

Neutrinos in Astrophysics and Cosmology

Kevork N. Abazajian*

Center for Cosmology, University of California, Irvine, Department of Physics & Astronomy 4129 F. Reines Hall, Irvine, CA 92697-4575, USA

E-mail: kevork@uci.edu

I introduce the consequences of neutrino mass and mixing in the dense environments of the early Universe and in astrophysical environments. Thermal and matter effects are reviewed in the context of a two-neutrino formalism, with methods of extension to multiple neutrinos. The observed large neutrino mixing angles place the strongest constraint on cosmological lepton (or neutrino) asymmetries, while new sterile neutrinos provide a wealth of possible new physics, including lepton asymmetry generation as well as candidates for dark matter. I also review cosmic microwave background and large-scale structure constraints on neutrino mass and energy density. Lastly, I review how X-ray astronomy has become a branch of neutrino physics in searches for keV-scale sterile neutrino dark matter radiative decay.

TASI 2020 – The Obscure Universe: Neutrinos and Other Dark Matters 1-26 June, 2020 Boulder, Colorado, USA

*Speaker

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

1. Introduction

The discovery that neutrinos have mass and "mix" is one of the biggest discoveries in particle physics in the past 25 years.¹ There are numerous consequences of massive, mixed neutrinos, which, despite their weak coupling and small cross-section, have tremendous impact in the dense environments of the early Universe and supernovae. Because of their weak couplings, they are produced in thermal abundance only in high-lepton and baryon-number density environments, and provide a probe of these novel cosmological and astrophysical conditions. In this chapter, I will follow the exposition in the summer lectures as I gave them.

As I delve into the topic of neutrinos in astrophysics and cosmology, their impact in highdensity environments will beg the use of methods from condensed matter physics, and the quantum physics of their oscillations in these environments borrows from atomic-molecular-optical physics. Then, the effects of massive neutrinos in cosmic microwave background (CMB) and large-scale structure (LSS) requires the tools of Boltzmann evolution in a General Relativistic background for the understanding of linear structure growth, though detailed calculations of the Boltzmann equations need numerical methods. Massive neutrino effects on nonlinear cosmological structure necessarily require numerical methods. Lastly, the possibility that a new, sterile, neutrino state exists with properties that make it a partial or full component of dark matter combines much of these tools to understand: high-density Boltzmann calculation of production out-of-equilibrium, linear structure formation calculation effects, and nonlinear simulations to tie to many observations of structures in our nonlinear Universe. As an added bonus, I will discuss what turns out to be one of the most powerful tools to probe the weaker-than-weak sterile neutrinos that may be or contribute to the dark matter: X-ray astronomy.

2. Oscillations with Matter and Thermal Effects

To start with a topic that should be familiar to anyone that has worked even a little with neutrino oscillations, let us recall that the flavor eigenstates of neutrinos are not identical with that of their mass eigenstates,

$$|\nu_{\alpha}\rangle = \cos\theta |\nu_{1}\rangle + \sin\theta |\nu_{2}\rangle$$
$$|\nu_{\beta}\rangle = -\sin\theta |\nu_{1}\rangle + \cos\theta |\nu_{2}\rangle. \tag{1}$$

An immediate consequence is that a neutrino produced in a flavor state will evolve with time, t,

$$e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-\omega t)} = e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-\sqrt{m_i^2+k^2}t)}.$$
(2)

¹In fact, I was a graduate student attending TASI in 1998 (June 1-26) at the same time Super-Kamiokande announced their discovery of atmospheric neutrino oscillations at the *XVIIIth International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics* in Takayama, Japan, on June 7, 1998 [1]. We TASI students got to hear from lecturers who attended the conference about the details of the announcement and returned to participate in that TASI. Combined with the discovery of dark energy and the accelerating expansion of the Universe, it was a good year to be starting a research path toward neutrinos and cosmology.

The neutrino mass is small relative to its energy, $\sqrt{m_i^2 + k^2} \sim k (1 + m_i^2/2k^2)$, so the states evolve with a relative phase dependent on $\delta m^2 = m_2^2 - m_1^2$,

$$|\nu(t)\rangle = e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-kt-(m_1^2+m_2^2)t/4k)} \times \left[\cos\theta|\nu_1\rangle e^{i\delta m^2 t/4k} + \sin\theta|\nu_2\rangle e^{-i\delta m^2 t/4k}\right].$$
(3)

The overall phase, in front of the brackets above, does not matter, so it is then simple to then calculate the probability of finding the neutrino in a different flavor state in the future:

$$P_{\nu_{\alpha}}(t) = |\langle \nu_{\alpha} | \nu(t) \rangle|^{2}$$

= $1 - \sin^{2} 2\theta \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\delta m^{2} t}{4k} \right)$
= $1 - \sin^{2} 2\theta \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\delta m^{2} c^{4} L}{4\hbar cE} \right),$ (4)

where the $\sin^2 2\theta$ is the amplitude of the oscillation and $\sin^2(\delta m^2 t/4k)$ is the phase of the observable oscillation. In the last line above, I converted to include units and the observable length *L* and energy *E* of the neutrinos. For a general *n* flavor state system, the oscillation probability is the generalized form of

$$P(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4 \sum_{i>j} \operatorname{Re} \left(U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^{*} \right) \sin^{2} \left(\delta m_{ij}^{2} \frac{L}{4E} \right) + 2 \sum_{i>j} \operatorname{Im} \left(U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^{*} \right) \sin \left(\delta m_{ij}^{2} \frac{L}{2E} \right),$$
(5)

where $U_{\alpha i}$ are the elements of the unitary matrix defining the mixing between the flavor and mass eigenstates.

2.1 The Density Matrix

Now, let us shift to discuss the way in which a two-neutrino system evolves in a high-density environment. The necessary formalism to incorporate matter-affected oscillations, collisions and active-active forward scattering is the density-matrix or matrix-of-densities

$$\rho(\mathbf{p},t) \equiv \langle \psi_{\alpha} | \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p},t) | \psi_{\beta} \rangle \doteq \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{p},t) & \rho_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{p},t) \\ \rho_{\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{p},t) & \rho_{\beta\beta}(\mathbf{p},t) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(6)

This is given as abstract density operator, expanded in a given basis, usually flavor, as

$$\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p},t) \equiv \sum_{j} p_{j} |\psi_{j}\rangle \langle \psi_{j}| \,. \tag{7}$$

Evolution of the density matrix gives the quantities of interest, namely the number densities of a given flavor.

Why is the density matrix necessary? Collisions and forward scattering by neutrinos themselves require it. But, before I get into the forward self-potential and scattering, let us look at the evolution

of neutrinos in this formulation. A two-state density matrix system has been of interest in quantum mechanics for some time for studies in optical and solid state systems. The density matrix must preserve probability (or number density). That allows for a geometric interpretation of mixed flavor states. Let us define a general mixed state as

$$|\psi\rangle = \cos(\theta/2)|\nu_{\alpha}\rangle + e^{i\phi}\sin(\theta/2)|\nu_{\beta}\rangle$$

= $\cos(\theta/2)|\nu_{\alpha}\rangle + (\cos\phi + i\sin\phi)\sin(\theta/2)|\nu_{\beta}\rangle.$ (8)

The parameters θ (different from the mixing angle!) and ϕ , can be reinterpreted in spherical coordinates as respect to longitude from the *z*-axis and the longitude with respect to the *x*-axis They specify a point in spherical coordinates on the unit sphere, at the end of the vector **P**, called the "Bloch vector,"

$$\mathbf{P} = (\sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta). \tag{9}$$

In this formulation, one can represent a pure ν_{α} flavor state as an upward (z) pointing **P** vector, as in Fig. 1. And, the alternate state is simply pointed oppositely, as in Fig. 2. So then a mixed state



Figure 1: The P vector formulation of neutrino oscillations, with flavor asymmetry purely in the "upper" state.

would be one pointed in a direction not perfectly aligned with the *z*-axis, with arbitrary orientation, as in Fig. 3.

Going back to the density matrix, Eq. (6), I can write it in terms of the \mathbf{P} Bloch vector, and I have

$$\rho(p) = \frac{1}{2} \left[P_0(p) + \mathbf{P}(p) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} P_0(p) + P_z(p) & P_x(p) - iP_y(p) \\ P_x(p) + iP_y(p) & P_0(p) - P_z(p) \end{pmatrix},$$
(10)

where $\sigma \equiv (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ is a vector composed of the Pauli spin matrices. The time evolution of the density matrix is such that

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} = [H,\rho], \qquad (11)$$



Figure 2: The P vector formulation of neutrino oscillations, with flavor asymmetry purely in the "lower" state.



Figure 3: The P vector formulation of neutrino oscillations, in a mixed state.

or

$$\rho(t) = e^{-iHt/\hbar} \rho(0) e^{iHt/\hbar} \,. \tag{12}$$

In this formulation, I continue to recover vacuum oscillations with probability densities evolving as Eq. (4). The symmetries of the time evolution equation Eq. (11) turn out to be commensurate with the evolution equation of the spin precession of a "dipole" (\mathbf{P}) around a "magnetic field" (\mathbf{V}),

$$\partial_t \mathbf{P}(p) = \mathbf{V}(p) \times \mathbf{P}(p). \tag{13}$$

For vacuum evolution, the "potential" or "magnetic field" maps on to provide the δm^2 -dependent time evolution term,

$$\mathbf{V}(p) = \mathbf{\Delta}(p),\tag{14}$$

where

$$\Delta(p) \equiv \frac{\delta m^2}{2p} (\sin 2\theta_0, 0, -\cos 2\theta_0) \,. \tag{15}$$

In this relation, θ_0 is the vacuum mixing angle. The "magnetic field" orientation that the flavor state evolves around is determined by the size of the vacuum mixing angle θ_0 . For maximal mixing, $\theta_0 = 45^\circ$, **V**(*p*) is oriented with the *x*-axis, as in Fig. 4: Here, the neutrino is in an initially mixed

Z

Figure 4: The P flavor vector evolution and V "potential" vector in the case of maximal mixing.

state. If it was in a flavor state initially, as in typical neutrino experiments, the **P** vector would be oriented toward the $\pm z$ -axis initially, and would precess in the *y*-*z* plane. I show the initial mixed case example as it is clearer to visualize.

2.2 Matter and Thermal Effects

Neutrinos propagating through space are "dressed" by loop diagrams that become important at finite temperature and density. At high matter density and high temperatures, they have significant contributions [2]. This is often called forward scattering of neutrinos; the commonality with photon forward scattering is just that p = p' after the scattering. Both diagrams in Fig. 5 contribute in finite density environments, while only bubble diagrams contribute to the effects of finite temperature (plasma) backgrounds. Both effects contribute flavor-dependent background potentials to the neutrino evolution (therefore oriented in the z-axis in our **P**-vector system). The finite density





Figure 5: Shown here are the lowest order contributions to a propagating active neutrino's self energy in the presence of finite matter density and temperature. In (a), *f* is any species with weak charge, with both neutral and charged leptons, quarks, mesons, or nucleons. In (b), $f = v_X, X^-$. (This figure is adapted from Ref. [2].)

background arises due to asymmetries in weak-charged particles,

$$V^{B}(p) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2}G_{F} \left[(n_{e^{-}} - n_{e^{+}}) - n_{n}/2 \right] & \text{for } \nu_{\alpha} \rightleftharpoons \nu_{s}, \\ \sqrt{2}G_{F} \left(n_{e^{-}} - n_{e^{+}} \right) & \text{for } \nu_{e} \rightleftharpoons \nu_{\mu,\tau}, \\ 0 & \text{for } \nu_{\mu} \rightleftharpoons \nu_{\tau}. \end{cases}$$
(16)

Here, $n_{e^{\pm}}$ are the densities of positrons/electrons and n_n is the density of neutrons. This potential is the one responsible for the Mikheev-Smirnov-Wolfenstein (MSW) effect in the Sun [3, 4].

The thermal potential is [5]

$$V^{T}(p) = -\frac{8\sqrt{2}G_{\mathrm{F}}p_{\nu}}{3m_{Z}^{2}} \left(\langle E_{\nu_{\alpha}} \rangle n_{\nu_{\alpha}} + \langle E_{\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}} \rangle n_{\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}} \right) - \frac{8\sqrt{2}G_{\mathrm{F}}p_{\nu}}{3m_{W}^{2}} \left(\langle E_{\alpha} \rangle n_{\alpha} + \langle E_{\bar{\alpha}} \rangle n_{\bar{\alpha}} \right), \qquad (17)$$

where $p = p_{\nu}$ is the momentum of the neutrino, $\langle E_{\nu_{\alpha}} \rangle (\langle E_{\alpha} \rangle)$ is the average energy of the background neutrinos (charged weak particles), as well as the respective antiparticles. These background and thermal potentials are flavor-dependent, but identical for all active neutrinos with symmetric backgrounds. In the early Universe, the e^{\pm} background persists longer than the other charged leptons, therefore the thermal potential from e^{\pm} on $\nu_e/\bar{\nu}_e$ is important in determining the flavor evolution in any neutrino-flavor asymmetric early Universe history [6, 7]. Including the full thermal effects for active neutrinos' mixing with other active neutrinos requires handling the neutrino self-potential, and that will be discussed more below. For active-sterile neutrino mixing, the evolution simplifies, as the thermal potential arises only for the active neutrinos, and a thermal potential contributes to the *z*-axis component of $\mathbf{V}(p)$,

$$\mathbf{V}(p) = \mathbf{\Delta}(p) + \left[V^B(p) + V^T(p) \right] \hat{\mathbf{z}} \,. \tag{18}$$

Non-maximal vacuum oscillations: The case of non-maximal vacuum oscillations can be contrasted to high-asymmetry (which is equivalent to small vacuum mixing). That is, the matter-affected mixing is small. The potential is defined entirely by the vacuum term, Eq. (15), and shown





Figure 6: The P flavor vector evolution and V "potential" vector in the case of moderate mixing.

in Fig. 6. The high-density (strong matter asymmetry) case evolves with the V vector then orienting to a position away from the *z*-axis, determined by the size of the vacuum mixing angle.

