



# Determination of Zenith Angle Dependence of Incoherent Cosmic Ray Muon Flux Using Smartphones of the CREDO Project

**Tadeusz Wibig\* and Michał Karbowiak on behalf of the CREDO Collaboration** (a complete list of authors can be found at the end of the proceedings)

Faculty of Physics and Applied Informatics, University of Lodz, Pomorska 149/153, 90-236 Łódź, Poland. E-mail: tadeusz.wibig@fis.uni.lodz.pl

The Cosmic-Ray Extremely Distributed Observatory (CREDO) was established to detect and study ultra high-energy cosmic ray particles. In addition to making use of traditional methods for finding rare and extended cosmic ray events such as professional-grade Extensive Air Shower (EAS) arrays, as well as educational 'class-room' detectors, CREDO also makes use of cameras in smartphones as particle detectors. Beyond the primary scientific goal of the CREDO project, to detect Cosmic Ray Ensembles, is the equally important educational goal of the project. To use smartphones for EAS detection, it is necessary to demonstrate that they are capable of effectively registering relativistic charged particles. In this article we show that the events recorded in the CREDO project database are indeed tracing incoherent cosmic ray muons. The specific observed distribution of the zenith angle of the charged particle direction corresponds to that expected for muons. It is difficult, if not impossible, to imagine the different mechanisms leading to such a distribution, and we believe it clearly demonstrates the suitability of smartphone-based detectors in supporting the more traditional cosmic ray detectors.

37<sup>th</sup> International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC 2021) July 12th – 23rd, 2021 Online – Berlin, Germany

#### \*Presenter

<sup>©</sup> Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

#### Tadeusz Wibig

## 1. Introduction

The cosmic ray energy spectrum extends from below ~100 MeV [1] up to ~ $10^{20}$  eV [2]. In the case of ultra high-energy cosmic rays it seems that there are a few places capable of accelerating particles above  $10^{20}$  eV: large-scale shocks surrounding galaxy clusters, internal or external shocks of starburst-superwinds, Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN), or Gamma-Ray Bursts, AGN flares, jets, magnetars, lobes of giant radio galaxies, see [3] for a review of these.

Some of the exotic models of ultra high-energy cosmic rays origin can be verified by searching for new, rather unexpected behaviour of cosmic rays at the highest energies. One of them is the focus of the Cosmic-Ray Extremely Distributed Observatory (CREDO) Collaboration [4]. This global approach allows the testing of hypothesized events of ultra-high energy cosmic ray 'bunches' observed as simultaneous Extensive Air Showers (EAS) over the entire exposed surface of the Earth: so-called Cosmic Ray Ensembles (CRE) [5–7]. Such a phenomenon has never been seen, but there are several models under which such an event is a possibility.

To observe such events, a system operating on that global scale is required. Due to the extreme geographical scale of the data acquisition, the CREDO Collaboration makes use of non-expert science enthusiasts (with their own smartphones). The idea of using an array of smartphones as cosmic ray/muon detectors is a quite recent possibility — as the density of smartphones per squared km is a significant feasibility parameter [8].

To have an EAS smartphone array comparable to large experiments like Pierre Auger Observatory or Telescope Array we would require millions of phone cameras across an area of thousands of square kilometers, all permanently 'on'. The goal of registering every EAS from each CRE at close to 100% effectiveness is simply unfeasible. However, it may be possible to detect *some* signal from any CRE – using a coincidence across very distant sets of detectors (smartphones).

Another noted challenge is the contamination of smartphone camera signals by sources other than cosmic rays. In principle this includes the housing of the camera itself, as a smartphone is not made to be "low radiation background". Ultimately we need to determine experimentally whether smartphones can be used as cosmic ray particle detectors, or if this background noise removes the possibility of measurement in practice.

### 2. Registration and Analysis of the Smartphone Recordings

The cosmic ray CREDO Detector application for smartphones is available freely at Google Play. The active users send their records to the CREDO database. In the CREDO database there are approximately a million images registered with the smartphone camera's lens obscured.

If a particle of secondary cosmic radiation passes through the active layer of the smartphone camera, it will stimulate a few to several dozen pixels, distributed in a cluster of shapes that range from circular to extended lines, and they should appear brighter on the roughly homogeneous almost black background. Events in which very long traces are visible can potentially be the tracks of cosmic ray muons that passed through the camera at large angles. This possibility is, in theory, easily verifiable. The zenith distribution of such incident angles of single incoherent muons is well established [9-13]. In this paper, we will show that the majority of CREDO registered events are due to real muons with a recovered zenith angle distribution as expected.

