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We report on recent progress for the QCD corrections to top quark pair plus jet production. In particular, we discuss a recent computation for the two-loop master integrals associated to a twoloop five-point pentagon-box integral configuration with one internal massive propagator, that contributes to top quark pair production in association with a jet in the QCD planar limit.

16th International Symposium on Radiative Corrections: Applications of Quantum Field Theory to Phenomenology ( RADCOR2023)
28th May - 2nd June, 2023
Crieff, Scotland, UK

[^0]
## 1. Introduction

The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) is entering the high-precision era with the High-Luminosity plan (HI-LHC). This project will enable experimental collaborations to measure many interesting observables at percent level precision. In order to be able to compare the experimental measurements with theoretical predictions, it is mandatory to achieve a theoretical uncertainty on the same level of the experimental one. One of the ingredients that are needed in order to achieve this goal are next-to-next-to leading order (NNLO) QCD corrections. While a lot progress has been done recently in this framework, QCD corrections at NNLO are still not available for all the most interesting observables at LHC.

One of the observables for which NNLO QCD corrections are yet to be obtained is the top quark pair production in association with a jet. As the top quark is the heaviest particle in the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics, it has many important implications for the nature of the fundamental forces. In particular many properties of the SM are sensitive to the value of the top quark mass as, for example, the stability of the SM vacuum whose precision measurement is a high priority at the (LHC). The standard process which is exploited to measure the top quark mass at the LHC is top quark pair production. This process is known with vey high precision both theoretically and experimentally [1, 2]. However, it has been recently argued that top quark pair production in association with a jet is even more sensitive to the top quark mass [3-5]. The state-of-the-art for the theoretical predictions of this process is represented by the next-to-leading order (NLO) QCD corrections [6, 7], along with complete decay information and interfaces with a parton shower [8-12]. However, in order to match the experimental precision, see for example [13, 14], next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) corrections are required.

In order to be able to perform a full NNLO prediction for this observable several computational difficulties have to be overcome. One of the major obstacles is the computation of the required twoloop scattering amplitudes. Recently a great progress has been made in the calculation of scattering amplitudes for $2 \rightarrow 3$ processes [15-34], which led to a number of NNLO QCD theoretical predictions [35-40]. Yet, the amplitudes necessary to perform a NNLO theoretical prediction for top quark pair plus a jet production at LHC represent a substantial step forward with respect to the current state-of-the-art. Indeed, the top quark mass which appear in the internal propagators is responsible for a significant growth in the complexity of the computation. This feature affect both the algebraic complexity in the amplitude reconstruction, and the analytic complexity of the Feynman integrals.

In this context, I report on the recent progress made in the computation of two-loop Feynman integrals relevant for the NNLO QCD corrections to $p p \rightarrow t \bar{t} j$ [41]. This project builds upon previous work where the authors computed the one-loop helicity amplitudes expanded up to $O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ in the dimensional regulator [42], which are needed for the NNLO correections. In [41] the authors studied the master integrals associated to a five-point pentagon-box topology with one internal massive propagator, that contributes to top-quark pair production in association with a jet in the leading color QCD planar limit. The computation represents a step forward in complexity with respect to the five-point massless [15, 17, 43-46] and one off-shell external leg cases [47-51].

The master integrals have been computed exploiting the differential equation method [52, 53]. The system of differential equations has been written with respect to a canonical basis of master
integrals [54]. A major bottleneck for this computation is the solution of a large system of Integration-by-Parts (IBP) relations [55, 56]. In order to overcome this issue finite fields arithmetic [57-59], as implemented in the FiniteFlow library [59], has been employed. We obtained a semianalytic solution for the master integrals through the generalised power series method [60-62], as described in [62] and implemented in the Mathematica package DiffExp [63]. In order to solve the system of differential equations semi-analytically, we used high precision numerical boundary conditions obtained by means of the Mathematica package AMFlow [64], which implements the auxiliary mass flow method [65-67]. Finally, we also derived the analytic representation of the alphabet for the system of differential equations. Interestingly, the structure of the alphabet has the same analytic structure as in the five-point massless [15] and in the one-mass [47] cases.

The outcome of the work presented in [41], and summarised in the present proceeding, is two-fold. First, we obtained a solution for the master integrals under study which has the potential for phenomenological applications, as it has been done for other processes [42, 47, 50, 68-74]. Moreover, the study of the analytic structure of the alphabet solution is a fundamental step in order to achieve a complete analytic representation. As a consequence, the work presented in [41] represents a fist step toward an analytic computation for the NNLO QCD corrections to top quark pair production in association with a jet in the QCD leading color planar limit.

