A Data-Driven approach for the measurement of $^{10}$Be/$^{9}$Be flux ratio in Cosmic Rays with magnetic spectrometers
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Cosmic rays are a powerful tool for the investigation of the structure of the magnetic fields in the Galactic halo and the properties of the inter-stellar medium. Two parameters of the cosmic ray propagation models, the Galactic halo (half) thickness, $H$, and the diffusion coefficient, $D$, are loosely constrained by current cosmic ray flux measurements; in particular, a large degeneracy exists, with only $H/D$ being well measured. The $^{10}$Be/$^{9}$Be isotopic flux ratio (thanks to the 2 My lifetime of $^{10}$Be) can be used as a radioactive clock providing the measurement of cosmic ray residence time in a galaxy. This is an important probe with which to solve the $H/D$ degeneracy. Past measurements of $^{10}$Be/$^{9}$Be isotopic flux ratios in cosmic rays are scarce, and were limited to low energy and affected by large uncertainties. Here a new technique to measure $^{10}$Be/$^{9}$Be isotopic flux ratio, with a data-driven approach in magnetic spectrometers is presented. As an example, by applying the method to beryllium events published via PAMELA experiment, it is now possible to determine the important $^{10}$Be/$^{9}$Be measurement while avoiding the prohibitive uncertainties coming from Monte Carlo simulations. It is shown how the accuracy of PAMELA data strengthens the experimental indication for the relativistic time dilation of $^{10}$Be decay in cosmic rays; this should improve the knowledge of the $H$ parameter.
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1. Introduction

Cosmic rays are a powerful tool for investigations of physics/astrophysics: high-energy cosmic ray composition provides information on the galactic PeVatrons, and the small anti-matter component in a cosmic ray could identify the dark matter annihilation in our galaxy. The structure of the magnetic fields in the galactic halo and the properties of the interstellar medium can be probed by detailed cosmic ray flux measurements. In particular, the ratio of secondary cosmic rays (such as Li, Be and B) over the primary cosmic rays (such as He, C and O) allows one to determine the grammage —that is, the amount of material passed through by cosmic rays on their journeys through the Galaxy. Two parameters of the cosmic ray propagation models, the galactic halo (half) thickness, \(H\), and the diffusion coefficient, \(D\), are loosely constrained by the grammage measurement; in particular, a large degeneracy exists, with only \(H/D\) being well measured [1]. The uncertainties of \(D\) and \(H\) parameters (the latter is known to be in the 3–8 kpc range) also reflect on the accuracy of the determination of secondary anti-proton and positrons fluxes that are the background for the dark matter or exotic (astro-)physics searches [2–5]. Abundances of long-living unstable isotopes in cosmic rays can be used as radioactive clocks providing measurements of cosmic rays’ time spent in the Milky Way. This time information is complementary to the crossed grammage; thus, the abundance of radioactive isotopes in cosmic rays is an important probe for solving the existing \(H/D\) degeneracy in cosmic ray propagation models.

1.1 Beryllium Isotopic Measurements in Cosmic Rays

Only a few elements in cosmic rays, namely, Be, Al, Cl, Mg and Fe, contain long-living radioactive isotopes; among them, beryllium is the lightest, and thus the most promising for measurements of isotopic composition in the relativistic kinetic energy range. Three beryllium isotopes are found in cosmic rays: i) \(^7\text{Be}\): stable bare nucleus. It decays by electron capture (\(T_{1/2} = 53\) days). ii) \(^9\text{Be}\): stable. iii) \(^{10}\text{Be}\) \(\beta\)-radioactive nucleus (\(T_{1/2} = 1.39 \times 10^6\) years). An example of a magnetic spectrometer able to measure cosmic ray isotopic composition in space is given by the PAMELA detector [6]. The PAMELA spectrometer is equipped with a silicon microstrip Tracker that provides particle rigidity \((R = p/Z)\) and a time of flight (ToF) detector to measure the velocity, \(\beta = v/c\). At its bottom a silicon-tungsten imaging calorimeter is able to provide a redundant \(\beta\) measurement for sub-relativistic particles thanks to the Bethe–Bloch formula \(dE/dx \sim Z^2/\beta^2\). The identification of different isotopes in magnetic spectrometers relies on the simultaneous measurement of particle rigidity and velocity. This allows the reconstruction of the particle mass \(m = RZ/(\gamma\beta)\). The typical mass resolution of magnetic spectrometers onboard past or current cosmic ray experiments \((\delta M \simeq 0.4–1\) amu\) does not allow for an event-by-event isotope identification; therefore, the "traditional" approach for the measurement of beryllium’s isotopic abundances relies on the reading of the experimental mass distribution with a Monte Carlo simulation. This approach requires a very well tuned Monte Carlo simulation of the experiment, and the possible small residual discrepancies with the real detector response could prevent the measurement of the (interesting) small amount of \(^{10}\text{Be}\). The analysis of lithium and beryllium isotopes collected by the PAMELA experiment between July 2006

