Production of J/ψ quarkonia in color evaporation model based on k_T -factorization

Rafał Maciuła^{*†} Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Radzikowskiego 152, PL-31-342 Kraków, Poland *E-mail:* rafal.maciula@ifj.edu.pl

Antoni Szczurek

Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Radzikowskiego 152, PL-31-342 Kraków, Poland E-mail: antoni.szczurek@ifj.edu.pl

We use a new approach to color evaporation model (CEM) for quarkonium production. The production of $c\bar{c}$ pairs is performed within k_T -factorization approach using different unintegrated gluon distribution functions (UGDF) from the literature. We include all recent improvements to color evaporation model. We get poor description of the large transverse momentum distributions of J/ψ with the JH-2013 CCFM-based UGDF. Here explicit inclusion of $2 \rightarrow 3$ processes considerably improves the situation. Similar effects are discussed in the context of the KMR UGDF.

XXVII International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects - DIS2019 8-12 April, 2019 Torino, Italy

*Speaker.

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

[†]This study was partially supported by the Polish National Science Center grant DEC-2014/15/B/ST2/02528 and by the Center for Innovation and Transfer of Natural Sciences and Engineering Knowledge in Rzeszów.

1. Introduction

Inclusive production of quarkonia is one of the most actively studied topics at the LHC. The J/ψ , Ψ' , Υ , Υ' and Υ'' are the usually measured quarkonia. The production of J/ψ is a model case. There was (still is) a disagreement related to the underlying production mechanism. There are essentially two approaches. The first one is the so-called nonrelativistic QCD (NRQCD) approach (see *e.g.* Ref. [1]). There are two versions of such an approach based on collinear or k_T -factorization approaches. It was shown recently that the LHC data can be explained within the NRQCD k_T -factorization approach with a reasonable set of parameters [2].

Another popular approach is color evaporation model (CEM) [3, 4]. In this approach one is using perturbative calculation of $c\bar{c}$. The $c\bar{c}$ -pair by emitting a soft radiation goes to color singlet state of a given spin and parity. The emission is not explicit in this approach and everything is contained in a suitable renormalization of the $c\bar{c}$ cross section when integrating over certain limits in the $c\bar{c}$ invariant mass. It was proposed recently how to extend the original CEM and improved color evaporation model (ICEM) was developed (see *e.g.* Ref. [5]). Usually the computations of the transverse momentum dependence of J/ψ meson production within the color evaporation model are based on collinear approach up to the next-to-leading order (NLO). Within the collinearfactorization approach in the leading-order (LO) approximation transverse momentum of the $c\bar{c}$ pair is equal to zero. In fact, the NLO diagrams for inclusive *c*-quark, such as $gg \rightarrow gc\bar{c}$ or $qg \rightarrow qc\bar{c}$, constitute the leading-order (NNLO) topologies for this quantity are effectively NLO. The situation is different in the k_T -factorization approach where non-zero $c\bar{c}$ -pair transverse momentum can be obtained already at leading-order within the $g^*g^* \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ or $q^*\bar{q}^* \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ mechanisms.

It was shown many times, that the k_T -factorization with the KMR unintegrated distributions turned out to be successful in the description of inclusive production of D mesons [6, 7] as well as for some correlation observables [6, 8] at the LHC. It seems therefore interesting, and valueable, to apply the k_T -factorization approach for $c\bar{c}$ production in the context of the color evaporation model for J/ψ meson production (see also Ref. [9]). In the present paper we wish to study whether such a combination of elements can allow to describe the world data for prompt J/ψ production.

2. Theoretical framework

In the basic step of our approach, i.e. calculation of the cross section for $c\bar{c}$ -pair production, we follow the k_T -factorization approach. This framework was shown many times by different authors to provide very good description of heavy quark production in proton-proton collisions at different energies. In principle, the k_T -factorization approach is known to be a very efficient framework for studies of correlation observables, such as $c\bar{c}$ invariant mass or $c\bar{c}$ -pair transverse momentum distributions [6, 8]. Within the CEM the transverse momentum distribution of J/ψ meson is strictly connected to the $c\bar{c}$ -pair transverse momentum. In the collinear approach, the sole graphs contributing to the production of the $c\bar{c}$ -pair with a finite pair transverse momentum are those from $2 \rightarrow 3$ processes. In the k_T -factorization approach also the contributions from $2 \rightarrow 2$ mechanisms become available. In the present paper, we take into consideration both dominant components: $g^*g^* \to c\bar{c}$ and $g^*g^* \to gc\bar{c}$, shown schematically in the left and right panels of Fig. 1, respectively.



