

Galaxy Clustering and Baryon Acoustic Oscillations

B. Hoeneisen* †

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador E-mail: bhoeneisen@usfq.edu.ec

We present measurements of Baryon Acoustic Oscillation (BAO) distances used as an *uncalibrated* standard ruler that determine $\Omega_{de}(a)$, Ω_k , Ω_m , and $d_{BAO} \equiv r_*H_0/c$; and BAO distances used as a *calibrated* standard ruler r_* that constrains a combination of $\sum m_v$, h, and $\Omega_b h^2$. The cosmological parameters obtained in this analysis are compared with the Review of Particle Physics, PDG 2018.

2nd World Summit: Exploring the Dark Side of the Universe 25-29 June, 2018 University of Antilles, Pointe-Ãă-Pitre, Guadeloupe, France

*Speaker.

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).



[†]I thank the organizers of the Guadeloupe Conference for the kind invitation.

1. Introduction

At the Guadeloupe "2nd World Summit on: Exploring the Dark Side of the Universe" 2018 were presented several experiments that measure combinations of correlated cosmological parameters. The problem is how to extract the cosmological parameters from these measurements (that show mild tensions). The precise measurements of fluctuations of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) temperature across almost the entire sky by the Planck experiment allows independent and precise determinations of the base set of six cosmological parameters [1] under these assumptions: (i) space is flat, i.e. curvature $\Omega_k = 0$, (ii) the dark energy density is constant, i.e. $\Omega_{de}(a) = \Omega_{\Lambda}$ is independent of the expansion parameter *a*, and (iii) neutrino masses are negligible. At the Guadeloupe meeting I presented measurements of Baryon Acoustic Oscillation (BAO) distances used as an uncalibrated standard ruler that address (i) and (ii), and as a calibrated standard ruler that constrains a combination of $\sum m_v$, h, and $\Omega_b h^2$. (We use the standard notation of the Review of Particle Physics, PDG 2018 [2]). $\sum m_v$ is the sum of masses of three active neutrino eigenstates assumed to be nearly degenerate, i.e. $\sum m_{\nu} \approx 3m_{\nu}$. In the following, I will comment on these measurements, and on some minor tensions discussed at the Guadeloupe meeting. Neutrino masses measured with the Sachs-Wolfe effect, σ_8 , and the galaxy power spectrum $P_{gal}(k)$ are discussed in a separate contribution to this conference. For details I refer the reader to the Guadeloupe talks [3], and to the publications [4], [5], [6], and references therein.

2. BAO as an uncalibrated standard ruler

From the acoustic sound horizon angle θ_{MC} accurately measured by the Planck experiment, and 18 galaxy BAO distance measurements with Sloan Digital Sky Survey SDSS DR13 galaxies in the red shift range 0.1 to 0.7, we obtain Ω_k , $\Omega_{\text{de}}(a) + 2.2\Omega_k$, and the adimensional standard ruler length $d_{\text{BAO}} \equiv r'_s H_0/c$, where $r'_s \equiv r_*$ is the comoving size of the sound horizon [4]. The results are presented in Table 2.1 for several scenarios. Note that Ω_k is consistent with zero, and $\Omega_{\text{de}}(a)$ is consistent with being independent of the expansion parameter *a*. Note also that the constraint on Ω_k becomes tighter if $\Omega_{\text{de}}(a)$ is assumed constant, and that the constraint on $\Omega_{\text{de}}(a)$ becomes tighter if Ω_k is assumed zero. From now on, we assume that $\Omega_{\text{de}}(a) \equiv \Omega_{\Lambda}$ is constant, and $\Omega_k = 0$. With these assumptions we obtain from Table 1

$$\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.719 \pm 0.003, \qquad d_{\text{BAO}} = 0.0340 \pm 0.0002, \qquad \Omega_m = 0.281 \pm 0.003.$$
 (2.1)

