm{B}^{*}_{\mathrm{S}2}}^{\pm} - \Delta M_{\mathrm{B}^{*}_{\mathrm{S}2}}^{0} + M(\mathrm{K}^{-}) - M(\mathrm{K}^{0}_{S}) \text{ and}$$
$$m_{\mathrm{B}^{*0}} - m_{\mathrm{B}^{*+}} = \Delta M_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}1}}^{\pm} - \Delta M_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}1}}^{0} + M(\mathrm{K}^{-}) - M(\mathrm{K}^{0}_{S}),$$

where the mass differences $\Delta M_{B_{s2}^*}^{\pm}$, $\Delta M_{B_{s1}}^{\pm}$, $\Delta M_{B_{s2}^*}^0$, and $\Delta M_{B_{s1}}^0$ denote the values obtained from the fits to the B⁺K⁻ and B⁰K_S^0 invariant mass distributions (and given in Table 1):

$$\begin{split} \Delta M^{\pm}_{\mathbf{B}^{*}_{s2}} &= M(\mathbf{B}^{*}_{s2}) - M(\mathbf{B}^{+}) - M(\mathbf{K}^{-}), \quad \Delta M^{\pm}_{\mathbf{B}_{s1}} = M(\mathbf{B}_{s1}) - M(\mathbf{B}^{*+}) - M(\mathbf{K}^{-}), \\ \Delta M^{0}_{\mathbf{B}^{*}_{s2}} &= M(\mathbf{B}^{*}_{s2}) - M(\mathbf{B}^{0}) - M(\mathbf{K}^{0}_{S}), \quad \Delta M^{0}_{\mathbf{B}_{s1}} = M(\mathbf{B}_{s1}) - M(\mathbf{B}^{*0}) - M(\mathbf{K}^{0}_{S}). \end{split}$$

The considered systematic uncertainties in the measured branching fraction ratios, mass differences and the B_{s2}^* natural width, are related to:

- The choice of the fit model;
- The track reconstruction efficiency;
- The invariant mass resolution uncertainty;
- The fraction of events where kaon and pion are swapped in the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+\pi^-$ reconstruction;
- The fraction of non-K^{*}(892)⁰ contribution in the selected $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+\pi^-$ candidates;
- Finite size of the simulation samples;
- The uncertainties in the known mass differences $M(B^{*+}) M(B^{+})$ and $M(B^{*0}) M(B^{0})$;
- The possible misalignment of the detector;
- The shift in the measured masses introduced by the reconstruction algorithms.

The obtained systematic uncertainties are up to 20% for the ratios of branching fractions, up to 0.1 MeV for the measured mass differences, and 0.3 MeV for $\Gamma(B_{s2}^*)$.

The resulting branching fraction ratios are

$$\begin{split} R_2^{0\pm} &= \frac{\mathscr{B}(\mathrm{B}_{s2}^* \to \mathrm{B}^0\mathrm{K}_S^0)}{\mathscr{B}(\mathrm{B}_{s2}^* \to \mathrm{B}^+\mathrm{K}^-)} = 0.432 \pm 0.077\,(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.075\,(\mathrm{syst}) \pm 0.021\,(\mathrm{PDG}), \\ R_1^{0\pm} &= \frac{\mathscr{B}(\mathrm{B}_{s1} \to \mathrm{B}^{*0}\mathrm{K}_S^0)}{\mathscr{B}(\mathrm{B}_{s1} \to \mathrm{B}^{*+}\mathrm{K}^-)} = 0.492 \pm 0.122\,(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.068\,(\mathrm{syst}) \pm 0.024\,(\mathrm{PDG}), \\ R_{2*}^{\pm} &= \frac{\mathscr{B}(\mathrm{B}_{s2}^* \to \mathrm{B}^{*+}\mathrm{K}^-)}{\mathscr{B}(\mathrm{B}_{s2}^* \to \mathrm{B}^{+}\mathrm{K}^-)} = 0.081 \pm 0.021\,(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.015\,(\mathrm{syst}), \\ R_{2*}^{0} &= \frac{\mathscr{B}(\mathrm{B}_{s2}^* \to \mathrm{B}^{*0}\mathrm{K}_S^0)}{\mathscr{B}(\mathrm{B}_{s2}^* \to \mathrm{B}^{*0}\mathrm{K}_S^0)} = 0.093 \pm 0.086\,(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.014\,(\mathrm{syst}), \\ R_{\sigma}^{\pm} &= \frac{\sigma(\mathrm{pp} \to \mathrm{B}_{s1}\mathrm{X}) \times \mathscr{B}(\mathrm{B}_{s1} \to \mathrm{B}^{*+}\mathrm{K}^-)}{\sigma(\mathrm{pp} \to \mathrm{B}_{s2}^*\mathrm{X}) \times \mathscr{B}(\mathrm{B}_{s1}^* \to \mathrm{B}^{*0}\mathrm{K}_S^0)} = 0.233 \pm 0.019\,(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.018\,(\mathrm{syst}), \\ R_{\sigma}^{0} &= \frac{\sigma(\mathrm{pp} \to \mathrm{B}_{s1}\mathrm{X}) \times \mathscr{B}(\mathrm{B}_{s1} \to \mathrm{B}^{*0}\mathrm{K}_S^0)}{\sigma(\mathrm{pp} \to \mathrm{B}_{s2}^*\mathrm{X}) \times \mathscr{B}(\mathrm{B}_{s1}^* \to \mathrm{B}^{*0}\mathrm{K}_S^0)} = 0.266 \pm 0.079\,(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.063\,(\mathrm{syst}). \end{split}$$

where the first uncertainties are statistical, the second systematic, and the third are due to the uncertainties in the world-average branching fractions. The third and fifth ratios are consistent with the previous measurements of LHCb [5] and CDF [6] Collaborations.