This can also be formulated as an *matter-affected* mixing angle

$$\Delta_m(p) \equiv \frac{\delta m^2}{2p} (\sin 2\theta_m, 0, -\cos 2\theta_m), \qquad (19)$$

which is equivalent to the total potential V(p). Here, the matter-affected mixing angle is then

$$\sin^2 2\theta_m = \frac{\Delta^2(p)\sin^2 2\theta}{\Delta^2(p)\sin^2 2\theta + \left[\Delta(p)\cos 2\theta - V^B - V^T(p)\right]^2}.$$
(20)

Solar Neutrinos: If you have a very high asymmetry background, without a high neutrino density, *such as the interior of the Sun*, the potential $\mathbf{V}(p)$ is dominated by the finite-density portion \mathbf{V}^{B} , and it pins precession to the *z*-axis. In this case, the projection of \mathbf{P} on the *z*-axis is fixed, and flavor does not evolve, as in Fig. 7. So, for the solar neutrino solution, the evolution of the background potential goes from a the high-density background to that of a non-maximal vacuum state.

As the matter density (asymmetry) is reduced for a neutrino exiting the Sun, its evolution crosses the *x*-*y*-plane (at a critical $\theta_c \approx \pi/4$), to settle on a vacuum oscillation on the other side of the plane. This is flavor-conversion level crossing that is present in the MSW mechanism for matter-affected oscillation solutions to the solar neutrino problem, and connects this vector formalism to the matter-affected mixing and level-crossing structure of solar neutrino physics. For an excellent review of solar neutrino oscillations, see Ref. [8].

3. Neutrinos in the Early Universe

For high temperature backgrounds, the thermal potential is identical for all active flavor states, and the self-potential must be included to understand its evolution [6, 7, 9]. For active-sterile





Figure 7: The P flavor vector evolution with a matter asymmetry dominating the V "potential" vector.

mixing, only the active neutrinos are "dressed" with the thermal effects of Fig. 5, and a large thermal asymmetry is present that pins the vector **V** to the *z*-axis. As the thermal potential decreases from early to later times, the **P** can oscillate to non-zero probabilities of "interacting" (or failing to) as a sterile neutrino in the interaction-rich plasma, and therefore entering a purely sterile neutrino state. That sterile neutrino state could propagate and achieve an amplitude to convert back to an active state, but that reverse rate is minimal when the usual case of $n_{\nu_{\alpha}} \gg n_{\nu_{s}}$ holds.

In the early Universe, a new background asymmetry can exist from lepton asymmetries housed in the active neutrinos. In the case of active-active mixing, this leads to synchronized oscillations, discussed below. In the case of active-sterile oscillations, the physics is simpler, with a finite density potential in the early Universe potentially dominated by asymmetries in the neutrino-based lepton number. That asymmetry is present in a "lepton potential" V^L , which takes the form

$$V^{L} = \frac{2\sqrt{2\zeta(3)}}{\pi^{2}} G_{\rm F} T^{3} \left(\mathcal{L}^{\alpha} \pm \frac{\eta}{4} \right), \tag{21}$$

where I take "+" for $\alpha = e$ and "-" for $\alpha = \mu, \tau$. Here, the lepton number \mathcal{L}^{α} is in terms of the lepton numbers in each active neutrino species,

$$\mathcal{L}^{\alpha} \equiv 2L_{\nu_{\alpha}} + \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} L_{\nu_{\beta}},\tag{22}$$

with the final sum over active neutrino flavors other than v_{α} . The parameter $\eta \equiv n_b/n_{\gamma}$ is the baryon to photon ratio. An α -type neutrino asymmetry is defined as

$$L_{\nu_{\alpha}} \equiv \frac{n_{\nu_{\alpha}} - n_{\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}}}{n_{\gamma}},\tag{23}$$

where the photon number density $n_{\gamma} = 2\zeta(3)T^3/\pi^2$. Here, the asymmetry in the charged leptons and baryons is also incorporated in the last term of Eq. (21), and I will use V^L instead of V^B for the early Universe active-sterile mixing case. The matter-affected mixing angle in the early-Universe for active-sterile mixing changes from Eq. (20) to

$$\sin^2 2\theta_m = \frac{\Delta^2(p)\sin^2 2\theta}{\Delta^2(p)\sin^2 2\theta + \left[\Delta(p)\cos 2\theta - V^L - V^T(p)\right]^2}.$$
(24)

While in the scattering-dominated regime, $T \gg 5$ MeV, the conversion rate of active neutrinos to sterile neutrinos is the product of half of the *total* interaction rate, Γ_{α} , of the neutrinos with the plasma and the probability that an active neutrino has transformed to a sterile, which is also suppressed by a damping term arising from the quantum-Zeno effect, $D(p) = \Gamma(p)/2$, discussed below,

$$\Gamma(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{s}) = \frac{(\Gamma_{\alpha}(p)/2)\Delta^{2}(p)\sin^{2}2\theta}{\Delta^{2}(p)\sin^{2}2\theta + D^{2}(p) + \left[\Delta(p)\cos 2\theta - V^{L} - V^{T}(p)\right]^{2}}.$$
(25)

In this relation's denominator rest both the thermal potential and damping term, which simultaneously suppress production with increasing temperature $\Gamma \propto T^{-7}$. At late times, the thermal and damping term are subdominant to the vacuum term in Eq. (25), and production decreases with increasing temperature as $\Gamma \propto T^5$. Since production is a decreasing function with increasing temperature at high temperatures, and decreasing function with decreasing temperature at lower temperatures, the behavior is

$$\frac{\Gamma}{H} \propto \begin{cases} T^{-9} & \text{High } T \\ T^3 & \text{Low } T, \end{cases}$$
(26)

with respect to the Hubble expansion rate in the radiation dominated era, $H^2 = (8\pi/3)G\rho \propto T^4$. Using this formulation of the mixing and the Hubble expansion above the weak decoupling era, one can determine a mixing angle and mass-squared difference boundary where this conversion rate will "thermalize" the sterile neutrino when the rate becomes greater than the Hubble expansion rate $\Gamma \geq H$.

This allows for placing a constraint on the presence of sterile neutrinos with large mixings and large mass differences with active neutrinos if one wants to avoid their thermalization to become a "fourth" neutrino state entering into the era of big bang nucleosynthesis [10–12], as it would alter the primordial helium abundance outside of its observed abundance. The boundary in mass and mixing angle space is approximately [13]

$$\delta m_{\alpha s}^2 \sin^4 2\theta_{\rm BBN} \lesssim \begin{cases} 5 \times 10^{-6}, & \text{for } \alpha = e\\ 3 \times 10^{-6}, & \text{for } \alpha = \mu, \tau \end{cases},$$
(27)

where $\sin^4 2\theta_{BBN}$ is the effective vacuum mixing angle of the active-sterile system of interest. For practical purposes, this rules out the regions of parameter space of the large mixing angle solution of the solar neutrino problem as being active-sterile mixing, as well as active-sterile-active oscillation solutions to the Liquid Scintillator Neutrino Detector [13, 14], since thermalization of the sterile neutrino would alter the primordial helium abundance to deviate significantly from its inferred value from observations.

The helium abundance is altered by an extra neutrino in the thermal background because the Hubble expansion rate in the radiation-dominated era is dependent on the total density of the



Expansion vs. Interaction

Figure 8: Primordial nucleosynthesis' primary response to the presence of new particles like light sterile neutrinos in this radiation-dominated era is the freezing-out of the weak rates earlier when those rates $\lambda_{n\to p}$ and $\lambda_{p\to n}$, Eq. (28), fall below the Hubble rate. With the significant presence of sterile neutrinos, the Hubble rate is augmented, forcing freeze-out of the weak rates with a higher neutron-to-proton ratio. Recall, that via the Friedmann Equation, $H \propto (\rho_{\gamma} + \rho_{e^{\pm}} + \rho_{\nu_{\alpha}} + \rho_{\nu_{s}} + ...)^{1/2}$. Since almost all neutrons are incorporated in helium, the helium abundance is increased.

background including photons, ρ_{γ} , electrons and positrons, $\rho_{e^{\pm}}$, active neutrinos, $\rho_{\nu_{\alpha}}$, and any extra sterile neutrinos, ρ_{ν_s} : $H \propto (\rho_{\gamma} + \rho_{e^{\pm}} + \rho_{\nu_{\alpha}} + \rho_{\nu_s} + ...)^{1/2}$. Since almost all neutrons in the early Universe are incorporated to helium nuclei, the neutron-to-proton ratio set when weak rates freeze out is key to determining the primordial helium abundance. The weak rates are

$$\lambda_{n \to p} \begin{cases} n + e^+ \to p + \bar{v}_e \\ n + v_e \to p + e^- \\ n \to p + e^- + \bar{v}_e \end{cases},$$

$$\lambda_{p \to n} \begin{cases} p + \bar{v}_e \to n + e^+ \\ p + e^- \to n + v_e \\ p + e^- + \bar{v}_e \to n \end{cases}.$$
(28)

Here, neutron decay is not important until after freeze-out, and, of course, three-body fusion in the last line is very slow.

If the weak rates freeze-out earlier, they are closer to each other in rate, and therefore more



Figure 9: Shown here is the exchange diagram that leads to forward scattering of active neutrinos off their background, which mixes different momenta modes for all active neutrino with each other. This has implications for asymmetric oscillations in the early Universe [6, 7, 9] and in the high-density neutrino background in supernovae [26].

neutrons are present at weak freeze-out. In equilibrium, given the mass difference of the neutronproton, $\Delta m_{np} \approx 1.3$ MeV, the ratio of neutrons to protons is given by the relevant Boltzmann factor, $n/p \approx e^{-\Delta m_{np}/T}$. With the weak rates falling below the sterile-neutrino augmented Hubble expansion factor earlier, at higher temperatures, the neutron-to-proton ratio n/p is larger and allows for a greater production of helium. See Fig. 8. Using detailed calculations and recent inferred primordial helium abundances, Ref. [15] finds

$$N_{\rm eff} < 3.2 \quad (2\sigma), \tag{29}$$

where

$$N_{\rm eff} \equiv \frac{\rho_{\rm rel} - \rho_{\gamma}}{\rho_{\nu}},\tag{30}$$

is the abundance of all non-photon relativistic species relative to a single thermal active neutrino density. In this case, it is the density at weak freeze-out. Sometimes, N_{eff} is called "neutrino number," and denoted N_{ν} , but is truly much more general than energy density in neutrinos. Note that this bound, Eq. (29), is model dependent. Several ways of evading it are listed in Ref. [13], including a pre-existing small lepton number [16], which suppresses the matter-affected mixing angle, a dynamically-generated lepton number from a predominantly sterile fifth mass eigenstate (with requisite mass less than the predominantly active mass $m_s < m_{\alpha}$) [14], a new Majoron field [17], a low reheating temperature Universe [18–20], baryon-antibaryon inhomogeneities [21], extended quintessence [22], or even CPT violating neutrinos [23, 24]. Note that partial thermalization of sterile neutrinos may even be a good thing, and help solve tensions with the amplitude of fluctuations on small scales, dubbed σ_8 , [25]. The σ_8 tension is discussed more below.

3.1 The Neutrino Self-Potential

Active neutrinos can exchange their momentum through weak interactions of the form shown in Fig. 9, so that same, or different, flavors can exchange momenta $\nu_{\alpha} \rightleftharpoons \nu_{\beta}$. The self-potential enters the spatially homogeneous and isotropic early Universe as the potential $\mathbf{V}^{S}(p)$,

$$\mathbf{V}(p) = \mathbf{\Delta}(p) + \left[V^B(p) + V^T(p) \right] \hat{\mathbf{z}} + \mathbf{V}^S(p), \tag{31}$$

where

$$\mathbf{V}^{S}(p) = 2\sqrt{2}G_{F}n_{\nu_{\alpha}}(\mathbf{J} - \bar{\mathbf{J}}).$$
(32)

And the J vectors are the sum or integral of the asymmetric active neutrino background,

$$\mathbf{J} \equiv \sum_{p} \mathbf{P}_{p}, \qquad \bar{\mathbf{J}} \equiv \sum_{p} \bar{\mathbf{P}}_{p}.$$
(33)

This self-potential is crucial in any asymmetries that exist in neutrino flavors. Due to the asymmetries in neutrino flavor in supernovae, and their very high densities, the self-potential is a dominant driver of the evolution. Matter asymmetries provide a V^B term, but neutrino flavor evolution is also strongly governed by the self-potential. Unlike the early Universe, supernovae are far from homogeneous and isotropic, so the directional-dependence of the weak interactions in such a system complicates flavor evolution immensely. The several lines of study of neutrino flavor evolution in supernovae would make for a large set of lectures unto themselves [26–32]. For a review of collective neutrino oscillations and their effects in core-collapse supernovae, see Ref. [33].

Degenerate nucleosynthesis and syncrhonized oscillations: A remarkable piece of physics occurs in the early Universe if any asymmetries exist between neutrino flavors. Thermal processes pairwise produce active neutrinos and antineutrinos, but novel processes could set up an asymmetry in neutrinos and antineutrinos via leptogenesis. Such models are consistent with constraints from primordial nucleosynthesis because a small asymmetry in the electron neutrino sector can offset the neutron-to-proton ratio increase from earlier weak freeze-out caused by the energy density in a much larger asymmetry in the muon or tau neutrino flavors (see initial work by Ref. [34], and related work in Refs. [35, 36]). The equilibrium distribution functions of the neutrinos are generally

$$f_{\nu}(p) = \frac{1}{\exp\left(p/T_{\nu} - \xi_{\nu}\right) + 1},$$
(34)

$$f_{\bar{\nu}}(p) = \frac{1}{\exp\left(p/T_{\nu} + \xi_{\nu}\right) + 1},$$
(35)

where the degeneracy parameters are the chemical potentials of the neutrinos scaled by the temperature $\xi_{\nu} \equiv \mu_{\nu}/T_{\nu}$, as then they are invariants with expansion. The effect from electron asymmetry affects the weak rates so that $n/p = \exp(-\Delta m_{np}/T - \xi_{\nu_e})$, while the ν_{μ} and ν_{τ} deneracies affect only the energy density in the neutrinos, forcing an earlier weak freeze-out,

$$\rho_{\nu} + \rho_{\bar{\nu}} = \frac{7}{8} \frac{\pi^2}{15} \sum_{i} T_{\nu_i}^4 \left[1 + \frac{15}{7} \left(\frac{\xi_{\nu_i}}{\pi} \right)^4 + \frac{30}{7} \left(\frac{\xi_{\nu_i}}{\pi} \right)^2 \right] \quad , \tag{36}$$

where of course ξ_{ν_e} also contributes.

