



# Paving a path from the refined Chern-Simons to the topological strings

### Maneh Avetisyan<sup>*a*,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Yerevan Physics Institute, Alikhanyan Brothers 2, Yerevan, Armenia

*E-mail:* maneh.avetisyan@gmail.com

This talk was based on our joint recent work with R.Mkrtchyan [1], where we present a new expression for the partition functions of the refined Chern-Simons theory on  $S^3$  for arbitrary simple gauge groups and investigate the possibility to rewrite them in terms of Vogel's universal parameters. This investigation is aimed at the possible establishment of dualities between refined Chern-Simons and topological strings for all simple gauge groups.

We showed that for the simply laced or ADE algebras the corresponding partition functions are universal. For the non-simply laced algebras, we managed to rewrite them in a form, which makes it possible to transform them into a product of multiple sine functions, paving a path for the future study of the corresponding dualities.

RDP online PhD school and workshop "Aspects of Symmetry"(Regio2021), 8-12 November 2021 Online

#### \*Speaker

<sup>©</sup> Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

## Introduction

The story begins with the fact, discovered by R.Mkrtchyan and A.Veselov in [2, 3]. Namely, they showed that the partition function of Chern-Simons (CS) theory on a three-dimensional sphere  $S^3$  can be presented in terms of Vogel's universal parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  [4]. It has been shown later, that this representation of the partition function happens to be very convenient for the further transformation of the abovementioned partition function into the Gopakumar-Vafa partition function of topological strings. Particularly, in [3, 5] the dualities between the CS theories and topological strings were established for the classical gauge groups. In [6] this result has been extended to the exceptional algebras, namely the partition function of CS on  $S^3$  with the exceptional gauge groups have been presented in the form of a partition function of a specific refined topological string.

The extension of the results of the understanding of the CS/topological strings dualities to the case of the *refined* CS theories has not been left untouched. In particular, D.Krefl and A.Shwartz [7] managed to prove, that the partition functions of the refined CS theories based on the  $A_n$  and  $D_n$  series of gauge algebras are universal. Taking the advantage of that universal representation dualities between the corresponding refined CS theories and some refined topological strings were established in [8].

Our work [1] on which this talk was based embodies the natural development of the aforementioned investigations.

First, we ask for an exact expression for the partition functions of the refined CS theories which would work for *each of the* simple gauge algebra, after specifying the corresponding root system. We succeed in deriving that expression through the generalization of the Kac-Peterson identity for the volume of the fundamental domain of the co-root lattice of a Lie algebra [9]. See Section 1 for more details.

Then, we ask about the possibility of representation of the partition function of the refined CS in a universal form and manage to generalize the Krefl-Shwartz result to all simply laced algebras, proving that the partition function of the refined CS for  $E_n$ , n = 6, 7, 8 algebras is also universal, see Section 2.

Finally, we clarify the case with the non-simply laced algebras. Namely, we prove, that in that cases there are no universal expressions in terms of Vogel's universal parameters, which would coincide with the corresponding partition functions. However, we manage to find an appropriate representation of the corresponding partition functions, which allow their further transformation into products of multiple sine functions, necessary for the future investigation of their relationship with some (refined) topological strings. The corresponding expressions are presented in Section 3.

# 1. The partition function of refined CS theory on $S^3$

The partition function of CS theory on  $S^3$  sphere was given in Witten's seminal paper [10] as the  $S_{00}$  element of the S matrix of modular transformations. For an arbitrary gauge group, it is (see, e.g. [2, 11])

$$Z(k) = Vol(Q^{\vee})^{-1}(k+h^{\vee})^{-\frac{r}{2}} \prod_{\alpha_{+}} 2\sin\pi \frac{(\alpha,\rho)}{k+h^{\vee}}$$
(1)

Here the so-called minimal normalization of the invariant scalar product (, ) in the root space is used, which implies that the square of the long roots equals 2. Other notations are:  $Vol(Q^{\vee})$  is the volume of the fundamental domain of the coroot lattice  $Q^{\vee}$ , the integer k is the CS coupling constant,  $h^{\vee}$  is the dual Coxeter number of the algebra, r is the rank of the algebra, the product is taken over all positive roots  $\alpha_+$ .

