## Tetraquark channels with $\bar{b} b$ pair in the static limit
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Belle experiment discovered two hadrons with exotic quark content $Z_{b}^{+} \simeq \bar{b} b \bar{d} u$. We present a lattice study of the $\bar{b} b \bar{d} u$ systems with various quantum numbers using static bottom quarks. Only one set of quantum numbers that couples to $Z_{b}$ and $\Upsilon \pi$ was explored on the lattice before: these studies found an attractive potential between $B$ and $\bar{B}^{*}$ which leads to a bound state below the threshold. In the present study, we consider the other three sets of quantum numbers. Eigenenergies of the $\bar{b} b \bar{d} u$ system are extracted as a function of separation between $b$ and $\bar{b}$. The resulting eigen-energies do not show any sizable deviation from non-interacting energies of the systems $\bar{b} b+\bar{d} u$ and $\bar{b} u+\bar{d} b$, so no significant attraction or repulsion is found. A slight exception is a small attraction between $B$ and $\bar{B}^{*}$ at small distance for the quantum number that couples to $Z_{b}$ and $\eta_{b} \rho$.
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## 1. Introduction

The Belle experiment announced a discovery of two tetraquarks $Z_{b}(10610)$ and $Z_{b}(10650)$ with $J^{P}=1^{+}$and $I=1$ in $2011[1,2]$. Both resonances were first observed in decays to $Z_{b}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \Upsilon(n S) \pi^{ \pm}$and $Z_{b}^{ \pm} \rightarrow h_{b}(m P) \pi^{ \pm}$, which indicates the exotic flavor content $Z_{b}^{+} \sim \bar{b} b \bar{d} u$. Later, Belle established that $Z_{b}(10610)$ and $Z_{b}(10650)$ predominantly decay to $B \bar{B}^{*}$ and $B^{*} \bar{B}^{*}$, respectively [3]. Their masses are slightly above these two thresholds. Many phenomenological studies indicate that the $B^{(*)} \bar{B}^{*}$ molecular Fock component is essential for $Z_{b}$ (see for example [4]). Furthermore, in [4, 5] $Z_{b}(10610)$ and $Z_{b}(10650)$ are dominated by $B \bar{B}^{*}$ and $B^{*} \bar{B}^{*}$, respectively,

No lattice studies of the $\bar{b} b \bar{q} q$ resonances via the rigorous Lüscher formalism are available. This is too challenging at present since one would have to determine a scattering matrix of at least seven coupled channels from a very dense spectrum of eigen-energies.

This work considers the $\bar{b} b \bar{d} u$ system with static $b$ and $\bar{b}$ quarks fixed to distance $r$ within lattice QCD, as shown in Fig. 1a. The goal is to determine eigen-energies of this system $E_{n}(r)$ as a function of separation $r$ for various quantum numbers. The resulting energies are then compared to the non-interacting (n.i.) energies $E^{\text {n.i. }}(r)$ of subsystems $[\bar{b} b][\bar{d} u]$ and $[\bar{b} u][\bar{d} b]$, where $[.$. denotes a color-singlet meson of a given flavor. The eigen-energies represent lattice input to study this system within the Born-Oppenheimer approximation. This can be done by solving the nonrelativistic Schrödinger equation with the static potential according to the general strategy outlined, for example, in [6, 7].

(a) (b) $J_{z}^{l}=0$,
(b) $J_{z}^{l}=0$,
$C \cdot P=+1, \epsilon=+1$
(c) $J_{z}^{l}=0$,
(d) $J_{z}^{l}=0$,
$C \cdot P=+1, \epsilon=-1$
$C \cdot P=-1, \epsilon=+1$

Figure 1: (a) The system studied with static $b$ and $\bar{b}$; (b,c,d) the states of this system with various quantum numbers captured by our operators. The operators for the (b) are shown in (3), while the operator for (c) and (d) can be found in [8].

