ANTARES offline study of three alerts after Baikal-GVD follow-up found coincident cascade neutrino events
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ANTARES and Baikal-GVD are both Cherenkov neutrino telescopes located in the Northern Hemisphere so their fields of view almost overlap allowing for a combined study of the sky. ANTARES sends alerts after a fast online analysis based on energy and reconstruction direction of track-like events. From December of 2018 until the beginning of 2021, Baikal-GVD received 38 ANTARES alerts, and followed up 32. No coincidence was found. However, a search of the Baikal-GVD cascade sample showed some events falling within an angular distance of less than 5° for three of the ANTARES alerts in a time span of 48 hours. A dedicated offline analysis based on the full ANTARES data sample has been started to search for additional coincident tracks and cascades at a 3\(\sigma\) significance. In this work we present the final results of the offline analysis of the three ANTARES alerts: limits on the astrophysical neutrino fluence are reported.
1. Introduction

The ANTARES neutrino telescope is a water Cherenkov neutrino detector anchored to the seabed at a depth of about 2500 metres, 40 km offshore from Toulon. It consist of 12 strings composed of 25 storeys, each one with 3 down-facing optical modules (OM). Each OM houses a 10 inch photomultiplier [1]. ANTARES has been operational for more than 13 years and has actively participated in sending alerts for interesting neutrino events to other observatories like the Baikal-GVD experiment.

Baikal-GVD, referred as GVD hereafter, is another water Cherenkov neutrino detector located in the depths of Lake Baikal, Russia. The Baikal-GVD telescope, forward referred as GVD, is organised in clusters. Each cluster holds 8 strings of 36 OMs. Currently 8 of 14 clusters are installed, covering an effective detection volume of 0.40 km$^3$ [2]. From December 2018 to December 2020, GVD received 38 ANTARES alerts and followed up 32 of these as 6 alerts were sent during a period of detector maintenance. After no prompt coincidence was found by GVD (see section 2), further investigation showed some cascades events at an angular distance to the event below 5$^\circ$ in a time span of ±1 day around the alert time for 3 of these alerts. The 4.5$^\circ$ median angular resolution of GVD for cascades allows for the possibility of these events to be spatially correlated, which makes them of special interest.

ANTARES alerts are based on upgoing track-like events obtained from an online reconstruction. This means that the complete ANTARES data sample, consisting also of downgoing tracks and the cascade channel is still unexplored. For this reason a dedicated offline analysis has been conducted to search for additional events coming from the same direction.

2. ANTARES alerts

ANTARES distributes external alerts in case of three classes of events: 1) doublets of neutrinos, that is, detection of at least two neutrino induced muons coming from similar directions of the sky within a predefined time window. 2) In the case of neutrinos with estimated energy $E > 1$ TeV and direction close to local galaxies (shortened as DIR); 3) and for high ($E > 7$ TeV) or very high energy ($E > 30$ TeV) neutrinos, HE trigger and VHE trigger respectively [3].

The skymap for the three ANTARES alerts with coincident cascade events are shown in Figure 1 together with the coincident GVD cascades. Values for the alert time and coordinates are shown in Table 1 together with the trigger type.

GVD’s follow-up frame consist of two different searches. The first one looks for events detected by a single cluster in 3 different time windows. First, $+ \pm 500$ sec to the alert time (here referred as prompt coincidences), then in $\pm 1$ hour and, finally, in $\pm 1$ day. The search is always done around a cone of 5$^\circ$ around alert position. The second search looks for coincidences on two or more clusters within 6 $\mu$s for the first $\pm$10 seconds and, in an extended interval, for the next $\pm$1 hour around the trigger. Baikal only found coincident cascades for 3 of the 38 ANTARES alerts inside the 5$^\circ$ cone and $\pm 1$ day time window. The coordinates of this cascades can be seen in Figure 1. Values for the detection time of these cascades can be found in table 2. An estimation of the expected background for a single cluster during 24 hours is also given together with the pre-trial p-values reported from GVD. The smallest pre-trial p-value is found for alert A7 being $8.46 \cdot 10^{-4}$ (3.1$\sigma$). This is also
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Figure 1: Skymap of the three ANTARES alerts studied in this work. The red circle shows the GVD search cone. The blue circle is the median angular resolution of the GVD detector for cascade events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alert ID</th>
<th>Right Asc. [°]</th>
<th>Declination [°]</th>
<th>MJD</th>
<th>Trigger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>151.1</td>
<td>-27.3</td>
<td>58521.773</td>
<td>DIR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15</td>
<td>280.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>58640.799</td>
<td>HE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A16</td>
<td>186.5</td>
<td>-4.2</td>
<td>58645.156</td>
<td>HE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Values for the equatorial coordinates, time and trigger type of the three ANTARES alerts with coincident GVD cascades. The time is given in Modified Julian Days, that is, Julian Days respect to the Epoch J2000.0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alert ID</th>
<th># cas.</th>
<th>(\Delta T_{\text{trigger}}) [h]</th>
<th>Bkg/ (clust.-day)</th>
<th>(p_{\text{pre-trial}}) value</th>
<th>sig. [(\sigma)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+21.7, -3.2, -23.2</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>8.46 (\times) 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+20.3, -0.6</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>5.2 (\times) 10(^{-3})</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-14.8, -18.6</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>3.6 (\times) 10(^{-3})</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Values on the GVD coincident cascades arriving times and expected background for a single cluster during a day. The pre-trial p-value is also given and its value in terms of sigmas as reported from GVD. Significance for A7 is computed only for 2 cascades.

