



# Altitude profile of atmospheric radiation in the Arctic region obtained during a scientific balloon flight with MDU-1 Liulin

Alexander Mishev,<sup>*a,b*</sup> Nicholas Larsen,<sup>*a,\**</sup> Alexandros Binios,<sup>*a*</sup> Ari-Pekka Leppänen ,<sup>*c*</sup> Eija Tanskanen,<sup>*a*</sup> Esa Turunen,<sup>*a*</sup> Ilya Usoskin,<sup>*a,b*</sup> Jouni Envall,<sup>*a*</sup> Pasi Lakkala<sup>*a*</sup> and Toivo linatti<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Sodankylä Geophysical Observatory, University of Oulu, Finland
<sup>b</sup> Space Physics and Astronomy Research Unit, University of Oulu, Finland
<sup>c</sup> STUK, Rovaniemi, Finland
E-mail: alexander.mishev@oulu.fi, nicholas.larsen@oulu.fi, alexandros.binios@oulu.fi, ari.leppanen@stuk.fi, eija.tanskanen@oulu.fi, esa.turunen@oulu.fi, ilya.usoskin@oulu.fi, jouni.envall@oulu.fi, pasi.lakkala@oulu.fi, toivo.iinatti@oulu.fi

Measurements of the natural radiation background, specifically in the upper troposphere and low stratosphere, are important in order to compare and eventually inter-calibrate different experimental set-ups, as well as to provide a reliable basis for improving the existing models related to the environmental radiation in the Earth's atmosphere. Here, we report results from a new zero-pressure stratospheric balloon flight in the frame of the HEMERA-2 mission, obtained by measurements performed with a small portable device, (MDU)-1 Liulin. We derived the altitude profile of the atmospheric radiation in the Arctic region, namely in between Esrange Kiruna, Sweden and Rovaniemi, Finland. The preliminary analysis shows a good agreement between the measurements and Oulu models for atmospheric ionization and exposure to radiation.

27th European Cosmic Ray Symposium - ECRS 25-29 July 2022 Nijmegen, the Netherlands

### \*Speaker

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

# 1. Introduction

High-energy particles penetrating the Earth's atmosphere, namely cosmic rays (CRs), determine the complex radiation field, specifically in the stratosphere by induced complicated nuclearmeson-electromagnetic cascades, which are particle showers produced by a series of consecutive interactions of the primary particle with atmospheric constituents, yielding large amounts of secondaries. [1, 2]. The produced secondaries dissipate energy mostly by ionization of the ambient air, therefore the CRs are the main source of ionization in the middle atmosphere [3–5].



Figure 1: Sketch of measurements of EAS caused complex radiation field measured by a scientific balloon.

Precipitating high-energy charged particles play an important role in the processes related to the physics and chemistry of the atmosphere [6] and space weather effects, particularly the radiation field at flight altitudes [7]. Therefore, methodological measurements of the natural radiation at various conditions, altitudes and with different devices, specifically in the stratosphere allow, in one hand to inter-calibrate different experiments, and on the other hand, to provide the necessary background to validate and improve the corresponding models related to the effects of precipitating particles [8, 9].

Here, we present recent measurements with mobile dosimetric unit MDU-1 Liulin of the altitude profile of atmospheric radiation field performed during the B-TRUE experiment flown on a HEMERA-2 scientific balloon flight on 11 September 2021.

# 2. MDU-1 Liulin and HEMERA-2 mission

Precise measurements of the altitude profile of the complex radiation field in the stratosphere and troposphere, specifically at aviation altitudes is a rather complicated task [10]. Scientific balloon flight gives such a possibility with a convenient device Fig. 1. Zero-pressure balloons for heavy payloads can be flown at altitudes up to about 40 km, with a typical ascending speed of about several m/s. Therefore, they are suitable for the registration of precipitating energetic particles and can serve for the assessment of the altitude profile of the complex radiation field in the troposphere and stratosphere. The HEMERA mission represents a research infrastructure, encompassing different teams in the field of astrophysics, atmospheric physics and chemistry, climate research, biology, space research and technology [11].

The HEMERA-2 zero-pressure stratospheric balloon was flown on 11 of September 2021 from the Esrange base of the Swedish Space Corporation, near Kiruna, with MDU-1 Liulin, in the frame of the B-TRUE experiment, aiming to obtain measurements of precipitating relativistic electrons in the high Arctic atmosphere [12, 13] and eventually validate recent models [14, 15] Fig.2.



**Figure 2:** Mounting of the MDU-1 Liulin in the gondola of HEMERA-2 scientific balloon mission by A. Binios (left) and A. Mishev (right). Photo by E. Turunen.

