Extreme blazars under the eyes of MAGIC
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Extreme high-frequency-peaked BL Lac objects (EHBLs) are the most energetic persistent sources in the universe. This contribution reports on long-term observing campaigns of tens of EHBLs that have been organized by the MAGIC collaboration to enlarge their population at VHE and understand the origin of their extreme properties. EHBLs are characterized by a spectral energy distribution (SED) featuring a synchrotron peak energy above 1 keV. Several EHBLs display a hard spectral index at very high energies (VHE; $E > 100$ GeV), suggesting a gamma-ray SED component peaking significantly above 1 TeV. Such extreme properties are challenging current standard emission and acceleration mechanisms. Recent studies have also unveiled intriguing disparities in the temporal characteristics of EHBLs. Some sources seem to display a persistent EHBL behaviour, while others belong to the EHBL family only temporarily. Here, we present recent results of the first hard-TeV EHBL catalog. The MAGIC observations are accompanied by an extensive multiwavelength coverage to obtain an optimal determination of the SED. This allow us to investigate leptonic and hadronic scenarios for the emission. We also present the recent detection of the EHBL RX J0812.0+0237 in the VHE band by MAGIC. Finally, we discuss a broad multiwavelength campaign on the BL Lac type object 1ES 2344+514, which showed intermittent EHBL characteristics in August 2016.
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1. Introduction

Blazars form the largest class of sources in the extragalactic very-high-energy (VHE; \( E > 100 \text{ GeV} \)) sky\(^1\). They belong to the group of active galactic nuclei (AGN) and are identified by a relativistic plasma jet whose axis is aligned with the observer’s line of sight [1]. The spectral energy distribution (SED) of blazars display two emission components: a low-energy component peaking in optical to X-ray energies and a high-energy component peaking in the gamma-ray band. It is now widely assumed that the low-energy component is emitted via synchrotron emission by relativistic electrons in the jet [2]. The origin of the high-energy component is still under debate and may be emitted by a leptonic and/or a hadronic particle population [2].

In the past decades, X-ray observations have revealed the existence of a blazar population with a low-energy component peaking at atypically high energies, above 1 keV [3, 4]. Blazars with such extreme properties are dubbed as Extreme high-frequency-peaked BL Lac objects (EHBLs). Due to their low-energy SED component shifted to higher energies, EHBLs are identified by a hard X-ray spectrum with a photon index \( \Gamma < 2 \). Some EHBLs also exhibit a high-energy SED component peaking above 1 TeV. The latter sources, dubbed as hard-TeV blazars, display VHE spectra with power-law indices \( \Gamma \lesssim 2 \).

The extreme properties EHBLs make them ideal targets to investigate emission and acceleration processes in blazar jets. To reproduce their SEDs, existing theoretical models are often challenged and require extreme parameter values [4, 5]. EHBLs can also be used as cosmological probes. In particular, hard-TeV EHBLs give the opportunity to set limit on the strength of the intergalactic magnetic field (IGMF) by constraining the production of cascades triggered by \( \sim \)1 TeV photons propagating through the universe [6, 7]. With a spectrum extending significantly above 1 TeV, hard-TeV EHBLs are also powerful tools to constrain the intensity of the extragalactic background light (EBL; [8]).

2. The MAGIC hard-TeV EHBL catalog

Only a few number of hard-TeV blazars have been detected up to now. Extending the hard-TeV blazar population is therefore crucial given that they are powerful probes of blazar jet physics and cosmology. With this goal in mind, the MAGIC Collaboration performs multi-year observing campaigns of promising targets. The MAGIC telescopes form a system of two 17-m diameter imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes (IACTs) [9]. They are located at an altitude of \( \approx 2200 \text{ m} \) above sea level on the island of La Palma, in Spain. The MAGIC telescopes are sensitive to photons at gamma-ray energies, from \( \approx 20 \text{ GeV} \) to \( \approx 100 \text{ TeV} \).

In this contribution, results of MAGIC observations between 2010 and 2017 of 10 potential hard-TeV blazars are summarised. The reader is referred to [10] for a complete report on the results. The selection of the targets was made by examining the spectral properties in the radio, X-rays and gamma rays as well as the redshift. The final list of selected targets is shown in Table 1. A total of 262 hours of observations was collected and analysed. From the 10 sources, only 1ES 1426+428 was known to be a VHE emitter prior to the start of the MAGIC observing campaign. The catalog

