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The 2nd TAIGA imaging air Cherenkov telescope (IACT) has successfully been put into operation in the Tunka Valley in fall 2020. Currently three more telescopes are under construction and completion. The ability to use the telescopes in the so-called stereo mode of image analysis by taking into account the unusually large distance between them (from 320 m to 500 m), well exceeding the inter-telescope distances in conventional IACT stereo systems, is being explored and discussed. The results of the dedicated Monte Carlo are compared with the experiment data from the 1st and the 2nd TAIGA-IACT.
1. Introduction

The TAIGA experiment, where TAIGA stands for Tunka Advanced Instrument for cosmic ray physics and Gamma-ray Astronomy, combines detection of both ultra-high-energy cosmic rays ($>100$ TeV) and very-high-energy gamma rays ($>10$ TeV) at the same Tunka Astrophysical Center in the Tunka Valley near Lake Baikal [1, 2]. The northernmost location of TAIGA allows long observation times on the sources with large declinations.

For gamma-ray detection, TAIGA uses imaging air Cherenkov telescopes (IACTs) and wide-angle timing stations named TAIGA-HiSCORE (High Sensitivity Cosmic ORigin Explorer). The information from the stations (arrival direction and core position) will be used by an IACT even if no other IACT detects the same event. However, some portion of events will be detected by multiple telescopes and analyzed with a technique known as stereoscopy.

Currently two imaging air Cherenkov telescopes are operating, and the 3rd one has already been installed but with neither mirrors nor camera due to COVID-19 work restrictions. Its deployment is planned in fall 2021; the 4th and 5th IACT will be installed in 2 years. The distance between the IACTs will be at least 250 m – that is the distance between the central IACT of the group (IACT#4) and peripheral ones.

2. TAIGA-IACTs

Optical design of the IACTs is of Davies-Cotton type with 34 spherical mirror tiles, each with a 60-cm diameter (9.6 m² total area), and a focal length of 4.75 m. The imaging camera for the 1st IACT comprises 560 photomultipliers (PMTs) with a 19-mm diameter; for the 2nd IACT it consists of 595 PMTs. The FoV of the camera is 9.6° (0.36° per pixel) with a point spread function of 0.07° [2].

![Figure 1: Location of the HiSCORE stations along with IACTs in the Tunka Valley](image)
The first test sources selected for observation were the Crab Nebula and Mrk 421. Both these sources were successfully detected [3, 4] in the preliminary data analysis of stand-alone operation of the 1st IACT.

3. Monte-Carlo simulation and comparison with experimental data

Simulation of extensive air showers (EAS) was carried out in CORSIKA [5] version 7.35 with QGSJET-II-04 [6] model for high-energy interactions and GHEISHA-2002d [7] for low-energy interactions. Positions of five TAIGA-IACTs were used in input files. Showers from primary protons and gamma rays were simulated. Energy range was 5–100 TeV for protons and 2–50 TeV for gamma rays, both distributed according to exponential spectrum with the index -2.6. Zenith angles 30°–40° correspond to the Crab Nebula observation in the Tunka Valley. Photon bunches from CORSIKA output were ray-traced in a dedicated C++ optical simulation program [8]. This program models optical properties of IACT all the way to PMT photocathodes and outputs individual photoelectrons at the IACT camera.

Photoelectron data are input for the detector response simulation, which includes triggering and analog readout procedures [8]. Trigger decision is at exceeding of the set threshold (10 p.e.) by the signal in two adjacent PMTs in one cluster of 28 PMTs within the set time window.

After passing the hardware trigger, the events can be analyzed in several stereo modes, such as 2+, 3+, 4+ and 5. For example, the 2+ mode means that the analysis involves events that triggered 2 or more telescopes.

To verify the equivalence of simulation and experimental data, distributions of the image size for the events detected by the both telescopes were constructed based on real data and Monte Carlo (Fig.2a). Size is the total number of photoelectrons (p.e.) in the image [9]. The counting rate of events detected by the both telescopes is ~10 times lower than that of single events both in the simulated samples and in the experiment.

4. Effective area

To estimate the effective area, MC simulation of a setup with 5 telescopes was used. Showers were scattered over area of ~ 3 km² around the central telescope (TAIGA-IACT04). A total of 4 · 10⁵ events were generated for MC data bank. Based on these data, for each 5 TeV bin, the number gamma-initiated events was calculated. At the same time, the number of events in the same bins that passed the trigger was calculated. Note that from the events that passed the trigger, events containing boundary pixels of camera were excluded, since the analysis of such events is a more complex task. The ratio of the number of generated particles to the number of particles passed through the trigger multiplied by the area of scattering of gamma rays gives the effective area of the installation in a given energy range.