Mobile dosimetric unit MDU-1 Liulin is based on a silicon semiconductor detector, measuring the deposited energy and the amount of interacting particles, that is dose rate in silicon and particle flux. MDU Liulin is widely used for measurements of the exposure to radiation (absorbed dose) in space missions, and aviation [16]. Liulin-type detectors were successfully used and compared with other devices at aviation altitudes [17]. Besides, the team possesses experience with the operation of the device [18, 19]. In order to provide optimal temperature conditions for proper operation of the MDU-1 Liulin, a thermally insulated aluminium box was constructed, using a standard manufactured box with added 20 cm styrofoam insulation (see Fig. 3).



Figure 3: MDU-1 Liulin in the insulated box, near to the facility of SGO. Photo by A. Mishev.

# 3. Results

The HEMERA-2 was launched at 11 September 2021. Here we present, the altitude profile of absorbed dose as the 10 min. running mean (Fig. 4), the full details are given in [20].



**Figure 4:** Altitude profile of the exposure to radiation derived with MDU-1 Liulin in the frame of HEMERA-2 mission.

For the analysis we employed a newly developed magnetospheric computation tool OTSO [21] employing a combination of the IGRF geomagnetic model as the internal field model e.g. [22] and the e.g. Tsyganenko 89 model as the external field [23], explicitly considering the measured  $K_p$  index during the flight. We emphasize that this combination of models provides very good precision and straightforward computation of the rigidity cut-offs[24, 25].



**Figure 5:** Comparison between MDU-1 Liulin measurements with Oulu exposure and atmospheric ionization models as denoted in the legend. The 95 % confidence limit is given with gray filled area for Liulin and red filled are for the model(s), respectively.

The analysis shows good agreement with Oulu radiation models [26, 27]. We emphasize, that the relatively fast balloon ascent, specifically in the low atmosphere resulted in significant deviation(s), up to altitudes of about 3–5 km above sea level. The difference between the measurements and the model in the low atmosphere are due to contribution of natural radiation background at altitudes up to 5 km above sea level (e.g. Radon and its daughter products), whilst the model [27] accounts for the contribution of CRs solely. Accordingly, at greater altitudes, because of the reduced sensitivity of MDU-1 Liluin to secondary neutrons, the main contributor to the exposure to radiation at flight altitudes [28, 29], the model provides greater values. Note, that the absorbed dose converted straightforwardly to ion pair production has a considerably greater agreement, than the dose. The barely present Regener-Pfotzer maximum [30] of the absorbed dose is most-likely due to the low-rigidity cut-off of the region under study, leading to dominant low-energy contribution of the GCRs.

# 4. Conclusions

Here, we reported results of measurements of the altitude profile of the natural radiation in the Arctic atmosphere derived with MDU-1 Liulin during a stratospheric zero pressure balloon flight under the HEMERA-2 mission. According to the data analysis, comparison with other models and measurements, one can conclude that MDU-1 Liulin is suitable for measurements of the complex radiation field in the troposphere and stratosphere, yet for more precise results a tissue equivalent equipment shall be used. Flights in the Arctic with such type of devices are specifically important, because there is a lack of systematic studies in this region. The obtained data is useful to deepen our understanding of aviation dosimetry, atmospheric and space physics.

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Academy of Finland (project 330064 QUASARE and 321882 ESPERA) and the University of Oulu grant SARPEDON.

# References

- P. Grieder, Extensive Air Showers: High Energy Phenomena and Astrophysical Aspects A Tutorial, Reference Manual and Data Book, Springer Space Science Library Book 1009 (2011).
- [2] T. Gaisser, R. Engel and E. Resconi *Cosmic Rays and Particle Physics, Cambridge University Press* (2016).
- [3] G. A. Bazilevskaya, I. G. Usoskin, E. Flückiger et al., *Cosmic ray induced ion production in the atmosphere, Space Science Reviews* **137** (2008) 149–173.
- [4] P. Velinov, S. Asenovski, K. Kudela, J. Lastovička, L. Mateev et al., *Impact of cosmic rays and solar energetic particles on the Earth's ionosphere and atmosphere, Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate* 3 (2013) A14.
- [5] I. Mironova, K. Aplin, F. Arnold, G. Bazilevskaya, R. Harrison, A. Krivolutsky, K. Nicoll, E. Rozanov, E. Turunen and I. Usoskin, *Energetic particle influence on the Earth's atmosphere*, *Space Science Reviews* (2015) 96.
- [6] E. Turunen, P. Verronen, A. Seppälä, C. Rodger, M. Clilverd, J. Tamminen, C. Enell and T. Ulich, *Impact of different energies of precipitating particles on NOx generation in the middle and upper atmosphere during geomagnetic storms, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar*-*Terrestrial Physics* 71 (2009) 1176-1189.
- [7] R. Vainio, L. Desorgher, D. Heynderickx, M. Storini, E. Flückiger, R. Horne, G. Kovaltsov, K. Kudela, M. Laurenza, S. McKenna-Lawlor, H. Rothkaehl and I. Usoskin, *Dynamics of the Earth's particle radiation environment, Space Science Reviews* 147 (2009), no. 3-4 187–231.
- [8] M. Shea and D. Smart, Cosmic ray implications for human health, Space Science Reviews 93 (2000), no. 1-2 187–205.
- [9] A. Mishev, and P. Jiggens, Preface to measurement, specification and forecasting of the Solar Energetic Particle (SEP) environment and Ground Level Enhancements (GLEs), Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate 9 (2019), E1.