It was determined that the establishment of the large mixing angle solution to the solar neutrino problem prohibits the preservation of the order-of-magnitude difference between the electron and muon/tau neutrinos' asymmetries [9]. This is certainly not due to vacuum oscillations, as the self-potential dominates the other potentials $V^{T,B}$, and vacuum term Δ , by several orders of magnitude when the requisite degenerate asymmetries could initially be present. An originally larger flavor asymmetry in the ν_{μ} and/or ν_{τ} is actually preserved due to the presence of the thermal potential of electrons and positrons in the background contributing to V^B asymmetrically between the flavors.

The disappearance of the e^{\pm} background relaxes the potential sum $\Delta + V^B \hat{z}$ to its vacuum orientation of Δ , which, for the large mixing angle solution is large and oriented closely along the *x*-axis.

However, the neutrino self-potential $\mathbf{V}^{S} = \alpha (\mathbf{J} - \bar{\mathbf{J}})$ is orders of magnitude larger,

$$\partial_t \mathbf{P}_p = +\mathbf{A}_p \times \mathbf{P}_p + \alpha (\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{J}) \times \mathbf{P}_p$$
$$\partial_t \bar{\mathbf{P}}_p = -\mathbf{A}_p \times \bar{\mathbf{P}}_p + \alpha (\mathbf{J} - \bar{\mathbf{J}}) \times \bar{\mathbf{P}}_p .$$

If one were to ignore for a moment the much larger self potential, each neutrino momentum mode would follow the vacuum plus thermal potentials, $\mathbf{A}_{p} \equiv \mathbf{\Delta} + V^{B} \hat{\mathbf{z}}$,

$$\partial_t \mathbf{P}_p = +\mathbf{A}_p \times \mathbf{P}_p$$
$$\partial_t \bar{\mathbf{P}}_p = -\mathbf{A}_p \times \bar{\mathbf{P}}_p$$
$$\mathbf{A}_p = \mathbf{\Delta}(p) + V^T(p)\hat{\mathbf{z}}$$

and, therefore, the flavors would all transform as $(-)\mathbf{A}_p$ goes from oriented along the *z*-axis to the vacuum orientation $\mathbf{\Delta}(p)$, Eq. (15).

It was shown in Refs. [6, 7] that the system's collective momenta modes, aggregated into the vector $\mathbf{I} \equiv \mathbf{J} - \bar{\mathbf{J}}$ actually follows an effective \mathbf{A}_{eff} ,

$$\partial_t \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{A}_{\text{eff}} \times \mathbf{I},\tag{37}$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{eff}} \simeq \frac{1}{\mathbf{I}^2} \int \mathbf{A}_p (\mathbf{P}_p + \overline{\mathbf{P}}_p) \cdot \mathbf{I}$$

Since the system starts with a thermal asymmetry, $\mathbf{I}||(\mathbf{P}_p + \bar{\mathbf{P}}_p)$, the system's \mathbf{P}_p remarkably follow the "synchronization potential" \mathbf{A}_{eff} , which in turn follows the momentum mode of p_{sync} with orientation θ_{sync} ,

$$\mathbf{A}_{\rm eff} \equiv \Delta_{\rm sync} \left(\sin 2\theta_{\rm sync} \mathbf{\hat{x}} - \cos 2\theta_{\rm sync} \mathbf{\hat{z}} \right)$$

Here,

$$\frac{p_{\rm sync}}{T} = \pi \sqrt{1 + \xi^2 / 2\pi^2} \simeq \pi$$

and the collective flavor evolution is shown in Fig. 10.

Recall that the average momentum for a Ferm-Dirac distribution is

$$\langle p/T \rangle = \frac{7\pi^4}{180\zeta(3)} \simeq 3.15$$
. (38)

In summary, the synchronized system follows $p_{sync} \approx 3.14$ as it follows \mathbf{A}_{eff} . Momentarily ignoring the synchronization self-potential, the system's momenta modes \mathbf{P}_p evolve independently, and on average would have the behavior of the average momentum, Eq. (38). Though the self-potential dominates by orders of magnitude, the system effectively behaves as if it is not there since the momentum that the synchronization follows is practically identical to the average Fermi-Dirac momentum when the self-potential is not present [6, 7].

In the end, the synchronized evolution orients the final asymmetry to be slightly non-symmetric at an orientation given by the large but not maximal mixing angle of the solar neutrino solution





Figure 10: This is the flavor evolution of the collective neutrino oscillations with an effective potential A_{eff} and collective flavor vector I.

(so that the final \mathbf{A}_{eff} orientation is not directly in the *x*-axis direction). Therefore, the precise determination of the solar mixing angle by laboratory experiments will provide a constraint on the lepton asymmetry in the Universe. Even without a precise determination of the solar mixing angle, however, the large difference in asymmetry between the electron neutrinos and mu/tau neutrinos is largely eliminated by the synchronized evolution, and degenerate primordial nucleosynthesis is no longer viable. The constraints on v_e asymmetry are directly correlated with the uncertainty on the primordial helium abundance mass fraction, Y_p : $\Delta \xi_e \approx \Delta Y_p/Y_p$. Conservatively, errors $\Delta Y_p/Y_p \approx 0.1 \Rightarrow \Delta \xi_e \leq 0.1$ or, more accurate accounting gives $0.03 \leq \xi_e \leq 0.07$ [6]. The final asymmetry that can exist from any early era is given by the final asymmetry vector orientation, which is determined by the solar neutrino angle. Given the restriction on the final degeneracy parameter and related lepton number $\left[L_{\alpha} \equiv \frac{v_{\alpha} - v_{\bar{\alpha}}}{n_{\gamma}}\right], |L_e| \leq 0.1$. The final asymmetry can be

$$\begin{split} \xi^f_e &= \; \left(\frac{1-\cos 2\theta_0}{2}\right)\xi^i_{\mu^*}\,,\\ \xi^f_{\mu^*} &= \; \left(\frac{1+\cos 2\theta_0}{2}\right)\xi^i_{\mu^*}\,, \end{split}$$

where μ^* is the effective 2-neutrino solution of the ν_{μ}/ν_{τ} neutrino flavor system with ν_e . Therefore, the possible final lepton number in ν_{μ}/ν_{τ} is relatively very small $|L_{\mu} + L_{\tau}| \leq 0.5$.

3.2 Collisions

The evolution of neutrinos in the early Universe is of course not only determined by thermal and matter effects. They are also undergoing rapid collisions, $v_{\alpha} + X \rightleftharpoons v_{\alpha} + X$, where X is any weak-charge carrying particle, including charged leptons, hadrons, other neutrinos, and above the QCD-transition, quarks themselves. The collision term, $C[\mathbf{P}(p)]$, in the precession equation formulation is included as

$$\partial_t \mathbf{P}(p) = \mathbf{V}(p) \times \mathbf{P}(p) + C[\mathbf{P}(p)].$$
(39)

In full, the collision term is

$$C[\mathbf{P}(p)] \approx -D(p)\mathbf{P}_T(p) + \int dp' d(p, p')\mathbf{P}_T(p')$$
(40)

$$-\mathbf{C}(p)P_{0}(p) + \int dp' \mathbf{c}(p,p')P_{0}(p'), \qquad (41)$$

where $\mathbf{P}_T \equiv P_x(p)\hat{\mathbf{x}} + P_y(p)\hat{\mathbf{y}}$. Here, the length of the polarization vector $P_0(p)$ could change with collisions, but is constant with detailed balance. The second and fourth terms of momentum exchange are also symmetric under detailed balance, and therefore typically ignored. The primary term is the "damping" term, $-D(p)\mathbf{P}_T(p)$, that acts against any evolution of $\mathbf{P}(p)$ away from the *z*-axis. That is, collisions reset the flavor evolution of neutrinos so that they are no longer in a mixed state, as expected. This is sometimes referred to as the "quantum-Zeno" effect (which is also known as the Turing paradox). An illustration of this is shown in Fig. 12.



Figure 11: Collisions damp flavor oscillations, with the $-D\mathbf{P}_T$ term, pinning the flavor asymmetry vector **P** to the pure flavor state oriented with the *z*-axis, which it will do even if the potential **V** does not align with the *z*-axis.

In addition to reseting the flavor state evolution of mixed neutrinos, the collisions also "test" if the neutrino is in a flavor state that can interact or is in a weak-interaction singlet or sterile state. If it can interact, it is an active neutrino at the collision. If the mixed, evolved neutrino has a nonzero probability to be a sterile state, it could "non-scatter" to enter into the sterile neutrino state. This is how sterile neutrinos are populated in the early Universe. The overall sterile neutrino conversion rate can be derived from the density-matrix formalism to that of the quasi-classical form in Eq. (25) [37].

3.3 Lepton Number Generation and Destruction in the Early Universe

In the case of a mostly sterile mass eigenstate with mass less than one of the mass eigenstates more closely associated with an active neutrino, the phenomenon of lepton number generation can occur. On the other hand, if there is any previously-generated lepton number, either through the



Suppression of ν_s Production at Early Times

Figure 12: Shown is an exaggerated oscillation conversion probability for a monochromatic neutrino in the early Universe for active-sterile mixing. When the scattering rate is rapid enough, it "damps" flavor conversion in what is sometimes referred to as the quantum "Zeno" effect.

aforementioned mixing, or via another mechanism, lepton number can also be destroyed by resonant flavor evolution in the early Universe. The evolution of a neutrino state in the early Universe is in many ways similar to that through the solar envelope with decreasing density with time on its radial trajectory. However, in the early Universe the background potential can include not only the charged lepton and baryon asymmetry in Eq. (16), but also contributions from any asymmetry in the neutrino background. That is, for active-sterile mixing, one must use the lepton potential Eq. (21). Because of the very small baryon number relative to photon number, $n_b/n_{\gamma} \sim 10^{-10}$, the effects of the baryon asymmetry are usually negligible. I have written the asymmetries as lepton number asymmetries, and they are positive (negative) for neutrinos (antineutrinos) in Eq. (23). An excellent presentation of the physics and dynamics of relic neutrino evolution in the presence of sterile neutrinos is in Ref. [37].

Resonance occurs when the term in brackets in the denominator of Eq. (24) is nil. The resonance is therefore momentum dependent. The resonance for neutrinos and antineutrinos is the

same if there is no asymmetry, L_{ν} , but will be different for finite L_{ν} . The positions of the resonances can cause either a *stable evolution* or an *unstable evolution*.

As there is a small matter asymmetry from the baryon asymmetry, with a baryon to photon ratio of order 10^{-10} , the positions of the resonance (maximal mixing) in the distribution of the neutrinos will be different for neutrinos and antineutrinos due to the sign difference of V^B .

The evolution of the presence of the resonance between active and sterile neutrinos proceeds as follows [38–40]:

- For Neutrinos: the momentum position (p/T) of resonance increases if L_{ν} increases.
- For Antineutrinos: the momentum position (p/T) of the resonance decreases as L_{ν} increases.

This dependance causes a stability if the resonances are below the distribution peak $(p/t \sim 2.2)$, and an instability if the resonances are above the distribution peak.

Below the distribution peak, the neutrino resonance samples a greater number of neutrinos than the antineutrino resonance (due to the greater abundance at higher p/T). This has the property of lowering L_{ν} , driving the resonances of $\nu/\bar{\nu}$ closer together, and stabilizing the evolution.

Above the distribution peak, the neutrino resonance samples a lower number of neutrinos than the antineutrino resonance (due to the lower abundance at higher p/T) for conversion into sterile neutrinos. This has the property of increasing L_{ν} , driving the resonances further apart, and amplifying the difference between the $\nu/\bar{\nu}$ resonances. This nonlinearly amplifies the magnitude of L_{ν} . The same amplification occurs above the thermal peak for $L_{\nu} < 0$, as the positions of the $\nu/\bar{\nu}$ resonances are switched. The maximal production of an asymmetry is of order

For the case where the mostly-sterile mass eigenstate is smaller in mass than the active state, $\delta m_{s\alpha}^2 < 0$, the evolution of the resonant conversion proceeds like the following [38–40]. A schematic picture of the evolution is in Fig. 13, with steps (a)-(d) being:

- (a) Initially, one can assume that $L_{\nu_{\alpha}} = 0$, so the effective potential V is dominated by the thermal term V^T . Therefore, the ν_{α} and $\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}$ have the same resonance. The population of the sterile neutrinos proceeds symmetrically.
- (b) At this point, just to the high energy side of the thermal distribution, the lower temperatures bring the V^B term closer to V^T . An instability sets in, and drives the production of lepton number since the resonances are sampling different portions of the distribution and have different efficiencies.
- (c) The instability drives a separation of the neutrino and anti-neutrino resonances. The asymmetry *L* starts being produced nonlinearly.
- (d) Only the neutrino resonance remains within the populated portion of the energy spectrum. A resonant MSW conversion of the active neutrinos to sterile neutrinos proceeds. In this case, $L_{\nu} < 0$ is generated. It can be equally likely that the anti-neutrino resonance is left and L > 0 is generated.

This process is clearly nonlinear, and it has been argued to be physically chaotic [39]. It can lead to fractal structure of the sign of the final lepton asymmetry produced, so that if sterile neutrinos



Instability to Lepton Number Generation

Figure 13: Shown are the steps for resonance evolution in the case of spontaneous lepton number generation in the early Universe due to active-sterile neutrino mixing. See text for details.

are discovered, some regions of parameter space are so that it even arbitrary precision of the activesterile neutrino system will not provide a prediction of the sign of the lepton number that is produced [41].

