# PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

# PoS

# First measurements from charmless B decays at Belle II

# Yun-Tsung Lai<sup>*a*,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Kavli IPMU, 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba, Japan On behalf of the Belle II Collaboration

*E-mail:* yun-tsung.lai@ipmu.jp

We report on the first measurements of branching fractions, *CP*-violating charge-asymmetries, and polarizations in various charmless *B* decays at Belle II. We use a data sample of electron-positron collisions collected in 2019–2020 at the  $\Upsilon(4S)$  resonance from the SuperKEKB collider. The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 34.6 fb<sup>-1</sup>. All results are consistent with known values. In addition, these results provide extensive validations of the detector performance and analysis strategies.

BEAUTY2020 21-24 September 2020 Kashiwa, Japan (online)

### \*Speaker

<sup>©</sup> Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

#### 1. Introduction

Charmless *B* decays are important to search for non-Standard-Model (non-SM) physics in the flavor sector. Many decay channels are governed by 'penguin' amplitudes, which are sensitive to non-SM contributions within the loop. Studying them in detail is an important goal of the Belle II experiment [1]. With the largest sample of  $e^+e^-$  collisions anticipated in the next decade, Belle II is expected to improve significantly the measurements associated with charmless *B* decay, such as the determination of the CKM phase  $\alpha/\phi_2$  [1, 2], the precision test of the  $K\pi$  isospin sum rule [1, 3], and the study of local CP-violating asymmetries in the phase space of three-body *B* decays [1]. In addition, the measurement of decay-time-dependent *CP* violation in the penguindominated  $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^0$  mode, compared with corresponding results from  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^0$  decays, will offer a probe of non-SM physics [1]. Measurements of the longitudinal-polarization fractions  $(f_L)$  of decays of *B* mesons into pairs of vector mesons also probe non-SM dynamics. Previous measurements of  $f_L$  with  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^0$  decays showed a sizable contribution from transverse polarization, while most predictions expect the longitudinal component to dominate [4]. More precise  $f_L$  measurements may shed light on the issue.

SuperKEKB [5] is an asymmetric  $e^+e^+$  collider, which started collision operations with the Belle II detector [6] in March 2019. We use a data sample of 34.6 fb<sup>-1</sup>, which was collected at the Y(4S) resonance up to May 2020. This report presents the measurements of branching fractions ( $\mathcal{B}$ ), *CP*-violating charge-asymmetries ( $\mathcal{A}_{CP}$ ), and  $f_L$  based on the following *B* decays reconstructed in Belle II data:  $B^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ ,  $B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $B^+ \to K^+\pi^0$ ,  $B^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0$ ,  $B^+ \to K^0\pi^+$ ,  $B^0 \to K^0\pi^0$ ,  $B^+ \to K^+K^-K^+$ ,  $B^+ \to K^+\pi^-\pi^+$ ,  $B^0 \to \phi K^0$ ,  $B^+ \to \phi K^+$ ,  $B^0 \to \phi K^{*0}$ , and  $B^{*+} \to \phi K^{*+}$  [7, 8].

The *B* reconstruction, event-seletion criteria, and background suppression strategy are studied with various simulated signal and background samples. Charged-particle trajectories (tracks) are identified with inner vertex detectors and a central drift chamber with requirements on the displacement from the interaction point to reduce beam-background-induced tracks. The identification of charged particles uses the information from two particle-identification (PID) devices, a time-ofpropagation counter in the barrel region and a proximity-focusing aerogel ring-image Cherenkov counter in the forward endcap region. Decays of  $\pi^0$  candidates are reconstructed by combining two isolated clusters in the electromagnetic calorimeter, with requirements on the helicity angle and the results of a kinematic-fit constrained to the  $\pi^0$  mass. Decays of  $K_s^0$  candidates are reconstructed from two opposite-charge pion candidates consistent with arising from a common vertex, with additional requirements on their kinematic and topological variables, e.g., momentum, flight distance, distance between pion trajectories, to further reduce the combinatorial background. Decays of  $\phi$ candidates are reconstructed from two opposite-charge kaon candidates. Decays of  $K^{*0}$  candidates are reconstructed from one  $K^+$  and one  $\pi^-$ , and decays of  $K^{*+}$  candidates are reconstructed from one  $K_{\rm S}^0$  and one  $\pi^+$ . In three-body decays, we suppress the relevant peaking backgrounds from charmed or charmonium intermediate states by excluding the corresponding two-body mass ranges.

We use the following two variables to distinguish the signal *B* events from other backgrounds: the energy difference  $\Delta E \equiv E_B^* - \sqrt{s}/2$  between the reconstructed *B* candidate and half of the collision energy in the  $\Upsilon(4S)$  frame, and the beam-energy-constrained mass  $M_{\rm bc} \equiv \sqrt{s/(4c^2) - (p_B^*/c)^2}$ , where  $\sqrt{s}$  is the collision energy, and  $E_B^*$  and  $p_B^*$  are the energy and momentum of reconstructed *B* candidates in the  $\Upsilon(4S)$  frame.

