Galactic Cosmic Ray increase associated with an interplanetary magnetic cloud observed by HAWC
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We present the observation of an anomalous increment of the galactic cosmic ray (GCR) flux observed by the HAWC array during October 2016. We propose that this anisotropy of the GCR flux was caused by a magnetic flux rope (MFR) i.e., by the helicoidal magnetic field of an interplanetary coronal mass ejection observed at 1 AU at the same time.

We computed the trajectory of protons with energy in the range of 10 to 60 GeV, traveling inside the helicoidal magnetic field observed in situ. The direction of these particles changes towards the axis of the MFR resulting in an anisotropy of the GCR flux along this axis.

This model shows that the alignment between the MFR axis and the HAWC’s asymptotic direction, combined with the high sensitivity of HAWC, allowed us to observe the effect of the passage of the MFR on the GCR flux.

We present the HAWC observation associated with the passage of the MFR as well as the heliospheric circumstances around such phenomenon.
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1. Introduction

The flux of galactic cosmic rays (GCR) is isotropic due to the effect of the magnetic fields that these charged particles encounter between the source and the observer. When these particles reach the inner heliosphere, they interact with the solar wind as described by the Parker equation [1]. The 22 year cycle and Forbush decreases [2, 3] are well known examples of the effects of this interaction and have been observed and studied for long time. Although, there is an interesting phenomenon which has been less studied but that deserves more attention: the increments of the GCR flux observed around the time when an interplanetary coronal mass ejection (ICME) is in the vicinity of the Earth. In this work, we analyze one of this kind of events observed by the HAWC in October 2016 (Sec. 3), and we propose a method to quantify the importance of the increment (Sec. 4).

2. Cosmic Rays and Magnetic Flux Ropes

In particular, Forbush decreases are caused by ICMEs [4], which are large plasma structures expelled from the Sun with velocities up to $\sim 3000$ km s$^{-1}$ and traveling towards the limits of the heliosphere. Roughly, depending on their velocity, ICMEs are structured in three parts: The shock wave, the sheath, and the ejecta.

In general, it is believed that the shock is sweeping away the low energy GCRs [5] when it is traveling in the interplanetary space, causing in this way the decrease of the observed GCR rate.

The sheath is a turbulent region containing turbulent plasma with enhanced density and magnetic field, which provides an additional obstacle for the GCR propagation that helps in the reduction of the GCR flux. The ejecta is the following region with low density ($\sim 10$ part/cm$^3$), temperature ($\sim 10^5$ K) and strong magnetic field ($\sim 10$ nT) and constitutes a large magnetic obstacle for the GCR flux.

In some cases, the magnetic field inside the ejecta has a very well-defined helicoidal topology known as magnetic cloud and/or magnetic flux rope (MFR). The magnetic field strength ($\sim 10$ nT) and the length ($\sim 0.5$ AU) of the MFR make possible its interaction with the GCR with energies of tens of GeV, in such a way, that once the isotropic flux of GCR enter the MFR, it is forced by the helicoidal magnetic field to change its direction (depending of the energy) and to travel parallel to the axis of the MFR. Figure 1 shows a sketch of the proposed scenario.

3. HAWC Observation

The HAWC array is located at high altitude (4100 m asl) and has a large collecting area (22 000 m$^2$) making it an excellent observatory for space weather studies [6]. In particular, we use the TDC-scaler system of HAWC to study the GCR modulation due to solar activity with high accuracy [6]. An example of the TDC-scaler rates in HAWC showing a strong solar modulation is seen in Figure 2 of [7] (hereafter cited as Paper 1), where the anti-correlation between the solar wind velocity and TDC-scaler rates is evident, and less clear but distinguishable, is the correlation between the TDC-scaler rates and periods of strong magnetic field in the solar wind.

As seen in Figure 3 of Paper 1 and in Figure 2 at the end of October 2016 the solar wind velocity increased from $\sim 350$ to $\sim 440$ km s$^{-1}$, the interplanetary magnetic field also showed a
**Figure 1:** Sketch of the interaction between the galactic cosmic rays and an interplanetary coronal mass ejection approaching the Earth vicinity. The ICME is driving a shock wave (purple) and the shocked material conforms the sheath (green area) in between the shock and the ejecta. In this case, the former region is a magnetic cloud and its magnetic field with helicoidal topology (reddish lines) guides the GCR (white dots) along the axis of the magnetic flux rope (gray tube).

