Large-scale Cosmic Ray Anisotropy with Tibet air shower array
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The large-scale sidereal anisotropy of cosmic rays is observed by Tibet air shower array in the northern hemisphere. Energy dependence of the cosmic-ray anisotropy from 300 TeV to 1 PeV is analysed. We find that the anisotropy maps above 300 TeV are distinct from that at the multi-TeV energy band. The spatial distribution of the GCR intensity of an excess and a deficit is observed in the 1 PeV anisotropy map. All these results may further our understanding of the origin and propagation of GCRs.
1. Introduction

The large-scale anisotropy of galactic cosmic rays (GCR) has been meticulously measured by underground and surface array experiments [1–9] in a wide energy range from sub TeV to a few PeV with the amplitude of the order of $10^{-4}$–$10^{-3}$. Investigation of energy dependence of the CR anisotropy shows its amplitude increases with energy up to ten TeV, and decrease at higher energies up to a few hundreds of TeV. Thanks to the two-dimensional high-precision analysis, a major change is found in the morphology of the anisotropy at the energy range of 100–300 TeV, indicating that the origin of the GCR anisotropy maybe different between multi-TeV and hundreds of TeV.

In fact, the origin of GCR anisotropy is still unknown. The standard diffusive propagation model predicts one order of magnitude higher of the anisotropies compared with the measurements [10]; though this model can be effective in reproducing the spectrum and composition [11, 12]. The predicted amplitude and the phase of the CR anisotropy are different from observations at multi-TeV energy. Some local conditions near the solar vicinity are introduced to reduce the predicted amplitude and to explain the phase, such as the local interstellar medium [13], local interstellar magnetic field [14], and the nearby source [15]. Noted that the predicted direction with enhanced CR intensity by the standard diffusion model is in the direction of the galactic center, due to the positional distribution of the GCR sources. This direction is contained in the relative excess region of the CR anisotropy observed above 300 TeV, which implies that the local environment may not dominate the anisotropy at around PeV energy. Therefore, the study of the CR anisotropy at PeV energy would be more important to obtain an improved understanding of the diffusion processes of GCR at energies close to the knee.

There have been only a few attempts to measure the CR anisotropy around knee energy, due to the rather low flux of CR at this energy. In the northern hemisphere, the EAS-TOP experiment presented the first detection of CR anisotropy at ~200 TeV but with limited statistics. Their updated results indicated some hints of increasing amplitude and change of phase at about a few hundreds of TeV [6]. The Tibet Air Shower (AS) array collaboration presented the first two-dimensional anisotropy measurements from several TeV to several hundred TeV [1]. Their recent results revealed an excess region (with $7.2\sigma$ pre-trial) and a deficit region ($-5.8\sigma$ pre-trial) in their 300 TeV anisotropy map [2]. Hints of the existence of anisotropy at PeV were discussed as well in their analysis. In the southern hemisphere, the IceCube collaboration detected a distinct deficit with a post-trial significance of $-6.3\sigma$ at 400 TeV [16], which was then confirmed by IceTop. The Ice-Top experiment further uncovered the existence of anisotropy at energies up to 1 PeV [8].

This paper reports updated results on the CR anisotropy observed by Tibet air shower array at around PeV energy. It is based on 0.35 billion cosmic-ray events with energy above 300 TeV recorded from 1997 May and 2017 May. The large size of the data set allows for a detailed study of the two-dimensional anisotropy.

2. Experiment and analysis

The Tibet AS array is located at Yangbajing in Tibet, China (90.522°E, 30.102°N, 4300 m above sea level, 606 g·cm$^{-2}$ atmospheric depth). The surface array consists of plastic scintillation detectors with an area of 0.5 m$^2$ each. The Tibet I array was constructed in 1990, with 65 plastic
scintillation detectors placed on grids with 15 m spacing. It was later upgraded to 221 detectors, covering 36,900 m², known as the Tibet II array. It began operation in 1995, with a trigger rate of \( \approx 230 \text{ Hz} \). The Tibet II was later upgraded to the current Tibet III, a denser array with 7.5 m grids, from 1999 to 2010 [17]. The trigger rate is \( \approx 1700 \text{ Hz} \) for the Tibet III array. From 2010, a water-Cherenkov-type muon detector array was deployed underneath the surface scintillation array and started operation in 2014.

To obtain a long-term stable performance of the Tibet array, detectors noted as the Tibet II array are used in reconstruction in this paper throughout the observation period from 1995 October to 2017 May, with a few years (2011-2013) absent due to the upgrading. A standard shower reconstruction procedure is applied. Events are selected by imposing the following criteria consistent with previously work [2]:

1. Four or more detectors should be fired; each fired detector should have more than 0.6 particle recorded;
2. The reconstructed shower core should be located inside the array;
3. Zenith angle \( \theta < 60^\circ \).