## 2. Summary of the computation

We considered the pentagon-box Feynman integral topology in $d=4-2 \varepsilon$ dimensions as shown in figure 1. This can be written as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}, a_{6}, a_{7}, a_{8}}^{a_{9}, a_{10}, a_{1}}=\int \mathcal{D}^{d} k_{1} \mathcal{D}^{d} k_{2} \frac{D_{9}^{a_{9}} D_{10}^{a_{10}} D_{11}^{a_{11}}}{D_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots D_{8}^{a_{8}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{11} \geq 0$. The topology is defined by the following set of propagators, and numerators:

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rlrl}
D_{1}=k_{1}^{2}, & D_{2}=\left(k_{1}-p_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{t}^{2}, & & D_{3}=\left(k_{1}-p_{1}-p_{2}\right)^{2}, \\
D_{4} & =\left(k_{1}-p_{1}-p_{2}-p_{3}\right)^{2}, & D_{5}=k_{2}^{2}, & \\
D_{7} & =\left(k_{2}-p_{4}-p_{5}\right)^{2}, & D_{8}=\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)^{2}, & \\
D_{10} & \left.=\left(k_{2}+p_{1}\right)^{2}-k_{2}-p_{5}\right)^{2}, & D_{11} & =\left(k_{2}+p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}, \tag{2}
\end{array} r l k_{1}+p_{5}\right)^{2},\right\}
$$

and the integration measure is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}^{d} k_{i}=\frac{d^{d} k_{i}}{i \pi^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{\varepsilon \gamma_{E}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The momenta are considered outgoing from the graphs and the particles are on-shell, i.e. $p_{1}^{2}=$ $p_{2}^{2}=m_{t}^{2}$ for the top quark external legs, while $p_{3}^{2}=p_{4}^{2}=p_{5}^{2}=0$. The kinematics of the integrals is described by six independent invariants $\vec{x}=\left\{d_{12}, d_{23}, d_{34}, d_{45}, d_{15}, m_{t}^{2}\right\}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i j}=p_{i} \cdot p_{j}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $m_{t}^{2}$ is the top quark squared mass. After performing IBP reduction [56, 75], as implemented in the software LiteRed [76, 77] and FiniteFlow [59], we found a total number of 88 MIs (see [41] for the complete list).


Figure 1: The pentagon-box topology contributing to $p p \rightarrow t \bar{t} j$ in the QCD leading color planar limit. Black lines denote massless particles and red double-lines denote massive particles.

We wrote a system of differential equations for the MIs $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{I}}(\vec{x}, \varepsilon)$ in canonical form [54]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \vec{I}(\vec{x}, \varepsilon)=\varepsilon d A(\vec{x}) \vec{I}(\vec{x}, \varepsilon) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is the total differential with respect to the kinematic invariants, and the matrix $A(\vec{x})$ is a linear combination of logarithms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\vec{x})=\sum c_{i} \log \left(w_{i}(\vec{x})\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $c_{i}$ are matrices of rational numbers, and the alphabet $\left\{w_{i}(\vec{x})\right\}$ is made by algebraic functions of the kinematic invariants $\vec{x}$.

### 2.1 Canonical Basis

The canonical basis of MIs $\vec{I}$ has been constructed starting from the observation of an emerging pattern for $2 \rightarrow 3$ scattering amplitudes [15, 17, 18, 43, 45, 47, 48, 50, 78-80]. This feature implies that we are able to rely on a good set of uniform trascendental (UT) candidate MIs as starting point for the basis construction. Specifically, one can test candidates from the MIs basis for the massless and one-mass five-point [44, 45, 47, 50] (for e.g. $p p \rightarrow W+2 j$ and $p p \rightarrow 3 j$ ) cases, as well as other integral topologies which involve internal massive propagators ${ }^{1}$.

Guided by this initial set of data, our approach relies on the possibility to perform IBP reduction and evaluate the differential equations matrix over finite fields. Due to the presence of square roots, we do not attempt to construct the canonical form directly. Instead we search for a linear form, with respect to $\varepsilon$, which contains only rational matrices in the kinematic invariants. Indeed, the square roots appearing in the UT basis can be absorbed in the normalisation of the integral basis ${ }^{2}$, and therefore we can neglect them while evaluating the differential equations over finite fields. The strategy adopted in [41] can be then summarised as follows:

[^1]- Given a starting set of UT candidate MIs, we study the $\varepsilon$ structure of the differential equations from a univariate slice reconstruction. Specifically, we search for a linear form in $\varepsilon$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \overrightarrow{\mathcal{J}}(\vec{x}, \varepsilon)=d\left(\hat{A}^{(0)}(\vec{x})+\varepsilon \widehat{A}^{(1)}(\vec{x})\right) \overrightarrow{\mathcal{J}}(\vec{x}, \varepsilon) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{A}^{(0)}$ is a diagonal matrix;

- We study the homogenous part of the system of differential equations sector-by-sector, in order to determine the correct normalisation for the MIs;
- If the starting choice of integral basis, for a given sector, does not satisfies a differential equations of the form in Eq. (7), we make a different ansatz based on criteria described below.