Tadeusz Wibig

The data used to obtain the results presented below consist of more than 100,000 CREDO database registrations obtained by one (very active) user with only one camera. The CREDO application draws a lot of power, and practically can only be used for extended periods when connected to a charger. Therefore, the cameras actively connected to the CREDO network usually rest permanently (and horizontally) in the same place.

The registrations are available as PNG files cropped from the whole camera frame to  $60 \times 60$  pixels around the brightest pixel in the frame. Examples are shown in the top row in Figure 1. Signals are roughly proportional to the ionization energy loss in the particular matrix pixels, but it should be noted that some corrections (unknown in practice) have been applied to the photos by the internal camera software. The box 2D-histograms are given in the middle row in Figure 1 which scale in size with the ionisation energy.

Then, for each registered event we determined the average dark pixel brightness and its standard deviation  $\sigma$  using only regions far from bright pixels (these are either intrinsic 'hot spots' on the chip or from the suspected signal itself). This procedure was then repeated after removing the pixels which exceeded  $2\sigma$  above the initially estimated average. At this stage, all pixels containing (potentially) everything associated with the registration of a cosmic ray muons (and 'hot spots') are omitted. Statistics from the 3000 remaining pixels in each frame allowed us to obtain a well-defined average brightness of dark pixels and its intrinsic variance. These are considered reliable values attributable to the noise in each recorded event.

The next step in the analysis was to find the main symmetry axis of the track. First, we selected all pixels that exceeded the threshold (equal to the previously estimated average signal of the dark pixel noise, plus  $10\times$  its finally determined standard deviation). We have confirmed that using a factor of 5 instead of 10 does not significantly influence the results, as the signal we observe is so much brighter than the average noise value.

For the selected pixels (i.e., those above the dark pixel threshold) we determined the 'main axis' of the track. There are, in principle, many ways to determine this, and we have tested several: inertia ellipses, the Hough algorithm line, the smallest sum of squared distances weighted by squares of the brightness, and even the brightness only. They all give very similar results as the images of the tracks in each picture are clear, and regardless of how they are linearized the 'main axis' of the track is (almost) always the same. The lines are shown in the bottom row in Figure 1.

All pixels exceeding the threshold shown in the bottom row are projected onto the identified main axis of the analyzed trace. The respective examples are shown in Figure 2. If we deal with a trace of a relativistic cosmic ray particle (muon), the signal pixels should have roughly the same brightness (with obvious geometric corrections). Such idealised situations are encountered only rarely, however.

The ends of the track were found by tracking to the left (and to the right) from the point with the highest projected brightness, until the signal values become smaller than limit chosen by trial and error. For simplicity we will, hereafter, measure all distances, the track length, and as well as the thickness of the matrix sensitive layer, using as a unit a single matrix pixel size (px).

We defined this limit as a fraction of the second projected brightest bar in the histogram. The value shown in Figure 2 as dashed lines was selected comparing the results of the algorithm with the subjective perception of the observer. Eventually, the value of 30% was established. Using just the brightest histogram bar value, the procedure could be subject to fluctuations to a larger extent.



**Figure 1:** Three example pictures of traces from the CREDO database. The top row shows the original smartphones images, the middle row shows the same events with the size of boxes proportional to the pixel signal (registered light). The bottom row presents the estimated main axis of the "muon tracks".

The zenith angle of the particle track  $\Theta$ , if we assume that it is indeed the trace left by a particle passing through the entire photosensitive layer of the camera, is naturally determined by the track length observed in the camera plane (*l*) and thickness of the photosensitive layer of the camera matrix itself (*h*):  $\Theta$  = arctan (*l*/*h*). Measuring the track length distribution, we can obtain the zenith angle dependence of the observed particle flux.

The cosmic ray single muon zenith inclination angle distribution has been known for nearly 80 years [14, 15] and many different measurements confirm that it is quite accurately described as  $\cos^{\gamma} \Theta$  with the index of  $\gamma \sim 2$ . We will use this simple relation to test our algorithms for observed track identification.



**Figure 2:** Projections of the pixel signal along the main track axis for the events shown in Figure 1 on (a-c) plots, respectively. Abscissa is the position along the track axis (in px) and units on the ordinate shows the sum of brightness of the projected pixels (from 0 to 255 for empty and saturated pixel, respectively). The horizontal dashed line are the values of the cuts used to determine the length of the track (see text for details).

## 3. Results

We study the distribution of the length of the tracks recorded on smartphone photos stored in the CREDO database, to determine whether it is consistent with the zenith angle distribution of the muon ( $\sim \cos^2(\Theta)$ ). The degree of the expected accordance will show the confidence of using the smartphone cameras as cosmic particle detectors.

We start detailed studies with the distribution of the track length as it is shown as the histogram in Figure 3.