The energy of the primary CR is determined by two parameters: \( \sum \rho_{FT} \) (sum of the number of particles per m² counted by all the fast-timing detector) and the zenith angle \( \theta \). \( \sum \rho_{FT} \) indicates the deposited energy in the array while \( \theta \) represents the slant atmospheric depth where the CR travels through. Based on \( \sum \rho_{FT} \) and sec \( \theta \), a two-dimensional selection criterion has been developed for energy estimation by MC simulation. Event numbers in two energy bands are \( 3.6 \times 10^8 \) (300 TeV), and \( 7.8 \times 10^7 \) (1 PeV). Energy resolutions of each energy bands are estimated by MC simulation, details of which can refer to our previously work [2].

We analyze the data by employing the all-distance equi-zenith method [1, 18], which has been demonstrated to be sensitive for the observation of the large-scale anisotropy. Details of this method can be found in [18]. One-dimensional (1D) profile of the anisotropy is obtained by projecting the two-dimensional (2D) anisotropy map onto the right ascension (R.A.) axis, through averaging the relative intensities in all declinations. One-dimensional (1D) profile of the anisotropy can be fitted by the first-order harmonic function in the form of

\[
R(\alpha) = 1 + A_1 \cos(\alpha - \phi_1),
\]

where \( R(\alpha) \) denotes the relative intensity of CRs at R.A. \( \alpha \); \( A_1 \) is the amplitude of the first-order harmonics; \( \phi_1 \) is the phase at which \( R(\alpha) \) reaches its maximum.

3. Results

Figure 1(a) shows the significance map for the 300 TeV energy, while Figure 1(b) shows the significance map for the 1 PeV energy. The smoothing is then applied to the significance sky maps to improve the sensitivity for large features. The smoothing search applied in this analysis is from 25° to 45°. In this work, 40° is the optimized smooth radius for the 300 TeV data set. The maximum significant features in the 300 TeV map are found with an excess at \( (\alpha = 255^\circ, \delta = 13^\circ) \) with a significance value of 9.7\( \sigma \), and a deficit at \( (\alpha = 71.7^\circ, \delta = 3^\circ) \) with a significance value of \( -6.7\sigma \). The trial factor is estimated by assuming that all scans give statistically independent results. Because the optimization is performed over about \( 60 \times 180 \) cells and 20 different smoothing radii, the total trial factor is expected to be about \( 2.16 \times 10^5 \). The post-trial significance is \( \sim 8.3\sigma \) and \( \sim -4.6\sigma \) for the deficit regions, respectively. For the 1 PeV map, same
smoothing radius is employed. An excess centers at \((\alpha = 264^\circ, \delta = -26^\circ)\) with a significance of \(6.3\sigma\) and a deficit centers at \((\alpha = 91^\circ, \delta = -27^\circ)\) with a significance of \(-5.6\sigma\).

Figure 1. Panel (a), (b) show the pre-trial significance map for 300 TeV and 1 PeV energy bands plotted with 40\(^\circ\) smoothing, respectively.

Figure 2. Panel (a), (b) show the relative intensity map for 300 TeV and 1 PeV energy bands plotted with 40\(^\circ\) smoothing, respectively.

Figure 2 (a) and (b) present the relative intensity map for the 300 TeV and 1 PeV energy bands, respectively. Because the acceptance of the detector decreases with the rise of the zenith angle, the relative intensity map is similar but not completely the same as the significance map. In figure 2, both the excess and deficit regions are consistent with that in the significance map for both energy bands.