Lepton number destruction: In addition to this possibility of lepton number generation, the resonant evolution in the presence of sterile neutrinos described above can destroy, partially or completely, any previously existing neutrino flavor asymmetry. The asymmetry could have been produced by active-sterile neutrino mixing or an earlier phenomenon. If the asymmetry is produced by active-sterile neutrino mixing in a four-neutrino framework, the consequences are complicated by many contingencies of the neutrino mass levels and ordering, and can either adversely affect primordial nucleosynthesis by increasing the relic neutrino density and altering the weak rates with asymmetries in v_e/\bar{v}_e . Multi-flavor mixing can offset deleterious effects of an increased radiative energy density in sterile neutrinos with an asymmetry in v_e/\bar{v}_e affecting the weak rates, similar to degenerate primordial nucleosynthesis described previously. The neutrino momentum distributions can be nonthermal due to incomplete conversion and the non-thermal nature of the MSW mechanism [42, 43]. The conversion of a previously generated neutrino asymmetry can be resonantly converted to sterile neutrinos with ~keV-scale masses so that MSW-resonance generated sterile neutrinos could be all or a portion of cosmological dark matter [44]. In this case for keV scale neutrino dark matter, the resonant conversion occurs at $\gtrsim 100$ MeV, and lepton number cannot be generated from active-sterile oscillations prior to that temperature scale due to limits on the magnitude of $\delta m_{\alpha s}^2$. Recall the sterile neutrino is less massive than the active neutrino for resonant lepton number production, and the active neutrino mass is constrained by the CMB and LSS, discussed next.

4. Neutrinos from the CMB and Large-Scale Structure

The standard cosmological model that emerged over 20 years ago successfully describes observations from Galactic scales to the cosmic horizon using a handful of parameters: the densities of dark matter, dark energy, baryonic matter, the Hubble expansion rate, the spectrum of primordial perturbations arising from inflation, as well as the amplitude of those perturbations. It has become a standard part of the Particle Data Group's Review of Particle Physics [45]. There are excellent pedagogical review papers on the effects of massive neutrinos and any possible extra neutrinos on cosmological observables, particularly the CMB and LSS [46, 47]. Therefore, I will provide only a brief summary here of the effects of neutrino mass and number.

The energy density of neutrinos in thermal equilibrium in the early Universe is

$$\rho_{\nu} = \frac{7}{8} \frac{\pi^2}{30} g T_{\nu}^4, \tag{42}$$

where g are the number spin states of the neutrinos. If the neutrinos are relativistic—which is only true at very early times for massive neutrinos—one can define the "effective" number of neutrinos relative to a relativistic single thermal neutrino density, Eq. (42), as in Eq. (30). N_{eff} is an effective "specific density" for relativistic cosmological constituent description of the total radiation density ρ_{rel} , explicitly not including cosmic photons.

The neutrinos are kept in thermal equilibrium by weak interactions such as $v_{\alpha} + e^{\pm} \leftrightarrow v_{\alpha} + e^{\pm}$. As these rates drop below the expansion rate, a "weak decoupling" occurs and the neutrinos are decoupled from the e^{\pm} and photon background. Since electrons and positrons annihilate after this decoupling, the neutrinos have a different, cooler, temperature than the photons, which carry forward the energy density and entropy of the e^{\pm} background. The temperature ratio of photons to neutrinos becomes approximately

$$\frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\nu}} = \left(\frac{11}{4}\right)^{1/3} \simeq 1.40.$$
 (43)

However, the neutrinos are thermal and the highest energies in their distribution are still partially coupled to the e^{\pm} background when it annihilates away. A small amount of heating of the active neutrinos then occurs. Detailed calculations of this heating find that the standard active neutrino density in the relativistic era is such that $N_{\text{eff}} = 3.046$ to 3.052 [48–50]. Note, fully describing this out-of-equilibrium energy transfer to the neutrinos requires their full momentum space distribution function, and, to include mixing, would require the momentum dependent 3×3 full density matrix for the active neutrinos alone.

Eventually, the neutrinos' mass becomes small relative to their temperature, and they act as non-relativistic matter. Therefore, they are a known component of dark matter, though certainly not a large fraction of it. The density of massive neutrinos relative to the cosmological critical density is

$$\Omega_{\nu} = \frac{\Sigma m_{\nu} n_{\nu}}{\rho_{\text{crit}}} \approx \frac{\Sigma m_{\nu}}{94.10 \text{eV} h^2},\tag{44}$$

where $\Omega_{\nu} = \rho_{\nu}/\rho_{\text{crit}}$ and Σm_{ν} is the sum of the three standard neutrino mass eigenstates and the Hubble constant is parameterized as $h \equiv H_0/(100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1})$. The numerical value on the r.h.s. above is set for the case $N_{\text{eff}} = N_{\nu} = 3$. Including non-thermal effects for the slight heating from e^{\pm} modifies the relation by ~1%.

4.1 Neutrino Mass from the CMB and LSS

4.1.1 Σm_{ν} Effects on LSS

The active neutrinos cannot contribute a large fraction to the matter density in the Universe because they are light and free-stream due to their thermal velocities, and they suppress the growth of structure along the way. The suppression of growth occurs due to two physical effects:

- 1. the free streaming of neutrinos in the radiation dominated era to late times so that they do not cluster as cold dark matter does, which is about one-fourth of the suppression, and
- the lack of participation of neutrinos in the linear growth of LSS, while they do contribute to the total matter density which affects the "friction" Hubble term in the growth equation of LSS. This is about three-fourths of the suppression effect [53].

On the largest scales, the neutrinos cluster as normal matter. The total effect of suppression of clustering on scales below the free-streaming scale is given by

$$\frac{\Delta P_{\delta}}{P_{\delta}} \approx -8 \frac{\Omega_{\nu}}{\Omega_{m}} \approx -8 \frac{\Sigma m_{\nu}}{94 \ \Omega_{m} h^{2} \text{ eV}} \,. \tag{45}$$

This was first described in Hu, Eisenstein, and Tegmark [54] as a consequence of inferring total matter clustering as traced by Luminous Red Galaxies in the original Sloan Digital Sky Survey,



Figure 14: The matter power spectrum for several cases of the sum of neutrino masses is plotted, along with some of the earliest cosmological LSS data that placed stringent constraints, from the 205k main galaxy sample of the SDSS [51] as well as Keck HIRES and LRIS data from Croft et al. [52]. It is readily apparent that cosmological measurements can compete with terrestrial laboratory sensitivity absolute to neutrino mass.

in a "cosmic" complementarity with expected cosmological parameter limits from planned CMB experiments [55]. In Fig. 14, I plot the matter power spectrum P(k) for fixed large amplitudes and increasing the neutrino mass, along with some of the first data that constrained neutrino mass from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) as well as the inferred clustering of matter from early Lyman- α forest data [51, 52, 56]. The forecast sensitivities of CMB via the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe and Planck Observatory with SDSS Galaxy Redshift Survey data were remarkably accurate when compared to the eventual results, including neutrino mass sensitivities [55, 57]. The sensitivity to neutrino mass from among the latest LSS galaxy surveys, combined with the latest

Planck Observatory CMB observations place the limits on neutrino mass at [58, 59]

$$\Sigma m_{\nu} < 0.13 \text{ eV} (95\% \text{ CL})$$
.

I discuss future sensitivities in the next section.

4.1.2 Σm_{ν} Effects on the CMB

Massive neutrinos also have direct effects on the CMB anisotropies. The physics of the effects of massive neutrinos on the CMB was first explored in Ref. [60]. Though neutrinos with mass $\ll 1$ eV are relativistic at CMB decoupling, their transition to matter-like affects the angular diameter distances to the last scattering surface as well as the scale of the integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect. There are degeneracies with the Hubble expansion rate and cosmological constant density, though the primary CMB can still place direct constraints on neutrino mass, which are at the level of $\Sigma m_{\nu} < 0.26$ eV (95% CL) when using both temperature and polarization anisotropy data from the Planck Observatory data of the primary CMB [61]. The primary CMB is also affected by intervening LSS, called lensing the CMB. The modification to LSS can then be imprinted on the CMB itself [62].

The degeneracy of effects of massive neutrinos on expansion history at later times, via angular diameter distance measures, are strongly constrained by the baryon acoustic oscillation (BAO) scale measurements in galaxy redshift surveys. Even without LSS data, CMB plus BAO give the limit of [61]

$$\Sigma m_{\nu} < 0.12 \text{ eV} (95\% \text{ CL}).$$

This puts CMB plus geometric measure constraints on neutrino mass at the same level of sensitivity as CMB plus LSS, described above.

With the limits now at the ≈ 120 meV scale, they are within a factor of two of the minimal level of neutrino mass given by oscillation experiments, $\Sigma m_{\nu} \approx 58$ meV. In combination, future CMB and LSS measures promise to get close to detection threshold of this minimal atmospheric plus solar total neutrino mass. Such future observations include galaxy surveys, the lensing of the CMB, galaxy-galaxy weak lensing, as well as mapping of hydrogen by the 21 cm background (for a review, see Ref. [47]).

4.2 Neutrino Number from the CMB and LSS

4.2.1 N_{eff} Effects on LSS

Radiation energy density that is parametrized in Eq. (30) effectively does one thing: alter the presence of radiation energy density relative to other cosmologically-relevant mass-energy components. The primary outcome is the alteration of the moment of matter-radiation equality as radiation energy density scales as the scale factor, a, to the inverse fourth power, a^{-4} , and matter density as the inverse third power, a^{-3} . The effect of an increase or decrease in N_{eff} on the scale of matter-radiation equality can be kept constant with a commensurate alteration in the matter density, along with a requisite change in Λ density to keep matter- Λ equality constant. All of those geometric scales set by the equality benchmarks are strongly constrained by cosmological data, and are how N_{eff} can be masked by the degeneracies with the other density parameters. However, the alteration in matter density has to be within the dark matter component, Ω_{DM} as the baryon density Ω_b , via $\Omega_b h^2$, is independently strongly constrained by the CMB's acoustic peaks. Therefore, secondary effects are what break the degeneracies between these densities. Namely, any increase in N_{eff} will decrease the ratio of baryon to dark matter Ω_b/Ω_{DM} , which is itself well constrained by LSS. The radiation dominated epoch is when the *k*-modes below the peak of P(k) in Fig. 14 enter the horizon and grow. During this epoch, baryons remain coupled to the photon background through Couloumb interactions, and do not cluster. The enhancement of Ω_{DM} , in order to increase with N_{eff} , in the ratio Ω_b/Ω_{DM} enhances clustering of that dark matter component, and therefore augments the small-scale power, below the peak of P(k) in Fig. 14, when keeping the matter-radiation and matter-A scales equal. Decreasing the ratio Ω_b/Ω_{DM} also damps the BAO feature since there is less baryon density. Overall, this allows both the BAO feature and shape of P(k) to constraint N_{eff} . The constraint from Planck 2018 with BAO data is [61]

$$N_{\rm eff} = 2.99^{+0.34}_{-0.33} (95\% \,\rm CL)$$

However, the effects on the shape of the matter power spectrum remain of great sensitivity when including luminous red galaxy (LRG) power spectrum data to constrain the inferred matter power spectrum [63], placing constraints from matter clustering from CMB+LSS(LRG) that are comparable to that from CMB+BAO [59].

4.2.2 N_{eff} Effects on the CMB

Similar to keeping matter-radiation and matter-A scales fixed with alterations in those densities commensurate with a modification in N_{eff} to avoid LSS constraints, this set of degeneracies exist in the CMB. However, since $\Omega_b h^2$ is very well constrained by the CMB, a modification of the Hubble parameter *h* is done to keep $\Omega_b h^2$ fixed. Any change in N_{eff} then alters the anisotropic stress tensor of the neutrino background, changing the growth of perturbations and therefore altering the position and amplitude of the acoustic peaks of the CMB. In addition, the diffusion damping scale of photons is altered with N_{eff} . The acoustic peaks' change is directly proportional to changes in *h* while the diffusion scale goes as mean-free-path time scales, as \sqrt{h} . The differential scaling of these two major features of the CMB—their acoustic peaks and their damping scale—provides the sensitivity of the CMB to N_{eff} . The constraint from Planck 2018 alone is nearly as good as that including the BAO data [61],

$$N_{\rm eff} = 2.92^{+0.36}_{-0.37}$$
 (95% CL).

4.3 Tension Data Sets: Evidence for Neutrino Mass and Extra Neutrino Number?

Two significant anomalies have persisted in cosmology the past few years. First, the most consistent anomaly is the measurement of a local Hubble expansion rate, H_0 , that is statistically significantly higher than that inferred from measures of the CMB and BAO. This tension arose after Planck 2015 data's inferred H_0 [64] was inconsistent with local measures from 2011 at 3σ [65]. The inconsistency increased to 3.4σ with an increased precision of the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) local H_0 measurement [66], and to 3.7σ in 2018 [67]. In late 2020, the inconsistency has increased to 4.2σ [68]. One hypothesis for the origin of the tension is an increase N_{eff} to ameliorate the early and late Universe expansion discrepancy. From the previous section, recall that the desire is to

decrease early-Universe *h* to keep $\Omega_b h^2$ fixed while increasing N_{eff} and other densities. However, an increase in N_{eff} affects the other CMB and LSS observables described above, and relieving the "tension" with local H_0 measures increases internal tension in CMB and LSS observables. Therefore, the statistical evidence for N_{eff} as being a solution to the early-to-late Universe H_0 tension is not present [59]. In addition, an order-one increase in N_{eff} will come into conflict with primordial element abundance constraints, described above. Evidence actually suggests that the H_0 tension is more likely in effects arising from non-standard dark energy [69–71].

The second tension data set, less consistent across all the data, is the inferred amplitude of perturbations at 8 Mpc h^{-1} scales, dubbed σ_8 . This scale, which is quite large of course on human scales, is a measure of cosmologically relatively small scale perturbations, and is the scale at approximately where the gravitational growth of perturbations is entering the nonlinear regime. Some specific sets of cosmological data infer an amplitude of clustering at small scales that is smaller than that inferred with zero neutrino mass and the inferred cosmological parameters from the CMB. One way to reduce this amplitude is to increase neutrino mass, as shown in Fig. 14. Several cluster and lensing data sets indicate a lower σ_8 than that inferred from CMB data, which could be indicative of significant active neutrino masses, extra massive sterile neutrinos, or both [72–75]. The lower σ_8 could also indicate partially thermalized sterile neutrinos from the eV [25] to tens of eV scales [76]. If this persists with new datasets, it would be a significant cosmological discovery. However, these results are inconsistent with the standard BAO and LSS results described above, and, for the case of thermalized sterile neutrinos, would be inconsistent with N_{eff} constraints from primordial nucleosynthesis, Eq. (29).

5. Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter

5.1 Oscillation-based Production Mechanisms

The production of sterile neutrino dark matter via matter-affected oscillations largely follows the physics described in Section 3. What is different for the dark matter scale is that, in order for it to be consistent with structure formation, the sterile neutrino particle mass must be \geq keV, and that pushes up the oscillation-based production, whether through a non-resonant or resonant production to be at early Universe temperatures of $T \geq 100$ MeV (while ~eV-scale sterile neutrinos thermalize at $T \sim 10$ MeV). This is all because of how eV to keV scales populate as in Eq. (25), which in the non-resonant production model is the Dodelson-Widrow mechanism [77]. In Eq. (25), the competing scalings of Eq. (26) have the production peak at a specific temperature in the non-resonant case [77, 78]:

$$T_{\rm max} \approx 133 \,{\rm MeV} \left(\frac{m_s}{1 \,{\rm keV}}\right)^{1/3}$$
 (46)

This ties in the production of keV-scale sterile neutrino dark matter to the time-temperature scale of the quark-hadron transition, at $T \sim 170$ MeV, and allows for inferences of the nature of the quark-hadron transition if sterile neutrino dark matter is detected [79]. The mixing angle and masses needed for dark matter production were also of interest for producing high-velocity pulsar kicks in supernova explosions from asymmetric active-sterile neutrino mixing in core collapse supernovae [80, 81].

For the case of resonant production, sterile neutrinos are produced from the destruction of a preexisting lepton number via the Shi-Fuller mechanism [44]. The resonance is where the matter-affected mixing angle, Eq. (24) is maximized. The position of the resonance in momentum space, $\epsilon_{\rm res} \equiv p/T|_{\rm res}$, evolves as

$$\epsilon_{\rm res} \approx \frac{\delta m^2}{\left(8\sqrt{2}\zeta(3)/\pi^2\right)G_{\rm F}T^4L}$$

$$\approx 3.65 \left(\frac{\delta m^2}{(7\,{\rm keV})^2}\right) \left(\frac{10^{-3}}{L}\right) \left(\frac{170\,{\rm MeV}}{T}\right)^4,$$
(47)

depending on the requisite parameters. Note, the resonance is near the peak of the Fermi-Dirac distribution at temperatures at and just above the quark-hadron transition. The resonance evolves from low to high momenta as the Universe cools, and as lepton number is destroyed. Therefore, low-momentum sterile neutrinos are enhanced in production, and "cooler" sterile neutrino dark matter is produced in the Shi-Fuller mechanism than in the Dodelson-Widrow mechanism. Therefore, the free-streaming scale, which is relevant for structure formation signals and constraints, is different for sterile neutrino dark matter produced from the two mechanisms. Note that both Dodelson-Widrow and Shi-Fuller mechanisms could produce sterile neutrino dark matter as a fraction of the total dark matter density. Therefore, the sterile neutrino dark matter's effects on structure formation are dependent on the nature of the other dark matter component, and reduced as the fraction of dark matter as sterile neutrinos are reduced.

There is a large set of work on sterile neutrino dark matter production in low-reheatingtemperature Universe scenarios [82, 83], where the lower reheating temperature and plasma interaction rate allows for larger mixing angles. Such scenarios also allow for production of sterile neutrinos as a fraction of the total dark matter, and can be detected in X-ray observations, discussed below. These production mechanisms occur at large mixing angles that can be probed in laboratory experiments [84, 85].

5.2 Other Production Mechanisms

Sterile neutrinos could certainly have other couplings than the mass terms generating neutrino mass. For example, a generic scalar *S*-particle can exist with an interaction Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{y}{2} \overline{(\nu_R)^c} \nu_R S + h.c.$$
(48)

S-particles can be created in the early Universe and could decay into sterile neutrinos at some later point. Therefore, the production is decoupled from the neutrino oscillation parameters, for cases where the mixing is much less than that needed for Dodelson-Widrow or Shi-Fuller production. In general, a scalar-decay sterile neutrino dark matter could have smaller or larger free-streaming scales for the same particle mass in oscillation production, and a good review of the mechanisms is in Ref. [86].

Regardless of the mechanism, if the active-sterile mixing is large enough for Dodelson-Widrow or Shi-Fuller production to be significant, the production from Dodelson-Widrow or Shi-Fuller processes have to be included. In the various particle-decay mechanisms, the "parent" *S*-particle can itself be in or out of thermal equilibrium at the time the sterile neutrinos are produced. The

decay into the sterile neutrino dark matter has been considered via several scalar particles as a single particle decay channel or via one part of multiple possible decay channels [87–92]. In scalar decay cases, the production of sterile neutrinos is governed by a Boltzmann kinetic equation describing their distribution [87]

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - Hp \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = \frac{2m_S \Gamma}{p^2} \int_{p+m_s^2/4p}^{\infty} f(E) \, dE \,, \tag{49}$$

where the sterile neutrino distribution is f(p,t) as a function of momentum p and time t, given a scalar particle of mass m_S . The rate Γ is the partial width for the scalar decay into sterile neutrinos. For production when the degrees of freedom in the background plasma is constant, this kinetic equation is analytically integrable. And, one can get the late time number density of sterile neutrinos,

$$n_0 \approx \frac{3\Gamma M_{\rm Pl}\zeta(5)}{3.32\pi m_S^2 \sqrt{g_*}} T^3,$$
 (50)

where g_* is the number of statistical degrees of freedom during the production epoch, M_{Pl} is the Planck mass, and $\zeta(5)$ is the zeta function. One can also calculate the average momentum of the sterile neutrinos, which is $\langle p \rangle = \pi^6/(378\zeta(5))T \approx 2.45T$. In this model, the sterile neutrino dark matter is colder than thermal $\langle p \rangle \approx 3.15T$, but not overwhelmingly colder [89, 93]. With very high-scale production mechanisms, like that in Ref. [94], the sterile neutrino dark matter can be very cold even for ~keV-scale masses, and escape many structure formation constraints. The variety of sterile neutrino production models' relation with structure formation constraints is discussed in Ref. [76].

There have been explorations where the parent particle is a vector [95] or fermion [96]. In all of these models, as well as in oscillation-production cases, any further decays of particles, including related more massive sterile neutrinos, can further "cool" the sterile neutrino dark matter relative to the thermal bath [93, 97–99]. The sterile neutrinos usually cannot be arbitrarily cooled, and can still be constrained by measures of its effects on structure formation [100, 101].

5.3 Structure Formation Implications

Since sterile neutrino dark matter is produced via thermal or quasi-thermal processes, and is relatively light, they behave on the spectrum of "warm" dark matter. The original Dodelson-Widrow case is ruled out as 100% of the dark matter from Local Group galaxy counts plus X-ray constraints [102], which I discuss below. It is important to keep in mind, however, that structure formation constraints are only beginning to constrain models with mixed cold plus warm dark matter (CWDM). Sterile neutrinos could be a significant fraction of dark matter (~20%) are only partially constrained [103].

For the case of all sterile neutrinos being the dark matter, the upper scale of suppression of structure is the physical distance that the sterile neutrinos, or other warm dark matter, travels until matter-radiation equality,

$$\lambda_{\rm FS} = \int_0^{t_{\rm EQ}} \frac{v(t)dt}{a(t)} \approx 1.2 \,\mathrm{Mpc} \,\left(\frac{1\,\mathrm{keV}}{m_s}\right) \left(\frac{\langle p/T \rangle}{3.15}\right) \,. \tag{51}$$

So, clearly the physical impact is dependent not only on particle mass, but its momentum distribution, reflected in $\langle p/T \rangle$. The momentum distribution is determined by how the dark matter particle is

produced from the thermal bath. Recall that axions are very light, $\ll 1 \text{ eV}$, but created in a zeromomentum mode, and therefore act as cold dark matter (for a brief yet up-to-date axion review, see section 91 of the Review of Particle Physics [45]). For warm-to-cold-produced dark matter, the connection between particle mass depends on the production mechanism and what happens to the background plasma between the production and matter-radiation equality [104]. In Fig. 15, I show a sketch and description of the relative momentum distributions from the standard Dodelson-Widrow, Shi-Fuller, and a "thermal" warm dark matter gravitino momentum distributions. Sterile neutrinos can be much colder than that shown in Fig. 15 if they are produced at high temperature, similar to gravitinos, or if there is a large entropy transfer by new or standard particles into the plasma after the sterile neutrino dark matter is produced [76].



Figure 15: Shown on the left are sketched distributions of Dodelson-Widrow (light purple) versus Shi-Fuller (purple) momentum distributions versus a thermal distribution (red), on arbitrary scales. On the right, I show a sketch of a "thermal" warm dark matter candidate's distribution, like that of a gravitino, relative to thermal (red). The Dodelson-Widrow distribution reflects the thermal neutrino background, with a small distortion due to the intervening quark-hadron transition during or after the sterile neutrino's production [105]. The Shi-Fuller mechanism has resonant that moves from low to high momenta, which enhances production of low momenta modes relative to Dodelson-Widrow. The Gravitino is produces at very high-temperature scales ($T \gg 100$ GeV), and is suppressed and cooled to an abundance consistent with the observed dark matter abundance by the disappearance of degrees of freedom in the plasma from Standard Model and beyond Standard Model particles. The neutrino and gravitino amplitudes are multiplied by approximately a factor of ~100, relative to the thermal distributions, to facilitate their display.

Overall, the mapping between different particle masses, given the different particle production mechanism's momenta distributions, are as follows. The thermal warm dark matter particle maps to a Dodelson-Widrow sterile neutrino as [105]

$$m_s|_{\text{Dodelson-Widrow}} \approx 4.46 \,\text{keV} \,\left(\frac{m_{\text{thermal}}}{1 \,\text{keV}}\right)^{4/3} \,.$$
 (52)

The Shi-Fuller mechanism generally has a particle mass that is smaller than Dodelson-Widrow for a given fixed free-streaming scale due to the Shi-Fuller mechanism's colder momentum distribution, i.e., $m_s|_{\text{Shi-Fuller}} < m_s|_{\text{Dodelson-Widrow}}$, for the same free-streaming scale. There is not a single-function mapping because the lepton-number (or mixing angle) has to be specified for the Shi-Fuller

mechanism as well as the particle mass. One interesting case is that the particle masses

$$m_{\text{thermal}} \approx 2 \text{ keV} \iff m_s |_{\text{Dodelson-Widrow}} \approx 11 \text{ keV} \iff m_s |_{\text{Shi-Fuller}} \approx 7 \text{ keV}$$
 (53)

have approximately the same free-streaming scale when the Shi-Fuller neutrino has a mixing angle matching that of the putative 3.55 keV X-ray signal, described below [106]. Note that the $m_{\text{thermal}} \approx 2 \text{ keV}$ scale was of interest for solving problems in galaxy formation [107–109] at dwarf galaxy scales [110–113]. For a review, see Ref. [103].

There are many ways to constrain the amount of small-scale structure present. Among the first were Local Group galaxy counts [102, 114] and clustering in the the Lyman- α forest [105, 115]. Challenges in modeling the Lyman- α forest have led to arguments to use Local Group galaxy counts as the most robust constraints [116] and the latest Local Group constraints from the Dark Energy Survey find $m_{\text{thermal}} > 6.5 \text{ keV}$ (95% CL) [117]. The most stringent claimed constraints currently come from substructure inferred from imaging of strong lensing systems [118, 119]. The latest lensing limits are quite stringent at $m_{\text{thermal}} > 9.7 \text{ keV}$ (95% CL), which corresponds to $m_s|_{\text{Dodelson-Widrow}} \ge 92 \text{ keV}$. The approximation in the Dodelson-Widrow sterile neutrino mass is due to the fact that the mapping relation, Eq. (52), has not been calibrated at these high particle masses. Note, however, that these lensing systems may be complicated by intervening structure along the line-of-sight that is not always modeled [120, 121]. On another front, future constraints are thought to be robustly possible from analyzing the disruption stellar streams by dark matter subhalo structure [122].

The thermal dark matter particle mass scales probed now are very significantly above those that could be responsible for alleviating problems in dwarf galaxy formation, $m_{\text{thermal}} \approx 2 \text{ keV}$. Studies of suppression of substructure are now of more interest in focussing on how much fractional suppression is allowed, since, as mentioned previously, constraints on mixed cold plus warm dark matter scenarios are only starting to be explored, and can also can alleviate problems in dwarf galaxy formation. Current constraints find that up to 20% of the dark matter can be a warm dark matter particle with the free streaming scale of a 1 keV thermal warm dark matter particle [111], and that fraction becomes commensurately higher as the particle mass increases, the particle distribution $\langle p/T \rangle$ decreases, or its free streaming scale gets smaller. In extended models, more significant new physics can occur. For example, Ref. [123] explores a sterile neutrino dark matter model with self-interactions that could be explored in next-generation experiments like the Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE) [124].

5.4 Observability in X-ray Astronomy

A sterile neutrino with nonzero mixing with active neutrinos has been known to have a radiative decay mode [125, 126]. This led to constraints from diffuse X-ray backgrounds being considered [127, 128]. Detectability of the signals by collapsed dark matter halos was first estimated in Ref. [129], and a detailed analysis of detectability signals from X-ray observations of clusters of galaxies, field galaxies, and the diffuse X-ray background was done in Ref. [130]. It was shown in Ref. [130] that clusters of galaxies were promising targets, followed by field galaxies. Ref. [131] showed that the diffuse emission on the sky would likely be dominated by the intervening dark matter halo of the Milky Way. Ref. [130] specifically proposed that if the proposed high effective area and

Kevork N. Abazajian

high energy resolution X-ray microcalorimetry missions in 2001 were not available that stacked observations of clusters from the at-the-time newly flown missions of *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* could achieve the exposures needed for significant sensitivities.

In 2014, 8 Ms of stacked observations of clusters by *XMM-Newton* saw an unidentified line at $(3.55-3.57) \pm 0.03$ keV at $4\sigma - 5\sigma$, as well as toward the Perseus cluster of galaxies with *Chandra* at lower significance [132]. Simultaneously, an independent team saw an unidentified line toward toward the center of M31 at 3.53 ± 0.025 keV at 3σ , and an unidentified line toward the Perseus cluster at $3.50^{+0.044}_{-0.036}$ keV at 2.3σ with the MOS spectrometer aboard *XMM-Newton* [133]. There were several significant followup observations, with positive indications of the line and significant detections using other space telescopes' data, including a significant line at the same energy in blank sky data with zero-bounce photons in the *NuSTAR* observatory [134]. The flux in that observed line was consistent with the dark matter in the field of view, though an instrumental line with unknown strength is at the same position.

