

# Two-loop mixed QCD-EW corrections to neutral current Drell-Yan

# Simone Devoto<sup>*a*,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica Aldo Pontremoli, Università di Milano and INFN, Sezione di Milano Via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy

*E-mail:* simone.devoto@unimi.it

We present the mixed QCD-EW two-loop virtual amplitudes for the neutral current Drell-Yan production, one of the bottlenecks for the complete calculation of the NNLO mixed QCD-EW corrections. We present the computational details and the first steps towards their automation. We describe the evaluation of all the relevant two-loop Feynman integrals using analytical and semi-analytical methods, the subtraction of the universal infrared singularities and present the numerical evaluation of the finite remainder.

Loops and Legs in Quantum Field Theory - LL2022, 25-30 April, 2022 Ettal, Germany

#### \*Speaker

<sup>©</sup> Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

## 1. Introduction

The production of a lepton-pair with high transverse momentum, also known as Drell-Yan (DY) process, is of primary importance for precision programmes at hadron colliders: it has a clean experimental signature and a high production rate, allowing for a precise extraction of fundamental electroweak parameters. In particular, thanks to the large amount of high-quality data collected by the experiments at the LHC, the measurements of several observables of interest, as the mass of the W boson[1] or the electro-weak mixing angle[2], have been obtained with a precision which is starting to become competitive with previous results from LEP, and that is expected to reach sub-permille level by the end of the high-luminosity phase of the LHC. Such experimental accuracy needs to be matched by precise theoretical Standard Model predictions, that also play a crucial role for new physics searches by providing severe constraints on possible models.

For these reasons, in the last years there has been an ongoing effort in order to improve the theoretical predictions on DY processes. The computation of the on-shell Z boson production cross-section had some recent progress with the inclusion first of QCD-QED mixed corrections[3–5], then mixed QCD-electroweak (EW) corrections[6–10]. Similar mixed corrections have also been computed for the production of an on-shell W boson[11, 12].

In the following, we will focus on the neutral-current DY process, where the final-state lepton pair is mediated by an off-shell photon or Z-boson:

$$q(p_1) + \bar{q}(p_2) \to l^-(p_3) + l^+(p_4)$$
. (1)

By considering a perturbative expansion in the strong  $(\alpha_S)$  and electroweak  $(\alpha)$  coupling, we can write the cross-section for this process as:

$$d\sigma = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_S^i \alpha^j d\sigma^{(i,j)} , \qquad (2)$$

where  $d\sigma^{(0,0)}$  is the leading order contribution.

The dominant effect from higher order corrections comes from the QCD corrections  $d\sigma^{(i,0)}$ , which have been computed at next-to-leading order (NLO)[13], next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) [14–16] and, recently, up to next-to-next-to-next-to-leading order (N3LO)[17–22].

Electroweak corrections  $d\sigma^{(0,j)}$  have a smaller impact, as suggested by the so-called "physical counting"  $\alpha_S \simeq \alpha^2$ . Nevertheless, they are not negligible. They are known up to NLO[23–25], while for NNLO, only the Sudakov high energy approximation is available[26].

The large size of both NLO QCD and NLO EW corrections suggests that also the mixed strong-electroweak corrections  $d\sigma^{(1,1)}$  might have a sizeable impact, which, by physical counting, is expected to be comparable with N3LO QCD contributions. Recent results from two independent computations[27, 28] show indeed an effect of ~ 0.5% with respect to the LO result. In this proceeding, we will present some technical aspects of the latter results, namely the computation of the mixed QCD-EW two-loop virtual corrections[29] that represented one of the bottlenecks of the full calculation and that have been used to obtain the phenomenological results presented in[27].

#### 2. Computational framework

The results presented in [27] have been obtained by using the  $q_T$ -subtraction formalism[30] to treat and cancel singularities of infrared (IR) origin. In the following, the cancellation of the IR poles of the virtual corrections is thus performed within this framework; our results can nevertheless be straightforwardly generalised to any other subtraction scheme by properly replacing the subtraction operator.

