Full-sky searches for anisotropies in UHECR arrival directions with the Pierre Auger Observatory and the Telescope Array
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The arrival directions of ultra-high-energy cosmic rays appear to be approximately isotropically distributed over the whole sky, but the last-generation UHECR detector arrays, the Pierre Auger Observatory (Auger) and the Telescope Array (TA), have detected thousands of events, allowing us to study small deviations from isotropy. So far, Auger has detected a large-scale anisotropy consistent with a $\sim 6.5\%$ dipole moment in the distribution of cosmic rays with $E > 8$ EeV, and both collaborations have reported indications for smaller-scale anisotropies at higher energies. On the other hand, neither array has full-sky coverage, the Auger field of view being limited to declinations $\delta < +45^\circ$ and the TA one to $\delta > -16^\circ$. Searches for anisotropies with full-sky coverage thus require combining data from both arrays. A working group with members from both collaborations has been established for this task. Since even a minor systematic error in the energy determinations at either of the arrays could result in a sizeable spurious anisotropy along the north–south axis, we devised a method to cross-calibrate the energy scales of the two experiments with respect to each other by using events in a declination band within the intersection of their fields of view. In this contribution, we report on both updates on blind searches for anisotropies and the first full-sky studies of flux models based on possible local extragalactic sources.
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1. Introduction

1.1 Motivation and recent results

The origin of ultra-high-energy cosmic rays (UHECRs) is still unknown, but at the highest energies their propagation distances are limited to a few tens of megaparsecs by interactions with background photons (fig. 1), and the Universe is not homogeneous at these scales. Therefore, the distribution of their arrival directions can be expected to reflect the large-scale structure of the local Universe. On the other hand, deflections by extragalactic and Galactic magnetic fields (of order $30Z(E/10\,\text{EeV})^{-1}$ degrees for nuclei with energy $E$ and atomic number $Z$) can blur and distort the picture. Anisotropies are expected to be stronger at higher energies, because both the propagation horizon and the magnetic deflections are reduced, but so are the UHECR fluxes and consequently the statistical sensitivity of the available data. Theoretical predictions of the level of anisotropy expected can be found e.g. in Ref. [4] and references therein.

The observed distribution of UHECR arrival directions appears to be relatively close to isotropic: the only deviation observed with high statistical significance so far is a first harmonic in right ascension at energies above $8\,\text{EeV}$ that can be interpreted as a dipole moment of $6.5^{+1.3}_{-0.5}\%$ if higher-order moments are negligible, which required over 30 000 events to detect [5]. The lack of detectable anisotropies at energies from 1 to 3 EeV has been used to set stringent upper limits to the fraction of UHECRs that can be protons of Galactic origin [6, 7]. At higher energies ($E \geq 39\,\text{EeV}$), there is an indication of a correlation with the positions of nearby starburst galaxies [8, 9]. At even higher energies, it has been reported that there is a region of the sky in the northern hemisphere with a deficit of events up to $10^{19.75}\,\text{eV}$ and an excess of events with higher energies [10].

1.2 Datasets used in this work

The largest cosmic ray detector arrays currently in operation are the Pierre Auger Observatory (Auger) [11] and the Telescope Array (TA) [12]. Auger is located in the Mendoza Province,
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Figure 2: Directional exposures of the datasets used in this work. The thresholds were chosen to match the integral fluxes in the shaded band (see Ref. [13] for details).

Agentina, at 35.2° S, 69.2° W, 1400 m above sea level. Its main array consists of 1600 water Cherenkov detectors in a 1.5 km triangular grid (covering about 3000 km² in total) and has been taking data since January 2004. TA is located in Millard County, Utah, USA, at 39.3° N, 112.9° W, 1400 m above sea level. Its main array consists of 507 plastic scintillator detectors in a 1.2 km square grid (covering about 700 km² in total) and has been taking data since May 2008. In this work, we use the same datasets as in Ref. [13], where more details about the detectors, the selection criteria and the cross-calibration procedure can be found.