The $Z_{b}$ with $J^{P}=1^{+}$corresponds in the molecular $B^{(*)} \bar{B}^{*}$ picture to the linear combination of two quantum channels in the static limit

$$
\begin{array}{r}
B \bar{B}_{k}^{*}+B_{k}^{*} \bar{B} \propto\left(S^{h}=0\right)\left(J^{l}=1, C \cdot P=\epsilon=+1\right)+\left(S^{h}=1\right)\left(J^{l}=0, C \cdot P=\epsilon=-1\right) \\
B_{i}^{*} \bar{B}_{j}^{*}-B_{j}^{*} \bar{B}_{i}^{*} \propto\left(S^{h}=0\right)\left(J^{l}=1, C \cdot P=\epsilon=+1\right)-\left(S^{h}=1\right)\left(J^{l}=0, C \cdot P=\epsilon=-1\right) . \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

This can be rigorously shown with the Fierz transformations (see Eq. (3) in our longer publication [8]). The total spin of heavy quarks ( $S^{h}$ ) and the angular momentum of the light degrees of freedom $\left(J^{l}\right)$ are separately conserved in the static limit $m_{b} \rightarrow \infty$. Let us for the moment postpone the explanation of the connection to $C \cdot P$ and $\epsilon$.

Lattice simulations of $Z_{b}[9,10]$ have been done only for the quantum number $J^{l}=0$, where $Z_{b}$ couples to $\Upsilon \pi$ and to the second component of $B^{(*)} \bar{B}^{*}$ on the right-hand side of (1). Both available studies found that the eigenstate dominated by $B \bar{B}^{*}$ has energy significantly below $m_{B}+m_{B^{*}}$ at small $r$. This rendered the static potential with sizable attraction between $B$ and $\bar{B}^{*}$ at small $r$. The nonrelativistic Schrödinger equation for $B \bar{B}^{*}$ leads to a bound state below the $B \bar{B}^{*}$ threshold, which could be related to $Z_{b}$.

The present lattice study (see our publication [8]) considers other three sets of quantum numbers (see $1 \mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{c}, 1 \mathrm{~d}$ ) for the $\bar{b} b \bar{d} u$ system. These quantum numbers have not been studied before, with exception of [11] that considered the ground state of one channel. We investigate the quantum number (1b) which contains $J^{l}=1$ and is relevant for $Z_{b}$, where this resonance couples to $\eta_{b} \rho$ and to the first component of $B \bar{B}^{*}(1)$.

In addition, we study two other sets of quantum numbers $(1 \mathrm{c}, 1 \mathrm{~d})$ which do not couple to $B \bar{B}^{*}$ but only to $[\bar{b} b][\bar{d} u]$ in the explored energy region.

## 2. Quantum numbers and operators

In the static approximation $m_{b} \rightarrow \infty$, the conserved quantum numbers differ from those when $b$ and $\bar{b}$ have finite mass. In our case we consider the $\bar{b} b \bar{q} q$ system in Fig. 1a and define the axis of separation of $b$ and $\bar{b}$ to be the $z$-axis. The good quantum numbers are thus isospin $I$, its third component $I_{3}$, angular momentum of the light degrees of freedom $J_{z}^{l}$, the product of parity and charge conjugation $C \cdot P$ and the reflection over $y z$-plane $\epsilon$. More details can be found in Sec. II of [8].

We study the four-quark system $\bar{b} b \bar{q} q$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=1, I_{3}=0, J_{z}^{l}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 1 lists the three sets of quantum numbers considered here and one set considered in the previous studies $[9,10]$.

Our operators resemble Fock components $[\bar{b} q][\bar{q} b]$ and $[\bar{b} b][\bar{q} q]$, schematically shown in Fig. 1 with quantum numbers represented in Table 1. Employed annihilation operators for the quantum numbers $J_{z}^{l}=0, C \cdot P=+1, \epsilon=+1$ are listed below (operators for the other two channels $J_{z}^{l}=0, C \cdot P=+1, \epsilon=-1$ and $J_{z}^{l}=0, C \cdot P=-1, \epsilon=+1$ are provided in [8]):

| quantum numbers |  |  |  |  |  |  | lat. studies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ | $I_{3}$ | $J_{z}^{l}$ | $C \cdot P$ | $\epsilon$ | $\Lambda_{C P}^{\epsilon}$ | $S^{h}$ |  |$|$

Table 1: Four sets of quantum numbers for the system $\bar{b} b \bar{q} q$ : The first one was studied in [9, 10], whereas we study the other three. The system is invariant under the rotations of the heavy quark spins, so the results are independent of $S^{h} . \Lambda_{\eta=C P}^{\epsilon}$ is written according to the convention in [12].