 $Vol(Q^{\vee})$  is equal to the square root of the determinant of the matrix of scalar products of the simple coroots, accordingly for the simply laced algebras, in the minimal normalization, it is equal to the square root of the determinant of the Cartan matrix:

$$Vol(Q^{\vee}) = (\det(\alpha_i^{\vee}, \alpha_i^{\vee}))^{1/2}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

$$\alpha_i^{\vee} = \alpha_i \frac{2}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}, \ i = 1, ..., r$$
(3)

The same formula for the partition function, rewritten in an arbitrary normalization of the scalar product [2], is

$$Z(\kappa) = Vol(Q^{\vee})^{-1}(\delta)^{-\frac{r}{2}} \prod_{\alpha_+} 2\sin\pi \frac{(\alpha,\rho)}{\delta}$$
(4)

where k is now replaced by  $\kappa$ ,  $h^{\vee}$  by t, and  $\delta = \kappa + t$ . In this form the r.h.s. is invariant w.r.t. the simultaneous rescaling of the scalar product,  $\kappa$ , and t (and hence  $\delta$ ). In the minimal normalization they accept their usual values in (1).

In [3] it was noticed, that from this formula for the partition function one can derive an interesting closed expression for  $Vol(Q^{\vee})$ , which agrees with that in the Kac-Peterson's paper [9], (see eq. (4.32.2)), provided

$$Z(0) = 1 \tag{5}$$

This equality is completely natural from the physical point of view. Indeed, the CS theory is based on the unitary integrable representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras. At a given k there is a finite number of such representations, and at k = 0 there is not any non-trivial one.

So, from (4) and (5) we have

$$Vol(Q^{\vee}) = t^{-\frac{r}{2}} \prod_{\alpha_+} 2\sin \pi \frac{(\alpha, \rho)}{t}$$
(6)

which, as mentioned, agrees with [9]. Below we generalize this equation by inclusion of a refinement parameter.

The generalization of the usual CS to the refined CS theory is given in [12-14]. It is based on Macdonald's deformation of e.g. the Shur polynomials, and other "deformed" formulae, given in [15-17]. In a nutshell, Macdonald's deformation yields the deformed *S* and *T* matrices of the modular transformations, and since these matrices define all observables in CS theory, one can naturally consider the "deformed" or the refined versions of all observables, i.e. the link/manifold invariants.

Particularly, the partition function of the refined CS theory on  $S^3$  is given [12] by the  $S_{00}$  element of the refined S-matrix. In [12] an orthogonal, instead of an orthonormal basis is used sometimes. We shall use the orthonormal one only (as in [7]), so there is no difference between e.g.  $S_{00}$  and  $S_0^0$ .

We suggest the following expression for  $S_{00}$  for the refined CS theory:

$$Z(\kappa, y) = Vol(Q^{\vee})^{-1} \delta^{-\frac{r}{2}} \prod_{m=0}^{y-1} \prod_{\alpha_+} 2\sin \pi \frac{y(\alpha, \rho) - m(\alpha, \alpha)/2}{\delta}$$
(7)

We assume that now  $\delta = \kappa + yt$ , y is the refinement parameter, which we consider to be a positive integer at this stage.

Although we could not find the  $Z(\kappa, y)$  in this exact form in the literature, however, the expression (7) complies with the known formulae in different limits, e.g. at y = 1 it yields the corresponding formula for the non-refined case (4). It also coincides with the corresponding formulae for the refined CS theory in [7, 12, 14] for  $A_n$ ,  $D_n$  algebras. The coefficient  $(\alpha, \alpha)/2$  in front of the summation parameter *m* coincides with that in the constant term formulae in [18, 19]. Actually, for non-simply laced algebras one can introduce two refinement parameters, one for each length of the roots, see e.g. [18, 19]. However, we did not try to introduce a second parameter (and also are not aware of the physical interpretation of it), so below we consider them to be coinciding, so that we always have one refinement parameter.

The latter expression of the partition function is supported by the key feature of (7): at  $\kappa = 0$  the equality Z(0, y) = 1 holds, which is ensured by the following generalization of the formula (6) for the same object  $Vol(Q^{\vee})$ :

$$Vol(Q^{\vee}) = (ty)^{-\frac{r}{2}} \prod_{m=0}^{y-1} \prod_{\alpha_{+}} 2\sin \pi \frac{y(\alpha, \rho) - m(\alpha, \alpha)/2}{ty}$$
(8)

For  $A_n$  algebras this equality can be easily proved with the use of the following well-known identity, valid at an arbitrary positive integer N:

$$N = \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} 2\sin\pi \frac{k}{N} \tag{9}$$

Similarly it can be checked for all the remaining root systems, too.

Next, with (8) taken into account, we obtain the following expression of the partition function:

$$Z(\kappa, y) = \left(\frac{ty}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \prod_{m=0}^{y-1} \prod_{\alpha_+} \frac{\sin \pi \frac{y(\alpha, \rho) - m(\alpha, \alpha)/2}{\delta}}{\sin \pi \frac{y(\alpha, \rho) - m(\alpha, \alpha)/2}{ty}}$$
(10)

which explicitly satisfies Z(0, y) = 1, since  $\delta = ty$  at  $\kappa = 0$ .