a special case as 2 cascades were detected with the same cluster, so the p-value is computed only for cascades detected with different clusters, that is, one cascade has been excluded. Values for the post-trial significance are under investigation and are not presented in this work.

3. Analysis Method

For the three alerts for which GVD found coincident cascades (Table 1) the ANTARES collaboration performed a binned analysis to search for additional events from the same sky direction. Binned methods are based on comparing the measured data with the expected background and computing the significance of the excess. For this particular analysis a set of cuts used for event selection, including the size of the region of interest (RoI), is optimised such that, with the resulting background inside the RoI, a single detected event would have a significance of 3\(\sigma\).

The main background in the ANTARES neutrino telescopes stems from muons and neutrinos generated in the atmosphere. Muons cannot cross the Earth so for the analysis of events coming
from above the horizon, the background reach higher levels than for events coming from below. The search time window used on this part of the analysis is 48 hours centred around the time of the alert as distributed by ANTARES. Due to the declination of the three alerts reported in Table 1, they will sometimes be above or below the ANTARES horizon. Table 3 shows for each alert how much time the events coming from that region of the sky are expected to be visible as upgoing or downgoing. For this reason the analysis is divided in two complementary analyses that depends on the zenith direction of the events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alert ID</th>
<th>$T_{up}$ [h]</th>
<th>$T_{down}$ [h]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A16</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Time in hours that each alert will transverse the sky above or below the ANTARES horizon.

In the same way, different signatures can be distinguished depending on the type of neutrino interaction (charged current or neutral current interaction) and the neutrino flavor. Muon neutrinos interacting through a charged current interaction will manifest themselves with a track-like signature. Neutral current interactions and charged current interactions of electron neutrinos will always manifest themselves as a cascade of many charged particles. Each of this morphologies are parametrised with different variables ([4], Chapter 4.2), thus for each region of the sky the optimisation is divided into the optimisation of the track sample and the optimisation of the cascade sample. The two samples are exclusive since no event belongs to both.

Muon tracks are reconstructed using a multistep procedure that concludes with a maximum likelihood method [5]. This likelihood takes into account the so-called hits. A hit is the digital information on the time and amplitude of a PMT signal. Neutrino-induced muons are selected by applying cuts on the reconstructed zenith angle ($\cos \theta_{\text{re}}$), the estimated angular error ($\beta_{\text{er}}$), and the parameter that describes the quality of the reconstruction (denoted as $\lambda$), [6]. In the present analysis, a cut on the total number of hits, $N_{\text{Hits}}$, is also used. An energy estimator is defined based on the hit charge (recorded by all PMTs used to reconstruct the track) and the length of the muon path in the detector. The selection of higher energy events favor the selection of neutrinos of cosmic origin.

Events not reconstructed as track are considered cascades. They are reconstructed with an algorithm based on a two-step procedure [7]

The background expected inside a selected RoI around a given declination and right ascension is computed from real data. Real data is composed mostly of background so the right ascension is scrambled (blinded) to hide any possible sources. Events are counted inside a band of constant declination and width $2 \times R_{\text{RoI}}$, and are later renormalised to the solid angle of the cone. Also, to further increase statistics, data from many days is used, so it has to be also temporally renormalised to the correct time window. Finally, with $N_{\text{cuts}}$ the number of events passing the cuts on the declination band, $N_{\text{bkg}}$ is:

$$N_{\text{bkg}} = N_{\text{cuts}} \times \frac{2\pi (1 - \cos R_{\text{RoI}})}{2\pi (\sin (\delta_{\text{alert}} + R_{\text{RoI}}) - \sin (\delta_{\text{alert}} - R_{\text{RoI}}))} \times \frac{T_{\text{search}}}{T_{\text{total}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
As the size of the RoI is a free parameter in the optimisation, for each possible RoI we will find a set of cuts that fixes the expected background below the 3σ level. To decide which is the best possible $R_{RoI}$ and cut combination, all the found sets of cuts are compared in terms of resulting acceptance (Acc) to a $E^{-2}$ spectrum, which is expected from Fermi acceleration model for astrophysical sources [8], and the one yielding the highest value is selected.