$$
\begin{align*}
O_{1}= & O_{B \bar{B}^{*} \propto} \sum_{a, b} \sum_{A, B, C, D} \Gamma_{B A} \tilde{\Gamma}_{C D} \bar{b}_{C}^{a}(0) q_{A}^{a}(0) \bar{q}_{B}^{b}(r) b_{D}^{b}(r) \\
& \propto\left(\left[\bar{b}(0) P_{-} \gamma_{5} q(0)\right]\left[\bar{q}(r) \gamma_{z} P_{+} b(r)\right]+\left\{\gamma_{5} \leftrightarrow \gamma_{z}\right\}\right) \\
& -\left(\left[\bar{b}(0) P_{-} \gamma_{y} q(0)\right]\left[\bar{q}(r) \gamma_{x} P_{+} b(r)\right]+\left\{\gamma_{y} \leftrightarrow \gamma_{x}\right\}\right) \\
O_{2} & =O_{\left(B \bar{B}^{*}\right)^{\prime}} \\
O_{3}= & O_{[\bar{b} b] \rho(0)} \propto\left[\bar{b}(0) U \Gamma^{(\mathrm{H})} b(r)\right]\left[\bar{q} \gamma_{z} q\right]_{\vec{p}=\overline{0}} \\
O_{4}= & O_{[\bar{b} b] \rho(1)} \propto\left[\bar{b}(0) U \Gamma^{(\mathrm{H})} b(r)\right]\left(\left[\bar{q} \gamma_{z} q\right]_{\vec{p}=\vec{e}_{z}}+\left[\bar{q} \gamma_{z} q\right]_{\vec{p}=-\vec{e}_{z}}\right) \\
O_{5}= & O_{[\bar{b} b] \rho(2)} \propto\left[\bar{b}(0) U \Gamma^{(\mathrm{H})} b(r)\right]\left(\left[\bar{q} \gamma_{z} q\right]_{\vec{p}=2 \vec{e}_{z}}+\left[\bar{q} \gamma_{z} q\right]_{\vec{p}=-2 \vec{e}_{z}}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us provide some comments on operators. For more details on the operators we refer the reader to [8]. The gamma matrices sandwiched between static quarks can be $\tilde{\Gamma}, \Gamma^{(\mathrm{H})}=\gamma_{5} P_{+}$or $\gamma_{z} P_{+}$for $S^{h}=0$ or 1 , respectively. The static limit implies that the correlators and $E_{n}$ are the same for both, so our results apply to both cases. The operators $O_{B \bar{B}^{*}}$ and $O_{\left(B \bar{B}^{*}\right)^{\prime}}$, resembling $[\bar{b} q][\bar{q} b]$ are constructed with $\Gamma=P_{-} \gamma_{z}$ that satisfies $J_{z}^{l}=0$. Our operators for the channels $C \cdot P=+1, \epsilon=-1$ and $C \cdot P=-1, \epsilon=+1$ do not contain this kind of operators since these quantum numbers do not couple to a pair of negative parity $B$-mesons. The second and third line in (3) are obtained via the Fierz transformation, where we take $\tilde{\Gamma}=\gamma_{5} P_{+}$. This decomposition clarifies why this quantum channel is a linear superposition of $B \bar{B}^{*}, B^{*} \bar{B}$ and $B^{*} \bar{B}^{*}$ and why we labeled the two terms on the right-hand side of (1) with $C \cdot P$ and $\epsilon$. Throughout this paper we refer to any combination of $B^{(*)} \bar{B}^{(*)}$ as $B \bar{B}^{*}$. One should namely note that in the static limit, $B$ and $B^{*}$ mesons are degenerate.