A small increase defining the starting time of an ICME (see Paper 1 for a detailed study of the solar origin and interplanetary transport of this ICME). The associated magnetic cloud or MFR is marked with a shaded area in Figure 2.

The most important feature in our measurements in this period (from October 12 to 15) is the increment of TDC-scaler rate as seen in the second panel of Figure 2, where a double-peak increase of this rate was observed during October 14, 2016.

The helicoidal magnetic field associated with the MFR force the GCR with energies of tenths of GeV, to follow a specific direction imposed by the magnetic field topology and causing the alignment of the GCR flux along its axis (see Paper 1 for a detailed explanation of this).

On the other hand, any GCR entering in the magnetosphere experience the Lorentz force, which changes its trajectory to follow an "asymptotic cone of acceptance" which is a function of the energy of GCRs.

We are proposing that the observed double-peak structure in the HAWC TDC-scaler rate data in October 14, 2016 was due to the alignment of this asymptotic cone of observation of HAWC with the MFR axis. The aligned GCRs along the axis of MFR acted like a pseudo-source that created an anisotropic GCR flux.

**4. Significance of the TDC-scaler rates**

After correcting for the atmospheric effects (see [6] for detail), the TDC-scaler rate is largely modulated by the solar wind velocity and also by the atmospheric electric field at the site (this field causes increases in the TDC-scaler rates, see [8, 9]). Hence in order to determine the significance
Figure 2: The ICME observed during October 2016. The first panel (from bottom to top) shows the ICME speed, whereas the total strength and components of the magnetic field, showing a helicoidal topology, are plotted in the second panel. The third panel shows the original TDC-scaler rate during this period. The filtered time series is plotted in the fourth panel with the light and dark green lines marking the 3σ and 4σ confidence levels. In this panel, we also show the electric field, which is scaled to fit the window as $E/50 - 0.04$. The shaded area marks the time duration of the magnetic cloud.

of the modulation caused by the MFR, we filtered out both effects, the long term solar wind velocity modulation and the increment caused by the local electric field. For the latter, we consider the atmospheric electric field which is constantly measured at the site [8, 9], which makes possible to identify the periods of strong electric field disturbances. Therefore, by discarding the time periods when both, the local electric field and the TDC-scaler rate are disturbed, we assure that the TDC-scaler rate variations are due to solar wind modulations.

The yellow curve in the top panel of Figure 2 shows the local electric field ($E$, scaled as $E/50 - 0.04$ kV/m, to fit the window) local electric field strength during the analyzed period, when $E$ was quiet except for a short disturbance on October 12, 2016 at $\sim 22$ hr.

The details of the MFR and the related TDC-scaler rate increase can be found in Paper 1, in this work, we describe the method proposed to asses the significance of the TDC-scaler rate increases.

In general, the changes in the GCR rate due to the solar wind modulation are longer than one day (as instance, see Figure 2 of Paper 1), on the other hand, the GCR increases, due to the MFR, are affected by the Earth rotation (the axis of the MFR must be parallel to the asymptotic cone of acceptance of the detector [7]) and therefore, its duration is less or equal to one day.

Therefore, to quantify the confidence level of this effect, we compute a GCR long-period time-series using a moving average\(^1\) with a cut off of one day [10], which is subtracted from to the original time series. In this way, we obtain a filtered time series of the TDC-scaler rate which retains

\(^1\)This is a simple filter in the time domain.
only changes with periods shortest than one day, as instance, we show the original TDC-scaller rate series in the second panel of Figure 2, whereas the filtered time series is plotted in the first panel.

Once we have filtered out the long-period fluctuations, it is possible to quantify the importance of the short-period fluctuations, in terms of the standard deviation ($\sigma$) of the filtered time series distribution which is shown in Figure 3.

With this analysis, we are able to quantify the significance of the TDC-scaller rate increases. In particular, we found that the increase observed during October 2016 has a significance of $\sim 5.7\sigma$.

5. Conclusion

We have shown that the TDC-scaller system of HAWC is an excellent tool to observe and study space weather disturbances, as the MFR observed during October 2016. In addition, in this work, we have proposed a method to quantify the significance of the increases measured with the HAWC TDC-scaller rate. In future works, we will apply this method to quantify the significance of the scaler system data of HAWC taken during the whole period of observations to determine and hence model the effect of MFRs over the GCR flux.
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