\(^1\)http://tevcat2.uchicago.edu/
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Table 1: Sample of EHBLS observed by MAGIC between 2010 and 2017. From the list, only 1ES 1426+428 was a known VHE emitter prior to the MAGIC observing campaign; 1ES 0229+200 is used as a reference source. The second column gives the epochs of observations and the third column gives the detection significance (in units of $\sigma$). The fifth column shows the flux above the energy threshold $E_{\text{thr}}$ given in the fourth column. An upper limit at 95% confidence level is quoted if no signal (or hint of a signal) is obtained. The last column is the index of the power-law fits performed for the sources with a signal (or hint of a signal).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Epochs</th>
<th>Detection significance [$\sigma$]</th>
<th>$E_{\text{thr}}$ [TeV]</th>
<th>Flux [$10^{-12}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\Gamma_{VHE,\text{intr}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TXS 0210+515</td>
<td>2015, 2016, 2017</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.6 $\pm$ 0.5</td>
<td>1.6 $\pm$ 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXS 0637-128</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>&lt; 8.9</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BZB J0809+3455</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>&lt; 3.7</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBS 0723</td>
<td>2013, 2014</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.6 $\pm$ 0.5</td>
<td>2.7 $\pm$ 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1ES 0927+500</td>
<td>2012, 2013</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>&lt; 5.1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBS 0921</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>&lt; 8.6</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1ES 1426+428</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.1 $\pm$ 1.1</td>
<td>1.8 $\pm$ 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt; 9.3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1ES 2037+521</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.8 $\pm$ 0.4</td>
<td>2.0 $\pm$ 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGB J2042+244</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.9 $\pm$ 0.5</td>
<td>1.7 $\pm$ 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGB J2313+147</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt; 1.5</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1ES 0229+200</td>
<td>2013-2017</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.1 $\pm$ 0.3</td>
<td>1.8 $\pm$ 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The last column of Table 1 shows the spectral index $\Gamma_{VHE,\text{intr}}$ obtained from a power-law fit ($dN/dE \propto E^{-\Gamma_{VHE,\text{intr}}}$) to the sources that yielded a significant detection. The spectrum was also extracted for RGB J2042+244, whose observations yield a strong hint of a signal. The spectral index is the intrinsic one, i.e., the effect of the EBL absorption was corrected using the model template of [11]. All the indices are hard, they show best-fit values $\Gamma_{VHE,\text{intr}} \lesssim 2$, with the exception of RBS 0723, which best-fit index is softer than 2. TXS 0210+515 is the hardest source of the sample with a corresponding index significantly below 2, $\Gamma_{VHE,\text{intr}} = 1.6 \pm 0.3$. This indicates a high-energy SED component peaking above 1 TeV. Our study therefore firmly establishes TXS 0210+515 as a new member of the hard-TeV blazar family. The other sources (1ES 1426+428, 1ES 2037+521 and RGB J2042+244) remain compatible with a hard-TeV behaviour.

In order to characterise the synchrotron emission component, the MAGIC observations were complemented by a simultaneous X-ray coverage provided by the X-ray Telescope (XRT) on-board the Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory (Swift). Moreover, the Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array
**Figure 1**: Broadband SED for RBS 0723 (left) and 1ES 2037+521 (right). Red data points are the quasi-simultaneous measurements during the MAGIC observing campaign, while grey data points are archival data. The blue dashed curves show the one-zone SSC model applied to the data, the black continuous lines show the result of the spine-layer model and dashed-dotted magenta lines are the proton-synchrotron model. The magenta curves at $10^{33} - 10^{34}$ Hz is the neutrino output from the proton-synchrotron model. The plots are taken from [10].

(NuSTAR) observed TXS 0210+515 and RGB J2313+147. While XRT is covering the 0.3-10 keV band, NuSTAR is observing in the 3-79 keV band. The combination of the two instruments offers an excellent coverage up to the hard X-rays, which is crucial to constrain the synchrotron SED of EHBLs that is peaking deep in the X-ray regime. From the Swift-XRT analysis, the 0.3-10 keV spectra are almost all well fitted using a power-law function $dN/dE \propto E^{-\Gamma}$ with an index $\Gamma \lesssim 2$. This suggests a synchrotron peak frequency located around or above 1 keV. Only RGB J2313+147 showed an X-ray spectrum with a power-law index softer than 2, indicating that the synchrotron peak frequency was below 1 keV and that the source did not behave as an EHBL during the observations. Overall, a clear harder-when-brighter behaviour was identified for each source, which is a common feature of BL Lac type objects [12–14].