Predictions assuming that we are dealing with cosmic ray muons with the known zenith angle distribution are also shown. These distributions differ for different values of the camera photosensitive layer thickness h. The thickness of the particular camera we used in this work is not known precisely, leaving the value of h to some extent a free parameter which can be constrained by the data itself. We present here the results for 3 px, 5 px, 10 px, and 15 px and the predictions of the best fitted value of h.

In Figure 3 we see that the measured distribution is close to the predictions for a width (h) close to 5 px. However, it can be seen that this agreement is not perfect for both long track lengths, as well as for very short lengths.

Regardless, the distribution of track length presented in Figure 3 can be converted to the zenith angle distribution (assuming we have captured cosmic ray particle traces). Zenith angle distribution is more suitable for studying cases of small angles (short trace lengths). In Figure 4 we present the comparison between the predictions obtained using different values of h.

The length of the track determined with the algorithm described above, if expressed in single pixel size (px), is, by definition, a discrete quantity (especially for small values of h which are expected in modern smartphone cameras), thus the measured zenith angle given by  $\Theta = \arctan(l/h)$  would have to be discrete numbers.

The distribution of the variable  $\cos(\Theta)$  illustrates very nicely the behaviour of the long and very long (horizontal) tracks.





**Figure 3:** Measured track length distribution. Lines are shown for comparison with the predictions calculated for various values of smartphone camera matrix sensitive layer thickness (*h*) measured using individual pixel size (px): 3 px—dotted line, 5 px—thin continuous line, 10 px—dashed line and 15 px dot-dashed line. The thick solid line represents the result of our best fitted *h* (details in the text). On the abscissa the value of the trace length measured, again, in the pixels size (px) is shown. All distributions are normalized at one point (l = 5 px).

The resolution for small angles, at which most particles arrive at, is very limited. For larger angles, longer tracks, where the resolution of a determined angle is superior, the flux is substantially smaller, thus the simple zenith angle is not the best variable to study. However, Figure 4 also suggests that for small angles, where most of the events occur, the matrix sensitive layer thickness is close to 5 px.

While the short track discrepancy may be due to the measurement uncertainty, the discrepancy for large *l* values can be mitigated by selecting an appropriate value for the photosensitive layer thickness *h*. The  $\chi^2$  minimization procedure was used on the  $\cos(\Theta)$  distribution shown in Figure 5 where results are obtained for different assumptions about the thickness of the photosensitive layer parameter *h*. We have compared it with the expected power-law spectrum with a slope corresponding to the distribution of cosmic ray muons. This slope is given by the thick line in Figure 5.



**Figure 4:** Zenith angle distribution obtained for various values of camera matrix thickness (*h*): 3 px—dotted line, 5 px—thin continuous line, 10 px—dashed line and 15 px—dot-dashed line. The expected  $\cos^2(\Theta)$  dependence is given by the thick line.



**Figure 5:**Observed distributions of  $cos(\Theta)$  calculated with different assumptions of the thickness of the camera matrix sensitive layer: empty circles for h = 3 px thickness, solid circles—5 px, triangles—10 px and squares—15 px layer thickness. The solid line represents the expectations for the  $cos^2(\Theta)$  dependence and our best fit h = 5.7 px (details in the text). The right end of the abscissa corresponds to the vertical muons, while the left one to almost horizontal (89°) tracks.

Tadeusz Wibig

It should be noted here that in [16, 17] a similar analysis was performed and the authors concluded that the camera they used had a matrix sensitive layer thickness (termed in their work the "depletion thickness") of  $29.2 \pm 1.5$  px, greatly exceeding our measurements. The more recent measurement of [9] have results that are compatible with a thickness of the camera matrix close to 3 px. The former analysis was based on as few as 200 registered events, the later on approximately 230 tracks. The analysis presented in this paper is based on about 60,000 tracks and hence we believe it represents a significant advancement in the field.

More details are given in [18].

## 4. Summary and conclusions

We have explored the method of analyzing pictures taken by a smartphone camera with the lens obscured. In these instances, some tracks are clearly visible exceeding the dark frame camera noise. In most cases, the determination of this track length is not a significant challenge. Different methods of determining the track's main axis were tested, and lead to near indistinguishable results overall. There was some uncertainty for very long tracks corresponding to the incoming particle with zenith angles greater than 80° (almost horizontal). We removed these associated ambiguities by eliminating 'long gap events', showing this ultimately to be a satisfactory solution.

The analysis of the track length distribution with the camera sensitive layer thickness considered as a 'free parameter' adjusted to the observations, agreed well with the results obtained found with a reasonable value of about 5 px  $(5.73 \pm 0.04 \text{ px})$ , where the unit of measure (px) is the size of the individual camera matrix pixel. Thus we have shown that the distribution of the zenith angle of particles responsible for the emergence of tracks in the smartphone captured images is in agreement with the expected distribution of the zenith angle of single incoherent cosmic ray muons. This confirms the idea that smartphones can operate in practice as 'particle pocket detectors', sensitive to charged relativistic cosmic particles and hence can be used effectively by the CREDO Project and other similar initiatives.