- [10] K. O'Brien, W. Friedberg, H. Sauer, and D. Smart, Atmospheric cosmic rays and solar energetic particles at aircraft altitudes, Environment International 22 (1997), no. 1 S9–S44.
- [11] G. Mantovani and HEMERA Team, *HEMERA: new science opportunities using tropospheric* and stratospheric balloons, Mem. S.A.It. **90** (2019), 132.
- [12] V. Makhmutov, G. Bazilevskaya, Y. Stozhkov, A. Svirzhevskaya and N. Svirzhevsky, Catalogue of electron precipitation events as observed in the long-duration cosmic ray balloon experiment, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics 149 (2016) 258–276.
- [13] I. Mironova, A. Artamonov, G. Bazilevskaya, E. Rozanov, G. Kovaltsov et al., Ionization of the Polar Atmosphere by Energetic Electron Precipitation Retrieved From Balloon Measurements, Geophysical Research Letters 46 no. 2 (2019) 9908–996.
- [14] A. Artamonov, A. Mishev and I. Usoskin, Model CRAC: EPII for atmospheric ionization due to precipitating electrons: Yield function and applications, Journal of Geophysical Research A: Space Physics 121 (2016), no. 2 1736–1743.
- [15] A. Artamonov, A. Mishev and I. Usoskin, Atmospheric ionization induced by precipitating electrons: Comparison of new Monte Carlo model with parametrization driven model, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics 149 (2016) 161–166.
- [16] T. Dachev, J. Semkova, B. Tomov, Y. Matviichuk, P. Dimitrov et al., Overview of the Liulin type instruments for space radiation measurement and their scientific results, Life Sciences in Space Research 4 (2015), 92–114.
- [17] M. Meier, F. Trompier, I. Ambrozova, J. Kubancak, D. Matthiä, et al., CONCORD: Comparison of cosmic radiation detectors in the radiation field at aviation altitudes, Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate 6 (2016), A24.
- [18] A. Mishev and E. Hristova, *Recent gamma background measurements at high mountain altitude, Journal of Environmental Radioactivity* **113** (2012) 77–82.
- [19] A. Mishev, Contribution of cosmic ray particles to radiation environment at high-mountain altitude: Comparison of Monte Carlo simulations with experimental data, Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 153 (2016), 15–22.
- [20] A. Mishev, A. Binios, E. Turunen et al., Measurements of natural radiation with an MDU Liulin type device at ground and in the atmosphere at various conditions in the Arctic region, Radiation Measurements 154 (2022) 106757.
- [21] N. Larsen, A. Mishev and I. Usoskin *Development of a Modern Open Source Magnetospheric Computation Tool*, *Proceeding of Science* **This proceedings** (2022).
- [22] P. Alken, E. Thebault, C. Beggan, H. Amit, J. Aubert et al., *International Geomagnetic Reference Field: the thirteenth generation, Earth, Planets and Space* **73** (2021), no. 1 49.
- [23] N. Tsyganenko, A magnetospheric magnetic field model with a warped tail current sheet, Planetary and Space Science **37** (1989), no. 1 5–20.

- [24] K. Kudela and I. Usoskin, On magnetospheric transmissivity of cosmic rays, Czechoslovak Journal of Physics 54 (2004), no. 2 239–254.
- [25] J. Nevalainen, I. Usoskin, and A. Mishev, Eccentric dipole approximation of the geomagnetic field: Application to cosmic ray computations, Advances in Space Research 52 (2013), no. 1 22–29.
- [26] I. Usoskin and G. Kovaltsov, *Cosmic ray induced ionization in the atmosphere: Full modeling and practical applications, Journal of Geophysical Research* **111** (2006), no. D21206.
- [27] A. Mishev and I. Usoskin, Numerical model for computation of effective and ambient dose equivalent at flight altitudes. application for dose assessment during gles, Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate 5 (2015) A10.
- [28] D. Matthiä, L. Sihver, and M. Meier, Monte-carlo calculations of particle fluences and neutron effective dose rates in the atmosphere, Radiation Protection Dosimetry 131 (2008), no. 2 222– 228.
- [29] C. Mertens, M. Meier, S. Brown, R. Norman, and X. Xu, Nairas aircraft radiation model development, dose climatology, and initial validation, Space Weather 11 (2013), no. 10 603– 635.
- [30] E. Regener and G. Pfotzer, *Vertical intensity of cosmic rays by threefold coincidences in the stratosphere*, *Nature* **136** (1935), no. 3444 718–719.