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The Telescope Array (TA) cosmic ray observatory in Millard County, Utah has passed 10 years of Fluorescence Detector (FD) operation with its 3 detector sites. These FD sites image the cascade of particles created by a cosmic ray entering the atmosphere. This cascade of particles excites the Nitrogen in the atmosphere which in turn emits UV light which is collected by the FDs. With the increased statistics of over 10 years of data, TA is working on reducing the systematic uncertainties of cosmic ray analyses. To better understand the cosmic ray events and TA's FD responses, we introduce a new parameter, $R_{X_{\text{max}}}$, the distance from the FD site to the event’s $X_{\text{max}}$ along the shower core. Incorporating these methods of reducing systematic uncertainties, TA is working on a 10 year monocular energy spectrum to compare with the spectra from the Pierre Auger cosmic ray observatory and TA’s surface detectors.
1. Introduction

Telescope Array (TA) cosmic ray observatory is the largest cosmic ray detector in the northern hemisphere designed to detect ultra high energy cosmic rays (UHECRs) [1]. It’s predecessor, HiRes, was the first cosmic ray observatory to confirm the existence of the suppression of cosmic rays at the highest level known as the GZK suppression[2] and did so using monocular fluorescence detection. TA has been operating for more than 10 years now and work is being done to update it’s monocular energy spectrum.

2. Telescope Array Cosmic Ray Observatory

TA is located in Millard County, Utah (Figure 1) due to the location’s dry desert air and distance from light pollution sources. TA has 3 FD sites composed of a series of mirrors looking 3 to 33 degrees in elevation and 120 degrees azimuth on the sky to collect fluorescence light produced by Nitrogen excited by the particle cascade in the atmosphere caused by the initial cosmic ray. These FDs sense and track the cosmic ray Extensive Air Shower (EAS) with photomultiplier tubes clustered in a 16x16 grid for each set of mirror segments. TA also has 507 surface detectors arranged in a grid with 1.2 km spacing to detect the footprint of the the cosmic ray EAS.

Figure 1: Satellite map of Telescope Array cosmic ray observatory using images from the Landsat 8 satellite. The satellite image was overlayed with the Fluorescence Detector (FD) sites (blue triangle) with a shaded 30 km field of view (FOV) looking over 507 Surface Detector sites (white circles) and the Central Laser Facility (CLF) (red hexagon).
3. Cosmic Ray Event $R_{X_{\text{max}}}$ Parameter

To better understand the response of the FDs to an event, we introduce a new parameter, $R_{X_{\text{max}}}$. This parameter is the distance from the FD site to the shower’s maximum development along its core as shown in Figure 2. Figure 2 also illustrates the difference between $R_{X_{\text{max}}}$ vs $R_p$, which is a construct of the geometry reconstruction and not connected to the development of the EAS.

![Figure 2: $R_{X_{\text{max}}}$ parameter vs shower impact parameter, $R_p$, for a fluorescence event with the shower development overlaid on top of the shower core.](image)

### 3.1 Calculating $R_{X_{\text{max}}}$

To calculate $R_{X_{\text{max}}}$ we used the equation

$$h(X_{\text{max}}, \theta, h_o) = X^{-1}[X_{\text{top}} - X_{\text{max}} \cos(\theta_{\text{zen}})] - h_o$$

(3.1)

where

$$X_{\text{top}} = 1033.223645 \text{ g/cm}^2,$$

(3.2)

$X^{-1}$ is the inverse function from depth to height calculated numerically using the density of the atmosphere from the US Standard Atmosphere 1976\[5\], $\theta_{\text{zen}}$ is the zenith angle of the shower core, $h_o$ is the FD site’s ground level altitude above sea level. Using $h$ and the zenith and azimuth angles of the shower core, the $x$ and $y$ location of $X_{\text{max}}$ with respect to the shower core impact can be determined. Then the distance between the detector site and $X_{\text{max}}$ can be calculated to ultimately determine $R_{X_{\text{max}}}$.
4. 10 years of Telescope Array Fluorescence Detection

4.1 Operation Time

Figure 3: Accumulated operation time of the Black Rock and Long Ridge FD sites over 10 years of operation.

TA gathered first light in late 2007 and began good quality operation in 2008. Figure 3 shows the accumulated operation time. BR and LR operated at a 11% and 9% duty cycle respectively over those years. LR operates less than BR due to its remoteness and the possibility of needing to access it before sunrise should issues arise. The gray lines represent past FD monocular analyses for 3.5 and 7 years which we compare to this work[3, 4].

