

Small-*x* Improved Transverse Momentum Dependent Factorization and some of its recent applications

Krzysztof Kutak*

Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Radzikowskiego 152, 31-342, Krakow E-mail: krzysztof.kutak@ifj.edu.pl

In this talk I am going to present some of recent phenomenological applications of the ITMD [1,2] formalism extended to account for Sudakov form factor. In particular production of dijets in the future Electron Ion Collider is discussed.

*** The European Physical Society Conference on High Energy Physics (EPS-HEP2021), *** *** 26-30 July 2021 *** *** Online conference, jointly organized by Universität Hamburg and the research center DESY ***

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

1. Factorization for forward dijet production

High energy collisions of protons and heavy nuclei at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) provide a unique tool to probe dense systems of quarks and gluons. Furthermore recently approved Electron Ion Collider [3] will allow for precision tomographic study of structure of hadrons ranging from proton to lead. In particular interesting are processes where jets or particles are produced in the forward direction with respect to the probe which can be proton or electron. Kinematically, such final states have large rapidities and therefore they trigger events in which the partons from the nucleus carry rather small longitudinal momentum fraction *x*. This kinematic setup is perfectly suited to investigate the phenomenon of gluon saturation, which is expected to occur at some value of *x* to prevent violation of the unitarity bound (for a review of this subject see Ref. [4]). The behavior of dense systems of partons when *x* becomes small is predicted by Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) and leads to non-linear evolution equations known as B-JIMWLK [5,7] equations (for review see [8,9]), which can be derived within the Color Glass Condensate (CGC) theory. In CGC, the calculation of forward jet production relies on factorization, where the dense target according to theoretical results is described with nonlinear BK-JIMWLK equations.

The description of multi-jet production is rather complicated [10]. A novel approach to such processes was initiated in Ref. [1]. The framework is known as the small-*x* Improved Transverse Momentum Dependent (ITMD) factorization. The ITMD formula accounts for:

- complete kinematics of the scattering process with off-shell gluons,
- gauge invariant definitions of the TMD gluon densities,
- gauge invariant expressions for the off-shell hard matrix elements,
- it also recovers the high energy factorization (aka k_T -factorization) [11, 12] in the limit of large off-shellness of the initial-state gluon from the nucleus and small-*x* TMD factorization [13] in correlation limit i.e. when momenta of jets are much larger that momentum of off-shell gluon.

Recently, the ITMD factorization has been proved [14]. Steps in further extension of the formalism to three and more jets were undertaken in Ref. [16, 17]. While in the paper [18] the ITMD was generalized to account for masses of produced hadrons as well as longitudinally polarized gluons (see also [19] for discussion of the role of transverse gauge links). Furthermore in the papers [20, 21] the ITMD was shown to agree very well with full CGS results (accounting on top of kinematical twist for genuine twist effects) in the region dominated by hard jets i.e. $k_T, p_T > Q_s$ While the original ITMD formula, as well as the works studying the jet correlation limit within CGC, include gluon saturation effects, they do not account for all contributions proportional to logarithms of the hard scale set by the large transverse momenta of jets – the so-called Sudakov logarithms. It has been shown in Refs. [22, 23] that inclusion of Sudakov logarithms is necessary in order to describe the LHC jet data at small *x* but yet before the saturation regime. In the low *x* domain, the resummation leading to the Sudakov logarithms has been developed in [24,25] see also [26]. In the paper [28], it has been shown for the first time, that the interplay of saturation effects and the resummation of the Sudakov logarithms is essential to describe the small-*x* forward-forward



Figure 1: Broadening of azimuthal decorrelations in p-Pb collisions vs p-p collisions for different sets of cuts imposed on the jets' transverse momenta. The plots show normalized cross sections as functions of the azimuthal distance between the two leading jets, $\Delta\phi$. The points show the experimental data [29] for p-p and p-Pb, where the p-Pb data were shifted by a pedestal, so that the values in the bin $\Delta\phi \sim \pi$ are the same. Theoretical calculations are represented by the histograms with uncertainty bands coming from varying the scale by factors 1/2 and 2.

dijet data. In this contribution we present two results that demonstrate relevance and importance of both effects i.e. nonlinearity accounting for saturation and Sudakov effects accounting for emission of soft gluons.