The multiwavelength data allowed us to interpret the broadband SEDs within leptonic and hadronic scenarios. As a first leptonic model, we used the one-zone synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) model developed by [15], in which the emitting zone filled with relativistic electrons is moving downstream in a conical jet structure. As a second leptonic scenario, we used the spine-layer model from [16, 17]. The latter scenario assumes a stratified electron plasma jet composed of a central part, the spine, surrounded by a layer moving at a slower speed. Because of their relative motions, the emission of one region as observed in the frame of the other is seen boosted. This boosted emission brings an additional target photon field to each region and their respective inverse Compton emission are therefore enhanced. As discussed by [18], the main advantage of the spine-layer model is its ability to possibly solve the low magnetisation and equipartition issue often encountered in typical one-zone SSC models. Finally, we considered a proton-synchrotron model described in [19]. In this model, the low-energy SED still comes from electron synchrotron emission, while the high-energy SED component is emitted via proton-synchrotron radiation.

We show in Fig. 1 the results of the three emission models applied to two of the newly
VHE-detected sources from Table 1, RBS 0723 and 1ES 2037+521. The one-zone SSC model is represented with a blue dashed curve, the spine-layer model is plotted with a black continuous line and the proton-synchrotron model is plotted with a dashed-dotted magenta line. The reader is referred to [10] for the modelling results of the rest of the sources listed in Table 1. The three theoretical models are able to satisfactorily describe the observational data from all sources. Nevertheless, the parameters describing the emitting zone environment significantly differ between the three scenarios. We find that the one-zone SSC models always require a very low magnetisation of the emitting zone, leading to a system that is heavily out of equipartition. The magnetic field energy density \( (U_B) \) is several orders of magnitude below the particle energy density \( (U_e) \). One finds \( U_B/U_e \sim 10^{-2} - 10^{-4} \). Regarding the spine-layer model, we obtain solutions that can accommodate a region close to equipartition, \( U_B/U_e \sim 1 \). Finally, in the proton-synchrotron model, the magnetic field is much larger than the one used in the two previous leptonic models in order to compensate for the lower efficiency of the synchrotron emission by protons. Thus, in the proton-synchrotron models the magnetic energy density highly dominates over that of the particles and the system is out of equipartition. We note that within our proton-synchrotron model, the neutrino flux originating from proton-photon interactions in the jet is low and remains below the sensitivity of current neutrino telescopes.

3. MAGIC detection of RX J0812.0+0237

RX J0812.0+0237 is a BL Lac type object located at a redshift of \( z = 0.172 \) [20]. The host galaxy is clearly identifiable in its SED, suggesting that the synchrotron SED component is shifted to higher frequencies with respect to less energetic BL Lacs. The flux properties of RX J0812.0+0237 in the radio, X-ray and MeV-GeV bands also show similarities with 1ES 0229+200, the archetypal EHB [21]. An archival measurement from ROSAT at 1 keV indicated a synchrotron SED extending in the hard X-ray regime [22], clearly suggesting that RX J0812.0+0237 is an EHB. The source is listed in the fourth Fermi Large Area Telescope catalog (4FGL) [23], but remained undetected at VHE until now.
MAGIC observed the source for a total of \( \approx 49 \) hours between 2019 and 2020 under good atmospheric conditions. Fig. 2 shows the resulting \( \theta^2 \) distribution, where \( \theta \) is the angular distance between the reconstructed event direction and the nominal source position. The grey histogram shows the background distribution of \( \theta^2 \). The vertical dashed line defines the signal region below which the detection significance is computed. In the signal region, an excess of \( N_{ex} = 687.7 \pm 133.1 \) gamma-ray events is obtained, corresponding to a detection significance of 5.21\( \sigma \).

A preliminary MAGIC spectral analysis indicates that the intrinsic spectrum (i.e., corrected for the EBL absorption) in the VHE band is well described with a simple power-law function with a power-law index of \( \Gamma_{VHE,\text{inst}} = 2.58 \pm 0.33 \).

The MAGIC observations were complemented by simultaneous data in the optical/UV and X-rays by the Swift satellite to obtain a broadband view of the sources. The X-ray spectral analysis confirms the EHBL nature of the source, making it an ideal target to test particle acceleration models in blazar jets. A detailed investigation and interpretation of the broadband emission will be the topic of an upcoming publication.

Figure 3: Broadband SED of 1ES 2344+514. Red data points show the observations during the VHE outburst in 2016, while grey data points are archival measurements. The black line on the left plot shows the results of the one-zone SSC model, while the black line on the right is the output of the proton-synchrotron model. The green curves at \( 10^{31} \text{ MeV} \) are the neutrino flux arising from the proton-synchrotron model. The plots are taken from [25].