Figure 1: A diagrammatic representation of the $g^*g^* \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ (left) and $g^*g^* \rightarrow gc\bar{c}$ (right) mechanisms under consideration.

According to this approach, the transverse momenta (virtualities) of both partons entering the hard process are taken into account and the sum of transverse momenta of the final c and \bar{c} no longer cancels. Then the differential cross section at the tree-level for the $c\bar{c}$ -pair production reads:

$$\frac{d\sigma(pp \to c\bar{c}X)}{dy_1 dy_2 d^2 p_{1,t} d^2 p_{2,t}} = \int \frac{d^2 k_{1,t}}{\pi} \frac{d^2 k_{2,t}}{\pi} \frac{1}{16\pi^2 (x_1 x_2 s)^2} \overline{|\mathscr{M}_{g^*g^* \to c\bar{c}}^{\text{off}-\text{shell}}|^2} \times \delta^2 \left(\vec{k}_{1,t} + \vec{k}_{2,t} - \vec{p}_{1,t} - \vec{p}_{2,t}\right) \mathscr{F}_g(x_1, k_{1,t}^2) \mathscr{F}_g(x_2, k_{2,t}^2) ,$$
(2.1)

where $\mathscr{F}_g(x_1, k_{1,t}^2)$ and $\mathscr{F}_g(x_2, k_{2,t}^2)$ are the unintegrated gluon distribution functions (UGDFs) for both colliding hadrons and $\mathscr{M}_{g^*g^* \to c\bar{c}}^{\text{off}-\text{shell}}$ is the off-shell matrix element for the hard subprocess. The extra integration is over transverse momenta of the initial partons. The matrix element squared for off-shell gluons is taken here in the analytic form proposed by Catani, Ciafaloni and Hautmann (CCH) [10].

The framework of the k_T -factorization is also used in the calculation of the $g^*g^* \rightarrow gc\bar{c}$ hard subprocess. The off-shell matrix elements for higher final state parton multiplicities at the tree level can be calculated e.g. numerically with the help of methods of numerical BCFW recursion. The calculations are performed with the help of KATIE package [11], which is a complete Monte Carlo parton-level event generator for hadron scattering processes. At tree-level the relevant calculations of the $g^*g^* \rightarrow gc\bar{c}$ contribution can be performed with a special treatment of minijets at low transverse momenta by multiplying standard cross section by a somewhat arbitrary suppression factor $F_{sup}(p_T) = \frac{p_T^2}{((p_T^0)^2 + p_T^2)^2}$. This method for the regularization of the cross section is also applied in the PYTHIA Monte Carlo generator for light quark and gluon $2 \rightarrow 2$ partonic processes. There, the free parameter p_T^0 of the suppression factor is fitted to the experimental data on total cross section. In our case, the default value of the free parameter is $p_T^0 = 1.5$ GeV which is adjusted to the exact NLO calculations of the charm production cross section at the LHC.

In the numerical calculation below we apply the Kimber-Martin-Ryskin (KMR) unintegrated gluon distributions [12] that was found recently to work very well in the case of charm production at the LHC [6]. For completeness of the present studies, we also use the CCFM-based JH-2013 UGDFs [13] that were applied in the same context in Ref. [9].

The KMR prescription for UGDF allows for contributions from the region of $k_t > \mu_F$. In other words, contains additional hard emissions from the gluon ladder. As a consequence, calculating

the $g^*g^* \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ mechanism with the KMR UGDFs one effectively includes part of higher-order diagrams with one and even two associated partons. Other models of UGDFs in the literature usually contain only soft emissions in the ladder and omit the $k_t > \mu_F$ region, which is the case of *e.g.* the JH-2013 UGDFs. Within the latter case, the contributions with associated minijets or jets should be included rather in an explicit way. This difference in the construction of the UGDFs may be crucial especially for correlation observables, such as the pair transverse momentum.