...
and September 2014 is well described in [6], where the "Monte-Carlo-based" approach only allows for the measurement of $^7\text{Li}/^6\text{Li}$ and $^7\text{Be}/(^9\text{Be}+^{10}\text{Be})$ due to a slight mismatch of Monte Carlo distributions with the flight data. In the following a new data-driven approach for the measurement of beryllium isotopic abundances with magnetic spectrometers is described; this can evade part of the systematics related to Monte Carlo simulation. As an example, the application of this approach to PAMELA lithium and beryllium event counts, gathered from Figures 3 and 4 of [6], is shown; and a preliminary, new measurement of $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ in the 0.2–0.85 GeV/n range is provided.

2. **Data-Driven Analysis**

   By knowing the true values of beryllium isotope masses and having a physically motivated scaling of the mass resolution for the three beryllium isotopes, the shapes of the isotope mass distributions can be retrieved, by self-consistency, solely from the measured data. In particular, the expected mass resolution for a magnetic spectrometer is:

   $$\frac{\delta M}{M} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta R}{R}\right)^2 + \gamma^4 \left(\frac{\delta \beta}{\beta}\right)^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

   Typically, the isotopic measurement is pursued in kinetic energy/nucleon bins (i.e., in $\beta$ bins); therefore, the velocity contribution to mass resolution is constant for the different isotopes. Moreover, in the (low) kinetic energy range accessible by current isotopic measurements, the rigidity resolution is dominated by multiple Coulomb scattering; i.e., $\delta R/R$ is practically constant for the different isotopes. Finally, the masses of the three Be isotopes are within 30%; therefore, for a fixed $\beta$ value, the rigidity values for different Be isotopes are within 30%; for this reason, with a very good approximation, $\delta M/M$ is constant and we can assume that $\text{RMS}(M)/<M>$ is the same for the three unknown mass distributions (hereafter also named templates). The accuracy of this approximation is even better for Li isotopes and B isotopes due to the small mass difference.

2.1 **Template Transformations**

   We can define $T_7$, $T_9$ and $T_{10}$ as the unknown normalized templates for $^7\text{Be}$, $^9\text{Be}$ and $^{10}\text{Be}$ respectively. A template $T_a$ can transform in the template $T_b$ by applying the operator $A_{a,b}T_a(x) = T_b(x)$, and we can assume $A_{a,b}$ is just transforming the coordinates $x \rightarrow g(x)$; therefore, to ensure template normalization:

   $$T_b(x) = A_{a,b}T_a(x) = \frac{dg}{dx}T_a(g(x))$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

   In principle an infinite set of functions $g(x)$ is able to perform a transformation between two specific templates; however, we are typically interested in monotonic functions preserving quantiles while avoiding folding the template. A very simple set of transformations are the linear ones $L_{a,b}$ defined by combinations of translation and scale transformations: $x \rightarrow mx + q$.

   The linear $L_{a,b}$ transforms a normal distribution in a normal distribution.
By defining $\sigma_a$ as the RMS of template $T_a$, and $x_a$ the median of template $T_a$, the linear transformation $L_{a,b}T_a = T_b$ is the function: $x \rightarrow \frac{\sigma_b}{\sigma_a}x + \left[ x_a - \frac{\sigma_a}{\sigma_b}x_b \right]$.  