### References

- [1] Neronov, A. et al. Cosmic-ray spectrum in the local Galaxy. Astron. Astrophys. A22, 606.
- [2] Aab, A. et al. Measurement of the cosmic-ray energy spectrum above 2.5 × 10<sup>18</sup> eV using the Pierre Auger Observatory Phys. Rev. D 102 062005.
- [3] Batista, R.A. et al. Open Questions in Cosmic-Ray Research at Ultrahigh Energies Front. Astron. Space Sci. 6, 23.
- [4] Homola, P. et al., Cosmic-Ray Extremely Distributed Observatory, Symmetry 12, 1835.
- [5] Homola, P. et al., Search for Extensive Photon Cascades with the Cosmic-Ray Extremely Distributed Observatory, PHOTON-2017 Conference, CERN, Geneva 1, 289;
- [6] Woźniak, K. W. for the CREDO Collaboration Detection of Cosmic-Ray Ensembles with CREDO, EPJ Web Conf. ISVHECRI 2018, 208, 15006;
- [7] Góra, D. et al., Cosmic-Ray Extremely Distributed Observatory: Status and Perspectives, Universe, 4, 111.

- Tadeusz Wibig
- [8] Whiteson, D. et al. Searching for ultra-high energy cosmic rays with smartphones Astroparticle Physics **79**, 1.
- [9] Davoudifar P. and Bagheri Z., Determination of local muon flux using astronomical Charge Coupled Device, Journal of Physics G,47, 035204.
- [10] Wang Dian-Rong et al., The Measurement Of The Cosmic Ray Muon Zenith Angle Distribution With On-Line Microcomputer, Chinese Physics C 7 135 (1983).
- [11] Franke, R. et al. CosMO A Cosmic Muon Observer Experiment for Students Proceedings, 33<sup>rd</sup> ICRC2013, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 1084.
- [12] Singh, P. and Hedgeland, H. Special relativity in the school laboratory: a simple apparatus for cosmic-ray muon detection *Physics Education* **50**, 317.
- [13] Hütten M. et al. The International Cosmic Day An Outreach Event for Astroparticle Physics, 35<sup>th</sup> ICRC2017, Bexco, Busan, Korea.
- [14] Rossi, B., Interpretation of Cosmic-Ray Phenomena Rev. Mod. Phys., 20, 537.
- [15] Greisen, K., Intensity of Cosmic Rays at Low Altitude and the Origin of the Soft Component Phys. Rev. 63, 323.
- [16] Vandenbroucke, J. et al. Detecting particles with cell phones: the Distributed Electronic Cosmic-ray Observatory 34<sup>th</sup> ICRC2015, The Hague, The Netherlands;
- [17] Vandenbroucke, J. et al., Measurement of cosmic-ray muons with the Distributed Electronic Cosmic-ray Observatory, a network of smartphones, Journal of Instrumentation 11 P04019.
- [18] Karbowiak, M. et al. Determination of Zenith Angle Dependence of Incoherent Cosmic Ray Muon Flux Using Smartphones of the CREDO Project, Appl. Sci. 11, 1185.

## **Full Authors List: CREDO Collaboration**

Tadeusz Wibig<sup>1</sup>, Michał Karbowiak<sup>1</sup>, David Alvarez-Castillo<sup>2</sup>, Olaf Bar<sup>3</sup>, Łukasz Bibrzycki<sup>3</sup>, Dariusz Gora<sup>2</sup>, Piotr Homola<sup>2</sup>, Péter Kovács<sup>4</sup>, Marcin Piekarczyk<sup>3</sup>, Jaroslaw Stasielak<sup>2</sup>, Sławomir Stuglik<sup>2</sup>, Oleksandr Sushchov<sup>2</sup> and Arman Tursunov<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Lodz, Faculty of Physics and Applied Informatics, Pomorska 149/153, 90-236, Lodz, Polska <sup>2</sup>Institute of Nuclear Physics

Polish Academy of Sciences, Walerego Eljasza Radzikowskiego 152, 31-342, Kraków, Poland <sup>3</sup>Pedagogical University of Krakow, Podchorążych 2, 30-084, Kraków, Poland <sup>4</sup>Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Konkoly-Thege Miklós út 29-33., H-1121, Budapest, Hungary <sup>5</sup>Institute of Physics, Silesian University in Opava, Bezručovo nám 13, CZ-74601, Opava, Czech Republic