Indirect dark matter searches with neutrinos from the Galactic Centre region with the ANTARES and KM3NeT telescopes
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An anomalous flux of neutrinos produced in hypothetical annihilations or decays of dark matter inside a source would produce a signal observable with neutrino telescopes. As suggested by observations, a conspicuous amount of dark matter is believed to accumulate in the centre of our Galaxy, which is in neat visibility for the Mediterranean underwater telescopes ANTARES and KM3NeT. Searches have been conducted with a maximum likelihood method to identify the presence of a dark matter signature in the neutrino flux measured by ANTARES. Results of all-flavour searches for WIMPs with masses from 50 GeV/c\(^2\) up to 100 TeV/c\(^2\) over the whole operation period from 2007 to 2020 are presented here. Alternative scenarios which propose a dark matter candidate in the heavy sector extensions of the Standard Model would produce a clear signature in the ANTARES telescope, that can exploit its view of the Galactic Centre up to high energies. The presentation of Galactic Centre searches is completed with ongoing analyses and future potential of the KM3NeT telescope, in phased construction in the Mediterranean Sea.
1. Physics case

Astrophysical messengers such as neutrinos and photons can be trackers of the annihilation of dark matter particles inside a celestial object. Dark matter makes up to 27% of the Universe mass-energy budget, fact which is inferred through macroscopic observations: cosmology and gravitation. It is a natural approach to seek a microscopic theory of dark matter, fitting it in an extension of the Standard Model [1]. This new type of matter could be composed by new elementary particles that evade detection due to their weak interaction with baryonic matter. All microscopic searches for a fundamental dark matter particle have until now come up empty-handed. To enhance the possibility of detecting such low interaction rates, one turns to accumulation points in astrophysical ambient where to find the highest density of dark matter, gravitationally bound. Those regions are indicated as dark matter sources, considerably more extended than classical astrophysical emitters. The amount of dark matter inside an accumulation point is characterised using the $J$-factor:

$$J = \int_{\alpha} d\Omega \int_{l} \rho^2 (r(\theta, \phi)) dl.$$

The $J$-factor expresses the dark matter density integrated over a viewing angle $\Omega$ and along a line of sight $l$. The shape of the dark matter halo $\rho(r(\theta, \phi))$ is, in turn, described with models built around astrophysical data, or taking input from N-body simulations, such as Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) [2] which is used through this analysis. The CLUMPY software [3] provides numerical evaluation of the J-Factors to quantify the amount of dark matter in a given field of view around a source.

To proceed in the evaluation of the neutrino yield from a dark matter pair-annihilation process, the context is from here on restricted to cold (non relativistic), weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) gravitationally bound into the centre of the Milky Way, that can pair annihilate as

$$\text{WIMP WIMP} \rightarrow \text{intermediate channel} \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}.$$

The energy distribution of annihilations of classical WIMPs between $\sim 1$ GeV and $100$ TeV is described using PYTHIA, and made ready-to-use in the PPPC4 framework [4], as detailed in section 4.1. There is no prior knowledge on the WIMP mass, that is considered in this analysis from $50$ GeV/$c^2$ to $100$ TeV/$c^2$. A neutrino telescope performs a measurement of the outgoing event rate from a scattering as in Equation 2, converted into a limit on the number of scatterings, and assuming that the dark matter content of the source is known, into a limit on the velocity-averaged cross-section for annihilation. Indeed, cold dark matter particles move towards one another with velocity $v \ll c$, hence the cross section is accessible only averaged over the particle velocity distribution.

2. Instruments

ANTARES is a Cherenkov neutrino detector located underwater in the Mediterranean Sea 40 km offshore from Toulon. It is composed of 12 detection lines with a length of 450 metres, anchored to the seabed at a depth of about 2500 metres. Those lines host photomultiplier tubes enclosed in 885 optical modules, which instrument about 0.1 km$^2$ of water. ANTARES is served by the shore station of Les Sablettes and the control room at the Michel Pacha Institute at La Seyne, France.
For a detailed technical description see [5]. The ANTARES telescope was initially designed for neutrino astronomy; its layout is optimised to detect energies between a few tenths of GeV/c² and \(10^8\) GeV/c². This energy window is exploited for dark-matter searches in its lower half, from threshold to 100 TeV/c². From its geographical position at 42°48’N, 6°10’E, this instrument has a coverage of Galactic Centre for about 70% of the time.