The  $q_T$ -subtraction formalism is at the moment only developed for the case of massive finalstate emitters[31, 32]. As a consequence, we keep in our computation the dependence on the lepton mass  $m_l$  to regularise the final-state collinear singularities, while dropping it in the finite contributions. We thus perform a small lepton mass limit, by considering the ratio  $m_l/\sqrt{s}$  and by keeping only logarithmic terms  $\simeq \log(m_l/\sqrt{s})$ .

When dealing with intermediate unstable particles, such as the W or Z boson, it is useful to perform the calculation in the complex mass scheme in order to regularise the behaviour at the resonance. In our computation we thus introduce a complex mass  $\mu_V$  for the gauge boson V = Z, W, defined as:

$$\mu_V^2 = m_V^2 - i\Gamma_V m_V, \qquad (3)$$

where the real parameters  $m_V$  and  $\Gamma_V$  are, respectively, the mass and the decay width of the gauge boson. The introduction of the complex mass scheme also affects the kinematical variables of the process. We define the Mandelstam variables:

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2, \ t = (p_1 - p_3)^2,$$
 (4)

and their respective dimensionless kinematic invariants:

$$x_V = -\frac{s}{m_V^2}, \quad y_V = -\frac{t}{m_V^2}.$$
 (5)

When replacing the pole mass with the complex mass, the adimensional variables  $x_V$ ,  $y_V$  become, in general, complex-valued. As it will be shown in the following, this feature will require some additional care when dealing with the evaluation of the master integrals, in order to perform properly the analytic continuation of the solution in the complex plane.

### 3. Evaluation of the interference term

By following the expansion in Eq.(2), we can write the amplitude of the partonic process in Eq.(1) as:

$$|\mathcal{M}\rangle = |\mathcal{M}^{(0)}\rangle + \alpha_S |\mathcal{M}^{(1,0)}\rangle + \alpha |\mathcal{M}^{(0,1)}\rangle + \alpha_S \alpha |\mathcal{M}^{(1,1)}\rangle + \cdots$$
(6)

In order to evaluate the two-loop mixed QCD-EW corrections, we need to compute the following interference terms:

$$\langle \mathcal{M}^{(0)} | \mathcal{M}^{(1,0)} \rangle, \ \langle \mathcal{M}^{(0)} | \mathcal{M}^{(0,1)} \rangle, \ \langle \mathcal{M}^{(0)} | \mathcal{M}^{(1,1)} \rangle.$$

$$\tag{7}$$

The first step is the generation of the relevant Feynman diagrams. We used two completely independent approaches, one based on FEYNARTS[33], one based on QGRAF[34]. Two independent in-house routines have then been used to automatically perform the Dirac and Lorentz Algebra.

The computation is performed in dimensional regularisation, which leads to the problem of handling consistently the inherently four-dimensional object  $\gamma_5$  in  $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$  dimensions. The prescription of 't Hooft and Veltman[35] proposes to abandon the anticommutation relation

$$\{\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_5\} = 0, \tag{8}$$

while keeping the cyclicity of the trace. The prescription of Kreimer et al.[36], on the other hand, suggests renouncing the cyclicity of the trace while keeping the anticommutation relation, reducing the computational load in a significative way. It has been recently proven for neutral-current DY that at two loops the two prescription, while yielding to different scattering amplitudes, provide the same finite corrections after consistent subtraction of the IR and UV poles[37].

In our computation, we keep the anticommutation relation of  $\gamma_5$ , using a fixed point to write the Dirac traces. By using this propriety, we bring all the  $\gamma_5$  matrices at the end of the Dirac trace, and by using the relation  $\gamma_5^2 = 1$  we obtain a trace with, at most, a single leftover  $\gamma_5$ . In the latter case, we use the identity

$$\gamma_5 = \frac{i}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma^{\sigma} . \tag{9}$$

At this stage, the interference terms are written as a sum of tensor integrals. This expression, after some simple algebra, can be converted in terms of a sum of scalar integrals, expressed as elements of an integral family, each with the respective rational coefficient. All the scalar integrals that appear in the expression, however, are not independent, and linear relations between them are provided by integration by parts (IBP) identities, that allow to reduce the original large set of scalar integrals to a smaller set of Master Integrals (MIs).