We present the effective installation area for different types of analyzed events. It was obtained that for 2+ events at 10 TeV, the effective area is 0.45 km² and reaches a maximum of 0.9 km² at an energy of 22 TeV. Above this energy, the registration efficiency does not increase, since the events become more extended and are rejected by the cut at the boundary pixels of camera.
5. Hadron suppression and sensitivity

Since the detection efficiency at low energies (a few TeV) is rather low, the analysis included gamma rays and hadrons with energies above 10 TeV. The analysis involves applying cuts to sets of modeled particles. In this chapter we consistently show how selected cuts influence on the selection of events.

**Basic suppression**

First of all, it is necessary to take into account the suppression by the telescope hardware trigger. For gamma rays above 10 TeV, 97% of the generated events pass the trigger, whereas the
fraction of hadrons after the hardware trigger is 51% due to the fact that the arrival directions of gamma rays are concentrated in a narrow region in the center of the camera FoV (within 0.1°) and those of hadrons are uniformly distributed over the entire camera (within 5°) leading to the loss of the fraction of events outside the camera FoV.

After the trigger of the telescope, all events are divided into several parts, in accordance with number of triggered telescopes(2+, 3+ etc.). The further description of the hadron suppression procedure includes events of 2+ type. The selection of events detected in this way leads to the conservation of 76% of gamma rays and 23% of hadrons from the MC data bank.

The next step is to apply a cut to the boundary pixels of camera. It leads to suppression coefficients of 0.73 and 0.18 for gamma rays and hadrons, respectively.

Application of a cut size > 100 p.e. results in suppression coefficients of 0.6 for gamma and 0.14 for hadrons. Cut on the image size is necessary to improve the accuracy of determining the image orientation.

All the cuts described in this section, such as a hardware trigger, choice of the type of triggered events (2+, 3+ etc.), exclusion of boundary camera pixels and size > 100 p.e., are hereafter referred to as basic suppression.

**Determination of source location**

When observing the known point sources of gamma rays, the direction of arrival of gamma rays in the FoV of the telescope is known, which is incorrect for hadrons. Hence, reconstruction of the source position can be useful for gamma-hadron separation.

To solve this problem, the arrival direction of particles was determined as the weighted average position of the intersection points of the major axes of all ellipses. The image axes in the two triggered telescopes will intersect at the point:

\[
x = \frac{b_2 - b_1}{a_1 - a_2} \\
y = a_1 x + b_1
\]

Each pair of telescopes gives a point, which is filled into a histogram with a weight of

\[
\frac{\text{size}_1 + \text{size}_2}{\sum_{i} \text{size}_i} \cdot \sin\theta,
\]

where \(\theta\) is the angle between intersecting lines [10], \(N_{\text{trig}}\) - number of triggered telescopes.

The resulting direction of arrival of the event is determined as the average of the histogram filled with the intersection points:

\[
x_{\text{mean}} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{bin}}} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} N_{\text{bin}} y_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{\text{mean}} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{bin}}} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} N_{\text{bin}} x_{ij},
\]

where \(N_{\text{bin}}\) is the number of histogram bins along the axis (equal for \(x\) and \(y\)).

We present the errors of determining arrival direction of events in the telescope’s FoV (Fig. 4). Cut on this value was defined as a circle with containment radii 68% of the events that passed the basic suppression. Further, this parameter is called \(r_{68}\). The angular resolution for the 2+ events type is \(r_{68} = 0.2\) ° and gives suppression relative to the MC data bank of 0.4 for gamma > 10 TeV and 5 \cdot 10^{-4} for hadrons > 10 TeV.
Fig. 4: Error of determining source location in telescope FoV for different event types.

Another approach to determining the position of the source in the FoV of the telescope was applied. It is based on minimizing the following functional:

$$f = \left( \frac{\sum_{i} \Delta \theta_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} \right) + \frac{\Delta \Omega^2}{\sigma_{\Omega}^2}$$

where $\Delta \theta_i^2$ is the angles between the major axes of the ellipses and the lines connecting the center of gravity (COG) of the image with the assumed position of the source, $\Delta \Omega^2$ is the distance (angle) between the assumed position of the source and the true one. The $\sigma_i$ depends on the "width" and "length" parameters and was defined in [10]. $\sigma_{\Omega}$ depends on the size and is determined so that the average value of $\frac{\Delta \theta_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}$ is as close as possible to $\frac{\Delta \Omega^2}{\sigma_{\Omega}^2}$ in minimization. This functional selects the position of the source near the intersection of the major axes of the ellipses and at a small distance from the true arrival direction of events. The cut $f < 10$ gives suppression of 0.38 and $4 \cdot 10^{-4}$ for gamma rays and hadrons, respectively.

