

Weighted transverse spin asymmetries in 2015 COMPASS Drell–Yan data

J. Matoušek*, on behalf of the COMPASS Collaboration

University and INFN Trieste, Italy E-mail: jan.matousek@ts.infn.it

Muon pairs created in the Drell–Yan process of a negative pion beam of 190 GeV/*c* momentum impinging on a transversely polarised NH₃ target were detected with the COMPASS spectrometer at CERN in 2015. In addition to the extraction of the transverse spin asymmetries (TSAs) from these data, a complementary analysis of the TSAs weighted by powers of the dimuon momentum q_T has been performed and is presented in this paper. In the transverse momentum dependent parton distribution functions (TMD PDFs) formalism, the q_T -weighted TSAs can be interpreted in terms of products of the TMD PDFs of the beam pion and of the transversely polarised target proton, unlike the conventional TSAs, which are interpreted as their convolutions. This allowed us to make a straightforward comparison with the expectation based on the weighted Sivers asymmetry measured in the SIDIS process. In a similar way, the Boer–Mulders function of the pion and proton can be obtained as well.

23rd International Spin Physics Symposium - SPIN2018 -10-14 September, 2018 Ferrara, Italy

*Speaker.

[©] Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

1. Introduction

The hadron structure is commonly described at leading twist by eight transverse momentum dependent (TMD) parton distribution functions (PDFs), which depend on the fraction x of the hadron momentum carried by the parton and on the transverse component of the parton momentum $k_{\rm T}$. They encode all possible correlations between the hadron spin, parton spin and $k_{\rm T}$. They have been probed in semi-inclusive deep-inelastic scattering (SIDIS), in the Drell–Yan process (DY) and in hadron–hadron collisions. COMPASS played an important role in the first process and recently also in the second.

The idea of weighted transverse spin azimuthal asymmetries (TSAs) appeared first in the context of SIDIS [1, 2], but it is used in DY as well [3, 4]. Their advantage is that the convolutions of the TMD PDFs, which are present in the standard interpretation of the SIDIS or DY cross-sections and consequently in the standard TSAs, are replaced by products of transverse moments of the TMD PDFs. COMPASS has recently complemented its results on the standard TSAs in SIDIS by the weighted Sivers asymmetries in SIDIS [5], which offer a straightforward interpretation and insight into the validity of the Gaussian model of the k_T -dependence of the Sivers function. The TSAs in Drell–Yan from 2015 data [6] were also accompanied by the corresponding weighted TSAs [7], which are discussed here. New results are expected soon from the DY data collected in 2018.

2. Transverse momentum weighted asymmetries in Drell-Yan process

We studied the DY reaction $\pi^- p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+ X$ with a 190 GeV/*c* π^- beam and a transversely polarised proton target. At leading order (LO), the reaction proceeds via annihilation of a quark– antiquark pair into a virtual photon. The cross-section consists of five terms, each possessing an orthogonal modulation in ϕ or $\phi_{\rm S}$ – the azimuthal angles of the muon momentum and of the target polarisation vector, respectively [8]. Also, each term contains a structure function $F_{\rm U/T}^X$, which can be written as a flavour sum of convolutions of TMD PDFs over the intrinsic momenta of the two colliding quarks $\mathbf{k}_{\pi\rm T}$ and $\mathbf{k}_{\rm pT}$. The standard TSAs are ratios of the convolutions $A_{\rm U/T}^X = F_{\rm U/T}^X/F_{\rm U}^1$.