The same transformation applied to a different template $L_{a,b}T_c = T_d$ provides: $\sigma_d = \sigma_a \frac{\sigma_b}{\sigma_c}$ and $x_d = x_b + (x_c - x_a) \frac{\sigma_b}{\sigma_a}$.  

The linear transformation that satisfies the assumption, $\delta M/M = \text{constant}$, is simply: $x \rightarrow \frac{\sigma_b}{\sigma_a}x$; that is a pure scaling, thereby depending only on the known beryllium isotope mass ratios and not on the unknown mass resolution or template shapes. In the following, the linear approximation for the template transformation is adopted.

2.2 Data-Driven Template Evaluation

Defining the known (measured) data distribution $D(x)$ and assuming as fixed the three fractions $^{9}\text{Be}/\text{Be}$, this equation system can be considered:

$$
D(x) = ^{7}\text{Be}T_7 + ^{9}\text{Be}T_9 + ^{10}\text{Be}T_{10}
$$

$$
L_{7,9}D(x) = ^{7}\text{Be}T_9 + ^{9}\text{Be}L_{7,9}T_9 + ^{10}\text{Be}L_{7,9}T_{10}
$$

$$
L_{7,10}D(x) = ^{7}\text{Be}T_{10} + ^{9}\text{Be}L_{7,10}T_9 + ^{10}\text{Be}L_{7,10}T_{10};
$$

therefore, the $^{7}\text{Be}$ template can be written as:

$$
T_7 = \frac{1}{^{7}\text{Be}} \left[ D - \frac{^{9}\text{Be}}{^{7}\text{Be}}L_{7,9}D - \frac{^{10}\text{Be}}{^{7}\text{Be}}L_{7,10}D \right] + 
$$

$$
+ \left( \frac{^{9}\text{Be}}{^{7}\text{Be}} \right)^2 T_{G1} + \frac{^{9}\text{Be}}{^{7}\text{Be}} \left( T_{G2} + T_{G3} \right) + \left( \frac{^{10}\text{Be}}{^{7}\text{Be}} \right)^2 T_{G4}
$$

and the last four terms, ghost-templates, are defined by:

$$
T_{G1} = L_{7,9}T_9 = L_{7,9}T_7 \\
T_{G2} = L_{7,9}T_{10} = L_{7,9}T_7 \\
T_{G3} = L_{7,10}T_9 = L_{7,9}T_7 \\
T_{G4} = L_{7,10}T_{10} = L_{7,9}T_7.
$$

Where the median values of ghost-templates are: $x_{G1} \simeq 11.5$ amu, $x_{G2} \simeq 13$ amu, $x_{G3} \simeq 13$ amu and $x_{G4} \simeq 14$ amu. Profiting from the fact that the ghost-templates are placed beyond $T_{10}$ and that we know $^{7}\text{Be} > ^{9}\text{Be} > ^{10}\text{Be}$, the contribution of ghost-templates to Equation (4) is small and $T_7$ can be iteratively evaluated from measured data by using Equation (5).

Once $T_7$ is obtained, the other templates can be straightforwardly obtained by using $L_{7,9}$ and $L_{7,10}$, and a $\chi^2$ value for the fixed $^{7}\text{Be}/\text{Be}$ and $^{9}\text{Be}/^{10}\text{Be}$ can be obtained by comparison of the sum of the three weighted templates with $D(x)$. The $^{7}\text{Be}/\text{Be}$ and $^{9}\text{Be}/^{10}\text{Be}$ best fit configuration is retrieved by minimizing the $\chi^2$ value.

In a very similar way, the data-driven approach can be adopted for the measurements of a $^{11}\text{B}/^{10}\text{B}$ or $^{7}\text{Li}/^{8}\text{Li}$ flux ratio in cosmic rays. When applied to the measurement of the abundance ratio among only two isotopes, the data-driven approach formulation is even simpler with respect to the three Be isotopes; however, the missing $^{8}\text{Be}$, and the fact that
$^7\text{Be} > ^9\text{Be} > ^{10}\text{Be}$, are two favorable conditions for the determination of the isotope templates directly from experimental data. In particular, for the example of Li isotopes, the apparently simple solution for the $T_6$ template:

$$T_6 = \frac{1}{6\text{Li}} \left[ D - \frac{7\text{Li}}{6\text{Li}} L_{6,7} D \right] + \left( \frac{7\text{Li}}{6\text{Li}} \right)^2 L_{6,7} T_7$$

would provide an inaccurate determination of the rightmost $T_6$ tail due to $^{7}\text{Li}/^{6}\text{Li} \sim 1$. This problem can be overcome by evaluating the rightmost tail by iteratively solving the $T_7$ template (that conversely would provide an inaccurate determination of its leftmost tail for the same reason):

$$T_7 = \frac{1}{7\text{Li}} \left[ D - \frac{6\text{Li}}{7\text{Li}} L_{7,6} D \right] + \left( \frac{6\text{Li}}{7\text{Li}} \right)^2 L_{7,6} T_6.$$  

This data-driven approach has been tested on the Monte Carlo simulated events for lithium and beryllium isotopes in the AMS-02 spectrometer and it is able to correctly retrieve the injected isotopic ratios and template shapes within the statistical fluctuations.

3. Example of application to Pamela Lithium and Beryllium Events

The application of the data-driven approach to lithium and beryllium events published by PAMELA experiment [6] is shown in the following as an example. It is important to note that despite a preliminary measurement of $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ being obtained in the 0.25–0.85 GeV/n kinetic energy range, a full data analysis using this approach by the PAMELA-collaboration could provide a complete measurement of $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ at up to $\sim 1$ GeV/n.

In Figure 1, the example of lithium isotope measurements, by analyzing PAMELA-ToF events in the 0.25–0.75 GeV/n region, is shown. The templates for $^6\text{Li}$ and $^7\text{Li}$ were obtained by applying the data-driven approach.

![Figure 1](image_url)

**Figure 1:** Left plot: example of lithium isotope measurements with the data-driven analysis of PAMELA-ToF data collected in the 0.25–0.75 GeV/n range. Right plot: $\chi^2$ configurations for the same energy range (black line). The best-fit probability distribution obtained by statistical bootstrap is shown for comparison (blue histogram).

It is important to note that trivial solutions of the data-driven analysis (Eq (6) and (7)) are obviously $^{6}\text{Li}/\text{Li} = 1$ and $^{7}\text{Li}/\text{Li} = 1$; these naive solutions are characterized by $\chi^2 = 0$. 
Therefore, the evaluation of the confidence interval for the local minimum of $\chi^2$ determined by the physical solution requires some care; in particular, the statistical bootstrap [7] was adopted to safely evaluate the confidence intervals. Figure 1 (right plot) shows the $\chi^2$ minimum corresponding to the physical solution for the measurement of lithium isotope abundances using PAMELA ToF in the range 0.25–0.75 GeV/n. Figure 1 also shows the probability distribution of best-fit configurations obtained by statistically bootstrap-resampling the measured data distribution.

A similar approach was adopted for the data-driven analysis of beryllium isotopes; and in this case $^7\text{Be}/\text{Be} = 1$, $^9\text{Be}/\text{Be} = 1$ and $^{10}\text{Be}/\text{Be} = 1$ are three trivial solutions of Equation (4). In Figure 2, the best-fit for the example of beryllium isotopes collected by PAMELA-ToF in the 0.65–0.85 GeV/n region and the $\chi^2$ map are shown.

![Figure 2: Left plot: example of beryllium isotope measurements with the data-driven analysis of PAMELA-ToF data collected in the 0.65–0.85 GeV/n range. Right plot: map of $\chi^2$ configurations, the 68% confidence interval is shown as a red dashed contour.](image)

4. Results and Discussion

The results of the data-driven analysis of $^7\text{Li}/^6\text{Li}$, $^7\text{Be}/\text{Be}$ and $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratios applied to PAMELA data [6] are shown in Figures 3–4 along with previous experiment measurements.