The technology know-how and operation expertise that lead to the excellent performance of ANTARES (long-term data taking, very high duty cycle) have flown into conceiving the KM3NeT infrastructure. KM3NeT is a modular network of detectors as of now being deployed in the Mediterranean Sea with a phased installation scheme. The configuration currently in construction hosts one cubic kilometre detector, KM3NeT-ARCA (Astroparticle Research with Cosmics in the Abyss), conceived to catch low astrophysical fluxes, and one dense detector of smaller size, KM3NeT-ORCA (Oscillation Research with Cosmics in the Abyss), to study the properties of atmospheric neutrinos with unprecedented statistics [6]. Although the main goals of these instruments are the identification of neutrino sources (large volume, excellent angular resolution) and the precision measurement of neutrino mass ordering and oscillation parameters (very high statistics), both detectors are potentially suited to perform dark-matter searches. Geographically, KM3NeT-ARCA is placed offshore the Southern coast of Sicily, and KM3NeT-ORCA close to the ANTARES site: as well as ANTARES, both KM3NeT detectors ensure a good visibility of the Galactic Centre. All the KM3NeT detectors have the same technology and layout. Their geometry foresees 115 lines, with different spacing: 36 m between optical modules and 90 m inter-line spacing for KM3NeT-ARCA (that hosts two such blocks); 9 m between optical modules and 20 m inter-line spacing for KM3NeT-ORCA. KM3NeT-ARCA is connected to the shore station of Capo Passero (Italy) while KM3NeT-ORCA uses the same facilities serving the ANTARES supply and DAQ. Currently both detectors consist of 6 lines each, connected and already recording data.

3. Data

The data presented in this analysis were recorded with ANTARES between January 2007 and February 2020. One data event appears in ANTARES as a pattern of light hits with timing information, caused by a lepton embedded in its Cherenkov cone crossing the detector. Through reconstruction of arrival direction, energy and topology of the event it is possible to backtrack the variables of the mother neutrino that yield this lepton through a scattering process in the vicinity of the instrumented volume. Such reconstruction is realised in ANTARES through three different algorithms that we name here AAFit (for track-line events illuminating more than one detector line), BBFit (illuminating only one line) and TFit (for shower-like events). In this analysis, both tracks and shower candidates are included. The livetime of this analysis is 3845 days.

Data are preselected upon quality criteria using indicators belonging to each fit, as summarized in Table 1. Here the subscript \(AA\), \(BB\), \(T\) stay for multi-line tracks, single-line tracks and shower variables respectively. The reference sample obtained with values as in Table 1 contains 11174 tracks and 225 showers. The number of light hits in each event is directly connected with the energy (proportional, in turn, to the amount of light deposited). ANTARES ensures a reliable energy reconstruction only for mid- to high energy tracks (muon length > 380 metres), reason why the dark matter analyses do not make use of the standard energy estimator. However, using the
number of hits $N_\text{HT}$ as an energy proxy, it is possible to implement an additional energy dependent selection. This wants to best exploit the shape of the WIMP annihilation spectra, in particular for such channels as WIMP WIMP $\rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}$ where a large fraction of events piles up at the right end of the spectrum (this feature can be seen in Figure 1). A cut in $N_\text{HT}$ is varied choosing between values corresponding to a reduction of the total acceptance of 90%, 75%, 50% and 25% respectively. The values leading to best sensitivity are used. A set of simulated data has been produced in ANTARES in correspondence with the environmental and trigger conditions of each data run in the DAQ. This Monte Carlo simulation is used in this search to reproduce the dark matter signal through appropriate weights, as detailed in section 4.1.