We executed the reduction to MIs by using two different public codes that implement Laporta algorithm [38], KIRA[39] and LITERED[40]. Our final basis of MI is composed by different integrals already known in the literature: MIs relevant for the QCD-QED corrections with massive final state[41, 42]; MIs with one or two internal masses, relevant for the EW form factor[43, 44] and, finally, 31 MIs with 1 mass and 36 MIs with 2 masses (including boxes)[45], relevant for the QCD-EW corrections to the full DY.

### 4. Semi-analytical solution of the master integrals: SEASYDE

Despite the fact that all the MIs needed to complete our calculation were already studied in the literature, 5 box integrals with two internal massive lines were available<sup>1</sup> as Chen iterated integrals. The difficult numerical evaluation of these functions requires finding alternative strategies.

We solved the 5 remaining MIs by using a semi-analytical approach. We define a result as semi-analytical when it can be expanded as a power series at every point of its domain, but without the additional functional relations that are usually known when the result is provided in closed form. In our computation, in particular, we express the 5 missing MIs as a Laurent expansion, which is obtained by solving by series the system of differential equations satisfied by the MIs[47].

This algorithm has been implemented for real values of the kinematical variables in the MATHEMATICA package DIFFExp[48]<sup>2</sup>. Nevertheless, in our computation we needed to deal with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A closed form for them has been recently found[46], but is not yet public.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For a recent application of the same algorithm to the auxiliary mass flow method see also AMFLow[49].



**Figure 1:** Example of the effect of branch-cuts on the convergence of the expanded solution: reduced convergence area (left) and different path for the analytic continuation (right).

complex-valued kinematical variables because of the introduction of the complex-mass scheme, as shown in Eq.(5). For this reason, we implemented the same method in an independent public MATHEMATICA package, SEASYDE[50], generalising it in order to perform the analytic continuation of the solution on the complex plane.

Given a generic system of differential equations, we introduce an ansatz for the solution of the associated homogenous equation written in terms of a Laurent series expanded around the initial boundary condition  $z_0$ :  $f_{\text{hom}}(z) = (z-z_0)^r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k (z-z_0)^k$ . The coefficients  $c_k$  can be determined by plugging  $f_{\text{hom}}$  in the homogenous system and by solving the set of algebraic equations obtained up to the desired order in the expansion. This provides a homogenous solution, that can in turn be used to compute the particular solution for the original problem by using the variation of constant method.

The solution obtained can be computed with an arbitrary number of significant digits, limited only by the precision of the boundary conditions. It is valid within a radius of convergence given by the distance from the closest singular point, that can be directly obtained from the system of differential equations. If this constraint does not allow evaluating the solution for the desired values of the kinematical variables, the procedure can be repeated using as a new boundary condition one of the points inside the radius of convergence. With this procedure, the boundary condition can effectively be transported to any point of the complex plane. This is illustrated in the left panel of Fig.1, where the pole  $w_0$  limits the convergence of the solution expanded around  $z_0$  within the circle  $\Gamma_0$ : nevertheless, the point  $z_1$  can now be used as a new boundary condition to obtain the solution within the new circle  $\Gamma_1$ .

Some additional complications arise from the fact that, if the poles present a logarithmic behaviour, we need to insert branch-cuts to make the solution single-valued. Within SEASYDE, the branch-cuts are always chosen as the horizontal lines parallel to the real axis that go from the singular point to  $-\infty$ . While their presence does not affect the radius of convergence, it reduces the area in which the solution converges to the desired value, as shown in the left panel of Fig.1: once the branch-cut is crossed, the solution converges to a value that does not refer anymore to the

Riemann sheet which is consistent with the branch-cut itself. For the same reason, the path chosen to transport the boundary condition from one point to another requires to avoid to cross the branch cuts: this is shown in the right panel of Fig.1, where the dotted path needs to be avoided in favour of the solid path.

## 5. Results and conclusions

We used the package SEASYDE to solve the system of differential equations associated to the 36 MIs with 2 internal masses. The result of 31 MIs provided a cross check with the known analytic expressions, while 5 MIs, the ones known as Chen iterated integrals, are a prediction. Several checks on the MIs have been performed by using FIESTA[51], PySecDec[52] and DIFFEXP.

