

# Chiral phase transition in (2 + 1)-flavor QCD

# Heng-Tong Ding<sup>1</sup>, Prasad Hegde<sup>2</sup>, Olaf Kaczmarek<sup>1,3</sup>, Frithjof Karsch<sup>3,4</sup>, Anirban Lahiri<sup>3</sup>, Sheng-Tai Li<sup>\*1†</sup>, Swagato Mukherjee<sup>4</sup>, Peter Petreczky<sup>4</sup> (for the HotQCD collaboration)

<sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Quark & Lepton Physics (MOE) and Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China.

<sup>3</sup> Fakultät für Physik, Universität Bielefeld, D-33615 Bielefeld, Germany

<sup>4</sup> Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA E-mail: hengtong.ding@mail.ccnu.edu.cn, prasadhegde@iisc.ac.in, okacz@physik.uni-bielefeld.de, karsch@physik.uni-bielefeld.de, alahiri@physik.uni-bielefeld.de, lishengtai@mails.ccnu.edu.cn, swagato@bnl.gov, petreczk@quark.phy.bnl.gov

The chiral phase transition temperature  $T_c^0$  is a fundamental quantity of QCD. To determine this quantity we have performed simulations of (2 + 1)-flavor QCD using the Highly Improved Staggered Quarks (HISQ/tree) action on  $N_{\tau} = 6,8$  and 12 lattices with aspect ratios  $N_{\sigma}/N_{\tau}$  ranging from 4 to 8. In our simulations the strange quark mass is fixed to its physical value  $m_s^{phy}$ , and the values of two degenerate light quark masses  $m_l$  are varied from  $m_s^{phy}/20$  to  $m_s^{phy}/160$  which correspond to a Goldstone pion mass  $m_{\pi}$  ranging from 160 MeV to 55 MeV in the continuum limit. By investigating the light quark mass dependence and the volume dependence of various chiral observables, e.g. chiral susceptibilities and Binder cumulants, no evidence for a first order phase transition in our current quark mass window is found. Two estimators  $T_{60}$  and  $T_{\delta}$  are proposed to extract the chiral phase transition temperature  $T_c^0$  in the chiral and continuum limit and our current estimate for  $T_c^0$  is  $132^{+3}_{-6}$  MeV.

The 36th Annual International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory - LATTICE2018 22-28 July, 2018 Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA.

\*Speaker.

https://pos.sissa.it/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Center for High Energy Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>This work was supported in part by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the grant 315477589-TRR 211, the grants 05P15PBCAA and 05P18PBCA1 of the German Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, grant 283286 of the European Union, the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant numbers 11775096 and 11535012, Furthermore, this work was supported through Contract No. DE-SC0012704 with the U.S. Department of Energy, through the Scientific Discovery through Advanced Computing (SciDAC) program funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Advanced Scientific Computing Research and Nuclear Physics and the DOE Office of Nuclear Physics funded BEST topical collaboration, and a Early Career Research Award of the Science and Engineering Research Board of the Government of India. Numerical calculations have been made possible through PRACE grants at CSCS, Switzerland, and at CINECA, Italy as well as grants at the Gauss Centre for Supercomputing and NIC-Jülich, Germany. These grants provided access to resources on Piz Daint at CSCS, Marconi at CINECA as well as on JUQUEEN and JUWELS at NIC. Additional calculations have been performed on GPU clusters of USQCD, at Bielefeld University, the PC<sup>2</sup> Paderborn University and the Nuclear Science Computing Center at Central China Normal University, Wuhan, China. Some data sets have also partly been produced at the TianHe II Supercomputing Center in Guangzhou.

