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We report measurements of the branching fractions of the decays \( \Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \), \( \Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \pi^+ \pi^0 \) and \( \Lambda_c^- \rightarrow \Sigma^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \) relative to the reference channel \( \Lambda_c^- \rightarrow pK^- \pi^+ \) [1]. The charmed-strange baryon \( \Xi_c(2930)^0 \), decaying to \( K^- \Lambda_c^+ \), is observed for the first time in \( B^- \rightarrow K^- \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^- \) decays. We also measure \( \mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow K^- \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-) \) with improved precision, and search for the charmonium-like state \( Y(4660) \) and its spin partner, \( Y_1 \), in the \( \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^- \) invariant mass spectrum [2]. Decays of the \( \Omega_c^0 \) charmed baryon into hadronic final states were studied[3]. We present the results of a study of excited \( \Omega_c \) charmed baryons in the decay mode \( \Xi_c^+ K^- \) [4].
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All the following analyses are based on the full data sample collected by the Belle detector [5] at the KEKB asymmetric-energy $e^+e^-$ collider [6]. For sections 1 and 2 the data are collected at and near the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 711 fb$^{-1}$. For sections 3 and 4 the analyses also include the Belle data taken at beam energies corresponding to the other $\Upsilon$ resonances and the nearby continuum ($e^+e^-\rightarrow q\bar{q}$, where $q \in \{u,d,s,c\}$), corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 980 fb$^{-1}$.

1. Measurement of the decays $\Lambda_c \rightarrow \Sigma\pi\pi$

The $\Lambda_c$, which is the lightest charmed baryon and has a $udc$ quark configuration, plays a key role in the study of charmed baryons. As most $\Lambda_0$ decays include a $\Lambda^+\bar{c}$ in their decay products, improved measurements of $\Lambda^+\bar{c}$ hadronic branching fractions help to constrain fragmentation functions of bottom, as well as charm, quarks through the measurement of inclusive heavy-flavor baryon production. The recent model-independent measurements of the normalization mode $\Lambda_c \rightarrow pK\pi$ by Belle [7] and BESIII [8] improve the accuracy of $\Lambda^+\bar{c}$ branching fractions measured relative to this mode. The decay $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma\pi\pi$ is particularly interesting as it has been proposed as a possible avenue to extract the $\Sigma^-\pi$ scattering length, and this measurement would provide crucial information in the study of the $\Lambda(1405)$ resonance.

We report measurements of the branching fractions of the decays $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+\pi^-\pi^+$, $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0\pi^+\pi^0$ and $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+\pi^0\pi^0$ relative to the reference channel $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$. The signal yields are extracted using an unbinned extended maximum-likelihood fit to the $\Lambda_c^+$-candidate invariant-mass distribution. To extract the signal yields in a model-independent way, the Dalitz distribution of each decay is binned and independent fits are performed in each bin. The binning and the Dalitz-bin efficiencies for $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0\pi^+\pi^0$ (as an example) are shown in Fig. 1. Figure 2 shows sample Dalitz-bin plot for the same channel to illustrate the extraction of the signal yields.

Figure 1: Dalitz distribution binning and reconstruction efficiency in bins of $M(\Sigma^0\pi^+)^2$ vs. $M(\pi^0\pi^+)^2$ for the $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0\pi^+\pi^0$ channel. The red curved line is the kinematic boundary of the Dalitz plot. The fits in representative bins (a), (b) and (c) are shown in Fig. 2.

The branching fractions of the decays $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+\pi^-\pi^+$, $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0\pi^+\pi^0$, and $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+\pi^0\pi^0$ relative to that of the decay $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$ are calculated from the total efficiency-corrected signal yields and given in Table 1.

The results agree with previous experimental findings where they exist. This is the first measurement of $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+\pi^0\pi^0$. The measurement of $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0\pi^+\pi^0$ is four times more precise than the current world average.
shows the 2D distribution and projections of the fit superimposed on the extended maximum-likelihood fit to the \( \Delta \) decay modes. The mass difference \( \rho K \) have been reconstructed via the \( B \) to a large partial width into \( \Lambda \) not noted as the bound state, it is predicted that it should have a spin partner – an close to that of the \( \Lambda \) channels. When a \( \Lambda \) Table 1: Branching fraction measurements. The third column lists the absolute branching fractions taking \( \mathcal{B}(\Lambda^+ \to pK^- \pi^+) = 6.35 \pm 0.33 \% \) [9]. Errors are statistical, systematic, and from \( \mathcal{B}(pK\pi) \), respectively. In the final column, the current world average is given.