#### 2. Universality of the refined CS for all simply laced algebras

The expression (10) obeys the following integral representation[1]:

$$\ln Z = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{R_+} \frac{dx}{x} \frac{\sinh\left(x(ty-\delta)\right)}{\sinh\left(xty\right)\sinh\left(x\delta\right)} F_X(2x,y) \tag{11}$$

where

$$F_X(x, y) = r + \sum_{m=0}^{y-1} \sum_{\alpha_+} \left( e^{x(y(\alpha, \rho) - m(\alpha, \alpha)/2)} + e^{-x(y(\alpha, \rho) - m(\alpha, \alpha)/2)} \right)$$
(12)

The index *X* stands for the algebra. Note, that in the non-refined case, i.e. when y = 1 (12) coincides with the quantum dimension of the adjoint representation, which is known to be universal [2, 20]:

$$F_X(x,1) = r + \sum_{\alpha_+} \left( e^{x(\alpha,\rho)} + e^{-x(\alpha,\rho)} \right) = \chi_{ad}(x\rho)$$
(13)

$$\chi_{ad}(x\rho) \equiv f(x) = \frac{\sinh(x\frac{\alpha-2t}{4})}{\sinh(x\frac{\alpha}{4})} \frac{\sinh(x\frac{\beta-2t}{4})}{\sinh(x\frac{\beta}{4})} \frac{\sinh(x\frac{\gamma-2t}{4})}{\sinh(x\frac{\gamma}{4})}$$
(14)

Note that the notation  $\alpha$  is used either for the root(s) of an algebra or for one of Vogel's parameters. Since these objects are very different, hopefully, no interpretation problem will appear.

So we can call  $F_X(x, y)$  the refined quantum dimension.

The question we propose is the following: can one present the  $F_X(x, y)$  in terms of Vogel's universal parameters?

In [7] Krefl and Swartz showed that for  $X = A_n$  and  $X = D_n$ 

$$F_X(x, y) = f(x, y) \tag{15}$$

where

$$f(x,y) = \frac{\sinh(x\frac{\alpha-2ty}{4})}{\sinh(x\frac{\alpha}{4})} \frac{\sinh(xy\frac{\beta-2t}{4})}{\sinh(xy\frac{\beta}{4})} \frac{\sinh(xy\frac{\gamma-2t}{4})}{\sinh(xy\frac{\gamma}{4})}$$
(16)

i.e. for the  $A_n$  and  $D_n$  series of algebras the partition function of the refined CS is universal.

We extend this result to all simply laced algebras, claiming that

$$F_X(x, y) = f(x, y) \tag{17}$$

for any simply-laced Lie algebra *X*.

Take e.g. the  $E_6$  algebra, for which the corresponding universal parameters in the minimal normalization are:  $\alpha = -2$ ,  $\beta = 6$ ,  $\gamma = 8$ , t = 12. We should calculate the sum

$$F_{E_6}(x,y) = 6 + \sum_{m=0}^{y-1} \sum_{\alpha_+} e^{x(y(\alpha,\rho)-m)} + e^{-x(y(\alpha,\rho)-m)}$$
(18)

First note the number of roots  $n_L$  with a given height  $L = (\alpha, \rho)$  among all roots. The set of couples  $(L, n_L)$  with a non-zero  $n_L$  is

$$(-11, 1), (-10, 1), (-9, 1), (-8, 2), (-7, 3), (-6, 3), (-5, 4), (-4, 5),$$
(19)  
$$(-3, 5), (-2, 5), (-1, 6), (0, 6), (1, 6), (2, 5), (3, 5), (4, 5), (5, 4), (6, 3),$$
(7, 3), (8, 2), (9, 1), (10, 1), (11, 1)

which of course is symmetric w.r.t. the  $L \leftrightarrow -L$ . We also include the element (0, 6) in this list, which is just the first term 6 in (18). Then, using this data, we note that the sum in (18) is given by

$$F_{E_6} = \phi(11y) + \phi(8y) + \phi(7y) + \phi(5y) + \phi(4y) + \phi(y)$$
(20)

$$\phi(n) = \sum_{i=-n}^{n} q^{i} = \frac{q^{2n+1} - 1}{q^{n}(q-1)}$$
(21)

$$q = e^x \tag{22}$$

Combining the sums  $\phi(11y) + \phi(8y) + \phi(5y)$  and  $\phi(7y) + \phi(4y) + \phi(y)$ , we get