**Normalized width**

In the stereoscopic approach, the normalized width is analogous to the "width" parameter[9] - RMS along the minor axis of the ellipse. It is defined as follows[11]:

$$w = \frac{1}{N_{tel}} \left[ \sum_{i} \frac{\text{width}_i - w_m(r_i, \text{size}_i)}{w_{\text{MAD}}(r_i, \text{size}_i)} \right]$$

Where $N_{tel}$ is the number of triggered telescopes, $\text{width}_i$ is the width in a given triggered telescope, $w_m(r_i, \text{size}_i)$ is the median value of the width characteristic of events with a given size($\text{size}_i$) and distance to the shower axis($r_i$). $w_{\text{MAD}}(r_i, \text{size}_i)$ - median absolute deviation, distribution of width typical for a given distance to the EAS axis($r_i$) and a given event size($\text{size}_i$).

$w_{\text{MAD}}$ and $w_m$ are template values predefined from the simulation. To determine these values, we need to reconstruct the core position. This was done in several ways:

- by weighted averaging of intersection points of the major axes of the ellipses, similar to determining the position of the source in the telescope’s FoV, but in this case the ellipses are
Table 1: Summary table of cuts influence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MC generated</th>
<th>hardware trigger</th>
<th>2+ events</th>
<th>exclusion of edge pixels</th>
<th>size &gt; 100 p.e.</th>
<th>number of events within $r_{68} = 0.2^\circ$</th>
<th>$f &lt; 10$</th>
<th>$w &lt; 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gamma</td>
<td>19627</td>
<td>19128</td>
<td>14973</td>
<td>14389</td>
<td>11907</td>
<td>8116</td>
<td>7454</td>
<td>3587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hadrons</td>
<td>215765</td>
<td>110354</td>
<td>48784</td>
<td>39550</td>
<td>29384</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

located in the ground frame, where the telescopes are located relative to each other (in the case of determining the position of the source in the telescope FoV, the ellipses were located in a nominal frame, the joint FoV of the telescopes);

- using the procedure for minimizing the distances to the major axes of the ellipses [11].

Each of these approaches can be applied to the reconstruction based on: a) the major axes of the ellipses, b) the distance parameter [9] - the distance between the COG of the image and the known position of the source.

The use of the later method improves the accuracy of determining core position. So, for 4+ events, $RMS = 16$ m and grows with a decrease in the number of triggered telescopes up to $\sim 60$ m for 2+ events. To determine $\omega_m$ and $\omega_{MAD}$ for each interval of distances to the shower core, with a step of 100 m, the dependence of these parameters on the size was obtained. As a result, the distribution of the parameter "normalized width" was obtained for the simulated events. Using the cut $\omega < 0$ gave suppression of 0.2 for gamma rays and $2 \times 10^{-5}$ for hadrons. Summary table describing the effect of cuts on simulated event samples is presented in Tab.1.

On the basis of the obtained coefficients of suppression of hadrons and gamma rays, the integral sensitivity of the TAIGA-IACT installation was calculated. The initial number of hadrons was estimated based on the approximation of the CR spectrum of the DAMPE observatory [12, 13]. As a result, for gamma rays with energies $> 10$ TeV, we expect the sensitivity for 100 hours of observation $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-12}$ TeV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

Conclusion

A sufficiently large effective area ($\sim 1$ km$^2$) and good angular resolution ($r_{68} \sim 0.2^\circ$) for gamma rays with energy above 10 TeV was derived from Monte Carlo simulation of 5 IACTs in TAIGA. Both the sensitivity ($\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-12}$ TeV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for 100 h of observation) and northernmost location (51.49°N, 103.04°E) allow a detailed measurement of the energy spectra ($> 10$ TeV) of many sources from the Crab Nebula and Mrk 501 to Dragonfly Nebula, Boomerang, ARGO J2031+4157 etc. and probably SNR CTA 1 and Tycho.

Since fall 2021 three IACTs will be operating and available for the stereoscopic analysis. Two more IACTs are to be deployed by 2023.
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