By combining the rational coefficients with the expression of the MIs, after the subtraction of the infrared and ultraviolet divergences, we obtained the two-loop virtual corrections for neutralcurrent DY process in the complex-mass scheme and in the small lepton mass limit, keeping the collinear logarithms.

The result is publicly available as a MATHEMATICA notebook [29] in the form of a grid. The production of the grid required O(12h) on a 32-cores machine, but the interpolation of the grid can be performed in negligible time. While phenomenological results obtained by using this computation have been already presented [27], more detailed studies are ongoing. Furthermore, the automatic nature of several steps of the procedure outlined in this proceeding leaves the door open to several further applications, including mixed corrections for charged current DY<sup>3</sup> and, possibly, first steps towards NNLO-EW corrections.

#### Acknowledgements

This proceeding is based upon work done in collaboration with T. Armadillo, R. Bonciani, N. Rana, A. Vicini and supported by the Italian Ministero della Universitá e della Ricerca (grant PRIN201719AVICI 01).

# References

- [1] ATLAS collaboration, Measurement of the W-boson mass in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 110 [1701.07240].
- [2] CMS collaboration, Measurement of the weak mixing angle using the forward-backward asymmetry of Drell-Yan events in pp collisions at 8 TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 701 [1806.00863].
- [3] D. de Florian, M. Der and I. Fabre, QCD⊕QED NNLO corrections to Drell Yan production, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 094008 [1805.12214].
- [4] M. Delto, M. Jaquier, K. Melnikov and R. Röntsch, *Mixed QCD*&*QED corrections to on-shell Z boson production at the LHC*, *JHEP* 01 (2020) 043 [1909.08428].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>A first result for mixed correction to charged DY process has been already presented[53], where the 2-loop contributions are at the moment expressed in pole approximation.

- [5] S.M. Hasan and U. Schubert, *Master Integrals for the mixed QCD-QED corrections to the Drell-Yan production of a massive lepton pair*, *JHEP* **11** (2020) 107 [2004.14908].
- [6] R. Bonciani, F. Buccioni, R. Mondini and A. Vicini, *Double-real corrections at O*( $\alpha \alpha_s$ ) to single gauge boson production, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 187 [1611.00645].
- [7] R. Bonciani, F. Buccioni, N. Rana, I. Triscari and A. Vicini, *NNLO QCD×EW corrections to Z production in the qq̄ channel*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 031301 [1911.06200].
- [8] R. Bonciani, F. Buccioni, N. Rana and A. Vicini, Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order Mixed QCD-Electroweak Corrections to on-Shell Z Production, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 (2020) 232004 [2007.06518].
- [9] R. Bonciani, F. Buccioni, N. Rana and A. Vicini, *On-shell Z boson production at hadron* colliders through  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha \alpha_s)$ , *JHEP* **02** (2022) 095 [2111.12694].
- [10] F. Buccioni, F. Caola, M. Delto, M. Jaquier, K. Melnikov and R. Röntsch, *Mixed QCD-electroweak corrections to on-shell Z production at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B* 811 (2020) 135969 [2005.10221].
- [11] A. Behring, F. Buccioni, F. Caola, M. Delto, M. Jaquier, K. Melnikov et al., *Mixed QCD-electroweak corrections to W-boson production in hadron collisions*, *Phys. Rev. D* 103 (2021) 013008 [2009.10386].
- [12] A. Behring, F. Buccioni, F. Caola, M. Delto, M. Jaquier, K. Melnikov et al., *Estimating the impact of mixed QCD-electroweak corrections on the W-mass determination at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* 103 (2021) 113002 [2103.02671].
- [13] G. Altarelli, R.K. Ellis and G. Martinelli, Large Perturbative Corrections to the Drell-Yan Process in QCD, Nucl. Phys. B 157 (1979) 461.
- [14] R. Hamberg, W.L. van Neerven and T. Matsuura, A complete calculation of the order  $\alpha s^2$  correction to the Drell-Yan K factor, Nucl. Phys. B **359** (1991) 343.
- [15] C. Anastasiou, L.J. Dixon, K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, *Dilepton rapidity distribution in the Drell-Yan process at NNLO in QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2003) 182002 [hep-ph/0306192].
- [16] S. Catani, L. Cieri, G. Ferrera, D. de Florian and M. Grazzini, Vector boson production at hadron colliders: a fully exclusive QCD calculation at NNLO, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009) 082001 [0903.2120].
- [17] T. Ahmed, M. Mahakhud, N. Rana and V. Ravindran, Drell-Yan Production at Threshold to Third Order in QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (2014) 112002 [1404.0366].
- [18] C. Duhr, F. Dulat and B. Mistlberger, *Charged current Drell-Yan production at N<sup>3</sup>LO*, *JHEP* 11 (2020) 143 [2007.13313].