$$\phi(11y) + \phi(8y) + \phi(5y) = \frac{(q^{9y} - 1)(q^{5y+1} - q^{-11y})}{(q-1)(q^{3y} - 1)}$$
(23)

$$\phi(7y) + \phi(4y) + \phi(y) = \frac{(q^{9y} - 1)(q^{y+1} - q^{-7y})}{(q-1)(q^{3y} - 1)}$$
(24)

$$F_{E_6} = \frac{(q^{9y} - 1)}{(q - 1)(q^{3y} - 1)}(q^{4y} + 1)(q^{y+1} - q^{-11y}) =$$
(25)

$$\frac{(q^{9y}-1)(q^{8y}-1)(q^{y+1}-q^{-11y})}{(q-1)(q^{3y}-1)(q^{4y}-1)}$$
(26)

which can be easily checked to coincide with f(x, y) for the universal parameters corresponding to  $E_6$  algebra.

Literally similar calculations can be carried out for the remaining  $E_7$ ,  $E_8$  algebras, as well as for Krefl-Schwarz cases  $A_n$ ,  $D_n$ , leading to the same conclusion.

#### 3. The partition functions for the non-simply laced algebras

It appears, that the refined quantum dimension  $F_X(x, y)$  is not universal in case X is a nonsimply laced algebra. However, the corresponding sums can be presented in forms, appropriate for the further duality considerations [3, 6, 8]. Namely, present  $F_X(x, y)$  as follows:

$$F_X = r + \sum_{m=0}^{y-1} \sum_{\alpha_+} \left( e^{x(y(\alpha,\rho) - m(\alpha,\alpha)/2)} + e^{-x(y(\alpha,\rho) - m(\alpha,\alpha)/2)} \right) = \frac{A_X}{B_X}$$
(27)

where X denotes an algebra of type B, C, F or G, r is its rank,  $B_X$  is a product of a number of terms of the form  $q^a - 1$ , and  $A_X$  is a polynomial in q.

Note, that in (27) one should explicitly mention the normalization of the scalar product. Indeed, a rescaling of the scalar product and *x* leaves invariant only the l.h.s. of (27), whilst the ratio  $A_X/B_X$  in the r.h.s is dependent only on *x*, thus changes under the corresponding rescaling. This means that when substituting the r.h.s. of (27) into the integral form of the partition function (11) one should take the parameters *t* and  $\delta$  in the same normalization. Below we choose normalizations that allow avoiding the appearance of fractional powers of *q*.

Now we present  $F_X$  for all non-simply laced algebras.

Let us consider the  $B_n$  algebras. Normalization corresponds to  $\alpha = -4$ , i.e. the square of the long root is 4. The corresponding representation we mentioned above is

$$F_{B_n}(x, y) = \frac{A_{B_n}}{B_{B_n}} \tag{28}$$

$$A_{B_n} = q^{4ny+2} + q^{-4(n-1)y} +$$
(29)

$$(q+1)(q^{y}-1)\left(q^{2y}+1\right)\left(q^{2ny}-1\right)\left(q^{y-2ny}+q\right)-q^{4y}-q^{2}$$
(30)

$$B_{B_n} = \left(q^2 - 1\right) \left(q^{4y} - 1\right), \tag{31}$$

For the  $C_n$  algebras we also choose the same normalization with the square of the long root being 4. Then  $F_X$  writes as

$$F_{C_n} = \frac{A_{C_n}}{B_{C_n}} \tag{32}$$

$$B_{C_n} = (q^2 - 1)\left(q^{2y} - 1\right) \tag{33}$$

$$A_{C_n} = (q+1)q^y \left(q^{2ny} - 1\right) \left(q^{2ny+1} - 1\right) +$$
(34)

$$\left(q^{2y}-1\right)\left(q^{ny}-1\right)\left(q^{ny+1}-1\right)\left(q^{2ny+1}-1\right)$$
(35)

For the  $F_4$ , with the same normalization, we have

$$F_{F_4} = \frac{A_{F_4}}{B_{F_4}}$$
(36)

$$B_{F_4} = (q^2 - 1) \tag{37}$$

$$A_{F_4} = q^{-16y} \left( q^{2y} + 1 \right) \left( -q^{2y} + q^{4y} + 1 \right) \left( q^{12y+1} - 1 \right) \times$$
(38)

$$\left(q^{5y+1} - q^{8y+1} + q^{9y+1} + q^{14y+1} + q^{5y} - q^{6y} + q^{9y} + 1\right)$$
(39)

