Lattice investigation of the phase diagram of the 1+1 dimensional Gross-Neveu model at finite number of fermion flavors
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We explore the phase structure of the 1+1 dimensional Gross-Neveu model at finite number of fermion flavors using lattice field theory. Besides a chirally symmetric phase and a homogeneously broken phase we find evidence for the existence of an inhomogeneous phase, where the condensate is a spatially oscillating function. Our numerical results include a crude $\mu$-$T$ phase diagram.
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1. Introduction, the Gross-Neveu model

Exploring the QCD phase diagram using lattice field theory is currently limited to rather small chemical potential due to the sign problem (see e.g. [1, 2]). Thus, it is of interest to study the phase structure of simpler models, which have similarities to QCD at least in certain aspects. A common example is the Gross-Neveu (GN) model [3].

The Euclidean action and the partition function of the GN model in 1+1 spacetime dimensions are

\[ S = \int d^2x \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N_f} \bar{\psi}_n \left( \gamma_0 (\partial_0 + \mu) + \gamma_1 \partial_1 \right) \psi_n - \frac{g^2}{2} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N_f} \bar{\psi}_n \psi_n \right)^2 \right), \quad Z = \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \mathcal{D}\psi e^{-S}, \tag{1.1} \]

where \( \psi_n \) denotes a fermionic field with flavor index \( n = 1, \ldots, N_f \), \( \mu \) is the chemical potential and \( g \) is the coupling constant. A possible irreducible representation for the \( \gamma \) matrices, which we use throughout this work, is \( \gamma_0 = \sigma_1 \) and \( \gamma_1 = \sigma_2 \). To get rid of the four-fermion interaction, one typically introduces a real scalar field \( \sigma \). Integrating over the fermionic fields then leads to the equivalent effective action and partition function

\[ S_{\text{eff}} = N_f \left( \frac{1}{2\lambda} \int d^2x \sigma^2 - \ln \left( \det \left( \left( \partial_0 + \mu \right) \bar{\psi}_n \psi_n + \gamma_1 \partial_1 \right) \right) \right), \quad Z = \int \mathcal{D}\sigma e^{-S_{\text{eff}}}, \tag{1.2} \]

where \( \lambda = N_f g^2 \).

The effective action \( S_{\text{eff}} \) has a discrete symmetry, \( S_{\text{eff}}[\sigma] = S_{\text{eff}}[-\sigma] \). One can show \( \langle \sigma \rangle \propto \langle \sum_{n=1}^{N_f} \bar{\psi}_n \psi_n \rangle \), where \( \langle \ldots \rangle \) denotes the path integral expectation value. Thus, a non-vanishing \( \langle \sigma \rangle \) would indicate spontaneous breaking of the symmetry \( \psi_n \rightarrow \sigma_3 \psi_n \). Since \( \sigma_3 \) anticommutes with \( \gamma_0 \) and \( \gamma_1 \), it is appropriate to define \( \gamma_5 = \sigma_3 \) and to interpret the symmetry \( \psi_n \rightarrow \sigma_3 \psi_n = \gamma_5 \psi_n \) as discrete chiral symmetry and \( \langle \sigma \rangle \) as corresponding order parameter.

2. The Gross-Neveu model for \( N_f \rightarrow \infty \)

In the limit \( N_f \rightarrow \infty \) the phase diagram of the GN model in 1+1 spacetime dimensions was calculated analytically [4, 5], and with lattice field theory and related numerical methods [6, 7, 8]. There are three phases as shown in Figure 1:

- a homogeneously broken phase \( \langle \sigma(x) \rangle = \text{constant} \neq 0 \),
- a symmetric phase \( \langle \sigma(x) \rangle = 0 \),
- an inhomogeneous phase \( \langle \sigma(x) \rangle = f(x) \), where \( f(x) \) is an oscillating periodic function of the spatial coordinate).

In the inhomogeneous phase close to the phase boundary to the homogeneously broken phase \( f(x) \) has a kink-antikink shape. For increasing chemical potential the wavelength of \( f(x) \) and its amplitude decrease and the shape is similar to a sin-function. For a recent review on inhomogeneous condensates see ref. [9].
3. The Gross-Neveu model at finite $N_f$

In this work we performed lattice field simulation with chiral fermions to explore the phase structure of the GN model in 1+1 spacetime dimensions at finite $N_f$ with particular focus on the possible existence of an inhomogeneous phase (see also ref. [10] for results obtained at an early stage of this project). We simulated a large variety of ensembles for various values of $N_f$, $\mu$, the number of lattice sites $N_t \times N_s$ and the lattice spacing $a$ (the latter is a function of the coupling constant $\lambda$ and can be adjusted, by tuning $\lambda$ appropriately). The temperature is proportional to the inverse temporal extent of the lattice, $T = 1/N_t a$, and the spatial extent of the lattice is denoted by $L = N_s a$. We employed two different fermion discretizations, naive fermions and SLAC fermions (see e.g. [11]), which provides a powerful cross-check of our numerical results.

We set the scale via the absolute value of the chiral condensate at $\mu = 0$ and $T = 0$, $\sigma_0 = \langle |\sigma| \rangle|_{\mu=0,T=0}$. Dimensionful quantities are either expressed in units of the lattice spacing $a = 1$ (e.g. $x \equiv x/a$, $\mu \equiv \mu a$, $T \equiv Ta$) or in units of $\sigma_0$ (e.g. $x \sigma_0$, $\mu/\sigma_0$, $T/\sigma_0$).