The results for the mass differences are

$$\begin{split} \Delta M^{\pm}_{\mathrm{B}^{*}_{\mathrm{S2}}} &= M(\mathrm{B}^{*}_{\mathrm{S2}}) - M(\mathrm{B}^{+}) - M(\mathrm{K}^{-}) = 66.870 \pm 0.093 \, (\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.073 \, (\mathrm{syst}) \, \mathrm{MeV}, \\ \Delta M^{0}_{\mathrm{B}^{*}_{\mathrm{S2}}} &= M(\mathrm{B}^{*}_{\mathrm{S2}}) - M(\mathrm{B}^{0}) - M(\mathrm{K}^{0}_{S}) = 62.37 \pm 0.48 \, (\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.07 \, (\mathrm{syst}) \, \mathrm{MeV}, \\ \Delta M^{\pm}_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S1}}} &= M(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S1}}) - M(\mathrm{B}^{*+}) - M(\mathrm{K}^{-}) = 10.452 \pm 0.089 \, (\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.063 \, (\mathrm{syst}) \, \mathrm{MeV}, \\ \Delta M^{0}_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S1}}} &= M(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S1}}) - M(\mathrm{B}^{*0}) - M(\mathrm{K}^{0}_{S}) = 5.61 \pm 0.23 \, (\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.06 \, (\mathrm{syst}) \, \mathrm{MeV}, \\ m_{\mathrm{B}^{0}} - m_{\mathrm{B}^{+}} &= 0.57 \pm 0.49 \, (\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.10 \, (\mathrm{syst}) \pm 0.02 \, (\mathrm{PDG}) \, \mathrm{MeV} \\ m_{\mathrm{B}^{*0}} - m_{\mathrm{B}^{*+}} &= 0.91 \pm 0.24 \, (\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.09 \, (\mathrm{syst}) \pm 0.02 \, (\mathrm{PDG}) \, \mathrm{MeV} \end{split}$$

where the second, fourth, and sixth mass differences are measured for the first time, and the last uncertainties in $m_{B^{(*)0}} - m_{B^{(*)+}}$ are due to the uncertainty in the mass difference between K⁻ and K⁰_S. The first four of these values are used together with the known masses of B and K mesons to obtain the B^(*)_{s1,2} masses:

$$M(B_{s2}^*) = 5839.857 \pm 0.093 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.073 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.151 \text{ (PDG)} \text{MeV}, \text{ in the } B^+K^- \text{ channel}, M(B_{s2}^*) = 5839.60 \pm 0.48 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.07 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.15 \text{ (PDG)} \text{MeV}, \text{ in the } B^0K_S^0 \text{ channel}, M(B_{s1}) = 5828.779 \pm 0.089 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.063 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.275 \text{ (PDG)} \text{MeV}, \text{ in the } B^+K^- \text{ channel}, M(B_{s1}) = 5828.02 \pm 0.23 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.06 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.28 \text{ (PDG)} \text{MeV}, \text{ in the } B^0K_S^0 \text{ channel},$$

where the last uncertainties are from the uncertainties in the world-average masses and mass differences. The $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$ natural width is determined to be 1.52 ± 0.34 (stat) ± 0.30 (syst) MeV.

In summary, the $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0 \rightarrow B^0 K_S^0$ decay is observed for the first time and the evidence for the $B_{s1}(5830)^0 \rightarrow B^{*0} K_S^0$ decay is found. The analysis was performed using the data sample of about 20 fb⁻¹ collected by the CMS experiment at the LHC in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} =$ 8 TeV. The measured properties of $B_{s1,2}^{(*)}$ include masses, mass differences with respect to the sum of B meson and kaon mass, and the $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$ natural width. We also report the first measurement of $m_{B^{*0}} - m_{B^{*+}}$.

The author's work was supported by the programme of the presidium of the Russian Academy of Sciences (No. 3 "Physics of fundamental interactions and nuclear technology", 2018-2020) and the Russian Competitiveness Program of the National Research Nuclear University Moscow Engineering Physics Institute. The author would like to thank the LHCP 2018 conference organizers for the excellent program, warm hospitality, and opportunity to present these new results.

References

- [1] The CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, JINST 3 (2008) S08004.
- [2] The CMS Collaboration, Observation of the $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0 \rightarrow B^0 K_S^0$ decay and studies of excited B_s^0 mesons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, CMS-PAS-BPH-16-003.
- [3] The CDF Collaboration, Observation of orbitally excited B_s mesons, Phys. Rev. Lett 100 (2008) 082001.
- [4] The D0 Collaboration, Observation and properties of the orbitally excited B*(s2) meson, Phys. Rev. Lett **100** (2008) 082002.
- [5] The LHCb Collaboration, *First observation of the decay* $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0 \rightarrow B^{*+}K^-$ and studies of excited B_s^0 mesons, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **110** (2013) 151803.
- [6] The CDF Collaboration, *Study of orbitally excited B mesons and evidence for a new* $B\pi$ *resonance*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 012013.
- [7] The CMS Collaboration, CMS tracking performance results from early LHC operation, Phys. J. C 70 (2010) 1165.