# 1. Introduction

One of the basic goals of lattice QCD calculations at non-zero temperature is to understand the QCD phase diagram [1]. At zero baryon chemical potential, the QCD phase structure may depend on the number of light quark flavors [2] which is summarized in the Columbia plot in two scenarios as shown in Fig. 1. It is concluded that the physical point  $(m_{u,d}^{phy}, m_s^{phy})$  is located in the crossover region [3, 4]. The first order phase transition regions and the crossover region are separated by second order phase transition lines which belong to the Z(2) universality class. In the chiral limit of  $N_f = 2$  theory, if  $U_A(1)$  symmetry remains broken at the chiral transition temperature, the chiral phase transition is a second order phase transition belonging to an O(4) universality class [2]. Thus the chiral first order region in the left bottom corner of Columbia plot, the second order O(4) line for  $N_f = 2$  case and the second order Z(2) line are supposed to meet at a tri-critical point  $m_s^{\text{tri}}$ . The location of the tri-critical point is still an open question. It is possible that the tri-critical point shifts to infinite strange quark mass [5]. The nature of the chiral phase transition at zero baryon



**Figure 1:** Schematic QCD phase structure with different values of quark masses  $(m_{u,d}, m_s)$  at zero baryon number density for  $m_s^{\text{tri}} < m_s^{\text{phy}}$  (left) and  $m_s^{\text{tri}} > m_s^{\text{phy}}$  (right).

chemical potential is also relevant for our understanding of the QCD phase diagram at non-zero chemical potential. If  $m_s^{\text{tri}} < m_s^{\text{phy}}$ , it is expected that in the chiral limit there will be a second order phase transition which belongs to the O(4) universality class as seen from the Fig. 1 (left). In this case, in the chiral limit, there might exist a tri-critical point as if QCD system becomes a first order phase transition in large baryon chemical potential. If  $m_s^{\text{tri}} > m_s^{\text{phy}}$ , towards the chiral limit the system passes through the Z(2) critical line to a first order phase transition region as shown in Fig. 1 (right). In this case the chiral phase transition may be first order for all values of the chemical potential or, there may exist a critical point such that the transition becomes a crossover transition at large baryon chemical potential.

In this proceedings, we focus on the determination of the chiral phase transition temperature  $T_c^0$  in the chiral limit and continuum limit, and we will also discuss the nature of the chiral phase transition. Previous studies have been reported in Ref. [6, 7, 8].

## 2. Observables and definitions

The universal behavior of the order parameter M and its susceptibility  $\chi_M$  can be described by

Sheng-Tai Li

the so-called Magnetic Equation of State (MEOS) [9] as follows

$$M(t,h) = h^{1/\delta} f_G(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_M(t,h) = \frac{\partial M}{\partial H} = h_0^{-1} h^{1/\delta - 1} f_\chi(z). \tag{2.1}$$

Here  $z = th^{-1/\beta\delta}$  is a scaling variable,  $t = \frac{1}{t_0} \frac{T - T_c^0}{T_c^0}$  is the reduced temperature and  $h = H/h_0 = \frac{m_l}{m_s}/h_0$  is the symmetry breaking field.  $\beta$ ,  $\delta$  are universal critical exponents which are unique for a given universality class as shown in Table 1.

| Model | β      | δ     | $z_p$   | z <sub>60</sub> | $f_G(z_p)$ | $f_{\chi}(z_p)$ |
|-------|--------|-------|---------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| Z(2)  | 0.3258 | 4.805 | 2.00(5) | 0.10(1)         | 0.548(10)  | 0.3629(1)       |
| O(2)  | 0.349  | 4.780 | 1.58(4) | -0.005(9)       | 0.550(10)  | 0.3489(1)       |
| O(4)  | 0.380  | 4.824 | 1.37(3) | -0.013(7)       | 0.532(10)  | 0.3430(1)       |

**Table 1:** Universal critical exponents  $\beta$ ,  $\delta$  for Z(2), O(2) and O(4) 3-d universality classes. Also given is the peak location of  $f_{\chi}$ , i.e.  $z_p$ , and the location  $z_{60}$  where the height of the  $f_{\chi}$  is 60% of its peak height and the values of  $f_G(z_p)$  and  $f_{\chi}(z_p)$ .

