Quark orbital angular momentum in the proton evaluated using a direct derivative method
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Quark orbital angular momentum (OAM) in the proton can be calculated directly given a Wigner function encoding the simultaneous distribution of quark transverse positions and momenta. This distribution can be accessed via proton matrix elements of a quark bilocal operator (the separation in which is Fourier conjugate to the quark momentum) featuring a momentum transfer (which is Fourier conjugate to the quark position). To generate the weighting by quark transverse position needed to calculate OAM, a derivative with respect to momentum transfer is consequently required. This derivative is evaluated using a direct derivative method, i.e., a method in which the momentum derivative of a correlator is directly sampled in the lattice calculation, as opposed to extracting it a posteriori from the numerical correlator data. The method removes the bias stemming from estimating the derivative a posteriori that was seen to afflict a previous exploratory calculation. Data for Ji OAM generated on a clover ensemble at pion mass $m_\pi = 317$ MeV are seen to agree with the result obtained via the traditional Ji sum rule method. By varying the gauge connection in the quark bilocal operator, also Jaffe-Manohar OAM is extracted, and seen to be enhanced significantly compared to Ji OAM.
1. Introduction

A prominent endeavor in the study of hadron structure is understanding the decomposition of the spin of the proton into the contributions from the spins and orbital angular momenta (OAM) of its quark and gluon constituents. Since gauge invariance prevents a consideration of quark and gluon degrees of freedom in isolation, there is no unique definition of quark OAM; any such definition will contain gluonic effects to a varying degree. Two widely studied decomposition schemes are the ones due to Ji [1] and to Jaffe and Manohar [2].

Recently, a method to evaluate quark OAM in Lattice QCD directly from the simultaneous distribution of quark transverse positions and momenta in a rapidly propagating proton was explored in [3]. This distribution is encoded in generalized transverse momentum-dependent parton distributions (GTMDs) [4–7]; in comparison to standard TMDs, which parametrize forward matrix elements of an appropriate bilocal quark operator, GTMDs additionally include a momentum transfer. The momentum transfer is Fourier conjugate to the quark impact parameter and thus supplements the transverse momentum information with transverse position information, generating, in effect, a Wigner function. The freedom in choosing the gauge connection in the bilocal quark operator allows one to access a continuum of quark OAM definitions, including the ones of Ji and Jaffe-Manohar. In this respect, the GTMD approach extends beyond the standard quark OAM calculation via Ji’s sum rule, which yields specifically Ji OAM.

The present work constitutes a further methodological development of the approach introduced in [3]. The result for Ji OAM obtained using the concrete implementation in [3] deviated significantly from the standard Ji sum rule result, due to a systematic bias inherent in the numerical method. This discrepancy is resolved in the present work, validating the GTMD approach. Furthermore, the present investigation is carried out at a significantly lower pion mass, \( m_\pi = 317 \text{ MeV} \).

2. Quark orbital angular momentum

The quark OAM component \( L^U_3 \) in a longitudinally polarized proton propagating in the 3-direction can be accessed via a GTMD matrix element [5],

\[
L^U_3 = \frac{1}{2P^+ \epsilon_{ij}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_T} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta_T} \langle p', S' = \bar{e}_3 | \psi(-z/2) \gamma^+ U \psi(z/2) | p, S = \bar{e}_3 \rangle_{\mathcal{F}[U]} \bigg|_{z'^+=z^-=0, \Delta_T=0, z_T \rightarrow 0}
\]  

(2.1)

A number of remarks are in order concerning this expression. The initial and final proton momenta are treated symmetrically, \( p = P - \Delta_T/2 \), \( p' = P + \Delta_T/2 \), where the spatial component of \( P \) is in 3-direction and the momentum transfer \( \Delta_T \) is transverse. Since \( \Delta_T \) is Fourier conjugate to the quark impact parameter \( b_T \), evaluating the \( \Delta_T \)-derivative at \( \Delta_T = 0 \) amounts to averaging \( b_T \). On the other hand, the transverse quark operator separation \( z_T \) is Fourier conjugate to the transverse quark momentum \( k_T \); therefore, evaluating the \( z_T \)-derivative at \( z_T = 0 \) amounts to averaging \( k_T \). Here, the limit \( z_T \rightarrow 0 \) must be taken carefully, since it is associated with ultraviolet divergences. In aggregate, thus, (2.1) yields the average \( b_T \times k_T \), i.e., OAM in the 3-direction. Also the longitudinal quark momentum components are integrated over in view of the specification \( z'^+ = z^- = 0 \). In the thus constructed average, quark spin direction is immaterial owing to the use of the Dirac structure \( \gamma^+ \). Finally, (2.1) depends on the gauge link \( U \) connecting the quark operators, along with a soft
factor $\mathcal{F}[U]$ which absorbs divergences associated with the quantum fluctuations of $U$; for present purposes, one may consider $\mathcal{F}[U]$ to include also renormalization factors associated with the quark field operators. This soft factor is the same as for the standard TMD matrix element [8], since (2.1) only differs from the latter in the external state, not the operator. The multiplicative factor $\mathcal{F}[U]$ will be canceled by forming an appropriate ratio below and thus does not need to be specified in more detail. It is in the path of $U$ that different definitions of quark OAM are encoded; (2.1) is a functional of $U$. In the present work, staple-shaped $U \equiv U[-z/2, \eta v - z/2, \eta v + z/2, z/2]$ are considered, where the arguments of $U$ are positions joined by straight Wilson lines. Thus, the arguments of $U$ are regulated in the scheme advanced in [12, 13] by taking $v$ off the light cone into the spacelike region. The matrix element (2.1) determining quark OAM therefore depends on the additional Collins-Soper type parameter $\xi = v \cdot P/(\sqrt{|v|^2} \sqrt{P^2})$. The light-cone limit corresponds to $\xi \rightarrow \infty$.