4.2 Monocular Reconstruction and Quality Cuts

The first step in monocular reconstruction is determining the plane the shower core makes with the triggered PMTs in the FD. The Shower Detector Plane (SDP) is fit by minimizing the function

\[ \chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{good}}} (\hat{n} \cdot \hat{v}_i)^2 N_{\text{pe},i} \] (4.1)

to determine the best \( \hat{n} \), normal vector of the SDP, with respect to the nominal pointing direction of all the PMTs for the event relative to the to the FD site, \( \hat{v}_i \) weighted by the amount of photoelectrons, \( N_{\text{pe},i} \), each PMT produces. Once the SDP is determined, the direction of the shower core in the SDP is determined by the timing fit of the good PMTs with the function

\[ t_i = t_0 + \frac{R_p}{c} \left[ \frac{\pi - \psi - \alpha_i}{2} \right] \] (4.2)

where \( R_p \) is the impact parameter, \( \psi \) is the inclination angle between the shower core and the detector, and \( \alpha_i \) is the pointing direction of each PMT in the event. Lastly, the calorimetric energy deposited in the atmosphere by the EAS is reconstructed by fitting the shower profile with the Gaisser Hillas function[6],

\[ N_{\text{ch}}(X) = N_{\text{max}} \left( \frac{X - X_0}{X_{\text{max}} - X_0} \right)^{X_{\text{max}} - X_0} \frac{X_{\text{max}} - X}{\lambda} \exp \left( \frac{X_{\text{max}} - X}{\lambda} \right) \] (4.3)
where \( N_{\text{ch}}(X) \) is the number of charged particles that develop at slant depth, \( X \), \( N_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum number of charged particles produced when the slant depth reaches \( X_{\text{max}} \). The Giasser Hillas function is a good phenomenological description of the shower development and the energy deposited in the atmosphere.

To eliminate events that do not reconstruct well, we applied the following quality cuts and kept the events with qualities as listed in the Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: FD monocular event reconstruction quality cuts for geometry and profile fitting.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Event Geometry Cuts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Successful Timing Fit</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good PMT Fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number Good PMTs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPE per Degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psuedo Distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDP Angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_p )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \psi ) fit uncertainty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangent Fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track Length 1 Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track Length 2 Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zenith Angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossing Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Duration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Event Profile Reconstruction Cuts**         |
| **Successful Profile Fit**                   |
| First Depth                                   | \( 150 \) g/cm\(^2\) \( \leq X_1 \leq 1200 \) g/cm\(^2\) |
| Observed Depth Extent                         | \( \Delta X \geq 150 \) g/cm\(^2\) |
| \( X_{\text{max}} \) Bracketing              | \( X_{\text{max}} \) is contained within the FOV |

### 4.3 FD Cosmic Ray Event Distribution

After the events are reconstructed and the cuts are applied, the remaining events produce Figure 4 event distribution. The distribution agrees qualitatively with the shape of TA’s previous monocular analyses [3, 4].
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Figure 4: 10 years of FD reconstructed cosmic ray events observed by BR and LR.

4.3.1 $R_{X_{\text{max}}}$

The distribution in Figure 5 of the newly introduced parameter, $R_{X_{\text{max}}}$, also shows agreement between the two FD sites.

And looking where the shower core impacts vs the location of $X_{\text{max}}$ calculated while reconstructing $R_{X_{\text{max}}}$, we see the effects of the bracketing cut and better understand the sensitivity of the FDs with this new parameter.
4.4 Black Rock and Long Ridge Detectors Coincidence Events

To better understand how well the detectors reconstruct monocular events, coincidence events where both sites reconstructed the same event were found. These coincidence events’ characteristics are compared. The energy distributions in Figure 7a are in good agreement and the energy profile of coincidence in Figure 7b shows further that over the energy range these two sites are in agreement of energy reconstruction.

5. Summary and Plans

With 10 years of data, an update to TA’s FD monocular analysis is underway. Both BR and LR were found to be in agreement with reconstructed events and with the coincidence events seen
by both sites. A new parameter, $R_{X_{\text{max}}}$, was introduced to better understand the detectors sensitivity to the shower location. This work will lead to a updated monocular spectrum result from TA which will incorporate the MD detector and apply weather cuts determined using a neural network[7].
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