The data-driven measurements obtained by analyzing PAMELA-ToF events (black dots) are in reasonable agreement with the measurements obtained with the PAMELA calorimeter (blue square); and regarding $^7\text{Li}/^6\text{Li}$ and $^7\text{Be}/\text{Be}$, the results of the data-driven analysis are in agreement with the ones published in [6] based on the Monte Carlo template fit of the PAMELA data (orange dots). The green shaded regions in Figures 3–4 are conservative estimations of the systematic errors for the data-driven analysis, related to the possible departures from the assumption of pure template scaling, thereby considering $\delta M/M = K (1\pm \alpha_M)$ where $K$ is a constant and $\alpha_M$ is a possible, small isotope dependent correction. In particular, knowing the measured PAMELA rigidity resolution in the considered 1.5–4 GV range, and knowing that, for a fixed velocity, the rigidity of $^7\text{Be}$ is 70% of the rigidity of $^{10}\text{Be}$, a conservative upper limit of $\alpha_M < 10\%$ can be inferred for the possible departures from the exact template scaling relation. A complete evaluation of systematic uncertainties requires a study of the possible differences in the selection acceptance for
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$^{7}\text{Be}$, $^{9}\text{Be}$ and $^{10}\text{Be}$ as well, and of the different types of contamination due to B, C, N and O fragmentation crossing the material above the detector. These systematics cannot be estimated without a Monte Carlo simulation of the detector; however, their contributions are expected to be small (a few percent) with respect to the wide uncertainties plotted in Figures 3 and 4. Similar arguments also hold for the $^{7}\text{Li}$/\(^6\text{Li}\) flux ratio measurements.

Figure 3: Results of the data-driven measurement of the $^{7}\text{Li}$/\(^6\text{Li}\) (left) and $^{7}\text{Be}$/\(^9\text{Be}\) (right) compared with previous experimental results and with a Monte Carlo based analysis of PAMELA [6] (orange dots). The green shaded contour is the systematic uncertainty inferred for this analysis.

The new information provided by the data-driven analysis, when applied to PAMELA data, is a relatively precise estimation of $^{10}\text{Be}$/\(^9\text{Be}\) ratio in the range 0.2–0.85 GeV/n, where existing measurements are scarce and affected by large uncertainties. In particular, it is interesting to note that these measurements strengthen the previous indications for a rising $^{10}\text{Be}$/\(^9\text{Be}\) ratio at high kinetic energy and are in good agreement with the models of [1, 2, 4, 5]. To further study the capability of current measurements of $^{10}\text{Be}$/\(^9\text{Be}\) to act as a radioactive clock providing information about cosmic ray propagation time in the galaxy, we plotted in Figure 4 the existing $^{10}\text{Be}$/\(^9\text{Be}\) data as a function of the inverse of the relativistic Lorentz factor, $\gamma$. This representation allows a simple and minimal phenomenological model to quantify the energy dependence of the $^{10}\text{Be}$/\(^9\text{Be}\) ratio: $^{10}\text{Be} / ^9\text{Be} \propto A e^{-T/\tau}$ where $\tau = 2$ My is the lifetime of $^{10}\text{Be}$ radioactive decay at rest, and the two parameters $A$ and $T$ can be viewed, respectively, as the average $^{10}\text{Be}$/\(^9\text{Be}\) ratio produced by the primary cosmic ray collisions and the average propagation time. It is important to remember that this is a crude but simple model; other effects not related to cosmic ray propagation time could contribute to the energy dependence of $^{10}\text{Be}$/\(^9\text{Be}\) as—for example, the existence of an underdense bubble in the local interstellar medium [8].

The fit of the existing measurements with the simple exponential model is drawn as a dashed line in Figure 4 and provides $A = 0.27 \pm 0.13$ and $T = 1.9 \pm 1.1$ My. Removing the PAMELA data from this fit would increase the uncertainty of $T$ by 30%; moreover, the uncertainty of $A$ would be more than double.

In conclusion, PAMELA information on the $^{10}\text{Be}$/\(^9\text{Be}\) ratio provided by the data-driven approach is important for the study of cosmic ray propagation. Future precision measurements are expected from the forthcoming results of AMS-02 and HELIX experiments.
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Figure 4: Measurements of the $^{10}\text{Be}/^{9}\text{Be}$ flux ratio as a function of $1/\gamma$. The dashed line is a phenomenological exponential fit. The new measurements obtained by the Pamela experiment using this data-driven approach strengthen the experimental indications of the expected $1/\gamma$ behavior.
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