All uncertainties are at 68% confidence with the listed assumptions. These results are robust because they are independent of any other cosmological parameter (in particular are independent of h, and are independent of $\sum m_v$ at the present level of accuracy), and depend on theory only through the Friedmann equation (which defines Ω_k , Ω_Λ , and Ω_m). The main difficulty with these BAO measurements is the low significance of the BAO signals due to cosmological fluctuations, so that many redundant measurements were made as emphasized in the Guadeloupe talk. In particular, we measure separately \hat{d}_z for galaxy pairs approximately along the line of sight, \hat{d}_α for galaxy pairs approximately transverse to the line of sight, and $\hat{d}_/$ for galaxy pairs at an angle to the line of sight [4]. Measuring the *independent* BAO lengths \hat{d}_z , \hat{d}_α , and $\hat{d}_/$, allows a consistency check $Q = \hat{d}_//(\hat{d}_\alpha^{0.57} \hat{d}_z^{0.43}) = 1$, and also provides a direct measurement of the uncertainties. See

D	TT	•
к	HC	anaican
D .	IIU	Unciscii

	Scenario 1	Scenario 1	Scenario 4	Scenario 4
Ω_k	0 fixed	0.002 ± 0.007	0 fixed	-0.015 ± 0.030
$\Omega_{\mathrm{de}} + 2.2\Omega_k$	0.719 ± 0.003	0.718 ± 0.004	0.718 ± 0.004	0.717 ± 0.004
w_1	n.a.	n.a.	0.06 ± 0.15	0.37 ± 0.61
$100d_{BAO}$	3.40 ± 0.02	3.39 ± 0.02	3.39 ± 0.03	3.37 ± 0.05
$\chi^2/d.f.$	11.2/17	11.2/16	11.1/16	10.8/15

Table 1: Cosmological parameters obtained from 18 galaxy BAO measurements with SDSS DR13 galaxies plus $\theta_{\text{MC}} = 0.010410 \pm 0.000005$ from the Planck experiment in several scenarios [4]. Corrections for peculiar motions have been applied. Scenario 1 has $\Omega_{\text{de}}(a)$ constant. Scenario 4 has $\Omega_{\text{de}}(a) = \Omega_{\text{de}}[1 + w_1(1 - a)]$.

Figure 1. Simulations show [7] that an initial point-like peak in the density, results in concentric shells of overdensity of radius ≈ 148 Mpc and ≈ 18 Mpc. The observed BAO signal extends from $\approx (148 - 11)$ Mpc $H_0/c \approx 0.0314$ to $\approx (148 + 11)$ Mpc $H_0/c \approx 0.0365$ as shown in Figure 1. The only (relatively small) correction applied is for peculiar motions.



Figure 1: Fits to histograms of galaxy-galaxy distances d, in units of c/H_0 , that obtain the BAO distances \hat{d}_{α} , \hat{d}_z , and \hat{d}_j , and distribution of the consistency parameter $Q = \hat{d}_j / (\hat{d}_{\alpha}^{0.56} \hat{d}_z^{0.44}) = 1$.

3. A comment on d_{drag} and d_*

From the 18 galaxy BAO distance measurements alone, i.e. without the sound horizon angle θ_{MC} , we obtain the length of the standard ruler in units of c/H_0 : $d_{\text{drag}} \equiv r_{\text{drag}}H_0/c = 0.0339 \pm 0.0002$, and $\Omega_m = 0.284 \pm 0.014$, see Table 4 of [4]. Adding 2 Lyman-alpha measurements obtains $d_{\text{drag}} = 0.0340 \pm 0.0002$ and $\Omega_m = 0.278 \pm 0.011$. The comoving size of the sound horizon is

	This analysis	PDG 2018
Ω_k	0.002 ± 0.007	$-0.005\substack{+0.008\\-0.009}$
Ω_{Λ}	0.719 ± 0.003	0.692 ± 0.012
Ω_m	0.281 ± 0.003	0.308 ± 0.012
d_{BAO}	0.0340 ± 0.0002	
$h + 0.0255 \cdot \sum m_v / eV$	0.6970 ± 0.0054	
h	0.691 ± 0.012	0.678 ± 0.009

Table 2: Comparison of this analysis (θ_{MC} +BAO) [4, 5] with PDG 2018 [2] (mostly CMB from the Planck Collaboration (2015)+BAO). $\Omega_{de}(a) = \Omega_{\Lambda}$ is assumed constant. Curvature Ω_k is assumed zero, except in first row. The first 4 rows of this analysis are from uncalibrated BAO (including θ_{MC}), while the last two are from calibrated BAO with $z_* = 1089.9 \pm 0.4$.