The convolutions can be solved assuming a certain \mathbf{k}_{T} -dependence of the TMD PDFs; often a Gaussian form is used. The weighted asymmetries, on the other hand, are exploiting the fact that if one integrates the structure functions over $\mathbf{q}_{T} = \mathbf{k}_{\pi T} + \mathbf{k}_{pT}$ with cleverly chosen weights W_X , the convolutions are solved trivially. The q_T -weighted TSAs are then $A_T^{XW_X} = \int d^2 \mathbf{q}_T W_X F_T^X / \int d^2 \mathbf{q}_T F_U^1$. In particular, the three ones accessible in the πp^{\uparrow} DY interaction are

$$A_{\rm T}^{\sin\phi_{\rm S}\frac{q_{\rm T}}{M_{\rm p}}}(x_{\pi}, x_{N}) = -2\frac{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} \left[f_{1,\pi^{-}}^{\bar{q}}(x_{\pi}) f_{1{\rm T},{\rm p}}^{\perp(1)q}(x_{N}) + (q \leftrightarrow \bar{q}) \right]}{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} \left[f_{1,\pi^{-}}^{\bar{q}}(x_{\pi}) f_{1,{\rm p}}^{q}(x_{N}) + (q \leftrightarrow \bar{q}) \right]}$$
(2.1)

$$A_{\rm T}^{\sin(2\phi-\phi_{\rm S})\frac{q_{\rm T}}{M\pi}}(x_{\pi},x_{\rm N}) = -2\frac{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} \left[h_{1,\pi^{-}}^{\perp(1)\bar{q}}(x_{\pi})h_{1,\rm p}^{q}(x_{\rm N}) + (q\leftrightarrow\bar{q})\right]}{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} \left[f_{1,\pi^{-}}^{\bar{q}}(x_{\pi})f_{1,\rm p}^{q}(x_{\rm N}) + (q\leftrightarrow\bar{q})\right]}$$
(2.2)

$$A_{\rm T}^{\sin(2\phi+\phi_{\rm S})\frac{q_{\rm T}^3}{2M_{\pi}M_{\rm p}^2}}(x_{\pi},x_{N}) = -2\frac{\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}\left[h_{1,\pi^{-}}^{\perp(1)\bar{q}}(x_{\pi})h_{1{\rm T},{\rm p}}^{\perp(2)q}(x_{N}) + (q\leftrightarrow\bar{q})\right]}{\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}\left[f_{1,\pi^{-}}^{\bar{q}}(x_{\pi})f_{1,{\rm p}}^{q}(x_{N}) + (q\leftrightarrow\bar{q})\right]}$$
(2.3)



Figure 1: The $q_{\rm T}$ -weighted TSAs. The systematic uncertainty is denoted by blue bands. Normalisation uncertainties from target polarisation (5%) and dilution factor calculation (8%) are not shown.

where the sums run over quarks and antiquarks q; e_q are fractional electric charges; $M_{\pi,p}$ are the pion and proton masses; and $f^{(n)}$ or $h^{(n)}$ are the *n*-th k_T^2 -moments of the TMD PDFs,

$$f^{(n)}(x) = \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\rm T} \left(\frac{k_{\rm T}^2}{2M^2}\right)^n f(x, k_{\rm T}^2).$$
(2.4)

Each weighted TSA is obtained from the data by a fit of the so-called 'modified double ratio' $R(\Phi) \propto A_T^{\sin \Phi W} \sin \Phi$, where $\Phi = \phi_S, 2\phi - \phi_S, 2\phi + \phi_S$, which is constructed from event counts and sums of event weights coming from two oppositely polarised target cells and from two 'subperiods' of data-taking. The polarisation of the cells is reversed between the sub-periods. The ratio is calculated in eight bins in Φ , so the azimuthal acceptance $a(\Phi)$ is cancelled [5]. For the details of the target geometry, data-taking strategy and the analysis we refer to the published paper [6].

The data sample was collected in 2015 and the applied selections were almost the same as for the standard TSAs [6], in particular the same invariant mass range $M \in [4.3, 8.5] \text{ GeV}/c^2$ is used. The only difference is the absence of cuts on q_T . Instead, we applied a limit on the individual muon transverse momentum $l_T < 7 \text{ GeV}/c$. The selected sample consists of about 39 000 dimuons. The target composition (solid NH₃ with the H nuclei polarised, in He bath) is taken into account by a dilution factor, so the TSAs refer to proton. The results are shown in Fig. 1.