4. Analysis procedure

This search is structured as a hypothesis test. A signal hypothesis $H_1$, where a cluster of $n_s$ neutrino events around the Galactic Centre region come from pair annihilation of dark matter, is to be discriminated from the null hypothesis $H_0$ where all $n$ events in the sample are neutrinos originated in the Earth’s atmosphere. The number of expected signal events $n_s$ is a priori unknown and is varied between 1 and 50, identifying the most plausible value with an unbinned maximum likelihood method. To conduct the hypothesis test, a test statistic $T S$ is defined as likelihood ratio. Sensitivity is obtained as the average upper limit from pseudo-experiments, which allows to optimise cuts for best sensitivity. Until this point, the data set is blind (i.e. right-ascension shuffled). Upon unblinding, upper limits at 90% C.L. are set.

4.1 WIMP pair annihilation signal at ANTARES

The specific signal that would appear in ANTARES is obtained reweighting Monte Carlo simulated events to match the energy distribution a WIMP pair collision, and distributing them around the position of the Galactic Centre according to the shape of a dark matter halo profile, folding in the detector resolution. Input used in this analysis are energy distributions from the PPPC4 tables [4] for the single WIMP collision, and the NFW halo profile [2]. Probability density functions (PDFs) for the signal are obtained and input in the likelihood. In this analysis, five channels are considered with independent 100% branching ratio each:

$$WIMP \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}, \mu^+ \mu^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}, b \bar{b} \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}, W^+ W^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}, \nu \bar{\nu}$$ (3)
Figure 1: Energy distributions of a 10 TeV WIMP pair-annihilating into three benchmark channels $\tau^+\tau^-, b\bar{b}, \nu\bar{\nu}$, as obtained with PPPC4 [4]. The upper panels display the spectra at the Galactic Centre, the bottom panel at detection point after flavour oscillations in the long baseline approximation.

The corresponding energy distributions are displayed in the upper panel of Figure 1. The complete process takes place inside the source, which the final products leave already as neutrinos, allowing to neglect energy distortion due to absorption. The neutrino yield obtained with PPPC4 is then oscillated in the long baseline approximation between the source and the detection point to obtain the distributions in the bottom panel of Figure 1.

4.2 Background

Once eliminated the events with low reconstruction quality, the spurious coincidences (electronic noise, bioluminescence) and the atmospheric muons (through the Earth screen cut), the background left consists of atmospheric neutrinos and wrongly reconstructed atmospheric muons. This is an irreducible background that distributes isotropically in right ascension, and follow a decreasing power-law energy spectrum. In this analysis, background is not simulated with Monte Carlo but directly obtained from data after random shuffling the right ascension coordinate. This ensures to wash out any possible signal clusters present in the data.

4.3 Hypothesis Test

The best sensitivity in reach with this search is computed using pseudo-experiments (simulated skymaps) to identify the final cut combination. A likelihood function is constructed per each simulated skymap using the signal and background PDFs $S$, $B$, the number of injected signal $n_s$, and the number of background events $n_{bg}$. The total amount of events $n = n_s + N_{bg}$ corresponds to the number of real data events:

$$\log \mathcal{L}(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log [n_s S(\psi_i, N_{HTS}^i, q_i) + n_{bg} B(\delta_i, N_{HTS}^i, q_i)] - n_{bg} - n_s.$$
In this analysis, both track and shower candidates are included. The number of signal and background events is split into a relative fraction of tracks and showers that reflect the acceptance ratio, per each WIMP mass and annihilation channel considered. Likelihood maximisation returns the most likely number of events $n_s^*$ found to belong to a cluster around the fixed coordinates of the Galactic Centre $(\alpha, \delta) = (266^\circ, -29^\circ)$. This is repeated for $10^4$ pseudo-experiments for each parameter choice. The significance of a signal cluster is established by the test statistics $TS$, function of the ratio between maximum likelihood and pure background likelihood