2. Observation of \( \Xi_c(2930)^0 \) and updated measurement of \( B^- \to K^- \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^- \)

The \( \Xi_c(2930) \) charmed-strange baryon has been reported only in the analysis of \( B^- \to K^- \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^- \) by BaBar [10], where they claim a signal in the \( K^- \Lambda_c^+ \) invariant mass distribution. However, neither the results of the fit to their spectrum nor the significance of the signal were given; the Particle Data Group (PDG) lists it as a “one star” state [9]. The same \( B \) decay mode can be used to study the \( \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^- \) invariant mass. In this system, Belle has previously observed a charmonium-like state, the \( Y(4630) \), in the initial-state radiation (ISR) process \( e^+e^- \to \gamma_{\text{ISR}} \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^- \) [11]. As this mass is very close to that of the \( Y(4660) \) observed by Belle in the ISR process \( e^+e^- \to \gamma_{\text{ISR}} \pi^+\pi^-\psi' \) [12], many theoretical explanations assume they are the same state. If the \( Y(4660) \) is modeled as an \( f_0(980)\psi' \) bound state, it is predicted that it should have a spin partner – an \( f_0(980)\eta_c(2S) \) bound state denoted as the \( Y_\eta \) – with a mass and width of \( 4613 \pm 4 \text{ MeV}/c^2 \) and around \( 30 \text{ MeV} \), respectively, and a large partial width into \( \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^- \) [13].

We reconstruct the \( \Lambda_c^+ \) via the \( \Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+, pK^0_S, \Lambda\pi^+, pK^0\pi^+\pi^-, \) and \( \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- \) decay channels. When a \( \Lambda_c^+ \) and \( \bar{\Lambda}_c^- \) are combined to reconstruct a \( B \) candidate, at least one is required to have been reconstructed via the \( pK^+\pi^- \) or \( \bar{p}K^-\pi^+ \) decay process.

To obtain the \( B^- \to K^- \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^- \) signal yields, we perform an unbinned 2D simultaneous extended maximum-likelihood fit to the \( \Delta M_B \) versus \( M_{bc} \) distributions for the five reconstructed \( \Lambda_c \) decay modes. The mass difference \( \Delta M_B \) is defined as \( M_B - m_B \), where \( M_B \) is the invariant mass of the \( B \) candidate and \( m_B \) is the nominal \( B \)-meson mass. The beam-energy-constrained mass \( M_{bc} \) is defined as \( \sqrt{E_{\text{beam}}^2/c^2 - (\Sigma\tilde{p}_i)^2/c^2} \), where \( E_{\text{beam}} \) is the beam energy and \( \tilde{p}_i \) are the three-momenta of the \( B \)-meson decay products, all defined in the center-of-mass system. Figure 3 shows the 2D distribution and projections of the fit superimposed on the \( M_{bc} \) and \( \Delta M_B \) distribu-
tions, summing over all five reconstructed $\Lambda_c$ decay modes. We observe $153 \pm 14$ signal events with a signal significance above $10\sigma$, and extract the branching fraction of $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow K^- \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-) = [4.80 \pm 0.43(\text{stat}) \pm 0.60(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-4}$. This result has a much-improved precision comparing to the previous measurements done by BaBar [10] and Belle [14].

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3:** Signal-enhanced distribution of $\Delta M_B$ versus $M_{bc}$ (left) and its projections (center and right) from the selected $B^- \rightarrow K^- \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$ candidates, summing over all five reconstructed $\Lambda_c$ decay modes. The signal region is indicated by green box.

An unbinned simultaneous extended maximum-likelihood fit is performed to the $K^- \Lambda_c^+$ invariant mass spectra for selected $B$- and $\Lambda_c$-signal events and the $\Lambda_c^+$ and $\bar{\Lambda}_c^-$ mass sidebands. The fit results are shown in Fig. 4 (left). The fitted mass and width of the $\Xi_c(2930)$ are $M_{\Xi_c(2930)} = 2928.9 \pm 3.0(\text{stat})^{+1.9}_{-1.2}(\text{syst})$ MeV/c$^2$ and $\Gamma_{\Xi_c(2930)} = 19.5 \pm 8.4(\text{stat})^{+5.9}_{-7.9}(\text{syst})$ MeV. The significance of the fit is $5.2 \sigma$.