The operators resembling $[\bar{b} b][\bar{q} q]$ are formed from a color-singlet bottomonium and colorsinglet light-meson current. The light current $\left[\bar{q} \Gamma^{\prime} q\right]$ with $\Gamma^{\prime}=\gamma_{5}$ (present in $C \cdot P=+1, \epsilon=-1$ and $C \cdot P=\epsilon=-1$ of [10]) couples in the low energy region to a pion, $l=\pi$. The currents for other $\Gamma^{\prime}$ couple to resonances $l=\rho, b_{1} a_{1}, a_{0}$ that are not strongly stable on our lattice. So these currents in principle couple also to the allowed strong decay products of those resonances. The reliable and rigorous extraction of eigen-energies would require implementation of multi-hadron operators in the light sector which is beyond the scope of the present study. In practice, the employed operator $\left[\bar{q} \Gamma^{\prime} q\right]_{\vec{p}}$ couples to one finite-volume energy level $E_{l(\vec{p})}$ which is a mixture of resonant and multihadron eigenstates in practice. Our main purpose is to find out whether there is some interaction between bottomonium $[\bar{b} b]$ and the light degrees of freedom $l$. Therefore we compare the sum of the separate energies $V_{\bar{b} b}+E_{l(\vec{p})}$ with the eigen-energy $E_{n}$ of the whole system $[\bar{b} b][\bar{q} q]$, where
the light degrees of freedom arise from the same current $\left[\bar{q} \Gamma^{\prime} q\right]_{\vec{p}}$ in both cases. This strategy does not lead to a complete spectrum of eigen-energies, but it still indicates whether the energy of light degrees of freedom is affected in the presence of a bottomonium.

## 3. Lattice details

Simulation is performed on an ensemble with dynamical Wilson-clover $u / d$ quarks, $m_{\pi} \simeq$ $266(5) \mathrm{MeV}, a \simeq 0.1239$ (13) fm and 281 configurations [13, 14]. We employ an ensemble with $N_{L}=16(L \simeq 2 \mathrm{fm})$ and $N_{T}=32$. The latter is effectively doubled by summing the light-quark propagators with periodic and anti-periodic boundary conditions in time [14]. The Wick contractions are calculated using distillation, and energies are extracted via GEVP.

## 4. Eigen-energies of $\bar{b} b \bar{d} u$ system as a function of $r$

The central results of our study are the eigen-energies of the $\bar{b} b \bar{d} u$ system (Fig. 1a) with static $b$ and $\bar{b}$ separated by $r$. Eigen-energies are presented by symbols in Figs. 2 and 3 for all four sets of quantum numbers shown in Table 1. The colors of symbols indicate which Fock component dominates an eigenstate, as determined from the normalized overlaps of an eigenstate $|n\rangle$ to operators $O_{i}$. For more details on the calculation of the eigen-energies and the overlaps see Sec. IV and Appendix in [8].


Figure 2: Eigen-energies for the two channels of the system $\bar{b} b \bar{q} q$ that include the $[\bar{b} q][\bar{q} b]$ operators. On the left are the results for quantum numbers $C \cdot P=\epsilon=+1$. Eigen-energies are shown by symbols for separations between static quarks $b$ and $\bar{b}$. The labels indicate which two-hadron component dominates each eigenstate. The lines represent related two-hadron energies $E^{\text {n. i. (4) when two hadrons do not interact. }}$ The width of their bands shows the uncertainty. On the right is a similar plot from [10] with the results for $C \cdot P=\epsilon=-1$. Lattice spacing is $a \simeq 0.124 \mathrm{fm}$ for both cases.


Figure 3: The eigen-energies $E_{n}$ (symbols) and the two-hadron non-interacting energies $E^{\text {n. i. ( }}$ (ines) for the two remaining channels similarly as in Fig. 2.

The lines in Figs. 2 and 3 provide the related non-interacting (n. i.) energies $E_{n}$ of two-hadron states

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
E_{B \bar{B}^{*}}^{\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{i} .} & =2 m_{B}, & E_{[\bar{b}(0) b(r)] l(0)}^{\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{i} .}=V_{\bar{b} b}(r)+m_{l},  \tag{4}\\
E_{[\bar{b}(0) b(r)] l(\vec{p})}^{\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{i} .} & =V_{\bar{b} b}(r)+E_{l(\vec{p})}, & & l=\pi, \rho, b_{1}, a_{1}, a_{0},
\end{array}
$$

where $\bar{b} b$ static potential $V_{\bar{b} b}(r), m_{l}$ and $m_{B}=m_{B^{*}}=0.5201$ (19) (mass of $B^{(*)}$ for $m_{b} \rightarrow \infty$ without $b$ rest mass) are determined on the same lattice. $E_{l(\vec{p})}$ is determined using $\left[\vec{q} \Gamma^{\prime} q\right]_{\vec{p}}$ and approximately satisfies $E_{l(\vec{p})} \simeq \sqrt{m_{l}^{2}+\vec{p}^{2}}$ (see the last paragraph in Sec. 2).