As in lattice TMD studies [14–16], an appropriate ratio of quantities can be employed to cancel the soft factor $\mathcal{F}[U]$. A suitable quantity for this purpose is the number of valence quarks

\[ n = \frac{1}{2p^+} \langle p', S' = \bar{e}_3 | \psi(-z/2) \gamma^+ U \psi(z/2) | p, S = \bar{e}_3 \rangle \mathcal{F}[U] \bigg|_{z^+ z^- = 0, \Delta_T = 0, z_T = 0} \]  

(2.2)

which only differs from (2.1) by omitting the weighting with $b_T \times k_T$ (in terms of the Fourier conjugate variables), and thus counts quarks. The soft factor $\mathcal{F}[U]$ is even in $z_T$ and thus cancels when forming the ratio $L_U^n / n$. Furthermore, at finite lattice spacing $a$, the derivative with respect to $z_T$ in (2.1) is realized as a finite difference, leading to the renormalized quantity evaluated in practice,

\[ \frac{L_U^n}{n} = \frac{1}{a} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_T} (S(a \hat{e}_i) - S(-a \hat{e}_i)) \bigg|_{z^+ z^- = 0, \Delta_T = 0} \right] \]  

(2.3)

where summation over the transverse indices $i$ and $j$ is implied, and the abbreviation $\Phi(z_T) = \langle p', S' = \bar{e}_3 | \psi(-z/2) \gamma^+ U \psi(z/2) | p, S = \bar{e}_3 \rangle$ has been introduced. On the other hand, (2.3) also calls for a derivative with respect to $\Delta_T$. In the initial exploration [3], this derivative was likewise realized as finite difference. This led to a significant systematic bias in the numerical results because of the substantial increment in $\Delta_T$ employed. The chief advance of the present study is to evaluate this derivative using a direct derivative method, as described below. The present study furthermore is carried out at a lower pion mass, $m_\pi = 317 \text{ MeV}$, than used in [3].
3. Direct derivative method

The principal ingredient needed to extract the matrix element $\Phi(z_T)$ is the following three-point correlator, constructed using proton sources and sinks $N, N$, projected onto proton momentum $P + \Delta_T/2$ at the sink and onto momentum transfer $\Delta_T$ at the operator insertion, as well as onto longitudinal polarization, encoded in $\Gamma_{\text{pol}}$,

$$C_3 = \text{Tr} \left[ \sum_{x,y} e^{-i(P+\Delta_T/2)(x-y)} e^{-i(P-\Delta_T/2)y} \left\langle N(x) \bar{\psi}(y-z/2) \gamma^+ U \psi(y+z/2) N(0) \right\rangle \Gamma_{\text{pol}} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{x,y} e^{-iP \cdot x} \left\langle \left( \gamma \Gamma_{\text{pol}}(y-z/2,x,\Delta_T/2) \right) \gamma \Gamma_{\text{pol}}(0;x) \right\rangle + \gamma^+ U \left( \gamma \Gamma_{\text{pol}}(y+z/2,0,-\Delta_T/2) \right)$$

(3.1)

Here, the second line corresponds to the standard evaluation of the correlator through a sequential point correlator, constructed using proton sources and sinks $N, N$, projected onto proton momentum $P + \Delta_T/2$ at the sink and onto momentum transfer $\Delta_T$ at the operator insertion, as well as onto longitudinal polarization, encoded in $\Gamma_{\text{pol}}$, obtained from the derivative with respect to $\Delta_T$ can be assembled once one has constructed the derivatives of the propagators. The derivative of a modified point-to-point propagator $G$ is discussed in detail in [18]; essentially, the derivative, evaluated at $\Delta_T = 0$, generates a vector current insertion into the propagator. Generalized to smeared-to-point propagators, which contain an additional convolution with a smearing kernel $K$, one obtains a further term from the derivative of $K$ [18],

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} G_{\text{pt-sm}}(s,t,q) \bigg|_{q=0} = \sum_x G(s,x) \left[ -i \sum_y V_j G(x,y) K(y,t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} e^{-iq(x-t)} K(x,t) \bigg|_{q=0} \right]$$

(3.2)

where $V_j$ is the conserved vector current insertion operator. The computation of the derivative of the smearing kernel is discussed in detail in [18]. By constructing and evaluating correlators corresponding directly to derivatives of $C_3$, cf. (3.1), in this fashion, any systematic bias in carrying out the derivative with respect to $\Delta_T$ in (2.3) is avoided.