The background from other processes was estimated to be about 4% [6]. Several possible systematic effects were studied. The impact of a possible imperfection of the acceptance cancellation was estimated by the method of 'false asymmetries'. They are calculated from events with the target cell of origin or the sub-period changed in such a way that the physics asymmetries cancel. The false asymmetries give the largest contribution to the systematic uncertainty, which turned out to be 0.7 times the statistical one. In addition, there are normalisation uncertainties from target polarisation (5%) and dilution factor calculation (8%).





Figure 2: The weighted Sivers asymmetry in SIDIS [5], fitted. Statistical errors only.



3. Transverse momentum weighted Sivers asymmetry in SIDIS and Drell–Yan

The weighted asymmetries offer a unique and straightforward way to compare the results obtained by COMPASS in SIDIS and in DY. In SIDIS, the P_{hT}/z -weighted Sivers asymmetry [5] in the production of charged hadrons *h* with available energy fraction z > 0.2 can be written as

$$A_{\mathrm{UT},\mathrm{T},h^{\pm}}^{\sin(\phi_{\mathrm{h}}-\phi_{\mathrm{S}})\frac{P_{h\mathrm{T}}}{zM}}(x) = 2\frac{\frac{4}{9}f_{1\mathrm{T}}^{\perp(1)\mathrm{u}}(x,Q^{2})\tilde{D}_{1,\mathrm{u}}^{h^{\pm}}(Q^{2}) + \frac{1}{9}f_{1\mathrm{T}}^{\perp(1)\mathrm{d}}(x,Q^{2})\tilde{D}_{1,\mathrm{d}}^{h^{\pm}}(Q^{2})}{\sum_{q=\mathrm{u},\mathrm{d},\mathrm{s},\bar{\mathrm{u}},\bar{\mathrm{d}},\bar{\mathrm{s}}}e_{q}^{2}f_{1}^{q}(x,Q^{2})\tilde{D}_{1,q}^{h^{\pm}}(Q^{2})},$$
(3.1)

where we use standard SIDIS variables and $\tilde{D}_{1,q}^{h^{\pm}}(Q^2) = \int_{0.2}^{1} dz D_{1,q}^{h^{\pm}}(z,Q^2)$ is the fragmentation function (FF) of a quark q into the hadron h, integrated over z. We consider only u, d and s quarks in the proton, and we assume the Sivers function of sea quarks to be zero.

Taking the unpolarised PDFs from CTEQ 5D global fit [9, 10] and the FFs from DSS 07 global fit [11], the first transverse moments of u and d Sivers functions $f_{1T}^{\perp(1)u/d}(x)$ are the only unknowns. Unlike in Ref. [5], here we utilized a parametrisation $xf_{1T}^{\perp(1)q}(x) = a_q x^{b_q} (1-x)^{c_q}$ and we fitted the weighted asymmetries for h^+ and h^- (Fig. 2). In our kinematics, x and Q^2 are correlated. Therefore we took the PDFs and FFs at the mean Q^2 corresponding to each x bin (Fig. 3). The result is shown in Fig. 4. The 1σ error-bands in the figure account only for the uncertainty of the fit and for the statistical errors of the data. The statistical uncertainties of the PDFs and FFs have been neglected; a variation of their choice was observed to cause differences up to 2σ .

The projection for DY can be obtained using Eq. (2.1). Neglecting sea quarks in the flavour sums and assuming the change-of-sign prediction [12] one obtains

$$A_{\rm T}^{\sin\phi_{\rm S}\frac{q_{\rm T}}{M_{\rm p}}}(x_{\pi}, x_{N}) = -2\frac{f_{\rm IT,p}^{\perp(1)u}(x_{N})}{f_{\rm I,p}^{u}(x_{N})} = 2\frac{f_{\rm IT,p}^{\perp(1)u}(x = x_{N})|_{\rm SIDIS}}{f_{\rm I,p}^{u}(x_{N})}.$$
(3.2)