• The first process under consideration is the inclusive dijet production

$$p(P_p) + A(P_A) \to j_1(p_1) + j_2(p_2) + X$$
, (1.1)

• The second one is the dijet production in Deep Inelastic Scattering

$$e(P_p) + A(P_A) \to j_1(p_1) + j_2(p_2) + X$$
, (1.2)

where *A* can be either the lead nucleus, or a proton. To describe the former of above processes, the hybrid approach has been used where one assumes that the proton *p* is a dilute projectile, whose partons are collinear to the beam and carry momenta $p = x_p P_p$. The hadron A is probed at a dense state. The jets j_1 and j_2 originate from hard partons produced in a collision of the probe *a* with a gluon belonging to the dense system *A*. This gluon is off-shell, with momentum $k = x_A P_A + k_T$ and $k^2 = -|\vec{k}_T|^2$. The ITMD factorization formula (schematically) reads

$$d\sigma^{\mathrm{pA}\to j_1j_2+X} = \sum_{a,c,d} x_\mathrm{p} f_{a/\mathrm{p}}\left(x_\mathrm{p},\mu\right) \otimes \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathscr{K}_{ag^*\to cd}^{(i)}\left(q_T,k_T;\mu\right) \otimes \Phi_{ag\to cd}^{(i)}\left(x_\mathrm{A},k_T,\mu\right), \tag{1.3}$$

The distributions $f_{a/p}$ are the collinear PDFs corresponding to the large-*x* gluons and quarks in the projectile. The functions $\mathcal{K}_{ag^* \rightarrow cd}^{(i)}$ are the hard matrix elements constructed from gauge-invariant off-shell amplitudes [27]. The quantities $\Phi_{ag \rightarrow cd}^{(i)}$ are the TMD gluon distributions introduced in Ref. [1] and parametrise a dense state of the nucleus or the proton in terms of small-*x* gluons.



Figure 2: Azimuthal correlations between the total transverse momentum of the dijet system and the transverse momentum of the scattered electron at EIC in two frames: the LAB frame (left), the Breit frame (right). The calculation has been done within the ITMD framework using KATIE Monte Carlo [31] with the Weizsäcker-Williams gluon distribution obtained from the KS fit to HERA data.

Similarly the ITMD formula for e+A collision reads (once the contribution from longitudinally polarized gluons is neglected)

$$d\sigma_{eh\to e'+2j+X} = \frac{1}{4xP_e \cdot P_h} \mathscr{F}_{gg}^{(3)}(x, k_T, \mu) \otimes |\overline{M}_{eg^* \to e'+2j}|^2, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mathscr{F}_{gg}^{(3)}(x,k_T,\mu)$ is so called WW gluon density which has the interpretation of gluon number density. To apply the ITMD formula one needs to construct the ITMD densities. One can use their operator definition and calculate their $x_{,k_{T}}$ dependence using B-JIMWLK or using mean field approximation and express the ITMD distributions in terms of convolutions of dipole gluon density which is a solution of BK equation. We followed the second option and constructed the ITMD distributions from distributions given by the KS gluon density [2,30]. As it has been argued above the necessary additional element in order to provide realistic cross section is the Sudakov form factor. For the dijet production at the LHC we used DGLAP based Sudakov form factor [28]. On fig. 1 we show normalized cross sections as functions of $\Delta \phi$ in p-p and p-Pb collisions. The three panels correspond to three different cuts on the transverse momenta of the two leading jets. The points with error bars represent experimental data from Ref. [29]. The main results for p-Pb collisions are represented by blue solid lines in Fig. 1. The visible broadening comes from the interplay of the non-linear evolution of the initial state and the Sudakov resummation. Similarly in the fig. 2 shows result for predictions for di-jet production at EIC obtained in [32] for the cross section as a function of the angle between electron and dijet system. In this case we used Sudakov obtained in [24]. A control result based on a calculation that neglects the Sudakov form factor is presented there as well. The comparison of the two results clearly shows that while saturation effects are mild, the Sudakov effects are fairly large. This feature is clearly visible in both the LAB and the Breit frame.

2. Conclusions

In this contribution the ITMD factorization framework was presented. In particular I discussed its phenomenological applications to LHC and EIC physics. Furthermore it is clear that one needs to account for additional contributions coming from soft gluons and leading to Sudakov form factor. The combined contribution allows to describe shape of the LHC forward-forward dijet spectra. Using this knowledge we provide predictions for the EIC dijet crosssection.

Acknowledgments

The research is founded by Polish National Science Center grant no. DEC-2017/27/B/ST2/01985.