Figure 1: Ji quark OAM obtained for different $\hat{\xi}$, with extrapolations to large $\hat{\xi}$ (blue squares), compared to the Ji sum rule result (red diamonds). The left panel is taken from [3], the right panel displays the results of the present work.
4. Lattice calculation and results

To perform a lattice calculation of the ratio (2.3), the problem must be boosted into a Lorentz frame in which the TMD operator entering $\Phi(z_T)$ exists at a single time. There is no obstacle to this, given that the directions of $z$ and $v$ are both spacelike, cf. the discussion above preceding eq. (2.2). In the frame preferred for the lattice calculation, $v$ points in the longitudinal 3-direction, whereas $z_T$ is transverse, in the direction orthogonal to the momentum transfer $\Delta_T$. In this frame, $\Phi(z_T)$ can be evaluated using standard Lattice QCD methods. Numerical data for the ratio (2.3) were obtained on a clover fermion ensemble constituted of $32^3 \times 96$ lattices with spacing $a = 0.114$ fm and pion mass $m_\pi = 317$ MeV. The source-sink separation employed was $10a = 1.14$ fm.

The longitudinal proton momentum components $P_3 = 0$, $2\pi/(aL)$, $4\pi/(aL)$ were included in the calculation, where $L = 32$ denotes the spatial lattice extent. This corresponds to Collins-Soper parameters $\hat{\zeta} = 0, 0.315, 0.63$.
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**Figure 2:** Quark OAM as a function of staple length $\eta$, normalized to the modulus of the $\eta = 0$ Ji OAM value. Asymptotic values were extracted by averaging over data at $|\eta|v|/a = \pm 7, \pm 8, \pm 9$.
Figure 3: Torque accumulated by the struck quark, cf. main text, normalized to the modulus of the $\eta = 0$ Ji OAM value.

pointedly. As already noted above, this discrepancy is owed to the biased estimate of the $\Delta T$-derivative in (2.3) via a finite difference employed in [3].

By contrast, the present calculation, cf. the right panel in Fig. 1, treats the $\Delta T$-derivative in (2.3) in an unbiased manner, and is seen to agree well with the Ji sum rule result for all three proton momenta, as well as when extrapolated to large $\hat{\zeta}$ using a constant fit. This verifies that the discrepancy observed in the initial study [3] indeed was due to the biased estimate of the $\Delta T$-derivative. The unbiased treatment via the direct derivative method removes the discrepancy. The evaluation of Ji OAM via the nonlocal GTMD matrix element (2.1) coincides with the evaluation via the local matrix elements encoding the GPD moments entering Ji’s sum rule, validating the GTMD method.

Turning to the transition from Ji OAM to Jaffe-Manohar OAM as a function of the staple length $\eta$, Fig. 2 displays data at the three different available $\hat{\zeta}$. The data are normalized to the magnitude of the $\eta = 0$ Ji value. Starting with Ji quark OAM at $\eta = 0$, the struck quark in a deep inelastic scattering process accumulates torque as it is leaving the proton, to finally end up with Jaffe-Manohar OAM at large $\eta$. The effect is substantial, can be clearly resolved in the data, and is directed such as to enhance the magnitude of OAM compared to the $\eta = 0$ value. It increases as one departs from the $\hat{\zeta} = 0$ limit towards finite proton momenta; no significant difference between the results at the two nonvanishing $\hat{\zeta}$ is seen. The effect is thus likely to survive the extrapolation to large $\hat{\zeta}$. Fig. 3 displays such an extrapolation for the integrated torque $\tau_3 = L_3^{(\eta=\infty)} / n(\eta=\infty) - L_3^{(\eta=0)} / n(\eta=0)$ alone, using the fit ansatz $A + B/\hat{\zeta}$. The extrapolated integrated torque is roughly one third of the originally present Ji quark OAM in the ensemble used here.

5. Conclusion

The main thrust of the work presented here was the further methodological development of the GTMD approach to evaluating quark OAM in the proton in Lattice QCD. Specifically, eq. (2.3) calls for a derivative with respect to momentum transfer $\Delta T$. Employing a direct derivative method to evaluate (2.3) free of systematic bias, the result for Ji quark OAM was seen to agree with the result obtained using the standard Ji sum rule method. This stands in contrast to the initial exploration [3], in which a biased evaluation of the $\Delta T$-derivative led to a significant discrepancy in the Ji quark OAM results. The agreement achieved using the improved methodology validates the GTMD approach. Furthermore, by varying the gauge connection in the quark bilocal operator under consideration, also Jaffe-Manohar OAM was extracted, and seen to be enhanced significantly compared to Ji OAM, by about one third, at the pion mass $m_\pi = 317$ MeV employed in this study. Going forward, the exploration of quark OAM evolution through calculations at varying lattice spacings is of interest, and investigations at lower pion masses must be pursued.
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