All observed eigen-energies $E_{n}$ of the $\bar{b} b \bar{d} u$ system (symbols) are very close to non-interacting energies $E^{n . i}$. of $[\bar{b} b][\bar{d} u]$ or $[\bar{b} u][\bar{d} b]$ (lines). This represents the most important conclusion of the present study. In particular, eigenstates dominated by $[\bar{b} b][\bar{d} u]$ operators have energies consistent with the sum of energies for $[\bar{b} b(r)]$ and $[\bar{d} u]$. Given our precision, we therefore do not observe attraction or repulsion between bottomonium and light hadrons for the considered separations $r$.

For the three quantum channels we consider in this study, the eigenstate dominated by $B \bar{B}^{*}$ is present only in $C \cdot P=\epsilon=+1$. Its energy $E_{B \bar{B}^{*}}(r)$ is represented by the red circles in Fig. 2a and is close to $m_{B}+m_{B^{*}}$. For the reminder of the discussion, we assume that this eigenstate couples only to $B \bar{B}^{*}$ Fock component and does not contain other Fock components, which is supported by the extracted normalized overlaps. The energy of this eigenstate represents the total energy without the kinetic energy of heavy degrees of freedom. The difference $V(r)=E_{B \bar{B}^{*}}(r)-m_{B}-m_{B^{*}}$, therefore, represents the potential felt by the heavy degrees of freedom, in this case between $B$ and $\bar{B}^{*}$. Possible implications of the potentials in Fig. 4 for $Z_{b}$ are discussed below.

- $J^{l}=0 \& C \cdot P=\epsilon=-1$ : The potential with sizable attraction between $B$ and $\bar{B}^{*}$ at small $r$ has been found $[9,10]$. The results from [10], which are obtained on the same ensemble as employed here, are shown in Figs. 2 b and 4 b . Motion of $B$ and $\bar{B}^{*}$ with experimental


Figure 4: Static potentials between $B$ and $\bar{B}^{*}$ separated by $r$ from lattice simulations (see Fig. 1a). Quantum numbers (a) $I=1, J^{l}=1, J_{z}^{l}=0, C \cdot P=\epsilon=+1$ are considered here and (b) $I=1, J^{l}=0, J_{z}^{l}=0, C \cdot P=\epsilon=-1$ were studied in [10]. The potential (a) is consistent with zero for $r / a \geq 2$ within slightly more than one sigma errors, which are shown in the plot. Both simulations are performed on the same ensemble with the lattice spacing $a \simeq 0.124 \mathrm{fm}$.
masses in this potential leads to one $B \bar{B}^{*}$ bound state below threshold, whose binding energy depends on the parametrization of the potential. Assuming the non-singular potential $V(r)=$ $-A r^{-(r / d)^{F}}$ lead to the range of binding energies $M-m_{B}-m_{B^{*}}=-48_{-108}^{+41} \mathrm{MeV}$ [10]. Some parametrizations among those lead to a bound state closely below threshold ( $\simeq 20 \mathrm{MeV}$ ) and sharp peak in the $B \bar{B}^{*}$ rate above threshold - a feature that could be related to the observed experimental $Z_{b}$ peak. Most of the parametrizations in [10] lead to a binding energy larger than 20 MeV and a less significant peak in the rate above threshold, since the size of the peak decreases as the binding energy increases. The singular form of the potential $V(r)=-\frac{A}{r} r^{-(r / d)^{F}}$ would also lead to one bound state, but with a larger binding energy. This component is therefore significantly attractive: it is possible that this component alone is to attractive and leads to a binding energy that is to large in comparison with experimental $Z_{b}$.