References

- P. Kotko, K. Kutak, C. Marquet, E. Petreska, S. Sapeta and A. van Hameren, JHEP 09 (2015), 106 doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2015)106 [arXiv:1503.03421 [hep-ph]].
- [2] A. van Hameren, P. Kotko, K. Kutak, C. Marquet, E. Petreska and S. Sapeta, JHEP 12 (2016), 034
 [erratum: JHEP 02 (2019), 158] doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2016)034 [arXiv:1607.03121 [hep-ph]].
- [3] R. Abdul Khalek, A. Accardi, J. Adam, D. Adamiak, W. Akers, M. Albaladejo, A. Al-bataineh, M. G. Alexeev, F. Ameli and P. Antonioli, *et al.* [arXiv:2103.05419 [physics.ins-det]].
- [4] J. L. Albacete and C. Marquet, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 76 (2014), 1-42 doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2014.01.004 [arXiv:1401.4866 [hep-ph]].
- [5] I. Balitsky, "Operator expansion for high-energy scattering," Nucl. Phys. B463 (1996) 99–160, hep-ph/9509348.
- [6] J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, A. Leonidov, and H. Weigert, "The BFKL equation from the Wilson renormalization group," *Nucl. Phys.* B504 (1997) 415–431, hep-ph/9701284.
- J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, A. Leonidov, and H. Weigert, "The Wilson renormalization group for low x physics: Towards the high density regime," *Phys. Rev.* D59 (1998) 014014, hep-ph/9706377.
- [8] Y. V. Kovchegov and E. Levin, Camb. Monogr. Part. Phys. Nucl. Phys. Cosmol. 33 (2012), 1-350 doi:10.1017/CBO9781139022187
- [9] F. Gelis, E. Iancu, J. Jalilian-Marian and R. Venugopalan, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 60 (2010), 463-489 doi:10.1146/annurev.nucl.010909.083629 [arXiv:1002.0333 [hep-ph]].
- [10] C. Marquet, Nucl. Phys. A 796 (2007), 41-60 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2007.09.001 [arXiv:0708.0231 [hep-ph]].
- [11] S. Catani, M. Ciafaloni, and F. Hautmann, "High-energy factorization and small x heavy flavor production," *Nucl. Phys.* B366 (1991) 135–188.
- [12] M. Deak, F. Hautmann, H. Jung, and K. Kutak, "Forward Jet Production at the Large Hadron Collider," JHEP 09 (2009) 121, 0908.0538
- [13] F. Dominguez, C. Marquet, B. W. Xiao and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011), 105005 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.105005 [arXiv:1101.0715 [hep-ph]].

- [14] T. Altinoluk, R. Boussarie, and P. Kotko, "Interplay of the CGC and TMD frameworks to all orders in kinematic twist," *JHEP* 05 (2019) 156, 1901.01175.
- [15] Y. V. Kovchegov, "Small x F(2) structure function of a nucleus including multiple pomeron exchanges," *Phys. Rev.* D60 (1999) 034008, hep-ph/9901281.
- [16] M. Bury, A. van Hameren, P. Kotko and K. Kutak, JHEP 09 (2020), 175 doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2020)175 [arXiv:2006.13175 [hep-ph]].
- [17] M. Bury, P. Kotko and K. Kutak, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) no.2, 152 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6652-4 [arXiv:1809.08968 [hep-ph]].

ITMD

- [18] T. Altinoluk, C. Marquet, and P. Taels, "Low-x improved TMD approach to the lepto- and hadroproduction of a heavy-quark pair," *JHEP* 06 (2021) 085, 2103.14495.
- [19] R. Boussarie and Y. Mehtar-Tani, Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) no.9, 094012 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.094012 [arXiv:2001.06449 [hep-ph]].
- [20] H. Fujii, C. Marquet, and K. Watanabe, "Comparison of improved TMD and CGC frameworks in forward quark dijet production," *JHEP* 12 (2020) 181, 2006.16279.
- [21] R. Boussarie, H. Mäntysaari, F. Salazar and B. Schenke, JHEP 21 (2020), 178 doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2021)178 [arXiv:2106.11301 [hep-ph]].
- [22] A. van Hameren, P. Kotko, K. Kutak and S. Sapeta, Phys. Lett. B 737 (2014), 335-340 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2014.09.005 [arXiv:1404.6204 [hep-ph]].
- [23] A. van Hameren, P. Kotko and K. Kutak, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) no.5, 054007 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.054007 [arXiv:1505.02763 [hep-ph]].
- [24] A. H. Mueller, B.-W. Xiao, and F. Yuan, "Sudakov double logarithms resummation in hard processes in the small-x saturation formalism," *Phys. Rev.* D88 (2013), no. 11, 114010, 1308.2993.
- [25] A. H. Mueller, B.-W. Xiao, and F. Yuan, "Sudakov Resummation in Small-x Saturation Formalism," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013), no. 8, 082301, 1210.5792.
- [26] K. Kutak, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) no.3, 034021 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.034021 [arXiv:1409.3822 [hep-ph]].
- [27] A. van Hameren, P. Kotko and K. Kutak, JHEP 01 (2013), 078 doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2013)078 [arXiv:1211.0961 [hep-ph]].
- [28] A. van Hameren, P. Kotko, K. Kutak, and S. Sapeta, "Broadening and saturation effects in dijet azimuthal correlations in p-p and p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV," *Phys. Lett. B* **795** (2019) 511–515, 1903.01361.
- [29] M. Aaboud *et al.* [ATLAS], Phys. Rev. C **100** (2019) no.3, 034903 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.100.034903 [arXiv:1901.10440 [nucl-ex]].
- [30] K. Kutak and S. Sapeta, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012), 094043 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.094043 [arXiv:1205.5035 [hep-ph]]
- [31] A. van Hameren, Comput. Phys. Commun. 224 (2018), 371-380 doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2017.11.005 [arXiv:1611.00680 [hep-ph]].
- [32] A. van Hameren, P. Kotko, K. Kutak, S. Sapeta and E. Żarów, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09529-3 [arXiv:2106.13964 [hep-ph]].