3.1 The aligned condensate $\Sigma(x)$

The expectation value $\langle \sigma(x) \rangle$ is not a suitable quantity, to reliably detect a possibly existing inhomogeneous phase. This is so, because field configurations might exhibit similar periodic oscillations, but could be spatially shifted relative to each other. Thus, more appropriate is the “aligned condensate” $\Sigma(x)$, where field configurations are matched by spatial translations $x \rightarrow x - \Delta x$, before the ensemble average is computed,

$$\Sigma(x) = \frac{1}{N_t} \sum_{t=0}^{N_t} \langle \sigma(t,x-\Delta x) \rangle. (3.1)$$

The technical aspects of the matching of field configurations, i.e. the determination of $\Delta x$ for each field configuration, will be discussed in detail in an upcoming publication.

Exemplary results for $\Sigma(x)$ for $N_f = 8$, $\mu/\sigma_0 \in \{0.0, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8\}$ and low temperature $T/\sigma_0 = 0.031$ are presented in Figure 2. These results are quite similar to $\langle \sigma(x) \rangle$ for $N_f \rightarrow \infty$ as discussed in section 2. The aligned condensate $\Sigma(x)$ is a non-vanishing constant for $\mu = 0$. For
larger chemical potential $\Sigma(x)$ starts to oscillate, which signals the existence of an inhomogeneous phase. When increasing $\mu$ even further, both the wavelength and the amplitude of $\Sigma(x)$ become smaller.

**Figure 2:** Aligned condensate $\Sigma(x)$ for $N_f = 8$, $\mu/\sigma_0 \in \{0.0, 0.5, 0.7, 1.5\}$ and low temperature $T/\sigma_0 = 0.031$ (SLAC fermions, $\lambda = 5.20$, $N_s = 127$, $\sigma_0 = 0.408$).

### 3.2 The correlation function $C(x)$

Further quantities to distinguish a possibly existing inhomogeneous phase from a homogeneously broken phase or a symmetric phase is the correlation function

$$C(x) = \frac{1}{N_l N_s} \sum_{t,y} \langle \sigma(t,y + x) \sigma(t,y) \rangle$$

(3.2)

and its Fourier transform

$$\tilde{C}(k) = \frac{1}{N_s} \sum_x \exp \left( -\frac{2\pi i k x}{N_s} \right) C(x) , \quad k \in \left\{ -\frac{N_s}{2}, \ldots, +\frac{N_s}{2} - 1 \right\}.$$ 

(3.3)

Their expected behavior is as follows:

- **Inside a homogeneously broken phase:**
  $C(x)$ will approach a constant $\approx \sigma_0^2$ exponentially fast. $\tilde{C}(k)$ will exhibit a pronounced peak around $k = 0$.

- **Inside a symmetric phase:**
  $C(x)$ will approach zero exponentially fast. $\tilde{C}(k)$ for $k \neq 0$ is similar as in a homogeneously broken phase, however, without a peak around $k = 0$. 


Inside an inhomogeneous phase:

$C(x)$ will oscillate with constant, non-vanishing amplitude for large separations $x$. $\tilde{C}(k)$ will exhibit pronounced peaks around $\pm k_{\text{peak}}$. The wavelength of the oscillations of $C(x)$ is approximately $N_s/k_{\text{peak}}$.

Exemplary results for $C(x)$ and $\tilde{C}(k)$ for $N_f = 8$ are presented in Figure 3 and agree with this expectation. Particularly interesting are the two plots at the bottom showing $C(x)$ and $\tilde{C}(k)$ at large chemical potential $\mu/\sigma_0 \in \{0.5, 0.7, 1.0\}$ and low temperature $T/\sigma_0 = 0.078$ inside an inhomogeneous phase. For increasing $\mu$, both the wavelength and the amplitude become smaller, as in the limit $N_f \to \infty$ (see section 2).

**Figure 3:** Correlation functions $C(x)$ and $\tilde{C}(k)$ for $N_f = 8$ (Naive fermions, $\lambda = 5.28$, $N_s = 128$, $\sigma_0 = 0.2015$). (a) Inside a homogeneously broken phase, $\mu/\sigma_0 = 0.0$, $T/\sigma_0 = 0.062$. (b) Inside a symmetric phase, $\mu/\sigma_0 = 0.0$, $T/\sigma_0 = 0.621$. (c) Inside an inhomogeneous phase, $\mu/\sigma_0 \in \{0.5, 0.7, 1.0\}$, $T/\sigma_0 = 0.078$. $\tilde{C}(k)$ is not plotted in the whole range of $k$. 
From Figure 3 one can see

\[
\min_x C(x) \begin{cases} > 0 \quad \text{inside a homogeneously broken phase} \\
\approx 0 \quad \text{inside a symmetric phase} \\
< 0 \quad \text{inside an inhomogeneous phase}
\end{cases}
\]  \tag{3.4}

Thus, the minimum of the correlation function \(C(x)\) is suited to plot a crude phase diagram, as e.g. shown in Figure 4 for \(N_f = 8\). This phase diagram is qualitatively similar to the \(N_f \to \infty\) phase diagram in Figure 1 (blue = homogeneously broken phase, green = symmetric phase, red = inhomogeneous phase). The homogeneously broken phase and the inhomogeneous phase are, however, somewhat smaller for finite \(N_f\) than for \(N_f \to \infty\).

![Figure 4: Phase diagram via \(\min_x C(x)\) for \(N_f = 8\) (Naive fermions, \(\lambda = 4.84, N_s = 128, \sigma_0 = 0.253\)).](image)

All results shown in this section were obtained from lattices with either \(N_s = 127\) or \(N_s = 128\) sites in spatial direction. To investigate and exclude finite volume corrections, we are currently in the process of performing identical simulations with lattices of even larger spatial extent, \(N_s = 512\), at \(N_f = 2\). We obtain similar results, which we will discuss in detail in an upcoming publication.
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