$$\text{TS} = -\log \frac{L(n_s^*)}{L(n_s = 0)}. \quad (5)$$

The number of events in each set of pseudo-experiments is subject to fluctuations following a Poisson distribution. To include this effect, a transformation through a Poisson function $P$ is performed, returning the TS as a function of the Poissonian mean $\mu$ as $P(\text{TS}((n_s^*)) \times P(n_s, \mu)$. Finally, a 15% systematics on the number of detected events is expected with ANTARES [7]. This effect is included folding the above equation with a Gaussian smearing of 15% width. Following Neyman’s prescription [8], an average upper limit on the number of signal events is firstly computed from the background median test statistics $\overline{TS}_0$, compared with each distribution $P(\text{TS})$ for each pseudo-experiment set. The sensitivity is defined as the 90% C.L. upper limit for a measurement coinciding with the median of the background TS distribution.

### 4.4 Analysis acceptance

The effective area represents the equivalent surface of the detector once accounted for efficiency losses due to geometry, trigger efficiency and the analysis cuts. As both the effective area and the WIMP annihilation spectra are energy dependent, folding those two distributions gives a measurement of the acceptance, or capability of the detector to take an event. The acceptance is defined as the effective area modulated through each annihilation mode spectrum $dN_\nu/dE_\nu$, integrated between the energy threshold of the detector and the WIMP mass $M$:

$$\mathcal{A}(M) = \int_0^M A_{\nu f}^\nu(E_\nu) \frac{dN_\nu(E_\nu)}{dE_\nu} dE_\nu + A_{\phi f}^\phi(E_\phi) \frac{dN_\phi(E_\phi)}{dE_\phi} dE_\phi. \quad (6)$$

Through acceptance and livetime, a measurement (upper limit) of number of events is converted into flux of particles reaching the detector by $\Phi_{\nu\bar{\nu}} = \frac{\mu_0}{\mathcal{A}t}$. 

### 5. Results and discussion

A cut mask corresponding to the best sensitivity values is applied to the data, once retrieved the original right ascension coordinate. A combination of best cuts is obtained independently per WIMP mass and annihilation channel. To unblind, each data event is flagged as a track or shower according to the quality variables described in Table 1, and the likelihood is evaluated with the corresponding PDFs. Upon unblinding, the TS observed in the 2007-2020 all-flavour data set is compatible with the background hypothesis for all combinations of WIMP masses and annihilation channels considered. The upper limit on the flux of outgoing events from WIMP WIMP scattering
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Figure 2: Upper limits at 90\% C.L. on the thermally averaged cross-section for WIMP pair annihilation as a function of the WIMP candidate mass set with 14 years of ANTARES data, shown for five independent annihilation channels (each with 100\% branching ratio) and NFW halo model [2].

is converted into a limit on the velocity-averaged cross section with

\[
\frac{d\Phi(E_\nu)}{dE_\nu} = \frac{1}{4\pi M^2} \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{2} \frac{dN(E_\nu)}{dE_\nu} J. \tag{7}
\]

Upper limits at 90\% C.L. based on this non-observation of signal from dark matter annihilations are shown in Figure 2. The best limits are obtained with the direct annihilation into two neutrinos, in which this channel displays the majority of events in the high-energy tail of the WIMP annihilation spectrum. Figure 3 shows the sensitivity that would be reached with KM3NeT-ARCA after 1 year, which is particularly promising for WIMP masses above \(10^4\) GeV/c\(^2\) when placed in context with the same measurement (\(\tau^+\tau^-\) channel) performed with other experiments.
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**Figure 3:** Upper limits at 90% C.L. on the thermally averaged cross-section for WIMP pair annihilation as a function of the WIMP candidate mass set with 14 years of ANTARES data, in comparison with the same measurement from IceCube [9], HESS [10], VERITAS [11] and Fermi-LAT+MAGIC [12], for the $\tau^+\tau^-$ channel and NFW dark matter halo [2], unless specified. The sensitivity that could be reached with KM3NeT in 1 year is shown in dashed red.
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