Three non-universal parameters  $h_0$ ,  $t_0$ ,  $T_c^0$  are unique for a particular system, e.g.  $T_c^0$  is the critical temperature of chiral phase transition in the light quark chiral limit. For scaling variable  $z_X$ , it is related to a temperature  $T_X$  as follows

$$T_X(H) = T_c^0 + z_X T_c^0 H^{1/\beta\delta} / z_0, \quad z_0 = h_0^{1/\beta\delta} / t_0.$$
(2.2)

At the peak location of  $f_{\chi}$ , i.e.  $z_X = z_p$ , we have the relationship between pseudo-critical temperature  $T_{\rm pc}$  and the critical temperature  $T_c^0$ , e.g.  $T_{\rm pc} = T_c^0 + z_p T_c^0 H^{1/\beta\delta}/z_0$ . Here we analyze two other estimators for the chiral phase transition temperature, defined by two specific values of the scaling variable z, i.e.  $z_{60}$  and  $z_{\delta}$ . The former is defined by  $f_{\chi}(z_{60}) = 0.6f_{\chi}(z_p)$  with  $z_{60} < z_p$  and the corresponding  $T_{60}$  is defined as  $\chi_M(T_{60}) = 0.6\chi_M(T_{\rm pc})$  with  $T_{60} < T_{\rm pc}$ .

Since  $z_{60}$  is very close to zero the *H*-dependent term In Eq. 2.2 is suppressed by at least by an order of magnitude compared to  $z_p$ . This is shown in the left panel of Fig. 2 and Table 1, for relevant universality classes. We thus can estimate  $T_c^0$  by investigating the values of  $T_{60}$  as follows

$$T_{60}(H) = T_c^0 + z_{60} T_c^0 H^{1/\beta\delta} / z_0, \qquad (2.3)$$

In the right panel of Fig.2, we plot  $f_{\chi}/f_G$  vs.  $(T - T_c^0)/T_c^0$  for O(4) universality class where we have set  $z_0=1$  for simplicity. The different curves, corresponding to different H, meet at a unique crossing point  $(0, 1/\delta)$  [10]. This thus drives us to estimate  $T_c^0$  by looking at  $H\chi_M/M$ ,

$$\frac{H\chi_M(T_{\delta}, V, H)}{M(T_{\delta}, V, H)} = \frac{1}{\delta} \Rightarrow T_c^0 = \lim_{H \to 0} \lim_{V \to \infty} T_{\delta}(V, H).$$
(2.4)

As shown in Eq. 2.4,  $T_c^0$  can be estimated by looking at  $T_{\delta}(V,H)$  in the infinite volume limit and chiral limit.

By looking at following equation we will be able to investigate the nature of chiral phase transition

$$\frac{M}{\chi_M} = (H - H_c) \frac{f_G(z)}{f_{\chi}(z)}.$$
(2.5)





**Figure 2:** Left: Scaling function  $f_{\chi}$  for O(4), O(2) and Z(2) universality classes,  $z_p$  is the peak position of  $f_{\chi}$ .  $z_{60} \approx 0$  for these universality classes. Right: Ratio of scaling functions using O(4) exponents for three different values of H [8].

In the case of  $m_s^{\text{tri}} < m_s^{\text{phy}}$ ,  $H_c$  is zero and the chiral phase transition is a second order phase transition in the chiral limit, the universality class is expected to be O(4). In the case of  $m_s^{\text{phy}} < m_s^{\text{tri}}$ ,  $H_c$  is nonzero and the chiral phase transition is a first order phase transition in the chiral limit, where the corresponding universality class of the second order phase transition occuring at some  $H_c > 0$  is Z(2). Since  $f_G(z)/f_{\chi}(z)$  at  $z \simeq 0$  and  $z_p$  is a number fixed by universality class, one can study the order of the chiral phase transition through the relation between  $M/\chi_M$  and H at  $T_{60}$  and  $T_{pc}$ .