Having calculated differential cross section for $c\bar{c}$ -pair production one can obtain the cross section for J/ψ -meson within the framework of ICEM [5]. The $c\bar{c} \rightarrow J/\psi$ transition can be formally written as follows:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{J/\psi}(P_{J/\psi})}{d^{3}P_{J/\psi}} = F_{J/\psi} \int_{M_{J/\psi}}^{2M_{D}} d^{3}P_{c\bar{c}} \, dM_{c\bar{c}} \frac{d\sigma_{c\bar{c}}(M_{c\bar{c}},P_{c\bar{c}})}{dM_{c\bar{c}}d^{3}P_{c\bar{c}}} \delta^{3}(\vec{P}_{J/\psi} - \frac{M_{J/\psi}}{M_{c\bar{c}}}\vec{P}_{c\bar{c}}), \tag{2.2}$$

where $F_{J/\psi}$ is the probability of the $c\bar{c} \rightarrow J/\psi$ transition which is fitted to the experimental data, $M_{J/\psi}$ (or M_D) is the mass of J/ψ (or D) meson and $M_{c\bar{c}}$ is the invariant mass of the $c\bar{c}$ -system. Using the momentum relation

$$\vec{P}_{J/\psi} = \frac{M_{J/\psi}}{M_{c\bar{c}}} \vec{P}_{c\bar{c}}, \text{ where } \vec{P}_{c\bar{c}} = \vec{p}_c + \vec{p}_{\bar{c}},$$
 (2.3)

one can easily calculate also rapidity of J/ψ -meson. In the last step, in order to compare the theoretical predictions with the prompt J/ψ experimental data we correct the numerical results by the direct-to-prompt ratio equal to 0.62 [?].

3. Numerical results



Figure 2: Distributions in rapidity and transverse momentum of prompt J/ψ for $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV obtained within the k_T -factorization realization of the ICEM for different UGDFs. The LHCb data were measured only on one side of y = 0. We have added them symmetrically on the other side as often done in the literature.

In the left and right panel of Fig. 2 we show the J/ψ -meson rapidity and transverse momentum distributions, respectively, together with the ALICE [15] and the LHCb data [16]. Here we present results for the default KMR-CT14lo (solid lines) and for the JH-2013-set2 (dashed lines) UGDFs. In this calculation the model parameter $P_{J/\psi}$ was fixed to 0.018, and to 0.0065, respectively, in order to describe the LHCb data at small transverse momenta. Within these values, we get a very good description of the experimental rapidity distribution of the prompt J/ψ -meson in the case of the KMR-CT14lo UGDF. In principle, one could fit both rapidity distributions with the same

quality taking different values of $P_{J/\psi}$. However, the calculated transverse momentum distributions differ strongly and the LHCb data prefers the result with the KMR-CT14lo UGDF. For the JH-2013-set2 UGDF, the larger values of the $P_{J/\psi}$ suggested by the rapidity spectrum would lead to a significant overestimation of the small transverse momentum data. The distributions obtained with this UGDF have completely different p_T -slope than the experimental one and falls down much faster. This observation is consistent with the results presented in Ref. [9]. There, this behavior of the quarkonium p_T -distributions was, in our opinion, correctly recognized as a consequence of omitting of the $k_t > \mu$ region in the UGDF. As mentioned in the previous section only the KMR model includes this contribution explicitly. In the case of the JH-2013 UGDF additional emissions of an extra hard gluon can be taken into account by exact calculations of the $g^*g^* \rightarrow gc\bar{c}$ mechanism at the level of matrix elements.



Figure 3: Distributions in rapidity and transverse momentum of prompt J/ψ for $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV obtained within the k_T -factorization realization of the ICEM for different UGDFs. Here, both the $g^*g^* \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ and the $g^*g^* \rightarrow gc\bar{c}$ mechanisms are taken into account.