## 2. Continuum background suppression

One of the main challenges of reconstructing charmless *B* decays is the large combinatorial background from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$  (q = u, d, s, c) processes. Signal rates 10<sup>5</sup> times smaller than those to produce continuum background and the lack of distinctive final-state features (leptons or intermediate resonances) make the reconstruction of signal hard. Therefore, to discriminate between signal and continuum background, a binary boosted decision-tree (BDT) classifier is used which makes use of a non-linear combination of more than 30 variables. The input variables to the BDT include event topology variables, flavor-tagging information, vertex-fitting information, and kinematic-fit information. All of these variables are required to have little or no correlation with  $\Delta E$  and  $M_{\rm bc}$ .

#### 3. Signal extraction and measurement results

We use unbinned maximum likelihood fits to extract signal yields from the data to calculate various physics observables. In the  $B \to hh$  and  $B \to hhh$  (h = K or  $\pi$ ) analyses, only  $\Delta E$  is fit for events restricted to  $M_{\rm bc} > 5.27 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ . The fits to the two  $B \to \phi K$  modes use five variables:  $\Delta E$ ,  $M_{\rm bc}$ , output of the continuum suppression BDT discriminator ( $C'_{\rm out}$ ),  $K^+K^-$  candidate mass ( $m_{K^+K^-}$ ), and cosine of the  $\phi$  candidate's helicity angle ( $\cos\theta_{H,\phi}$ ). The fits to the two  $B \to \phi K^*$  modes use seven variables:  $K^+\pi^-$  candidate mass ( $m_{K\pi}$ ) and cosine of the  $K^*$  candidate's helicity angle ( $\cos\theta_{H,K^*}$ ) in addition to those used in  $B \to \phi K$  modes. By fitting the data, we determine the following quantities:

- Branching fractions:  $\mathcal{B} = \frac{N}{\epsilon \times 2 \times N_{BB}}$ , where *N* is the signal yield,  $\epsilon$  is the signal reconstruction efficiency, which is determined from simulation and validated with control samples, and  $N_{BB}$  is the number of  $B\overline{B}$  events (19.7 × 10<sup>6</sup> for  $B^+B^-$  and 18.7 × 10<sup>6</sup> for  $B^0\overline{B}^0$ ).  $N_{BB}$  is obtained from the measured integrated luminosity, the exclusive  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$  cross section, and  $\mathcal{B}(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0\overline{B}^0)$  [9].
- *CP* asymmetries: The raw asymmetries are obtained as  $\mathcal{A} = \frac{N(b)-N(\overline{b})}{N(b)+N(\overline{b})}$ , where N(b) and  $N(\overline{b})$  are the yields of the final-state mesons containing *b* and  $\overline{b}$  flavors, respectively. The *CP* asymmetry  $\mathcal{A}_{CP}$  is obtained by subtracting the instrumental asymmetry  $\mathcal{A}_{det}$  from  $\mathcal{A}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_{det}(K^+\pi^-) = -0.010 \pm 0.003$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{det}(K_S^0\pi^+) = -0.007 \pm 0.022$  are measured on large samples of  $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$  and  $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+$  decays with negligible *CP* violation. Then  $\mathcal{A}_{det}(K^+)$  is determined as  $\mathcal{A}_{det}(K^+) = \mathcal{A}_{det}(K^+\pi^-) \mathcal{A}_{det}(K_S^0\pi^+) + \mathcal{A}_{det}(K_S^0) = -0.015 \pm 0.022$ , where an upper bound on  $\mathcal{A}_{det}(K_S^0)$  is used based on the previous measurements [10].
- Longitudinal polarization fractions:  $f_L = \frac{N_L/\epsilon_L}{N_L/\epsilon_L+N_T/\epsilon_T}$ , where  $N_{L(T)}$  and  $\epsilon_{L(T)}$  are the signal yield and signal reconstruction efficiency with longitudinal (transverse) polarization, respectively. The distinctive helicity-angle distributions allow the separation of the two signal components.

Figures 1 and 2 show the  $\Delta E$  distributions in data for  $B^0 \to K^+\pi^-$  and  $B^+ \to K^+K^-K^+$ , with fit projections overlaid. Figure 3 shows the  $\Delta E$ ,  $M_{\rm bc}$ ,  $C'_{\rm out}$ ,  $m_{K^+K^-}$ , and  $\cos\theta_{H,\phi}$  distributions in data



**Figure 1:** Distribution of  $\Delta E$  for  $B^0 \to K^+\pi^-$  (left) and  $\overline{B}^0 \to K^-\pi^+$  (right) decays with fit projections overlaid.