#### 3. Lattice setup

In our simulations of (2 + 1)-flavor QCD we have used Highly Improved Staggered Quarks and tree-level improved gauge action (HISQ/tree). The strange quark mass is chosen to its physical quark mass value  $m_s^{\text{phy}}$ , and the light quark masses values are varied from  $m_s^{\text{phy}}/160$  to  $m_s^{\text{phy}}/20$ which correspond to 55 MeV  $\leq m_{\pi} \leq 160$  MeV. To perform the continuum limit, the temporal extent  $N_{\tau}$  is taken to be 6, 8 and 12 and the spatial volumes used are in the range  $4 \leq N_{\sigma}/N_{\tau} \leq 8$ .

As shown in the Table 2, for each data set we have performed at least 10000 time units (TUs) at each temperature, where gauge configurations are separated by every 5 TUs. We used 50 random noise vectors on each gauge field configuration and constructed unbiased estimators for the various traces to compute the chiral condensate and its susceptibility.

| $N_{\sigma}^3 \times N_{\tau}$ | $\frac{m_l}{m_{phy}}$ | average # of TU | $N_{\sigma}^3 \times N_{\tau}$ | $\frac{m_l}{m_{phy}}$ | average # of TU |                                |                                |                 |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| $24^3 \times 6$                | 1/20                  | 23000           | $24^3 \times 8$                | 1/40                  | 100000          | $N_{\sigma}^3 \times N_{\tau}$ | $\frac{m_l}{m_c^{\text{phy}}}$ | average # of TU |
| $24^3 \times 6$                | 1/27                  | 13800           | $32^3 \times 8$                | 1/40                  | 32000           | $42^3 \times 12$               | 1/40                           | 50000           |
| $32^3 \times 6$                | 1/40                  | 20000           | $40^3 \times 8$                | 1/40                  | 14000           | $60^{3} \times 12$             | 1/40                           | 32000           |
| $40^{3} \times 6$              | 1/60                  | 15000           | $32^3 \times 8$                | 1/80                  | 80000           | $48^3 \times 12$               | 1/80                           | 37000           |
| $24^3 \times 6$                | 1/80                  | 40000           | $40^3 \times 8$                | 1/80                  | 35000           | $60^3 \times 12$               | 1/80                           | 18000           |
| $32^3 \times 6$                | 1/80                  | 26000           | $56^3 \times 8$                | 1/80                  | 20000           | $72^3 \times 12$               | 1/80                           | 15000           |
| $48^3 \times 6$                | 1/80                  | 10000           | $56^3 \times 8$                | 1/160                 | 14000           |                                |                                | ·,              |

**Table 2:** Current statistics for  $N_{\tau} = 6, 8$  and 12 lattices.

# 4. Results

We study the subtracted chiral order parameter M and its susceptibility  $\chi_M$ . To avoid the distorsion of the temperature dependence at low temperatures of the chiral order parameter we use

the following definitions

$$M = m_s \left( \left\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \right\rangle_l - \frac{2m_l}{m_s} \left\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \right\rangle_s \right) / f_K^4, \quad f_K = (156.1/\sqrt{2}) \text{ MeV}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\chi_M \equiv \frac{\partial M}{\partial H} \equiv m_s^2 \chi_{l,\text{subtot}} / f_K^4, \quad \chi_{l,\text{subtot}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial m_l} \left( \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_l - \frac{2m_l}{m_s} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_s \right). \tag{4.2}$$

We replace the factors of  $T^4$  by the appropriate factors of the kaon decay constant,  $f_K^4$ .



**Figure 3:** Left: Binder cumulant of chiral condensate on  $N_{\tau} = 8$  lattices for  $m_s/m_l = 80$  and 160. Right: Volume dependence of chiral susceptibilities on  $N_{\tau} = 12$  lattices for  $m_s/m_l = 80$ .

In the left panel of Fig. 3 we show the Binder cumulant of chiral condensate on  $N_{\tau} = 8$  lattices for  $m_{\pi} = 80$  and 55 MeV. Here the Binder cumulant is defined as  $B_X = \langle (X - \langle X \rangle)^4 \rangle / \langle (X - \langle X \rangle)^2 \rangle^2$ . The plot shows that there is no evidence of first order phase transition in our current pion mass window 55 MeV  $\leq m_{\pi} \leq 160$  MeV. Also as seen from the right panel of Fig. 3, chiral susceptibilities obtained on  $N_{\tau} = 12$  lattices do not grow linearly with the volume which implies that there is no first order phase transition in our current pion mass window. We also observe that  $T_{\rm pc}$  is larger for larger volume.