The valence approximation is justified, as our experiment covers the valence region of both p and π^- ; an additional suppression comes from the quark charge. The advantage is the cancellation of the pion PDF, which is not known very well. We used the same $f_{1,p}^u$ as in SIDIS, evaluated at the mean Q^2 of the DY sample (Fig. 3). We neglected the evolution of the Sivers function between the SIDIS and DY Q^2 . The result is compared to the measured asymmetries in Fig 5. Again, only statistical errors are considered; the systematic uncertainty from the valence approximation was



Figure 4: The first transverse mo- Figure 5: function of x and $Q^2(x)$.

ment of the Sivers function as a asymmetry in DY and the projec- bined analysis of 2015 and 2018 tion from SIDIS.

The weighted Sivers Figure 6: A projection for a comdata.

estimated to be smaller than 1σ using the GRV-PI PDF set [13, 10]. The variation of FF set in the SIDIS fit has an impact up to 2σ in the lower x_N region. As stated in Ref. [6], the significance of the result does not yet allow us to claim the validity of the 'change-of-sign' rule. A second Drell-Yan run has been successfully finished in 2018. A projection for combined analysis of the two runs is shown in Fig. 6 assuming the statistics in 2018 to be 1.5 times larger than in 2015.

4. Boer–Mulders function in weighted asymmetries in SIDIS and Drell–Yan

The Boer–Mulders (BM) function is expected to change sign between SIDIS and DY as well. However, it will be more difficult to test it, as more TMDs are involved. The BM function of the proton can be accessed in our experiment via the spin-independent $\cos 2\phi$ asymmetry or its weighted version, as proposed in Ref. [3]. At leading twist, the weighted asymmetry is

$$A_{\rm U}^{\cos 2\phi \frac{q_{\rm T}^2}{4M_{\pi}M_{\rm p}}} = 2 \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 \left[h_{1,\pi^-}^{\perp(1)\bar{q}}(x_{\pi}) h_{1,\rm p}^{\perp(1)q}(x_{\rm p}) + (q \leftrightarrow \bar{q}) \right]}{\sum_q e_q^2 \left[f_{1,\pi^-}^{\bar{q}}(x_{\pi}) f_{1,\rm p}^q(x_{\rm N}) + (q \leftrightarrow \bar{q}) \right]}.$$
(4.1)

Assuming the BM function of the sea to be zero, the numerator simplifies to the product of the first transverse moments of pion and proton BM functions, $\frac{4}{9}h_{1,\pi^-}^{\perp(1)\bar{u}}(x_{\pi})h_{1,p}^{\perp(1)u}(x_p)$.

The pion BM function, which is very interesting by itself as the only nontrivial TMD PDF in pion apart from $f_{1\pi}$, can be independently obtained for the first time from the $A_{\rm T}^{\sin(2\phi-\phi_{\rm S})\frac{q_{\rm T}}{M\pi}}$ asymmetry shown in Fig. 1 using Eq. (2.2). The u-quark transversity $h_{1,p}^{u}$, which is needed for this, is available from various extractions (for a comparison see e.g. [14]).

The measurement of BM function in SIDIS, needed for the sign-change test, is difficult due of the mixing with the Cahn effect [15]. The extractions so far relied on strong assumptions [16]. The new SIDIS data collected by COMPASS in 2016 and 2017 [17] may help to improve the situation.

5. Conclusions

The transverse-momentum-weighted asymmetries offer an interesting alternative to the standard ones, since they rely on slightly different assumptions. They have a straightforward interpretation without the need of a model of the $k_{\rm T}$ -dependence of the TMD PDFs. We measured all three leading twist $q_{\rm T}$ -weighted TSAs present in πp^{\uparrow} DY. Unlike the standard Sivers asymmetry [6], the weighted asymmetry is compatible, within 1σ , with both sign-change and no-sign-change hypotheses for the Sivers function. The new DY data collected in 2018 will provide a better comparison.