- Simone Devoto
- [19] X. Chen, T. Gehrmann, N. Glover, A. Huss, T.-Z. Yang and H.X. Zhu, *Dilepton Rapidity Distribution in Drell-Yan Production to Third Order in QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022) 052001 [2107.09085].
- [20] S. Camarda, L. Cieri and G. Ferrera, Drell–Yan lepton-pair production: qT resummation at N3LL accuracy and fiducial cross sections at N3LO, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) L111503 [2103.04974].
- [21] X. Chen, T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover, A. Huss, P.F. Monni, E. Re et al., *Third-Order Fiducial Predictions for Drell-Yan Production at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022) 252001 [2203.01565].
- [22] T. Neumann and J. Campbell, Fiducial Drell-Yan production at the LHC improved by transverse-momentum resummation at N<sup>4</sup>LL+N<sup>3</sup>LO, 2207.07056.
- [23] U. Baur, O. Brein, W. Hollik, C. Schappacher and D. Wackeroth, *Electroweak radiative corrections to neutral current Drell-Yan processes at hadron colliders*, *Phys. Rev. D* 65 (2002) 033007 [hep-ph/0108274].
- [24] S. Dittmaier and M. Krämer, Electroweak radiative corrections to W boson production at hadron colliders, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 073007 [hep-ph/0109062].
- [25] U. Baur and D. Wackeroth, *Electroweak radiative corrections to*  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow W^{\pm} \rightarrow \ell^{\pm} \nu$  *beyond the pole approximation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 073015 [hep-ph/0405191].
- [26] B. Jantzen, J.H. Kuhn, A.A. Penin and V.A. Smirnov, Two-loop electroweak logarithms in four-fermion processes at high energy, Nucl. Phys. B 731 (2005) 188 [hep-ph/0509157].
- [27] R. Bonciani, L. Buonocore, M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, N. Rana, F. Tramontano et al., *Mixed Strong-Electroweak Corrections to the Drell-Yan Process*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022) 012002 [2106.11953].
- [28] F. Buccioni, F. Caola, H.A. Chawdhry, F. Devoto, M. Heller, A. von Manteuffel et al., *Mixed QCD-electroweak corrections to dilepton production at the LHC in the high invariant mass region*, *JHEP* 06 (2022) 022 [2203.11237].
- [29] T. Armadillo, R. Bonciani, S. Devoto, N. Rana and A. Vicini, *Two-loop mixed QCD-EW corrections to neutral current Drell-Yan*, *JHEP* 05 (2022) 072 [2201.01754].
- [30] S. Catani and M. Grazzini, An NNLO subtraction formalism in hadron collisions and its application to Higgs boson production at the LHC, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 222002 [hep-ph/0703012].
- [31] R. Bonciani, S. Catani, M. Grazzini, H. Sargsyan and A. Torre, *The q<sub>T</sub> subtraction method for top quark production at hadron colliders, Eur. Phys. J. C* 75 (2015) 581 [1508.03585].
- [32] S. Catani, S. Devoto, M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, J. Mazzitelli and H. Sargsyan, *Top-quark pair hadroproduction at next-to-next-to-leading order in QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* 99 (2019) 051501 [1901.04005].