The flux expected from dark matter decay goes as

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\chi}}{d\Omega dE} \propto \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\chi}}{4\pi} \frac{dN_{\chi}}{dE},$$
(54)

where \mathcal{L}_{χ} is the dark matter "luminosity" (often quoted in literature also as D- and J-factor [135] for dark matter decay and annihilation, respectively) while dN_{χ}/dE is the photon energy spectrum from the dark matters' final states. The dark matter decay luminosity is the line-of-sight integral of its density along any given Galactic coordinate (ℓ, b) ,

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{dec.}}(\ell, b) = \int_{\log} d\vec{s} \rho_{\chi}[r(\vec{s}\,)], \qquad (55)$$

where ρ_{χ} is the dark matter density at distance $r(\vec{s}) = \sqrt{R_{\odot}^2 + s^2 + 2\vec{s}\cdot\vec{R}_{\odot}}$ from the Galactic Center, and \vec{s} identifies the direction of the observer line-of-sight – specified by the Galactic (ℓ, b) .

A summary of X-ray detections and constraints through 2017 is available in Ref. [103]. Here, I highlight some new results. There were new constraints and a very weak signal in ~ 1 Ms of Chandra X-ray observations of the Limiting Window towards the Galactic bulge reported in Ref. [136]. A blank sky analysis using 30 Ms of XMM-Newton observations in Ref. [137] claimed high sensitivity to the line and a lack of detection. However, Ref. [137] used a very narrow energy window, 0.5 keV, failed to include known instrumental and gas lines in the analysis, and adopted an excessively high local dark matter density. All of that led to their claimed stringent conclusions. Including the possible lines, proper dark matter density profile, and a broader-spectrum analysis, the claimed constraints of Ref. [137] are a factor of ~20 weaker [138, 139]. The recently released analysis Ref. [140] starts with \sim 18 times as much data (547 Ms), and methodology "built heavily off of' Ref. [137], but uses a wider energy window, with incorporation of some astrophysical and instrumental line uncertainties, and a lower, dynamically motivated lower dark matter density. Despite having 18 times as much data, only \sim 31 Ms qualifies for their signal region, and Ref. [140] finds weaker constraints than Ref. [137]. Importantly, Ref. [140] fails to include the 3.3 keV and 3.7 keV lines which have residuals just below their arbitrary threshold of 2σ for taking into account astrophysical and instrumental lines. In addition, due to Ref. [140]'s choice of using Gaussian process modeling for the background, they cannot test the goodness-of-fit of their background model, which appears to have large unaccounted residuals. The work does perform a spurioussignal hyperparameter background mismodeling analysis. Given these issues, the robustness of the limits from Ref. [140]—along with Ref. [137]—are questionable. Therefore, I leave the Ref. [140] claimed limit in a lighter color in Figs. 16 & 17.

A blank sky analysis of ~51 Ms *Chandra* blank-sky data, Ref. [141] found no lines, and also provides one of the most stringent constraints. The *NuSTAR X-ray Space Telescope* has sensitivity to dark matter lines from 3-25 keV, and searches for the line with that telescope have included observations of the Bullet Cluster [142], blank-sky fields [134], the Galactic center [143], the M31 galaxy [144], and the Galactic Bulge [145]. INTEGRAL [146] and the *Fermi Gamma-Ray Space Telescope's* Gamma-Ray Burst monitor also provide constraints at high energy [147]. All these signals and constraints are summarized in Fig. 16 and with a focus on the 7 keV region in Fig. 17.

Even in the initial work by Bulbul et al. [132], it was shown that the lines could be due to charge exchange processes or metal lines, particularly K and Ar. However, for K, the abundance of K required would be more than an order of magnitude higher than that independently measured in X-ray clusters. For Ar, the lines that partner with one near 3.5 keV are independently constrained, and therefore Ar cannot contribute significantly near 3.5 keV. Related discussions on metal lines are in Refs [157–159]. In Ref. [160] it was shown that dynamical differentiation between dark matter and metal lines from gas can be done by high energy resolution spectroscopy given the rotational motion of gas with the Milky Way's disk and the expected quasi-isothermal velocity distribution of dark matter.

In Ref. [152], it was shown that this would likely require ≥ 4 Ms of observations with the soon-to-be launched *XRISM X-ray Space Telescope*, but with much more reasonable exposures of ~10 ks to ~100 ks with the *ATHENA X-ray Space Telescope* [161] or the *Lynx Space Telescope* [162]. In addition, Ref. [152] showed that the optics-free Wide Field Monitor (WFM) aboard the *eXTP X-ray Space Telescope* [163] could have a high sensitivity to dark matter decay due to its immense field-of-view, since the signal scales as ~FOV and background flux uncertainty scales as ~ \sqrt{FOV} . Depending on the nature of modeling the systematics of the WFM, which was not designed per-se as a dark matter detector, the WFM aboard *eXTP* may be one of the most sensitive upcoming instruments in search for dark matter decay lines at the keV scale [153]. See Fig. 16. Clearly, with tens to hundreds of Ms of data from *Chandra* and *XMM Newton*, sensitivities to lines are not dramatically increasing and new technology like high-resolution and wide fields-of-view are needed. The sensitivity of the upcoming *Athena* X-ray Space Telescope is also promising (see Fig. 16) [151]. The *eROSITA/SRG* mission can also have sensitivity to the broader parameter space [164, 165].

It is important to note that in low reheating-temperature Universe models [82, 83], where the low reheating temperature and interaction rate allows for larger mixing angles and production of sterile neutrinos as a fraction of the total dark matter, the parameter space is very different from Fig. 16. For example, see Fig. 5 in Ref. [103]. In such models, the fraction of dark matter can be very small but still produce X-ray signals but not have appreciable affects on structure formation, so that such a dark matter sterile neutrino would be seen in X-ray observations or in the laboratory before they are constrained by structure formation. Such production mechanisms occur at large mixing angles that can be probed in laboratory [84, 85].



Figure 16: The full parameter space for sterile neutrino dark matter, when it comprises all of the dark matter, is shown. Among the most stringent constraints at low energies and masses are constraints from X-ray observations M31 Horiuchi et al. [102], stacked dwarfs [148], 99% upper limit from 51 Ms of blank sky data from *Chandra* [141], and the claimed blank sky 95% limit from 547 Ms of *XMM-Newton* data [140]. Also shown are constraints from the diffuse X-ray background [149], and individual clusters "Coma+Virgo" [150]. At higher masses and energies, I show the limits from NuSTAR [145], Fermi GBM [147] and INTEGRAL [146]. The signals near 3.55 keV from M31 and stacked clusters are also shown [132, 133]. The vertical mass constraint only directly applies to the Dodelson-Widrow model being all of the dark matter, labeled "DW," which is now excluded as all of the dark matter. The Dodelson-Widrow model could still produce sterile neutrinos as a fraction of the dark matter. I also show forecast sensitivity of the planned *Athena X-ray Telescope* [151], and the potential optimistic-case reach of the WFM instrument aboard the *eXTP X-ray Telescope* [152, 153].



Figure 17: X-ray line detections consistent with sterile neutrino dark matter are shown here. The dark colored regions are 1,2 and 3 σ from the MOS (blue) and PN (red) stacked clusters by Bulbul et al. [132], the Bulbul et al. core-removed Perseus cluster (green), and M31 (orange) from Boyarsky et al. [133]. Also shown are the 1 and 2 σ regions of the detection in the Galactic Center (GC) [154] as well as the > 2σ line detections in 1. Abell 85; 2. Abell 2199; 3. Abell 496 (MOS); 4. Abell 496 (PN); 5. Abell 3266; 6. Abell S805; 7. Coma; 8. Abell 2319; 9. Perseus by Iakubovskyi et al. [155]. Numbers in the plot mark the centroid of the regions, with MOS detections in orange and PN in purple. I also show, in purple, the region consistent with the signal in *Chandra* Deep Field observations, with errors given by the flux uncertainty, *i.e.*, not including dark matter profile uncertainties [156]. The lines show constraints at the 90% level from *Chandra* 0431 (14) [102], stacked dwarf galaxies (M14) [148], the 99% limit from 51 Ms of blank sky data from *Chandra* [141], and claimed blank sky 95% limit from 547 Ms of *XMM-Newton* data [140].

6. Conclusions

Overall, the topics touched upon by neutrinos in astrophysics and cosmology are very broad, including topics in the physics of the CMB, LSS, solar neutrinos, supernovae, dark matter production, small-scale structure formation, and even X-ray astronomy. With further specification of the properties of neutrino mass and mixing by current and upcoming experiments, discovery of any new nonstandard physics including new sterile states or nonstandard interactions, the bevy of places that neutrino properties affect astrophysics and cosmology will continue. I hope this set of lectures and this lecture write-up provide a resource for future enlightening investigations of neutrinos in

astrophysics and cosmology.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the discussions with students at the lectures, virtual coffee breaks, and via electronic messaging afterwards. Despite the unfortunate circumstances of a first-of-its-kind virtual TASI summer school, it was, I hope, a worthwhile program. I would also like to thank Kyle Cranmer, Kazunori Kohri, and Alex Kusenko for comments on an earlier draft. I also gratefully acknowledge support in part by NSF Theoretical Physics Program, Grant No. PHY-1915005.

References

- SUPER-KAMIOKANDE collaboration, T. Kajita, Presentation at XVIIIth International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, Takayama, Japan, http://www-sk.icrr.u-tokyo.ac.jp/nu98/welcome_nu98.html, 1998.
- [2] T. Venumadhav, F.-Y. Cyr-Racine, K.N. Abazajian and C.M. Hirata, Sterile neutrino dark matter: Weak interactions in the strong coupling epoch, Phys. Rev. D94 (2016) 043515 [1507.06655].
- [3] L. Wolfenstein, Neutrino Oscillations in Matter, Phys. Rev. D17 (1978) 2369.
- [4] S.P. Mikheev and A.Y. Smirnov, Resonance Amplification of Oscillations in Matter and Spectroscopy of Solar Neutrinos, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 42 (1985) 913.
- [5] D. Notzold and G. Raffelt, *Neutrino dispersion at finite temperature and density*, *Nucl. Phys.* B307 (1988) 924.
- [6] K.N. Abazajian, J.F. Beacom and N.F. Bell, Stringent constraints on cosmological neutrino antineutrino asymmetries from synchronized flavor transformation, Phys. Rev. D66 (2002) 013008 [astro-ph/0203442].
- [7] Y.Y.Y. Wong, Analytical treatment of neutrino asymmetry equilibration from flavour oscillations in the early universe, Phys. Rev. D66 (2002) 025015 [hep-ph/0203180].
- [8] W.C. Haxton, R.G. Hamish Robertson and A.M. Serenelli, Solar Neutrinos: Status and Prospects, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 51 (2013) 21 [1208.5723].
- [9] A.D. Dolgov et al., Cosmological bounds on neutrino degeneracy improved by flavor oscillations, Nucl. Phys. B632 (2002) 363 [hep-ph/0201287].
- [10] G. Steigman, D.N. Schramm and J.E. Gunn, Cosmological Limits to the Number of Massive Leptons, Phys. Lett. B66 (1977) 202.
- [11] P. Langacker, On the Cosmological Production of Light Sterile-Neutrinos, .
- [12] K. Enqvist, K. Kainulainen and M.J. Thomson, Stringent cosmological bounds on inert neutrino mixing, Nucl. Phys. B 373 (1992) 498.

- [13] K.N. Abazajian, Telling three from four neutrinos with cosmology, Astropart. Phys. 19 (2003) 303 [astro-ph/0205238].
- [14] P. Di Bari, Update on neutrino mixing in the early universe, Phys. Rev. D65 (2002) 043509 [hep-ph/0108182].
- [15] R.H. Cyburt, B.D. Fields, K.A. Olive and T.-H. Yeh, *Big Bang Nucleosynthesis: 2015, Rev. Mod. Phys.* 88 (2016) 015004 [1505.01076].
- [16] R. Foot and R.R. Volkas, *Reconciling sterile neutrinos with big bang nucleosynthesis*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** (1995) 4350 [hep-ph/9508275].
- [17] L. Bento and Z. Berezhiani, Blocking active sterile neutrino oscillations in the early universe with a Majoron field, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 115015 [hep-ph/0108064].
- [18] G.F. Giudice, E.W. Kolb and A. Riotto, Largest temperature of the radiation era and its cosmological implications, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 023508 [hep-ph/0005123].
- [19] M. Kawasaki, K. Kohri and N. Sugiyama, MeV scale reheating temperature and thermalization of neutrino background, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 023506 [astro-ph/0002127].
- [20] T. Hasegawa, N. Hiroshima, K. Kohri, R.S.L. Hansen, T. Tram and S. Hannestad, *MeV-scale reheating temperature and cosmological production of light sterile neutrinos*, *JCAP* 08 (2020) 015 [2003.13302].
- [21] M. Giovannini, H. Kurki-Suonio and E. Sihvola, Big bang nucleosynthesis, matter antimatter regions, extra relativistic species, and relic gravitational waves, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 043504 [astro-ph/0203430].
- [22] X.-I. Chen, R.J. Scherrer and G. Steigman, *Extended quintessence and the primordial helium abundance*, *Phys. Rev. D* 63 (2001) 123504 [astro-ph/0011531].
- [23] H. Murayama and T. Yanagida, LSND, SN1987A, and CPT violation, Phys. Lett. B 520 (2001) 263 [hep-ph/0010178].
- [24] G. Barenboim, L. Borissov, J.D. Lykken and A.Y. Smirnov, *Neutrinos as the Messengers of CPT Violation*, JHEP 10 (2002) 001 [hep-ph/0108199].
- [25] T.D. Jacques, L.M. Krauss and C. Lunardini, Additional Light Sterile Neutrinos and Cosmology, Phys. Rev. D87 (2013) 083515 [1301.3119].
- [26] Y.Z. Qian and G.M. Fuller, *Neutrino-neutrino scattering and matter enhanced neutrino flavor transformation in Supernovae*, *Phys. Rev. D* **51** (1995) 1479 [astro-ph/9406073].
- [27] G.M. Fuller, Supernova dynamics and nucleosynthesis as a probe of closure mass neutrinos, Phys. Rept. 227 (1993) 149.