References

- [1] A. M. Kotzinian and P. J. Mulders, *Longitudinal quark polarization in transversely polarized nucleons*, *Phys. Rev.* **D54** (1996) 1229 [hep-ph/9511420].
- [2] D. Boer and P. J. Mulders, *Time reversal odd distribution functions in leptoproduction*, *Phys. Rev.* D57 (1998) 5780 [hep-ph/9711485].
- [3] A. Sissakian et al., *Transversity and its accompanying T-odd distribution from Drell–Yan processes with pion-proton collisions, Eur. Phys. J.* C46 (2006) 147 [hep-ph/0512095].
- [4] Z. Wang, X. Wang and Z. Lu, Boer-Mulders function of pion meson and q_T-weighted cos 2φ asymmetry in the unpolarized π⁻ p Drell-Yan at COMPASS, Phys. Rev. D95 (2017) 094004 [1702.03637].
- [5] COMPASS collaboration, M. G. Alexeev et al., *Measurement of P_T-weighted Sivers asymmetries in leptoproduction of hadrons*, 1809.02936.
- [6] COMPASS collaboration, M. Aghasyan et al., *First measurement of transverse-spin-dependent azimuthal asymmetries in the Drell-Yan process, Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** (2017) 112002 [1704.00488].
- [7] COMPASS collaboration, J. Matoušek, Measurement of q_T-weighted TSAs in 2015 COMPASS Drell-Yan data, in 17th Workshop on High Energy Spin Physics (DSPIN-17), Dubna, Russia, September 11-15, 2017, vol. 938 of J. Phys. Conf. Ser., p. 012012, 2017, 1710.06497, DOI.
- [8] S. Arnold, A. Metz and M. Schlegel, *Dilepton production from polarized hadron hadron collisions*, *Phys. Rev.* D79 (2009) 034005 [0809.2262].
- [9] CTEQ collaboration, H. L. Lai, J. Huston, S. Kuhlmann, J. Morfin, F. I. Olness, J. F. Owens et al., Global QCD analysis of parton structure of the nucleon: CTEQ5 parton distributions, Eur. Phys. J. C12 (2000) 375 [hep-ph/9903282].
- [10] A. Buckley, J. Ferrando, S. Lloyd, K. Nordström, B. Page, M. Rüfenacht et al., LHAPDF6: parton density access in the LHC precision era, Eur. Phys. J. C75 (2015) 132 [1412.7420].
- [11] D. de Florian, R. Sassot and M. Stratmann, Global analysis of fragmentation functions for pions and kaons and their uncertainties, Phys. Rev. D75 (2007) 114010 [hep-ph/0703242].
- [12] J. C. Collins, Leading twist single transverse-spin asymmetries: Drell-Yan and deep inelastic scattering, Phys. Lett. B536 (2002) 43 [hep-ph/0204004].
- [13] M. Glück, E. Reya and A. Vogt, Pionic parton distributions, Z. Phys. C53 (1992) 651.
- [14] M. Radici, A. Courtoy, A. Bacchetta and M. Guagnelli, *Improved extraction of valence transversity distributions from inclusive dihadron production*, *JHEP* 05 (2015) 123 [1503.03495].
- [15] R. N. Cahn, Azimuthal Dependence in Leptoproduction: A Simple Parton Model Calculation, Phys. Lett. 78B (1978) 269.
- [16] V. Barone, S. Melis and A. Prokudin, *The Boer-Mulders effect in unpolarized SIDIS: An Analysis of the COMPASS and HERMES data on the cos 2 phi asymmetry*, *Phys. Rev.* D81 (2010) 114026 [0912.5194].
- [17] COMPASS collaboration, A. Moretti, Measurement of azimuthal asymmetries in SIDIS on unpolarized protons, in 23rd International Spin Physics Symposium - SPIN2018 - 10-14 September, 2018, Ferrara, Italy, PoS, https://pos.sissa.it/346/.