The lower-energy dataset includes events detected by TA from 2008 May 11 to 2017 May 10 with reconstructed energies \( E \geq 10 \) EeV and zenith angles \( \theta < 55° \), and events detected by Auger from 2004 Jan 01 to 2016 Aug 31 with \( E \geq 8.86 \) EeV and \( \theta < 80° \), with different quality cuts and reconstruction techniques for \( \theta \gtrsim 60° \). The higher-energy dataset includes TA events in the same time period with \( E \geq 53.2 \) EeV and Auger events until 2017 Apr 30 with \( E \geq 40 \) EeV. These energy thresholds were chosen in order to match the computed integral fluxes in the declination band \(-12° \leq \delta \leq +42°\), which is visible to both detectors; the mismatches in nominal energies are within the stated systematic uncertainties on the energy scales (±14% for Auger, ±21% for TA) and in good agreement with those found by the Auger–TA energy spectrum working group [14] only using declinations \( \delta \leq +24.8° \) and Auger events with \( \theta < 60° \). The detectors are assumed to be fully efficient in these energy ranges, i.e. their exposures are approximated as proportional to the geometrical exposures, as shown in fig. 2.

In total, we have about 31 000 events in the lower-energy dataset and 969 events in the higher-energy dataset.

2. Results

2.1 Search for full-sky large-scale anisotropies at relatively low energies

We used our lower-energy dataset to compute estimators of the dipole moment components which are unbiased regardless of any higher multipole moments, as explained in Ref. [13]. This procedure would not have been possible using only one detector, because it requires non-vanishing directional exposure over the whole celestial sphere. Our results are shown in fig. 3. Previous results had been obtained using Auger data only [5] with a slightly lower energy threshold, with which the current results are in good agreement, but the latter have substantially smaller uncertainties than the former when allowing for possible non-vanishing quadrupole moments, especially on the
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\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fullsky_uhecr_anisotropy_searches_with_auger_and_ta}
\caption{Left: Flux of cosmic rays from the lower-energy dataset smoothed in 45°-radius circular windows. Right: Corresponding components of the dipolar moment in equatorial coordinates. The two uncertainties on results from this work are the statistical one and that resulting from the energy scale cross-calibration, respectively. The two Auger-only reconstructions assumed the anisotropy to be purely dipolar (\( \ell_{\text{max}} = 1 \)) or dipolar and quadrupolar (\( \ell_{\text{max}} = 2 \)).}
\end{figure}

north–south component. The uncertainty on the north–south dipole component in the current results is mostly due to that on the cross-calibration of energy scales. The dipole moment we reconstructed corresponds to an angular power spectrum coefficient \( C_1 = 3.5 \times 10^{-3} \) (normalized to \( C_0 = 4\pi \)), only exceeded in 1.3% of isotropic simulations.\(^1\)

The flux pattern visible in fig. 3 does not look purely dipolar: a large brightest region is clearly visible in the south-east, but in the north-west rather than just a large darkest region there also seems to be a second relatively bright region. This may interpreted as an indication for a possible quadrupole moment: the reconstructed angular power spectrum coefficient \( C_2 = 1.3 \times 10^{-3} \) was only exceeded in 5.5% of isotropic simulations.\(^2\) In the future we will try to confirm or refute the presence of a quadrupolar pattern using more data.

2.2 Blind search for medium-scale anisotropies at the highest energies

We computed the unbiased estimator of the integral flux (see Ref. [13] for details) above the higher energy thresholds in circular windows of 15°, 20°, 25°, 30°, and 35° radius, and the corresponding local Li–Ma significances against the isotropic null hypothesis. The results with 20°-radius windows are shown in fig. 4. The two most significant excesses are found in a 20°-radius window around \((\alpha = 12^h 50^m, \delta = -50^\circ)\) with 4.7σ local significance, and in a 15°-radius window around \((9^h 30^m, +54^\circ)\) with 4.2σ local significance. Taking into account the scan over window sizes and positions, these correspond to a 2.2σ and a 1.5σ post-trial significance respectively.

2.3 Search for excesses along the supergalactic plane or the Local Sheet

It has been pointed out that all the three most visible excesses in our higher-energy data (fig. 4) are relatively close to the supergalactic plane, a well-known structure along which galaxy clusters

\(^1\) In each simulation, the exposure ratio between the experiments was allowed to fluctuate according to the uncertainties in the cross-calibration of energy scales. When keeping the exposure ratio fixed, only 0.05% of isotropic simulations had a higher \( C_1 \) than the data.