- Kevork N. Abazajian
- [28] S. Pastor and G. Raffelt, Flavor oscillations in the supernova hot bubble region: Nonlinear effects of neutrino background, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 191101 [astro-ph/0207281].
- [29] S. Hannestad, G.G. Raffelt, G. Sigl and Y.Y.Y. Wong, Self-induced conversion in dense neutrino gases: Pendulum in flavour space, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 105010 [astro-ph/0608695].
- [30] B. Dasgupta and A. Dighe, Collective three-flavor oscillations of supernova neutrinos, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 113002 [0712.3798].
- [31] J.F. Cherry, M.-R. Wu, J. Carlson, H. Duan, G.M. Fuller and Y.-Z. Qian, *Neutrino Luminosity and Matter-Induced Modification of Collective Neutrino Flavor Oscillations in Supernovae*, *Phys. Rev. D* 85 (2012) 125010 [1109.5195].
- [32] L. Johns, H. Nagakura, G.M. Fuller and A. Burrows, Fast oscillations, collisionless relaxation, and spurious evolution of supernova neutrino flavor, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 103017 [2009.09024].
- [33] H. Duan, G.M. Fuller and Y.-Z. Qian, Collective Neutrino Oscillations, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 60 (2010) 569 [1001.2799].
- [34] K. Kohri, M. Kawasaki and K. Sato, Big bang nucleosynthesis and lepton number asymmetry in the universe, Astrophys. J. 490 (1997) 72 [astro-ph/9612237].
- [35] M. Orito, T. Kajino, G.J. Mathews and R.N. Boyd, *Neutrino degeneracy and decoupling: New limits from primordial nucleosynthesis and the cosmic microwave background*, astro-ph/0005446.
- [36] M. Orito, T. Kajino, G.J. Mathews and Y. Wang, Constraints on neutrino degeneracy from the cosmic microwave background and primordial nucleosynthesis, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 123504 [astro-ph/0203352].
- [37] N.F. Bell, R.R. Volkas and Y.Y.Y. Wong, *Relic neutrino asymmetry evolution from first principles*, *Phys. Rev.* D59 (1999) 113001 [hep-ph/9809363].
- [38] R. Foot, M.J. Thomson and R.R. Volkas, Large neutrino asymmetries from neutrino oscillations, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 5349 [hep-ph/9509327].
- [39] X.-D. Shi, Chaotic amplification of neutrino chemical potentials by neutrino oscillations in big bang nucleosynthesis, Phys. Rev. D54 (1996) 2753 [astro-ph/9602135].
- [40] R. Foot and R.R. Volkas, Studies of neutrino asymmetries generated by ordinary sterile neutrino oscillations in the early universe and implications for big bang nucleosynthesis bounds, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 5147 [hep-ph/9610229].
- [41] K.N. Abazajian and P. Agrawal, Chaos, Determinacy and Fractals in Active-Sterile Neutrino Oscillations in the Early Universe, JCAP 0810 (2008) 006 [0807.0456].

- Kevork N. Abazajian
- [42] N.F. Bell, R. Foot and R.R. Volkas, *Relic neutrino asymmetries and big bang* nucleosynthesis in a four neutrino model, Phys. Rev. D58 (1998) 105010 [hep-ph/9805259].
- [43] X.-D. Shi, G.M. Fuller and K. Abazajian, Neutrino mixing generated lepton asymmetry and the primordial He-4 abundance, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 063002 [astro-ph/9905259].
- [44] X.-d. Shi and G.M. Fuller, A new dark matter candidate: Non-thermal sterile neutrinos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 2832 [astro-ph/9810076].
- [45] P.D. Group, Review of Particle Physics, Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics
- [46] J. Lesgourgues and S. Pastor, Massive neutrinos and cosmology, Phys. Rept. 429 (2006)
- [47] K.N. Abazajian and M. Kaplinghat, Neutrino Physics from the Cosmic Microwave
- [48] R.E. Lopez and M.S. Turner, An accurate calculation of the big-bang prediction for the
- [49] G. Mangano, G. Miele, S. Pastor, T. Pinto, O. Pisanti and P.D. Serpico, Relic neutrino
- 2020 (2020)Comptep/article-pdf/2020/8/083C01/34673722/ptaa104.pdf.[https://academic.oup.com/ptep/article-pdf/2020/8/083C01/34673722/ptaa104.pdf.J. Lesgourgues and S. Pastor, Massive neutrinos and cosmology, Phys. Rept. 429 (2006)307 [astro-ph/0603494].K.N. Abazajian and M. Kaplinghat, Neutrino Physics from the Cosmic Microwave
Background and Large-Scale Structure, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 66 (2016) 401.R.E. Lopez and M.S. Turner, An accurate calculation of the big-bang prediction for the
abundance of primordial helium, Phys. Rev. D59 (1999) 103502 [astro-ph/9807279].G. Mangano, G. Miele, S. Pastor, T. Pinto, O. Pisanti and P.D. Serpico, Relic neutrino
decoupling including flavor oscillations, Nucl. Phys. B729 (2005) 221 [hep-ph/0506164].E. Grohs, G.M. Fuller, C.T. Kishimoto, M.W. Paris and A. Vlasenko, Neutrino energy
transport in weak decoupling and big bang nucleosynthesis, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 083522
[1512.02205].SDSS collaboration, The 3d power spectrum of galaxies from the sdss, Astrophys. J. 606
(2004) 702 [astro-ph/0310725].R.A.C. Croft, D.H. Weinberg, M. Bolte, S. Burles, L. Hernquist, N. Katz et al. Towards a [50] E. Grohs, G.M. Fuller, C.T. Kishimoto, M.W. Paris and A. Vlasenko, *Neutrino energy*
- [51] SDSS collaboration, The 3d power spectrum of galaxies from the sdss, Astrophys. J. 606
- [52] R.A.C. Croft, D.H. Weinberg, M. Bolte, S. Burles, L. Hernquist, N. Katz et al., Towards a precise measurement of matter clustering: Lyman alpha forest data at redshifts 2-4, Astrophys. J. 581 (2002) 20 [astro-ph/0012324].
- [53] D.J. Eisenstein and W. Hu, Power spectra for cold dark matter and its variants, Astrophys. J. 511 (1997) 5 [astro-ph/9710252].
- [54] W. Hu, D.J. Eisenstein and M. Tegmark, Weighing neutrinos with galaxy surveys, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 5255 [astro-ph/9712057].
- [55] D.J. Eisenstein, W. Hu and M. Tegmark, Cosmic complementarity: Joint parameter estimation from CMB experiments and redshift surveys, Astrophys. J. 518 (1999) 2 [astro-ph/9807130].
- [56] R.A.C. Croft, W. Hu and R. Dave, Cosmological Limits on the Neutrino Mass from the Lya Forest, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 1092 [astro-ph/9903335].

- [57] M. Tegmark, D.J. Eisenstein, W. Hu and A. de Oliveira-Costa, Foregrounds and forecasts for the cosmic microwave background, Astrophys. J. 530 (2000) 133 [astro-ph/9905257].
- [58] A.J. Cuesta, V. Niro and L. Verde, Neutrino mass limits: robust information from the power spectrum of galaxy surveys, Phys. Dark Univ. 13 (2016) 77 [1511.05983].
- [59] N. Canac, G. Aslanyan, K.N. Abazajian, R. Easther and L.C. Price, *Testing for New Physics: Neutrinos and the Primordial Power Spectrum*, JCAP 1609 (2016) 022 [1606.03057].
- [60] S. Dodelson, E. Gates and A. Stebbins, Cold + hot dark matter and the cosmic microwave background, Astrophys. J. 467 (1996) 10 [astro-ph/9509147].
- [61] PLANCK collaboration, *Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters, Astron. Astrophys.* **641** (2020) A6 [1807.06209].
- [62] M. Kaplinghat, L. Knox and Y.-S. Song, *Determining neutrino mass from the CMB alone*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2003) 241301 [astro-ph/0303344].
- [63] B.A. Reid et al., Cosmological Constraints from the Clustering of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey DR7 Luminous Red Galaxies, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 404 (2010) 60 [0907.1659].
- [64] PLANCK collaboration, Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters, 1502.01589.
- [65] G.E. Addison, Y. Huang, D.J. Watts, C.L. Bennett, M. Halpern, G. Hinshaw et al., *Quantifying discordance in the 2015 Planck CMB spectrum*, *Astrophys. J.* 818 (2016) 132 [1511.00055].
- [66] A.G. Riess et al., A 2.4% Determination of the Local Value of the Hubble Constant, Astrophys. J. 826 (2016) 56 [1604.01424].
- [67] A.G. Riess et al., New Parallaxes of Galactic Cepheids from Spatially Scanning the Hubble Space Telescope: Implications for the Hubble Constant, Astrophys. J. 855 (2018) 136 [1801.01120].
- [68] A.G. Riess, S. Casertano, W. Yuan, J.B. Bowers, L. Macri, J.C. Zinn et al., Cosmic Distances Calibrated to 1% Precision with Gaia EDR3 Parallaxes and Hubble Space Telescope Photometry of 75 Milky Way Cepheids Confirm Tension with LambdaCDM, 2012.08534.
- [69] E. Di Valentino, A. Melchiorri and J. Silk, *Reconciling Planck with the local value of H₀ in extended parameter space*, *Phys. Lett.* B761 (2016) 242 [1606.00634].
- [70] V. Poulin, T.L. Smith, T. Karwal and M. Kamionkowski, *Early Dark Energy Can Resolve The Hubble Tension*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 221301 [1811.04083].
- [71] R.E. Keeley, S. Joudaki, M. Kaplinghat and D. Kirkby, *Implications of a transition in the dark energy equation of state for the* H_0 *and* σ_8 *tensions, JCAP* **12** (2019) 035 [1905.10198].

- [72] R.A. Battye and A. Moss, Evidence for Massive Neutrinos from Cosmic Microwave Background and Lensing Observations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 051303 [1308.5870].
- [73] M. Wyman, D.H. Rudd, R.A. Vanderveld and W. Hu, Neutrinos Help Reconcile Planck Measurements with the Local Universe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 051302 [1307.7715].
- [74] C. Dvorkin, M. Wyman, D.H. Rudd and W. Hu, Neutrinos help reconcile Planck measurements with both the early and local Universe, Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 083503
 [1403.8049].
- [75] BOSS collaboration, The clustering of galaxies in the SDSS-III Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey: Signs of neutrino mass in current cosmological datasets, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 444 (2014) 3501 [1403.4599].
- [76] K.N. Abazajian and A. Kusenko, *Hidden treasures: Sterile neutrinos as dark matter with miraculous abundance, structure formation for different production mechanisms, and a solution to the* σ_8 *problem, Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 103513 [1907.11696].
- [77] S. Dodelson and L.M. Widrow, Sterile-neutrinos as dark matter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 17 [hep-ph/9303287].
- [78] R. Barbieri and A. Dolgov, *Bounds on Sterile-neutrinos from Nucleosynthesis*, *Phys. Lett.* B237 (1990) 440.
- [79] K.N. Abazajian and G.M. Fuller, Bulk qcd thermodynamics and sterile neutrino dark matter, Phys. Rev. D66 (2002) 023526 [astro-ph/0204293].
- [80] A. Kusenko and G. Segre, Pulsar kicks from neutrino oscillations, Phys. Rev. D59 (1999) 061302 [astro-ph/9811144].
- [81] G.M. Fuller, A. Kusenko, I. Mocioiu and S. Pascoli, Pulsar kicks from a dark-matter sterile neutrino, Phys. Rev. D68 (2003) 103002 [astro-ph/0307267].
- [82] G. Gelmini, S. Palomares-Ruiz and S. Pascoli, Low reheating temperature and the visible sterile neutrino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 081302 [astro-ph/0403323].
- [83] G.B. Gelmini, P. Lu and V. Takhistov, Cosmological Dependence of Resonantly Produced Sterile Neutrinos, JCAP 06 (2020) 008 [1911.03398].
- [84] P.F. Smith, Proposed experiments to detect keV range sterile neutrinos using energy-momentum reconstruction of beta decay or K-capture events, New J. Phys. 21 (2019) 053022 [1607.06876].
- [85] C. Benso, V. Brdar, M. Lindner and W. Rodejohann, Prospects for Finding Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter at KATRIN, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 115035 [1911.00328].
- [86] R. Adhikari et al., A White Paper on keV Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter, JCAP 1701 (2017) 025 [1602.04816].

- [87] M. Shaposhnikov and I. Tkachev, *The numsm, inflation, and dark matter, Phys. Lett.* B639 (2006) 414 [hep-ph/0604236].
- [88] A. Kusenko, Sterile neutrinos, dark matter, and the pulsar velocities in models with a Higgs singlet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 241301 [hep-ph/0609081].
- [89] K. Petraki and A. Kusenko, Dark-matter sterile neutrinos in models with a gauge singlet in the Higgs sector, Phys. Rev. D77 (2008) 065014 [0711.4646].
- [90] F. Bezrukov and D. Gorbunov, Light inflaton Hunter's Guide, JHEP 05 (2010) 010 [0912.0390].
- [91] A. Kusenko, M. Loewenstein and T.T. Yanagida, *Moduli dark matter and the search for its decay line using Suzaku X-ray telescope*, *Phys. Rev.* **D87** (2013) 043508 [1209.6403].
- [92] A. Merle and M. Totzauer, keV Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter from Singlet Scalar Decays: Basic Concepts and Subtle Features, JCAP 1506 (2015) 011 [1502.01011].
- [93] K. Petraki, Small-scale structure formation properties of chilled sterile neutrinos as dark matter, Phys. Rev. D77 (2008) 105004 [0801.3470].
- [94] A. Kusenko, F. Takahashi and T.T. Yanagida, *Dark Matter from Split Seesaw*, *Phys. Lett.* B693 (2010) 144 [1006.1731].
- [95] B. Shuve and I. Yavin, Dark matter progenitor: Light vector boson decay into sterile neutrinos, Phys. Rev. D89 (2014) 113004 [1403.2727].
- [96] A. Abada, G. Arcadi and M. Lucente, *Dark Matter in the minimal Inverse Seesaw mechanism*, JCAP 1410 (2014) 001 [1406.6556].
- [97] T. Asaka, M. Shaposhnikov and A. Kusenko, *Opening a new window for warm dark matter*, *Phys. Lett.* **B638** (2006) 401 [hep-ph/0602150].
- [98] D. Boyanovsky, Clustering properties of a sterile neutrino dark matter candidate, Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 103505 [0807.0646].
- [99] A.V. Patwardhan, G.M. Fuller, C.T. Kishimoto and A. Kusenko, *Diluted equilibrium sterile neutrino dark matter*, *Phys. Rev.* D92 (2015) 103509 [1507.01977].
- [100] K. Abazajian and S.M. Koushiappas, Constraints on sterile neutrino dark matter, Phys. Rev. D74 (2006) 023527 [astro-ph/0605271].
- [101] N. Menci, A. Merle, M. Totzauer, A. Schneider, A. Grazian, M. Castellano et al., Fundamental physics with the Hubble Frontier Fields: constraining Dark Matter models with the abundance of extremely faint and distant galaxies, Astrophys. J. 836 (2017) 61 [1701.01339].
- [102] S. Horiuchi, P.J. Humphrey, J. Onorbe, K.N. Abazajian, M. Kaplinghat et al., *Sterile neutrino dark matter bounds from galaxies of the Local Group*, *Phys.Rev.* D89 (2014) 025017 [1311.0282].