- $J^{l}=1 \& C \cdot P=\epsilon=+1$ : The potential for this component in Fig. 4a shows no observable attraction or repulsion between $B$ and $\bar{B}^{*}$ at $r \geq 0.2 \mathrm{fm}$ and a very mild attraction at $r \simeq 0.1 \mathrm{fm}$.
- Linear combination: The $Z_{b}$ is a linear combination of those two quantum numbers (1). The $B \bar{B}^{*}$ and $B^{*} \bar{B}^{*}$ channels are coupled in this system via the strongly attractive potential for component $C \cdot P=\epsilon=-1$ and very mildly attractive potential for $C \cdot P=\epsilon=+1$, both shown in Fig. 4. It is not possible to establish implications concerning $Z_{b}$ at present since neither of these potentials is known from the lattice simulations in detail. However, it is conceivable that a mutual effect of a significantly attractive and a very mildly attractive potential could lead to a bound state closely below $B \bar{B}^{*}$ threshold, which could be related to experimental $Z_{b}$.

Let us note that the $Z_{b}(10610)$ was found as a virtual bound state slightly below threshold by the re-analysis of the experimental data [4].

## 5. Outlook

The presented simulations of $\bar{b} b \bar{d} u$ system represent only the first step towards exploring the energy region near $m_{Z b} \simeq m_{B}+m_{B^{*}}$, where a number of severe simplifications have been made. It would be valuable if the future lattice simulation could determine the eigen-energies of the considered channels with an improved accuracy. The simulations with smaller lattice spacing would be needed to extract static potentials at smaller separations between static quarks. The simulations with larger volumes would be more challenging since the discrete spectrum of $[\bar{b} b] l(p)$ states would be denser. A much more severe challenge would be to take into account the resonance nature of $\rho, b_{1}, a_{1}, a_{0}$ decaying to multiple hadrons, which will require implementation of multi-hadron operators $O_{[\bar{b} b] l_{1}\left(p_{1}\right) l_{2}\left(p_{2}\right) \ldots \text {. Furthermore, an analytic study that considers the dynamics of the } B \bar{B}^{*}}$ and $B^{*} \bar{B}^{*}$ channels which are coupled via the potentials in Fig. 4 would be needed.

## 6. Conclusions

Two $Z_{b}$ resonances with $J^{P}=1^{+}$were the first discovered bottomonium-like tetraquarks. They predominantly decay to $B \bar{B}^{*}$ and $B^{*} \bar{B}^{*}$ and lie slightly above these two thresholds. They decay also to a bottomonium and a pion, which implies the exotic quark content $\bar{b} b \bar{d} u$. Our aim is to explore whether the interaction between $B^{(*)}$ and $\bar{B}^{*}$ is responsible for the existence of $Z_{b}$. The main challenge is that $Z_{b}$ decays to $\bar{b} u+\bar{d} u$ as well as lower lying states $\bar{b} b+\bar{d} u$

We study the system $\bar{b} b \bar{d} u$ with static $\bar{b} b$ pair separated by $r$ on the lattice. Four quantum channels are considered and operators of type $[\bar{b} u][\bar{d} u]$ and $[\bar{b} b][\bar{d} u]$ are employed. We determine eigen-energies $E_{n}(r)$ and compare them to the non-interacting energies of two-hadron systems.

The $Z_{b}$ with finite $m_{b}$ can decay to $\Upsilon \pi$ and $\eta_{b} \rho$ (among others), while these two quantum channels are decoupled for the static $b$ quarks used in the simulation. The simulation [10] considered the quantum number that couples to $\Upsilon \pi$ and found that the potential between $B$ and $\bar{B}^{*}$ is significantly attractive at $r<0.4 \mathrm{fm}$. The present simulation considers the quantum number that couples to $\eta_{c} \rho$ and finds that the potential between $B$ and $\bar{B}^{*}$ is consistent with zero, except for a slight attraction at $r \simeq 0.1 \mathrm{fm}$. The first attractive potential alone leads to a bound state below $m_{B}+m_{B^{*}}$ that could be related to $Z_{b}$ [10], but it is likely somewhat to deep. It is conceivable that the mutual effect of both potentials could lead to a $Z_{b}$ state in the vicinity of the $m_{B}+m_{B^{*}}$ threshold. The conclusion is also that the interaction between bottomonium and light hadrons for all four explored quantum channels $\bar{b} b \bar{d} u$ is small.
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