**Figure 4:** Volume dependence of  $\chi_M H/M$  on  $N_\tau = 12$  lattices.

We then show the volume dependence of  $\chi_M H/M$  in Fig. 4. At a fixed temperature  $H\chi_M/M$  becomes smaller in larger volume, and for a fixed volume it increases with increasing temperature.  $H\chi_M/M$  at  $T_{pc}$  is almost volume independent as shown in the middle plot of Fig. 4. Similar results are also obtained from  $N_{\tau} = 12$  lattices with  $m_l = m_s/40$  and  $N_{\tau} = 8$  lattices with  $m_l = m_s/80$ . As shown in the left plot of Fig. 4,  $T_{\delta}(V,H)$  increases with the increasing volume. Thus, we performed 1/V extrapolation as represented by the grey band. This gives  $T_{\delta}(V \to \infty, m_l = m_s/80) \approx 138$  MeV. Similar analyses are done for  $m_s/40$  which gives  $T_{\delta}(V \to \infty, m_l = m_s/40) \approx 141$  MeV as shown as the vertical line in the right plot of Fig. 4. This figure also shows that results for different quark

masses do not cross at a unique point  $(T_c, 1/\delta)$  as one would expect in the scaling regime (see Fig. 2(right)). This reflects the importance of regular contributions. We then take the chiral limit by performing linear extrapolation in *H*, which gives an estimate  $T_c^0(N_\tau = 12) \simeq 134(2)$  MeV.



**Figure 5:** Left:  $T_{60}$  for  $N_{\tau} = 12$  lattices. Right:  $T_c^0$  estimated by the crossing point of  $M/H - \chi_M$  rescaled by  $H^{1/\delta - 1}$ 

In the left plot of Fig. 5 we show the extraction of  $T_{60}$  on  $N_{\tau} = 12$  lattices. We performed the 1/V extrapolation as shown as the grey bands, and then performed linear chiral extrapolation which gives a consistent result  $T_c^0 \approx 134(2)$  MeV. A sanity check for the  $T_c^0$  is to look at the crossing point of  $M/H - \chi_M$  rescaled by  $H^{1/\delta-1}$ . The advantage of  $M/H - \chi_M$  is that it removed the regular contributions linear in H. As shown in the right plot of Fig. 5,  $(M/H - \chi_M)/H^{1/\delta-1}$  in the infinite volume limit with H = 1/40 (the green band) and H = 1/80 (the red band) meet at a "crossing point" which is roughly around 135 MeV. Similar procedures e.g.  $(T_{\delta}, T_{60}, M/H - \chi_M)$  are done for  $N_{\tau} = 8$  and 6 which gives  $T_c^0 = 142(2)$  and 147(2) MeV, respectively. Rather than doing 1/Vand linear in H extrapolations we also analyze the finite size scaling using O(4) scaling functions, and the continuum extrapolated  $T_c$  values are systematically lower by 2-3 MeV which is one source of our systematic uncertainty. The continuum extrapolations discarding results obtained on  $N_{\tau} = 6$ lattices gives about 3 MeV lower  $T_c^0$ , this is another source of our systematic uncertainty.

To study the nature the chiral phase transition, we look at the ratio  $M/\chi_M$  (c.f. Eq. 2.5). We show the quark mass dependence of  $M/\chi_M$  at  $T_{pc}$  and  $T_{60}$  in the left plot and right plot of Fig. 6, respectively. As discussed before,  $H\chi_M/M$  at  $T_{pc}$  is almost volume independent, and this indicates that all the data points shown in the Fig. 6 can be regarded as being in the infinite volume limit. The colored band in the plots represents the difference between O(2) and O(4) universality classes. In Fig. 6 we also compare our lattice results on the quark mass dependence of  $M/\chi_M$  with the scenarios of Z(2) phase transition with non-zero  $H_c$  corresponding to  $m_l/m_s = 1/120$  and  $m_l/m_s = 1/240$ . As one can see from the figure our lattice results are way above these expectations. Thus, if there is a first order phase transition in the chiral limit of (2 + 1)-flavor QCD, it should happen for quark masses smaller than  $m_s/160$ .