- [103] K.N. Abazajian, Sterile neutrinos in cosmology, Phys. Rept. 711-712 (2017) 1 [1705.01837].
- [104] S. Colombi, S. Dodelson and L.M. Widrow, Large scale structure tests of warm dark matter, Astrophys. J. 458 (1996) 1 [astro-ph/9505029].
- [105] K. Abazajian, Linear cosmological structure limits on warm dark matter, Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 063513 [astro-ph/0512631].
- [106] K.N. Abazajian, Resonantly-Produced 7 keV Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter Models and the Properties of Milky Way Satellites, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 161303 [1403.0954].
- [107] M. Boylan-Kolchin, J.S. Bullock and M. Kaplinghat, *Too big to fail? The puzzling darkness of massive Milky Way subhaloes, Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc.* **415** (2011) L40 [1103.0007].
- [108] M. Boylan-Kolchin, J.S. Bullock and M. Kaplinghat, *The Milky Way's bright satellites as an apparent failure of LCDM*, *Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc.* 422 (2012) 1203 [1111.2048].
- [109] J.S. Bullock and M. Boylan-Kolchin, Small-Scale Challenges to the ΛCDM Paradigm, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 55 (2017) 343 [1707.04256].
- [110] M.R. Lovell, V. Eke, C.S. Frenk, L. Gao, A. Jenkins et al., *The Haloes of Bright Satellite Galaxies in a Warm Dark Matter Universe*, *Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc.* **420** (2012) 2318 [1104.2929].
- [111] D. Anderhalden, A. Schneider, A.V. Maccio, J. Diemand and G. Bertone, *Hints on the Nature of Dark Matter from the Properties of Milky Way Satellites*, *JCAP* 1303 (2013) 014 [1212.2967].
- [112] S. Horiuchi, B. Bozek, K.N. Abazajian, M. Boylan-Kolchin, J.S. Bullock,
 S. Garrison-Kimmel et al., *Properties of resonantly produced sterile neutrino dark matter* subhaloes, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 456 (2016) 4346 [1512.04548].
- [113] B. Bozek, M. Boylan-Kolchin, S. Horiuchi, S. Garrison-Kimmel, K. Abazajian and J.S. Bullock, *Resonant Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter in the Local and High-z Universe*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **459** (2016) 1489 [1512.04544].
- [114] E. Polisensky and M. Ricotti, Constraints on the Dark Matter Particle Mass from the Number of Milky Way Satellites, Phys. Rev. D83 (2011) 043506 [1004.1459].
- [115] U. Seljak, A. Makarov, P. McDonald and H. Trac, Can sterile neutrinos be the dark matter?, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 191303 [astro-ph/0602430].
- [116] J.F. Cherry and S. Horiuchi, *Closing in on Resonantly Produced Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter*, 1701.07874.
- [117] DES collaboration, Milky Way Satellite Census. III. Constraints on Dark Matter Properties from Observations of Milky Way Satellite Galaxies, 2008.00022.

- Kevork N. Abazajian
- [118] D. Gilman, S. Birrer, A. Nierenberg, T. Treu, X. Du and A. Benson, Warm dark matter chills out: constraints on the halo mass function and the free-streaming length of dark matter with eight quadruple-image strong gravitational lenses, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 491 (2020) 6077 [1908.06983].
- [119] E.O. Nadler, S. Birrer, D. Gilman, R.H. Wechsler, X. Du, A. Benson et al., Dark Matter Constraints from a Unified Analysis of Strong Gravitational Lenses and Milky Way Satellite Galaxies, 2101.07810.
- [120] A. D'Aloisio and P. Natarajan, Cosmography with cluster strong lenses: the influence of substructure and line-of-sight haloes, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 411 (2010) 1628–1640.
- [121] T.R.G. Richardson, J. Stücker, R.E. Angulo and O. Hahn, Non-Halo Structures and their Effects on Gravitational Lensing, 2101.07806.
- [122] J. Hermans, N. Banik, C. Weniger, G. Bertone and G. Louppe, *Towards constraining warm* dark matter with stellar streams through neural simulation-based inference, 2011.14923.
- [123] A. De Gouvêa, M. Sen, W. Tangarife and Y. Zhang, Dodelson-Widrow Mechanism in the Presence of Self-Interacting Neutrinos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124 (2020) 081802 [1910.04901].
- [124] DUNE collaboration, Long-Baseline Neutrino Facility (LBNF) and Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE): Conceptual Design Report, Volume 2: The Physics Program for DUNE at LBNF, 1512.06148.
- [125] R. Shrock, Decay 10 -> nu(lepton) gamma in gauge theories of weak and electromagnetic interactions, Phys. Rev. D9 (1974) 743.
- [126] P.B. Pal and L. Wolfenstein, *Radiative decays of massive neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev.* D25 (1982) 766.
- [127] M. Drees, Comment on 'A New dark matter candidate: Nonthermal sterile neutrinos', hep-ph/0003127.
- [128] A.D. Dolgov and S.H. Hansen, Massive sterile neutrinos as warm dark matter, Astropart. Phys. 16 (2002) 339 [hep-ph/0009083].
- [129] K. Abazajian, G.M. Fuller and M. Patel, Sterile neutrino hot, warm, and cold dark matter, Phys. Rev. D64 (2001) 023501 [astro-ph/0101524].
- [130] K. Abazajian, G.M. Fuller and W.H. Tucker, *Direct detection of warm dark matter in the x-ray, Astrophys. J.* 562 (2001) 593 [astro-ph/0106002].
- [131] K.N. Abazajian, M. Markevitch, S.M. Koushiappas and R.C. Hickox, *Limits on the radiative decay of sterile neutrino dark matter from the unresolved cosmic and soft X-ray backgrounds*, *Phys. Rev.* D75 (2007) 063511 [astro-ph/0611144].

- [132] E. Bulbul, M. Markevitch, A. Foster, R.K. Smith, M. Loewenstein et al., *Detection of An* Unidentified Emission Line in the Stacked X-ray spectrum of Galaxy Clusters, Astrophys.J. 789 (2014) 13 [1402.2301].
- [133] A. Boyarsky, O. Ruchayskiy, D. Iakubovskyi and J. Franse, Unidentified Line in X-Ray Spectra of the Andromeda Galaxy and Perseus Galaxy Cluster, Phys.Rev.Lett. 113 (2014) 251301 [1402.4119].
- [134] A. Neronov, D. Malyshev and D. Eckert, *Decaying dark matter search with NuSTAR deep sky observations*, *Phys. Rev.* D94 (2016) 123504 [1607.07328].
- [135] L. Bergstrom, P. Ullio and J.H. Buckley, Observability of gamma-rays from dark matter neutralino annihilations in the Milky Way halo, Astropart. Phys. 9 (1998) 137 [astro-ph/9712318].
- [136] F. Hofmann and C. Wegg, 7.1 keV sterile neutrino dark matter constraints from a deep Chandra X-ray observation of the Galactic bulge Limiting Window, Astron. Astrophys. 625 (2019) L7 [1905.00916].
- [137] C. Dessert, N.L. Rodd and B.R. Safdi, The dark matter interpretation of the 3.5-keV line is inconsistent with blank-sky observations, Science 367 (2020) 1465 [1812.06976].
- [138] K.N. Abazajian, Technical Comment on "The dark matter interpretation of the 3.5-keV line is inconsistent with blank-sky observations", 2004.06170.
- [139] A. Boyarsky, D. Malyshev, O. Ruchayskiy and D. Savchenko, *Technical comment on the paper of Dessert et al.* "The dark matter interpretation of the 3.5 keV line is inconsistent with blank-sky observations", 2004.06601.
- [140] J.W. Foster, M. Kongsore, C. Dessert, Y. Park, N.L. Rodd, K. Cranmer et al., A deep search for decaying dark matter with XMM-Newton blank-sky observations, 2102.02207.
- [141] D. Sicilian, N. Cappelluti, E. Bulbul, F. Civano, M. Moscetti and C.S. Reynolds, *Probing the Milky Way's Dark Matter Halo for the 3.5 keV Line*, *Astrophys. J.* **905** (2020) 146 [2008.02283].
- [142] S. Riemer-Sørensen et al., Dark matter line emission constraints from NuSTAR observations of the Bullet Cluster, Astrophys. J. 810 (2015) 48 [1507.01378].
- [143] K. Perez, K.C.Y. Ng, J.F. Beacom, C. Hersh, S. Horiuchi and R. Krivonos, Almost closing the vMSM sterile neutrino dark matter window with NuSTAR, Phys. Rev. D 95 (2017) 123002 [1609.00667].
- [144] K.C.Y. Ng, B.M. Roach, K. Perez, J.F. Beacom, S. Horiuchi, R. Krivonos et al., New Constraints on Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter from NuSTAR M31 Observations, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 083005 [1901.01262].

- [145] B.M. Roach, K.C.Y. Ng, K. Perez, J.F. Beacom, S. Horiuchi, R. Krivonos et al., NuSTAR Tests of Sterile-Neutrino Dark Matter: New Galactic Bulge Observations and Combined Impact, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 103011 [1908.09037].
- [146] A. Boyarsky, D. Malyshev, A. Neronov and O. Ruchayskiy, *Constraining DM properties with SPI, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 387 (2008) 1345 [0710.4922].
- [147] K.C.Y. Ng, S. Horiuchi, J.M. Gaskins, M. Smith and R. Preece, *Improved Limits on Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter using Full-Sky Fermi Gamma-Ray Burst Monitor Data*, *Phys. Rev.* D92 (2015) 043503 [1504.04027].
- [148] D. Malyshev, A. Neronov and D. Eckert, Constraints on 3.55 keV line emission from stacked observations of dwarf spheroidal galaxies, Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 103506 [1408.3531].
- [149] A. Boyarsky, A. Neronov, O. Ruchayskiy and M. Shaposhnikov, *Constraints on sterile neutrino as a dark matter candidate from the diffuse x-ray background*, *Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc.* **370** (2006) 213 [astro-ph/0512509].
- [150] A. Boyarsky, A. Neronov, O. Ruchayskiy and M. Shaposhnikov, *Restrictions on parameters of sterile neutrino dark matter from observations of galaxy clusters*, *Phys.Rev.* D74 (2006) 103506 [astro-ph/0603368].
- [151] A. Neronov and D. Malyshev, Toward a full test of the vMSM sterile neutrino dark matter model with Athena, Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 063518 [1509.02758].
- [152] D. Zhong, M. Valli and K.N. Abazajian, Near to long-term forecasts in x-ray and gamma-ray bands: Are we entering the era of dark matter astronomy?, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 083008 [2003.00148].
- [153] D. Malyshev, C. Thorpe-Morgan, A. Santangelo, J. Jochum and S.-N. Zhang, eXTP perspectives for the vMSM sterile neutrino dark matter model, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 123009 [2001.07014].
- [154] A. Boyarsky, J. Franse, D. Iakubovskyi and O. Ruchayskiy, *Checking the Dark Matter Origin of a 3.53 keV Line with the Milky Way Center*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015) 161301 [1408.2503].
- [155] D. Iakubovskyi, E. Bulbul, A.R. Foster, D. Savchenko and V. Sadova, *Testing the origin of 3.55 keV line in individual galaxy clusters observed with XMM-Newton*, 1508.05186.
- [156] N. Cappelluti, E. Bulbul, A. Foster, P. Natarajan, M.C. Urry, M.W. Bautz et al., Searching for the 3.5 keV Line in the Deep Fields with Chandra: the 10 Ms observations, 1701.07932.
- [157] T.E. Jeltema and S. Profumo, Discovery of a 3.5 keV line in the Galactic Centre and a critical look at the origin of the line across astronomical targets, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 450 (2015) 2143 [1408.1699].

- [158] E. Bulbul, M. Markevitch, A.R. Foster, R.K. Smith, M. Loewenstein and S.W. Randall, Comment on "Dark matter searches going bananas: the contribution of Potassium (and Chlorine) to the 3.5 keV line", 1409.4143.
- [159] A. Boyarsky, J. Franse, D. Iakubovskyi and O. Ruchayskiy, Comment on the paper "Dark matter searches going bananas: the contribution of Potassium (and Chlorine) to the 3.5 keV line" by T. Jeltema and S. Profumo, 1408.4388.
- [160] E.G. Speckhard, K.C.Y. Ng, J.F. Beacom and R. Laha, *Dark Matter Velocity Spectroscopy*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 031301 [1507.04744].
- [161] K. Nandra et al., *The Hot and Energetic Universe: A White Paper presenting the science theme motivating the Athena+ mission*, 1306.2307.
- [162] LYNX TEAM collaboration, The Lynx Mission Concept Study Interim Report, 1809.09642.
- [163] EXTP collaboration, eXTP enhanced X-ray Timing and Polarimetry Mission, Proc. SPIE Int. Soc. Opt. Eng. 9905 (2016) 99051Q [1607.08823].
- [164] F. Zandanel, C. Weniger and S. Ando, *The role of the eROSITA all-sky survey in searches for sterile neutrino dark matter*, *JCAP* 1509 (2015) 060 [1505.07829].
- [165] V.V. Barinov, D.S. Gorbunov, R.A. Burenin and R.A. Krivonos, *Towards Testing Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter with SRG Mission*, 2007.07969.