Figure 3 (a) and (b) are the 1D projections of the relative intensity onto the R.A. before smoothing. The 1D projection can be fitted with the first-order harmonic function as shown in Equation 1. The blue curve shows the best-fitting result, with the fitting parameters indicated in the figure. The significance of non-zero amplitude is 6.3\(\sigma\) for 300 TeV data set, while 3.8\(\sigma\) for 1 PeV. The \(\chi^2\) value is 34.9/16 and 9.7/16 for above data sets, respectively. It means that the first-order harmonic function can describe the 1D projected profile well, indicating that a dipole structure is discovered in the CR anisotropy at energy above 300 TeV.
Table 1. Fitted results by the first-order harmonic function in local sidereal time and local solar time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy (TeV)</th>
<th>$A_{\text{std}}$ (10^{-4})</th>
<th>$\phi_{\text{std}}$ [\textdegree]</th>
<th>$\chi^2_{\text{std}}/ndf$</th>
<th>$A_{\text{sol}}$ (10^{-4})</th>
<th>$\phi_{\text{sol}}$ [\textdegree]</th>
<th>$\chi^2_{\text{sol}}/ndf$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>7.6±1.2</td>
<td>264.2±9.4</td>
<td>34.9/16</td>
<td>3.7±1.2</td>
<td>98.1±20.2</td>
<td>10.4/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>9.6±2.5</td>
<td>281.1±14.9</td>
<td>9.7/16</td>
<td>8.8±2.5</td>
<td>97.7±16.1</td>
<td>10.3/16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Fitted results by the first-order harmonic function in ext-sidereal time and anti-sidereal time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy (TeV)</th>
<th>$A_{\text{ext}}$ (10^{-4})</th>
<th>$\phi_{\text{ext}}$ [\textdegree]</th>
<th>$\chi^2_{\text{ext}}/ndf$</th>
<th>$A_{\text{anti}}$ (10^{-4})</th>
<th>$\phi_{\text{anti}}$ [\textdegree]</th>
<th>$\chi^2_{\text{anti}}/ndf$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.2±1.2</td>
<td>202.5±31.8</td>
<td>22.6/16</td>
<td>2.6±1.2</td>
<td>19.6±27.7</td>
<td>13.2/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.1±2.5</td>
<td>338.8±135.8</td>
<td>7.6/16</td>
<td>1.1±2.5</td>
<td>142.4±124.9</td>
<td>11.8/16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to assess the systematic uncertainties of the sidereal anisotropy caused by the seasonal variation of the detectors, identical analyses are performed in local solar time, anti-sidereal time and extended-sidereal time for both energy bands. Figure 4 shows daily variations in three frames of time and the best-fit parameters are also shown in Table 1 and 2. The amplitude and phase in the solar time are consistent with the expectation from the CG effect due to the terrestrial orbital motion around the Sun, where $A_{\text{sol, CG}}$ is 0.047\% and $\phi_{\text{sol, CG}}$ is at 6.0 hours. In both data sets, no significant anisotropy is observed in anti-sidereal time and ext-sidereal time, indicating systematic uncertainties is well controlled.

4. Conclusion
In this paper, we present the large-scale CR sidereal anisotropy at energy of about 300 TeV and 1 PeV, based on $3.5 \times 10^8$ CR events recorded by Tibet AS array from 1995 October to 2017 May. Energy evolution of the large-scale sidereal anisotropy has been obtained from 300 TeV to 1 PeV. No major change is found in the morphology of the anisotropy at the energy range from 300 TeV to 1 PeV. The sidereal anisotropy observed at 1 PeV reveals a significant relative excess centered at $(\alpha = 264^\circ, \delta = -26^\circ)$ with a significance of $6.3\sigma$ and a deficit centered at $(\alpha = 91^\circ, \delta = -27^\circ)$ with a significance of $-5.6\sigma$. In addition, positions of these hot spots are different from that appeared in the 300 TeV map.

The relative intensity as a function of right ascension is fitted with the first-order harmonic function. The amplitudes and phases at 300 TeV and 1 PeV are summarized in Table 1. The statistic is still not enough to recognize the difference between two energy bands on values of the phase and amplitude. The solar anisotropy expected from the Earth’s revolution around the Sun is analyzed, the amplitude and phase of which agree with the expectation in both energy bands. Moreover, the anisotropy in anti-sidereal and extended-sidereal time are also checked, where no significant signal is observed. Observations have ensured the reliability of the sidereal anisotropy measurement for both 300 TeV and 1 PeV data sets.

5. Discussion

The observed sidereal anisotropy around 1 PeV shows substantial differences with respect to that observed below 100 TeV, however, the origin of the PeV CR anisotropy is still unknown. If there were a relative motion of the observer with respect to the cosmic-ray plasma, then this would produce the Compton-Getting (CG) effect [19]. This effect expected from the orbital motion of the solar system around the galactic center is not observed [1, 4, 16]. The black dash lines in Figure 3 show the expected 1D projection by this effect. On the other hand, the reference frame of the GCR is unknown; the CG effect could be one possible contribution. Moreover, the amplitude of the anisotropy caused by CG effect would be energy independent but sensitivity to the index of the CR energy spectrum.

As the significant excess region at energy above 300 TeV is from the direction of the Galactic center, it might be a natural propagation consequence of the GCRs. Because the GCR sources are mainly located at the disk, the standard CR diffusion model predicts a dipole anisotropy in the Galactic center direction. In this case, the dependence of anisotropy amplitude over primary energy would be proportional to the diffusion coefficient D, where D is assumed to increase with magnetic rigidity ($D \propto R^\delta$).

The study of the rigidity evolution of the anisotropy at ~1 PeV can therefore provide a significant test on the diffusion models and an ability for the discrimination between above two possible explanations of the CR anisotropy. This challenges CR experiments to upgrade their detectors to achieve the ability of mass discrimination. Additionally, the CR anisotropy above PeV may be possibly associated with the knee of GCRs, measurement of which would further our understanding of the origin and propagation of GCRs.
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