\(^2\) 4.2% when keeping the exposure ratio fixed (see previous footnote).
within several tens of Mpc of us are preferentially located, recognized by G. de Vaucouleurs in 1953, as well as to the Local Sheet \[15\], a more recently identified planar structure including nearly all galaxies within about 7 Mpc of us (which include the sources of most of the anisotropic UHECR flux in the best-fit models of Ref. \[8\]), composed by twelve large galaxies in a ring (called Council of Giants) and two more near its center (the Milky Way and M31) plus their satellites, and tilted by only 8° with respect to the larger supergalactic plane.

To assess the statistical significance of the alignment of excesses along the supergalactic plane or the Local Sheet, we counted events in bands centered on the supergalactic plane or the Local Sheet of \(\pm 5^\circ\), \(\pm 6^\circ\), \ldots and \(\pm 35^\circ\) angular half-widths, and compared the results to the expectations assuming an isotropic flux given the integrated directional exposure of the detectors in each band and a fixed total number of events in the full sky, computing local Li–Ma significances. The results are shown in fig. 5. The highest local significance we find is \(+3.6\sigma\), in a band of \(\pm 24^\circ\) around the Local Sheet, in which 455 events are observed while 400 \(\pm 15\) are expected, whereas the highest significance in a band centered on the supergalactic plane is \(+3.0\sigma\), with \(20^\circ\) half-width, in which 380 events are observed while 335 \(\pm 15\) are expected. We found the uncertainty in the energy cross-calibration to have a negligible impact on this analysis, its contribution to the uncertainties in \(\Phi_{\text{in}}/\Phi_{\text{out}}\) being around two orders of magnitude smaller than the statistical uncertainties.

We performed the same scan over 31 band widths and two planes on over \(2 \times 10^8\) Monte Carlo datasets generated assuming an isotropic flux. As shown in fig. 6, we found a local significance greater than \(3.6\sigma\) in \(p = 0.3\%\) of the simulations, corresponding to a \(2.8\sigma\) global significance.

The events detected by Auger until 2014 March 31 (62.1% of the current dataset) were used in Ref. \[16\] for a similar study, in which the energy threshold was also allowed to vary between 40 EeV and 80 EeV in 1 EeV steps. If the same energy scan were performed on the current data without finding any higher local significance, the global \(p\)-value would increase to approximately 2%. Other previous searches for supergalactic excesses in UHECR data include Refs. \[17, 18, 19\].

3. Possible future analyses

In the present analysis, no attempt was made to explicitly use the information we have about
Figure 5: Top: Number of events in our higher-energy dataset within $5^\circ, 6^\circ, \ldots, 35^\circ$, of the supergalactic plane (left) or Local Sheet (right), compared to isotropic expectations. Middle: Ratio between the reconstructed fluxes inside and outside each band. Bottom: Local Li–Ma significance of excesses in each band.

Figure 6: Cumulative distribution function (cdf) of best pre-trial significances in isotropic simulations and corresponding post-trial significances. The statistical penalty factor for using both the supergalactic plane and the Local Sheet over only using one is considerably less than 2, because the two planes are only tilted by $8^\circ$ with respect to each other so the bands largely overlap.

positions of individual galaxies, energy losses in UHECR propagation and the associated production of secondary particles, or deflections in extragalactic and Galactic magnetic fields. Doing so would allow us to define more physically motivated models of intermediate-scale anisotropies in the UHECR flux to be tested with our data. As for large-scale anisotropies, in Ref. [4] it is predicted that our worst-case sensitivity to them would be maximized by using an energy threshold intermediate between the two used in the present work.

In addition to this, upgrades of both the Pierre Auger Observatory and the Telescope Array are currently being deployed. The former [20] will provide us with mass-sensitive observables on an event-by-event basis, allowing us to collect event samples enriched in protons (which are guaranteed to have undergone relatively small magnetic deflections), or in medium-light nuclei (which are guaranteed to have originated within relatively short distances). The latter [21] will provide us with
data with which to study anisotropies in the northern hemisphere at the highest energies with greatly enlarged statistics.
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