 r_* . We obtain $d_* \equiv r_*H_0/c \equiv \theta_{\text{MC}}\chi(\Omega_m, z_*) = (0.03402 \pm 0.00002) \cdot (0.28/\Omega_m)^{0.4}$ with $\theta_{\text{MC}} = 0.010410 \pm 0.000005$ measured by the Planck experiment. $\chi(\Omega_m, z_*)c/H_0$ is the angular diameter distance at decoupling. For $\Omega_m = 0.281 \pm 0.003$ we obtain $r_*H_0/c = 0.03397 \pm 0.00016$. We conclude that the *measured* r_{drag} and r_* are equal within the quoted uncertainties. For this reason we have set $r_{\text{drag}} = r_*$ and $d_{\text{drag}} = d_* \equiv d_{\text{BAO}}$. Any uncertainty due to $r_{\text{drag}} \neq r_*$ is not included in (2.1).

4. BAO as a *calibrated* standard ruler

The calibrated standard ruler length r_* is obtained by integrating the photon-electron-baryon plasma sound speed from primordial time until decoupling at $z_* = 1089.9 \pm 0.4$ [2]. From $d_{\text{BAO}} \equiv r_*H_0/c = 0.0340 \pm 0.0002$ we obtain a constraint on a combination of $\sum m_v$, h and $\Omega_b h^2$. Reference [5] takes $z_{\text{drag}} = z_* = 1089.9 \pm 0.4$ and obtains

$$\sum m_{\rm V} = 0.711 - 0.335 \cdot \delta h + 0.050 \cdot \delta b \pm 0.063 \,\,{\rm eV},\tag{4.1}$$

and $\Omega_m = 0.282 \pm 0.003$, where $\delta h \equiv (h - 0.678)/0.009$, and $\delta b \equiv (\Omega_b h^2 - 0.02226)/0.00023$. Alternatively, from $r_* H_0/c \equiv \theta_{MC} \chi(\Omega_m, z_*)$ we obtain

$$\Omega_m^{0.32}(h+0.0255\sum m_\nu/\mathrm{eV})\cdot(\Omega_b h^2)^{-0.19} = 0.9551\pm 0.0006. \tag{4.2}$$

From $\Omega_m = 0.281 \pm 0.003$ and $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.0225 \pm 0.0008$ at 68% confidence from Big Bang Nuleosynthesis [2], and allowing $0.06 < \Sigma m_v < 0.68$ eV [2], we obtain from (4.1) or (4.2):

$$h + 0.0255 \cdot \sum m_v / eV = 0.6970 \pm 0.0054$$
, and $h = 0.691 \pm 0.012$. (4.3)

5. Conclusions

The cosmological parameters obtained in this analysis are compared with [2] in Table 2. Constraints on neutrino masses are presented in the companion talk and article in these proceedings [3].

References

- [1] Planck Collaboration: N. Aghanim et al., arXiv:1807.06209 (2018)
- [2] M. Tanabashi et al. (Particle Data Group), The Review of Particle Physics (2018), Phys. Rev. D 98, 030001 (2018)
- [3] Guadeloupe 2018 meeting talks: https://indico.cern.ch/event/645015/timetable/#20180625
- [4] B. Hoeneisen, (2017) Study of Baryon Acoustic Oscillations with SDSS DR13 Data and Measurements of Ω_k and $\Omega_{DE}(a)$. *International Journal of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **7**, 11-27. https://doi.org/10.4236/ijaa.2017.71002
- [5] B. Hoeneisen, (2018) Constraints on Neutrino Masses from Baryon Acoustic Oscillation Measurements. *International Journal of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 8, 1-5. https://doi.org/10.4236/ijaa.2018.81001
- [6] B. Hoeneisen, (2018) Study of galaxy distributions with SDSS DR14 data and measurement of neutrino masses, arXiv:1806.01227, to be published in *International Journal of Astronomy and Astrophysics*
- [7] D. J. Eisenstein, H.-J. Seo, and M. White, ApJ, 664: 660-674 (2007).