- [33] T. Hahn, Generating Feynman diagrams and amplitudes with FeynArts 3, Comput. Phys. Commun. 140 (2001) 418 [hep-ph/0012260].
- [34] P. Nogueira, Automatic Feynman graph generation, J. Comput. Phys. 105 (1993) 279.
- [35] G. 't Hooft and M.J.G. Veltman, *Regularization and Renormalization of Gauge Fields*, *Nucl. Phys. B* 44 (1972) 189.
- [36] J.G. Korner, D. Kreimer and K. Schilcher, A Practicable gamma(5) scheme in dimensional regularization, Z. Phys. C 54 (1992) 503.
- [37] M. Heller, A. von Manteuffel, R.M. Schabinger and H. Spiesberger, *Mixed EW-QCD* two-loop amplitudes for  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$  and  $\gamma_5$  scheme independence of multi-loop corrections, *JHEP* **05** (2021) 213 [2012.05918].
- [38] S. Laporta, High precision calculation of multiloop Feynman integrals by difference equations, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 15 (2000) 5087 [hep-ph/0102033].
- [39] P. Maierhöfer, J. Usovitsch and P. Uwer, Kira—A Feynman integral reduction program, Comput. Phys. Commun. 230 (2018) 99 [1705.05610].
- [40] R.N. Lee, LiteRed 1.4: a powerful tool for reduction of multiloop integrals, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 523 (2014) 012059 [1310.1145].
- [41] R. Bonciani, A. Ferroglia, T. Gehrmann, D. Maitre and C. Studerus, *Two-Loop Fermionic Corrections to Heavy-Quark Pair Production: The Quark-Antiquark Channel*, *JHEP* 07 (2008) 129 [0806.2301].
- [42] R. Bonciani, A. Ferroglia, T. Gehrmann and C. Studerus, *Two-Loop Planar Corrections to Heavy-Quark Pair Production in the Quark-Antiquark Channel*, *JHEP* 08 (2009) 067 [0906.3671].
- [43] U. Aglietti and R. Bonciani, Master integrals with one massive propagator for the two loop electroweak form-factor, Nucl. Phys. B 668 (2003) 3 [hep-ph/0304028].
- [44] U. Aglietti and R. Bonciani, Master integrals with 2 and 3 massive propagators for the 2 loop electroweak form-factor - planar case, Nucl. Phys. B 698 (2004) 277 [hep-ph/0401193].
- [45] R. Bonciani, S. Di Vita, P. Mastrolia and U. Schubert, *Two-Loop Master Integrals for the mixed EW-QCD virtual corrections to Drell-Yan scattering*, *JHEP* 09 (2016) 091 [1604.08581].
- [46] M. Heller, A. von Manteuffel and R.M. Schabinger, Multiple polylogarithms with algebraic arguments and the two-loop EW-QCD Drell-Yan master integrals, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 016025 [1907.00491].
- [47] F. Moriello, *Generalised power series expansions for the elliptic planar families of Higgs* + *jet production at two loops*, *JHEP* **01** (2020) 150 [1907.13234].

- Simone Devoto
- [48] M. Hidding, DiffExp, a Mathematica package for computing Feynman integrals in terms of one-dimensional series expansions, Comput. Phys. Commun. 269 (2021) 108125 [2006.05510].
- [49] X. Liu and Y.-Q. Ma, AMFlow: a Mathematica package for Feynman integrals computation via Auxiliary Mass Flow, 2201.11669.
- [50] T. Armadillo, R. Bonciani, S. Devoto, N. Rana and A. Vicini, *Evaluation of Feynman integrals with arbitrary complex masses via series expansions*, 2205.03345.
- [51] A.V. Smirnov, FIESTA4: Optimized Feynman integral calculations with GPU support, Comput. Phys. Commun. 204 (2016) 189 [1511.03614].
- [52] S. Borowka, G. Heinrich, S. Jahn, S.P. Jones, M. Kerner, J. Schlenk et al., pySecDec: a toolbox for the numerical evaluation of multi-scale integrals, Comput. Phys. Commun. 222 (2018) 313 [1703.09692].
- [53] L. Buonocore, M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, C. Savoini and F. Tramontano, *Mixed QCD-EW* corrections to  $pp \rightarrow \ell \nu_{\ell} + X$  at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 114012 [2102.12539].