It was shown in Ref. [6] that the KMR and CCFM-based UGDFs lead to significant differences in correlation observables for $c\bar{c}$ -pair, *e.g.* in $D\bar{D}$ invariant mass and/or azimuthal angle distributions. In the case of the CCFM-based unintegrated gluon distributions, an improved description of correlation observables can be obtained once the higher-order process of gluon-splitting is taken into account in explicit way [17]. The JH-2013 model for UGDFs by its construction does not include the contributions from the $k_t > \mu_F$ region and resume only soft extra emissions. Therefore, working within this model we find necessary to include contributions with additional hard (mini)jets at the level of hard matrix elements. Having regard to the lack of the NLO framework for the k_T -factorization, this can be done by adding together the $g^*g^* \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ and $g^*g^* \rightarrow gc\bar{c}$ mechanisms. At high energies, the 2 \rightarrow 3 channel driven by the gluon-gluon fusion is the dominant one. In Fig. 3 we present numerical results obtained within this procedure. Here, for consistency, the running coupling constant α_S is taken at next-to-leading order, in contrast to the previous calculations. The top and bottom panels correspond to the JH-2013-set2 and the KMR UGDFs, respecitvely. The dotted histograms correspond to the $g^*g^* \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ mechanism and the dashed histograms are for the $g^*g^* \rightarrow gc\bar{c}$. In the case of the KMR predictions, here we impose a special cut $k_t < \mu_F$ to avoid double counting. We see that the presence of the $g^*g^* \rightarrow gc\bar{c}$ mechanism completely changes the picture for the JH-2013-set2 UGDF and allows for a very good description of the experimental data. The proposed procedure does not influence the predictions for the KMR UGDF. Both considered prescriptions (2 \rightarrow 2 with the standard KMR approach and 2 \rightarrow 2 + 2 \rightarrow 3 with the modified KMR approach) provide a description of the experimental data of a similar quality.

4. Conclusions

In the present paper we have discussed how to extend the improved color evaporation model for production of J/ψ meson to be used in the framework of k_T -factorization approach for production of c and \bar{c} pairs. The same was done independently very recently in Ref. [9]. We have included recent developments proposed recently in the literature. In our calculations we have used the KMR unintegrated gluon distributions which allows to relatively well describe the single D-meson distributions as well as meson correlation observables.

The CCFM-based JH-2013 UGDF leads to a rather poor agreement with the LHCb transverse momentum distributions at large p_T . Much better agreement is achieved when including explicitly extra emissions of (mini)jets. In the case of the KMR approach it is sufficient to use the standard k_T -factorization approach and allow for initial gluon k_T larger than factorization scales. A corresponding discussion has been presented.

References

- [1] C. H. Chang, Nucl. Phys. B 172, 425 (1980).
- [2] A. Cisek and A. Szczurek, Phys. Rev. D 97, no. 3, 034035 (2018).
- [3] H. Fritsch, Phys. Lett. 67B, 217 (1977).
- [4] F. Halzen, Phys. Lett. 69B, 105 (1977).
- [5] Y.-Q. Ma and R. Vogt, Phys. Rev. D94, 114029 (2016).
- [6] R. Maciuła and A. Szczurek, Phys. Rev. D 87, no. 9, 094022 (2013).
- [7] R. Maciuła and A. Szczurek, Phys. Rev. D 98, no. 1, 014016 (2018).
- [8] A. Karpishkov, V. Saleev and A. Shipilova, Phys. Rev. D 94, no. 11, 114012 (2016).
- [9] V. Cheung and R. Vogt, arXiv:1808.02909 [hep-ph].
- [10] S. Catani, M. Ciafaloni and F. Hautmann, Nucl. Phys. B 366, 135 (1991).
- [11] A. van Hameren, Comput. Phys. Commun. 224, 371 (2018).
- [12] G. Watt, A. D. Martin and M. G. Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J. C31, 73 (2003).
- [13] F. Hautmann and H. Jung, Nucl. Phys. B 883, 1 (2014).
- [14] R. Aaij et al. [LHCb Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. B 871, 1 (2013).
- [15] B. Abelev et al. [ALICE Collaboration], J. High Energy Phys. 11, 065 (2012).
- [16] R. Aaij et al. [LHCb Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1645 (2011).
- [17] H. Jung, M. Kraemer, A. V. Lipatov and N. P. Zotov, J. High Energy Phys 01, 085 (2011).