4. The intermittent EHBL behaviour of 1ES 2344+514

EHBLs come in different flavours: those showing a persistent EHBL behaviour and those showing EHBL characteristics only temporarily. The latter behaviour has been noticed for instance in Mrk 501 and 1ES 2344+514 [12, 24]. 1ES 2344+514 is a nearby BL Lac type object located at a redshift of \( z = 0.044 \) [26]. It belongs to one of the first extragalactic sources detected at VHE. The first VHE detection was reported by the Whipple collaboration in 1995 during a flaring activity [27]. Observation from the BeppoSAX instrument during a high state in 1996 revealed X-ray (0.1–10 keV) flux variability on hour time scale accompanied by strong spectral changes [24]. The synchrotron peak shifted to higher frequencies by a factor \( \approx 30 \), above \( 3 \times 10^{18} \) Hz (\( \approx 12 \) keV), which placed
this source for the first time in the EHBL family. The recent multiwavelength campaigns occurred mostly during low activity, without the similar extremeness in the X-ray band seen by BeppoSAX in 1996. The extreme behaviour therefore seems to occur only during flaring states.

The First G-APD Cherenkov Telescope (FACT) is a 3.5-m diameter IACT located near the MAGIC telescopes, on the island of La Palma, in Spain [28, 29]. FACT is monitoring bright TeV blazars including 1ES 2344+514. On 2016 August 10, the FACT Quick Look Analysis [30], a low-latency on-site analysis, detected the source in an enhanced state. The FACT collaboration issued an alert to the community, triggering MAGIC observations. MAGIC observed 1ES 2344+514 for two consecutive nights, 2016 August 11 and 2016 August 12, for a total of ≈ 1.1 hours. During the first MAGIC observation the flux above 300 GeV reached \( F(>300 \text{ GeV}) = (7.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \), corresponding to about 60% of the flux of the Crab Nebula. This VHE flux level is similar to the historical maximum registered by Whipple in 1995 [27]. During the second MAGIC observation, the flux dropped by a factor ≈ 3.4.

Several X-ray observations from Swift-XRT took place close in time to the MAGIC observations. The analysis reveals an enhanced 2-10 keV flux around the VHE flare. The X-ray spectrum is well described by a simple power-law function with a hard index of \( \Gamma = 1.93 \pm 0.06 \), indicating a synchrotron peak frequency above 1 keV. The latter value is about an order of magnitude higher than the one extracted during low-activity [31]. 1ES 2344+514 behaved temporarily as an EHBL during summer 2016.

We complemented the VHE and X-ray observations with radio, optical, infrared, UV and Fermi-LAT (0.3-300 GeV) data in order to obtain an extensive multiwavelength characterisation of the source during this peculiar state. The combination of Fermi-LAT with VHE data from MAGIC and FACT provides an unprecedented constrain of the high-energy SED component of 1ES 2344+514 during a flare. The broadband SED during the flare is shown Fig. 3 as red data points. At VHE, the spectrum is taken from the second MAGIC observing night (where the flux is ≈ 3.4 times dimmer than the first observation) in order to be quasi-simultaneous to the Swift and other instruments observations. Grey data points show archival measurements from the SSDC (Space ScienceData Centre) database of ASI (Italian Space Agency). The strong shifts of the synchrotron peak frequency that 1ES 2344+514 exhibits over time is clearly noticeable when comparing 2016 data with the archival measurements.

The unprecedented energy coverage during a flare of 1ES 2344+514 allowed us to test and constrain different models to interpret the broadband emission. We adopted a one-zone SSC model and a proton-synchrotron model from [19]. The obtained one-zone SSC model is represented with a black line in the left plot of Fig. 3, while the proton-synchrotron model is shown in black in the right plot. Both scenarios are able to well represent the observations. Nonetheless, the SSC model requires rather extreme parameters with electron population extending up to \( \sim \) TeV energies without strong cutoff and a very low magnetisation \( (B \sim 10^{-2} \text{ G}) \). The system is several orders of magnitude out of equipartition, \( U_B/U_e \sim 10^{-3} \). The opposite situation is found for the proton-synchrotron model in which the energy density of the magnetic field heavily dominates over the particle energy density. The green curve in the right plot of Fig. 3 shows the neutrino flux arising from proton-photon interactions, which is well below the sensitivity of current neutrino telescopes. The results

\[ \text{http://www.asdc.asi.it/} \]
of this work have been published in [25], where more details can be found.

5. Conclusions

EHBLs are powerful tools to study jet physics and can be used as cosmological probes. The MAGIC Collaboration set up an observing program in order to extend their population at VHE and to characterise their emission behaviour. The program has already proven to be a success, with several new EHBL detections, including hard-TeV blazars, which spectral properties represent a great challenge for current emission models. Complementing the MAGIC observation with dense multiwavelength coverage, we investigate different theoretical scenarios. Both leptonic and hadronic models are able to describe the observations at the cost of extreme parameters. Additional MAGIC observations of EHBLs are ongoing in order to build a second MAGIC hard-TeV blazar catalog.
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