For the  $G_2$  we use the normalization corresponding to the square of the long root to be equal to 6. The corresponding  $F_{G_2}$  function is

$$F_{G_2} = \frac{A_{G_2}}{B_{G_2}} \tag{40}$$

$$B_{G_2} = q^3 - 1 \tag{41}$$

$$A_{G_2} = q^{-9y} \left( q^{6y+1} - 1 \right) \times \tag{42}$$

$$\left(q^{4y+1} + q^{8y+1} + q^{4y+2} - q^{6y+2} + q^{8y+2} + q^{12y+2} + q^{4y} - q^{6y} + q^{8y} + 1\right)$$
(43)

#### Conclusion

By closing the contour of integration in (11) in the upper semi plane, one obtains the output by means of a sum of contributions of poles. As it was shown in a number of cases in [3, 5, 8], the contribution of the so-called perturbative poles, i.e. those coming from  $\sinh(x\delta)$ , exactly coincides with the Gopakumar-Vafa partition function of the corresponding dual topological string. The corresponding contribution with the use of the newly derived expressions  $F_X$  should be examined next, aiming at the interpretation of the initial partition function in terms of some (refined) topological strings, indeed if such strings exist. Obviously, that string would be the candidate for a dual description of the corresponding CS theory.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was fulfilled within the Regional Doctoral Program on Theoretical and Experimental Particle Physics, sponsored by VolkswagenStiftung. It was also partially supported by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Science and Education of the Republic of Armenia under contracts 20AA-1C008 and 21T-1C105.

### References

- [1] On partition functions of refined Chern-Simons theories on S<sup>3</sup>, JHEP 10 (2021) 033, https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2021)033, arxiv:2107.08679
- [2] R.L. Mkrtchyan and A.P. Veselov, Universality in Chern-Simons theory, JHEP08 (2012) 153, arXiv:1203.0766.
- [3] R.L.Mkrtchyan, Nonperturbative universal Chern-Simons theory, JHEP09(2013)054, arXiv:1302.1507.
- [4] P. Vogel, The Universal Lie algebra. Preprint (1999), https://webusers.imjprg.fr/~pierre.vogel/grenoble-99b.pdf
- [5] R.L. Mkrtchyan, On a Gopakumar-Vafa form of partition function of Chern-Simons theory on classical and exceptional lines, arXiv:1410.0376, JHEP12, (2014), 171
- [6] R.L.Mkrtchyan, Chern-Simons theory with the exceptional gauge group as a refined topological string, arXiv: 2007.09346, Physics Letters B, Volume 808, 10 September 2020, 135692, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135692

- [7] D. Krefl and A. Schwarz, Refined Chern-Simons versus Vogel universality, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 74 (2013) 119-129, arXiv:1304.7873
- [8] D. Krefl and R.Mkrtchyan, Exact Chern-Simons / Topological String duality, arXiv:1506.03907, JHEP10, (2015), 45.
- [9] Kac, V.G. and Peterson, D.H.: Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, theta functions and modular forms, Advances in Mathematics 53 (1984), 125-264.
- [10] E. Witten, Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial, Comm. Math. Phys. **121** (1989), 351-399.
- [11] P. Di Francesco, P.Mathieu and D.Sénéchal, Conformal Field Theory. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [12] M. Aganagic and S. Shakirov, Knot Homology and Refined Chern-Simons Index, Commun. Math. Phys. 333 (2015) 1, 187 [arxiv1105.5117hep-th].
- [13] M. Aganagic and S. Shakirov, Refined Chern-Simons Theory and Knot Homology, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 85 (2012) 3 [arxiv1202.2489hep-th].
- [14] M. Aganagic and K. Schaeffer, Orientifolds and the Refined Topological String, JHEP 1209 (2012) 084 [arxiv1202.4456hep-th].
- [15] I.G. Macdonald, A new class of symmetric functions, Publ.I.R.M.A., Strasbourg, Actes 20-e Seminaire Lotharingen, (1988), 131–171.
- [16] I.G. Macdonald, Orthogonal polynomials associated with root systems, preprint(1988).
- [17] I.G. Macdonald, Some conjectures for root systems, SIAM J.Math. Anal. 13:6 (1982), 988–1007.
- [18] Cherednik, I., Macdonald's Evaluation Conjectures and Difference Fourier Transform, arXiv:q-alg/9412016
- [19] Cherednik, I., Double Affine Hecke Algebras and Macdonald's Conjectures, The Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 141, No. 1 (Jan., 1995), pp. 191-216
- [20] B.Westbury, Invariant tensors and diagrams, Proceedings of the Tenth Oporto Meeting on Geometry, Topology and Physics (2001). Vol. 18. October, suppl. 2003, pp. 49-82.