The acceptance (Acc) measures the sensitivity to a signal with a certain spectrum and relates the number of detected events, $n_s$, to the total neutrino flux, $\phi_0$, through the following equation:

$$n_s = \text{Acc} \cdot \phi_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Where $\phi_0$ is the normalisation factor of the flux such that $\frac{d\phi_0}{dE} = \phi_0 E^{-2}$ with units of $1/(\text{GeV} \cdot \text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{s})$. Hence, the acceptance has units of neutrinos per unit flux. It is obtained from the effective area of the detector, $A_{eff}$, which can be defined from the following equation:

$$n_s = \int \frac{d\phi_{\nu}(E)}{dE} \cdot A_{eff}(E) \, dE.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The integral is performed in all of allowed energies, which for the ANTARES neutrino telescope range from 100 GeV to $10^8$ GeV. The effective area is a priori unknown and it is computed for each possible cut from Monte Carlo simulation.

This criterion is based on minimising the Model Rejection Factor [9]:

$$\text{MRF} = \frac{\bar{\mu}_{90\%}}{n_s}.$$  

As $\bar{\mu}_{90\%}$ only depends on the background, which is fixed for all the cuts, the only way of minimising the MRF is to increase $n_s$, which is equivalent to finding the highest value for the acceptance as seen in equation (2).

4. Results

After completing the optimisation for both interaction channels and sky directions, the data corresponding to the 48 hour time window is explored for additional events. For each event inside the RoI around the alert is searched for a possible track, and if the result is negative, then the cascade condition is tested, and if negative again the event is discarded.

No extra signal was detected so limits on the neutrino fluence were computed for a $E^{-2}$ spectrum. Using Poisson statistics, these upper limits correspond to the time integrated flux that would produced on average $n_{90\%} = 2.3$ detected neutrino events. The spectral fluence at the detector can be defined as:

$$\Phi_0^{UL}[\text{GeV/cm}^2] = \int \int E \frac{d\phi_{\nu}}{dE} \, dE \, dt = \Delta T \int E \frac{d\phi_{\nu}}{dE} \, dE.$$  

The spectral fluence is computed for the upgoing and downgoing sky separately so $\Delta T$, which is the search time, correspond to those shown in Table 3. As expected, the cuts for the downgoing sky are much stricter than for the upgoing sky, so the limits are overall a factor 4 worse. This can be seen in Figure 2. The expected error for these values is expected to be around 30% and 40% for the upgoing and downgoing sky respectively [10].
Figure 2: Limits on the neutrino fluence during the time search divided in upgoing and downgoing sky. The red lines represent the detection time of the GVD cascades respect to the alert time.

5. Conclusion and outlook

In this analysis we explored the full ANTARES data set around three alerts for which Baikal-GVD found time coincident cascades near those three locations on the sky. A binned analysis method was used combined with a set of selection cuts that would ensure a $3\sigma$ significance in case of extra signal detected. After the unblinding of the events, no extra signal was found near the alerts so limits on the fluence where computed as shown in Figure 2.

As mentioned in the introduction, ANTARES alerts are obtained from an online reconstruction based on an online calibration whereas data is obtained using the same reconstruction algorithm but with a more elaborated detector calibration. This means that the events that triggered the alerts might not manifest again in the offline data. However, that’s not the case with the three trigger tracks passing the $3\sigma$ selection cuts and being reconstructed, at most, $0.5^\circ$ from their original direction.
Obviously they have not been considered, hence quoting the limits on the extra signal.

The significance of Baikal findings is close to the 3σ significance as can be seen in table 2. Considering that the ANTARES tracks are also quite significant when compared to pure background, further efforts are being pursued to compute the joint significance of both findings as the evidence 3σ threshold could be surpassed, specially for alert A7.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Generalitat Valenciana, Spain. Grant CIDEGENT 2018/034. This work was supported by RFBR grants 2002-00400 and 19-29-11029.

References


[4] G. Illuminati, Searches for cosmic neutrino sources with ANTARES, KM3NeT and IceCube and time calibration of ANTARES,.


Full Authors List: Baikal-GVD Collaboration


1Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia. 2Institute for Nuclear Research, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia. 3EvoLogics GmbH, Berlin, Germany. 4Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia. 5Irkutsk State University, Irkutsk, Russia. 6Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic. 7Nizhny Novgorod State Technical University, Nizhny Novgorod, Russia. 8Institute of Nuclear Physics of Polish Academy of Sciences (IFJ PAN), Kraków, Poland. 9Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia. 10St. Petersburg State Marine Technical University, St.Petersburg, Russia. *Deceased.