The $M_{\Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-}$ spectrum is shown in Fig. 4 (center and right), in which no clear $Y_\eta$ or $Y(4660)$ signals is evident. An unbinned extended maximum-likelihood fit is applied to the $\Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$ mass spectrum to extract the signal yields of the $Y_\eta$ and $Y(4660)$ in $B$ decays. In the fit, the signal shape of the $Y_\eta$ or $Y(4660)$ is obtained from MC simulation directly with the input parameters $M_{Y_\eta} = 4616$ MeV/c$^2$ and $\Gamma_{Y_\eta} = 30$ MeV, and $M_{Y(4660)} = 4643$ MeV/c$^2$ and $\Gamma_{Y(4660)} = 72$ MeV. From the fits, we have $10 \pm 23 Y_\eta$ signal events with a statistical signal significance of $0.7\sigma$, and $-10 \pm 26$ $Y(4660)$ signal events. As the statistical signal significance of each $Y$ state is less than $3\sigma$, 90% C.L. Bayesian upper limits on $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow K^- Y)\mathcal{B}(Y \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-)$ are determined to be $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ and $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ for $Y = Y_\eta$ and $Y(4660)$, respectively.
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**Figure 4:** The $M_{K^- \Lambda_c^+}$ distribution of the selected data candidates, with fit results superimposed (left). The $\Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$ invariant mass spectra in data with $Y_\eta$ (center) and $Y(4660)$ (right) signals included in the fits.
3. Measurement of branching fractions of hadronic decays of the $\Omega_c^0$ baryon

The $\Omega_c^0$ comprises the combination of a charm quark and two strange quarks. The two spectator quarks of the $\Omega_c^0$ have the same flavor, and this leads to many decay diagrams producing the same final states. Constructive interference among these diagrams is thought to explain the short lifetime, despite the fact that, unlike other charmed baryons, it cannot decay via a Cabibbo-favored W-exchange diagram. Measuring the branching fractions of all the charmed hadrons helps to disentangle the various processes involved and adds to our knowledge of the dynamics of charmed baryon decays.

We present the most precise measurements of the branching fractions of $\Omega_c^0$ decays into four decay modes ($\Omega^-\pi^+\pi^0$, $\Omega^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$, $\Xi^-K^-\pi^+\pi^+$, $\Xi^-\bar{K}^0\pi^+$). These modes have previously been measured by the CLEO [15] and/or BaBar [16] Collaborations. We also present the measurement of three previously unreported decays ($\Xi^-\bar{K}^0\pi^+$, $\Xi^0\bar{K}^0$ and $\Lambda\bar{K}^0\bar{K}^0$) and a search for one other decay, $\Sigma^+K^-\bar{K}^0$, that was reported by the E687 Collaboration [17]. All branching fractions are measured relative to the decay $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^-\pi^+$.

Figure 5 shows the invariant mass distributions for eight $\Omega_c^0$ decay modes. Many of the modes under consideration may have resonant substructure that can help to reveal their decay mechanisms. Figure 6(a) shows the $\pi^+\pi^0$ invariant mass in the $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^-\pi^+\pi^0$ mass distribution. A fit is made to this distribution using the sum of a $\rho^+$ signal shape and a nonresonant shape flat in phase space. For the mode $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^-K^-\pi^+\pi^+$, we present the scaled sideband-subtracted $\Xi^-\pi^+$ and $K^-\pi^+$ invariant mass distributions in Figs. 6(b) and 6(c). Polynomial nonresonant functions are fit to these distributions to find the yield of $\Xi^0(1530)$ and $\bar{K}^*(892)$, respectively. For the mode $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0K^-\pi^+$, we plot the sideband-subtracted $K^-\pi^+$ invariant mass distribution and observe a clear peak due to the $\bar{K}^*(892)$ meson. The sum of a $\bar{K}^*(892)$ signal shape and a polynomial nonresonant shape is fit to this distribution and shown in Fig. 6(d).

![Invariant mass distributions for the eight $\Omega_c^0$ decay modes under consideration.](image)

Results for the branching fractions are summarized in Table 2. These new measurements are consistent, within two standard deviations, with the previous measurements [9] and provide substantial improvements in precision.
Figure 6: Background-subtracted invariant mass distributions for two-particle combinations: (a) $\pi^+\pi^0$ for $\Omega^0 \rightarrow \Omega^-\pi^+\pi^0$ decays, (b) $\Xi^-\pi^+$ and (c) $K^-\pi^+$ for $\Omega^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- K^-\pi^+$ decays, and (d) $K^-\pi^+$ for $\Omega^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 K^-\pi^+$ decays. The blue dotted lines show the signals, the green dashed lines show the background, and the solid lines the sum of the two. Data are shown with circles.