**Figure 2:** Distribution of  $\Delta E$  for  $B^+ \to K^+ K^- K^+$  (left) and  $B^- \to K^- K^+ K^-$  (right) decays with fit projections overlaid.

for  $B^+ \to \phi K^+$ , with fit projections overlaid. Figure 4 shows the  $\Delta E$ ,  $M_{\rm bc}$ ,  $C'_{\rm out}$ ,  $m_{K^+K^-}$ ,  $\cos\theta_{H,\phi}$ ,  $m_{K\pi}$ , and  $\cos\theta_{H,K^*}$  distributions in data for  $B^+ \to \phi K^{*+}$  decay, with fit projections overlaid. The major systematic uncertainties come from tracking, PID, and fit modelling. All the measurement results are summarized in Table 1.



**Figure 3:** Distribution of  $\Delta E$ ,  $M_{\rm bc}$ ,  $C'_{\rm out}$ ,  $m_{K^+K^-}$ , and  $\cos\theta_{H,\phi}$  for  $B^+ \to \phi K^+$  decay with fit projections overlaid.



**Figure 4:** Distribution of  $\Delta E$ ,  $M_{bc}$ ,  $C'_{out}$ ,  $m_{K^+K^-}$ ,  $\cos\theta_{H,\phi}$ ,  $m_{K\pi}$ , and  $\cos\theta_{H,K^*}$  for  $B^+ \to \phi K^{*+}$  decay with fit projections overlaid.

$\nabla$
0
S
$\frown$
Ш
E
$\supset$
Ć
нİ
ЬŔ
N
$\bigcirc$
N
$\bigcirc$
$\smile$
$\bigcirc$

**Table 1:** Summary of measurement results. The first uncertainties are statistical and the second ones are systematic.

Mode	$\mathcal{B}(10^{-6})$	$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{CP}}$	$f_L$
$B^0 \to K^+ \pi^-$	$18.9\pm1.4\pm1.0$	$0.030 \pm 0.064 \pm 0.008$	-
$B^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$	$5.6^{+1.0}_{-0.9} \pm 0.3$	-	-
$B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0$	$12.7^{+2.2}_{-2.1} \pm 1.1$	$0.052^{+0.121}_{-0.119} \pm 0.022$	-
$B^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0$	$5.7 \pm 2.3 \pm 0.5$	$-0.268^{+0.249}_{-0.322} \pm 0.123$	-
$B^+ \to K^0 \pi^+$	$21.8^{+3.3}_{-3.0} \pm 2.9$	$-0.072^{+0.109}_{-0.114} \pm 0.024$	-
$B^0 \to K^0 \pi^0$	$10.9^{+2.9}_{-2.6} \pm 1.6$	-	-
$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^+$	$32.0 \pm 2.2 \pm 1.4$	$-0.049 \pm 0.063 \pm 0.022$	-
$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^+$	$48.0\pm3.8\pm3.3$	$-0.063 \pm 0.081 \pm 0.023$	-
$B^0 \to \phi K^0$	$5.9 \pm 1.8 \pm 0.7$	-	-
$B^+ \to \phi K^+$	$6.7\pm1.1\pm0.5$	-	-
$B^0 \to \phi K^{*0}$	$11.0 \pm 2.1 \pm 1.1$	-	$0.57 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.04$
$B^{*+} \rightarrow \phi K^{*+}$	$21.7\pm4.6\pm1.9$	-	$0.58 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.02$

## 4. Summary

Belle II reports its first measurements of charmless *B* decays with a data sample corresponding to 34.6 fb<sup>-1</sup>. The measurements include branching fractions, *CP* asymmetries, and longitudinal polarization fractions. All the results are in agreement with the known values, and provide a good validation of the detector performance and analysis strategies.

# References

- [1] E. Kou *et al.* (Belle II Collaboration), PTEP **2019** (2019) no.12, 123C01, arXiv:1808.10567
   [hep-ex].
- [2] M. Gronau and D. London, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 3381.
- [3] M. Gronau, Phys. Lett. B 627 (2005) no. 1, 82-88.
- [4] M. Tanabashi et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 030001.
- [5] K. Akai et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 907 (2018) 188-199.
- [6] T. Abe *et al.* (Belle II Collaboration), arXiv:1011.0352 [hep-ex].
- [7] F. Abudinén et al. (Belle II Collaboration), arXiv:2009.09452 [hep-ex].
- [8] F. Abudinén et al. (Belle II Collaboration), arXiv:2008.03873 [hep-ex].
- [9] A. J. Bevan *et al.* (Belle and BaBar Collaborations), Eur. Phys. J. C74 (2014) 3026, arXiv:1406.6311 [hep-ex].
- [10] A. Davis *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), [LHCb-PUB-2018-004].