#### 5. Summary

We have performed lattice simulations of (2 + 1)-flavor QCD using HISQ/tree action. To study the chiral phase transition temperature  $T_c^0$  in the chiral & continuum limit, the light quark mass window was chosen to be  $m_s^{\text{phy}}/160 \le m_l \le m_s^{\text{phy}}/20$ , which correspond to the pion mass window 55 MeV $\le m_\pi \le 160$  MeV,  $N_\tau$  was set to 6, 8, and 12, and the corresponding  $N_\sigma$  ranging from  $4N_\tau$  to  $8N_\tau$ . The current estimates of  $T_c^0$  on  $N_\tau = 6$ , 8 and 12 lattices are 147(2) MeV, 142(2)





**Figure 6:**  $M/\chi_M$  is plotted for different  $N_{\tau}$  along with the scaling expectations from different universality classes.

MeV and 135(3) MeV, respectively. Including all systematic uncertainties, our current estimate of  $T_c^0$  in the continuum limit is  $T_c^0 = 132_{-6}^{+3}$  MeV [11]. By looking at the ratio  $M/\chi_M$  as a function of light quark mass, the chiral phase transition is more like a second order phase transition instead of a first order phase transition.

#### References

- H.-T. Ding, F. Karsch and S. Mukherjee, *Thermodynamics of strong-interaction matter from Lattice QCD*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* E24 (2015) 1530007 [1504.05274].
- [2] R. D. Pisarski and F. Wilczek, *Remarks on the chiral phase transition in chromodynamics*, *Phys. Rev.* D 29 (1984) 338.
- [3] HOTQCD COLLABORATION collaboration, A. Bazavov, T. Bhattacharya, M. Cheng, C. DeTar, H.-T. Ding, S. Gottlieb et al., *Chiral and deconfinement aspects of the qcd transition*, *Phys. Rev. D* 85 (2012) 054503.
- [4] Y. Aoki, G. Endrődi, Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz and K. K. Szabó, *The order of the quantum chromodynamics transition predicted by the standard model of particle physics, Nature* 443 (2006) 675 EP.
- [5] O. Philipsen and C. Pinke,  $N_f = 2 \ qcd \ chiral \ phase \ transition \ with \ wilson \ fermions \ at \ zero \ and \ imaginary \ chemical \ potential, Phys. Rev. D$ **93**(2016) 114507.
- [6] BIELEFELD-BNL-CCNU collaboration, H.-T. Ding and P. Hegde, *Chiral phase transition of*  $N_f=2+1$  and 3 QCD at vanishing baryon chemical potential, PoS LATTICE2015 (2016) 161 [1511.00553].
- [7] S.-T. Li and H.-T. Ding, *Chiral phase transition of* (2 + 1)-flavor QCD on  $N_{\tau} = 6$  lattices, PoS LATTICE2016 (2017) 372 [1702.01294].
- [8] H. T. Ding, P. Hegde, F. Karsch, A. Lahiri, S. T. Li, S. Mukherjee et al., Chiral phase transition of (2+1)-flavor QCD, in 27th International Conference on Ultrarelativistic Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions (Quark Matter 2018) Venice, Italy, May 14-19, 2018, 2018, 1807.05727.
- [9] S. Ejiri, F. Karsch, E. Laermann, C. Miao, S. Mukherjee, P. Petreczky et al., Magnetic equation of state in (2+1)-flavor qcd, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 094505.
- [10] F. Karsch and E. Laermann, Susceptibilities, the specific heat, and a cumulant in two-flavor qcd, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 6954.
- [11] H. T. Ding et al., *The chiral phase transition temperature in (2+1)-flavor QCD*, 1903.04801.