Table 2: The summary of the results. The numbers in parentheses refer to the fraction of the multibody final state that includes the listed resonance. Previous measurements are performed by BaBar [16] and CLEO [15].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Branching ratio with respect to $\Omega^-\pi^+$</th>
<th>Substructure</th>
<th>Previous measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega^-\pi^+$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt; 71%</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.3 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega^-\pi^+\pi^0$</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.17 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega^-\pi^0\rho^+$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega^-\pi^\mp\pi^\mp$</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.05 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Xi^-K^-\pi^+$</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.07 ± 0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Xi^0(1530)K^-\pi^+$</td>
<td></td>
<td>(33 ± 9)%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Xi^-K^0\pi^+$</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.16 ± 0.08</td>
<td>(55 ± 16)%</td>
<td>4.0 ± 2.5 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Xi^-K^0\pi^0$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Xi^-K^-\pi^0$</td>
<td>2.12 ± 0.24 ± 0.14</td>
<td>(57 ± 10)%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Xi^0K^0$</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.26 ± 0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda K^0\pi^0$</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.32 ± 0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma^- K^-\pi^+$</td>
<td>&lt; 0.32 (90% CL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Observation of excited $\Omega_c$ charmed baryons in $e^+e^-$ collisions

Recently, the LHCb collaboration announced the discovery of five narrow resonances in the final state $\Xi_c^+K^-$ [18]. In addition, they showed a wide enhancement at the higher mass of 3.188 GeV/$c^2$, which may comprise more than one state. Here we present the results of an analysis of the same final state using data from the Belle experiment, and confirm many of the LHCb discoveries.

Seven different $\Xi_c^+$ decay modes are considered – $\Xi^-\pi^+\pi^+$, $\Lambda K^-\pi^+\pi^+$, $\Xi^0\pi^+$, $\Xi^0\pi^-\pi^+$, $\Sigma^+ K^-\pi^+$, $\Lambda K^0\pi^+$, and $\Sigma^0 K^0\pi^+$. 
Figure 7(a) shows the invariant mass distribution of the $\Xi_c^+ K^-$ combinations in the mass range of interest, which starts at the kinematic threshold. The masses and intrinsic widths of all six resonances are fixed to the values found by LHCb. We use an unbinned likelihood fit. Figure 7(b) shows the same distribution for wrong-sign, i.e. $\Xi_c^+ K^+$ combinations. Figure 7(c) shows the same distribution using $\Xi_c^+$ candidates with reconstructed masses three to five standard deviations from the canonical mass.

![Image](image_url)

**Figure 7:** (a) The $\Xi_c^+ K^-$ invariant mass distribution. The fit shown by the solid line is the sum of a threshold function (dashed line) and six Voigtian (Breit-Wigner convolved with Gaussian resolution) functions, with fixed masses, intrinsic widths and resolutions (dotted lines). (b) A threshold function fit to the $\Xi_c^+ K^+$ (wrong-sign) invariant mass distribution. (c) A threshold function fit to the invariant mass distribution for sidebands to the $\Xi_c^+$ candidates in combination with $K^-$ candidates.

Table 3 shows the yield for each of the five narrow resonances and the wide enhancement reported by LHCb. We can measure the masses of the five confirmed signals, by fitting the same distribution without constraining the masses. In all cases, the masses we find are consistent with the LHCb values, as shown in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Omega_c$ excited state</th>
<th>3000</th>
<th>3050</th>
<th>3066</th>
<th>3090</th>
<th>3119</th>
<th>3188</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yield</td>
<td>37.7±11.0</td>
<td>28.2±7.7</td>
<td>81.7±13.9</td>
<td>86.6±17.4</td>
<td>3.6±6.9</td>
<td>135.2±43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>3.9σ</td>
<td>4.6σ</td>
<td>7.2σ</td>
<td>5.7σ</td>
<td>0.4σ</td>
<td>2.4σ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHCb mass</td>
<td>3000.4±0.2±0.1</td>
<td>3050.2±0.1±0.1</td>
<td>3065.5±0.1±0.3</td>
<td>3090.2±0.3±0.5</td>
<td>3119±0.3±0.9</td>
<td>3188±5±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(with fixed $\Gamma$)</td>
<td>3000.7±1.0±0.2</td>
<td>3050.2±0.4±0.2</td>
<td>3064.9±0.6±0.2</td>
<td>3089.3±1.2±0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3199±9±4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3:** Yields of the six resonances, and comparison of the mass measurements to the LHCb values. In rows 4 and 5, the units are MeV/$c^2$. None of the mass measurements include the uncertainty in the ground-state $\Xi_c^+$ which is common to both experiments.

It is clear that these data unambiguously confirm the existence of the $\Omega_c(3066)$ and $\Omega_c(3090)$. Signals of reasonable significance are seen for the $\Omega_c(3000)$ and the $\Omega_c(3050)$, but no signal is apparent for the $\Omega_c(3119)$. There is an excess in the Belle data around 3.188 GeV/$c^2$, which may (as was the case in the LHCb data) be due to one or more particles.
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