Once the whole system of differential equations is in the form of Eq. (7) we can rotate it into canonical form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{i}=N_{i j}(\vec{x}) \mathcal{J}_{j} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{i j}(\vec{x})$ is a diagonal matrix which contains the square roots of the kinematic invariants. Such matrix satisfies the differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{A}^{(0)}-\frac{1}{2} N^{2} d N^{(-2)}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical form of the differential equations can then be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \vec{I}(\vec{x}, \varepsilon)=\varepsilon d\left(N(\vec{x}) \widehat{A}^{(1)}(\vec{x}) N^{-1}(\vec{x})\right) \overrightarrow{\mathcal{I}}(\vec{x}, \varepsilon) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

As anticipated, if the starting integral basis does not satisfies a differential equations of the form in Eq. (7) we change the starting ansatz. This is done accordingly to a set of criteria inspired by patterns observed in previously studied cases:

- For two and three external legs MIs the choice of candidates can involve scalar integrals with dotted denominators;
- For four external legs MIs the choice of candidates can involve scalar integrals with dotted denominators or the numerators $D_{9}, D_{10}, D_{11}$;
- For five external legs, canonical MIs candidates can involve scalar integrals with the numerators $D_{9}, D_{10}, D_{11}$ and local integrand insertions $\mu_{i j}$,
where $\mu_{i j}$ are defined from the splitting of the loop momenta into four dimensional and $(-2 \varepsilon)$ dimensional components,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i}=k_{i}^{[4]}+k_{i}^{[-2 \varepsilon]}, \quad \quad \mu_{i j}=-k_{i}^{[-2 \epsilon]} \cdot k_{j}^{[-2 \epsilon]} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

I conclude the present discussion with some remarks. First, given the high number of kinematic invariants, and the large size of the IBPs systems to solve, it is important to ensure that the maximum numerator rank and number of dotted propagators is minimised. As second remark, I mention that
the method exploited to build a canonical basis might still require some work on the sub-topologies contribution to the differential equations for a given sector. Indeed, we found that some sectors required additional rotations in sub-sectors. However, this step was particularly simple in our cases. Interestingly, such feature did not appear in any of the most complicated five-point topologies, where the UT integrals can be constructed exploiting just local numerator insertions.

### 2.2 Analytic structure

Even though the system of differential equations has been integrate semi-analytically exploiting the generalised series expansion method, we studied the alphabet structure of the solution. This aspect is crucial for understanding the analytic solution and it is the first step towards constructing a well defined special function basis for the set of MIs under consideration.

The system of differential equations can be written in terms of d-logarithmic forms using an alphabet which is made of 71 letters $w_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \vec{I}(\vec{x}, \varepsilon)=\varepsilon d A(\vec{x}) \vec{I}(\vec{x}, \varepsilon), \quad A(\vec{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{71} c_{i} \log \left(w_{i}(\vec{x})\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to identify the alphabet we adopted a strategy along the lines of the one described in Refs. [82-84], which we briefly summarise. As first step we identify the set of rational letters inside the alphabet. This can be done by looking at the denominators in the differential equations system. The remaining letters are, therefore, algebraic in the kinematic invariants (i.e. they contain square roots). To obtain this set of letters we proceed as follows. We determine the linear relations in the total derivative matrix $d A(\vec{x})$ and we find a minimal set of independent one forms. Then, for each independent entry of the derivative matrix one determines which square roots appear in the denominators. Finally, it is possible to construct an ansatz using free polynomials in the variables $d_{i j}$ which depends on the square roots in the one-form under study. The form of the ansatz depends on the number of square roots, e.g. if there is just one square root we can use an ansatz of the kind,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(a, b):=\frac{a+\sqrt{b}}{a-\sqrt{b}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the case of two square roots,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Omega}(a, b, c):=\frac{(a+\sqrt{b}+\sqrt{c})(a-\sqrt{b}-\sqrt{c})}{(a+\sqrt{b}-\sqrt{c})(a-\sqrt{b}+\sqrt{c})} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

While it is always possible to expand the form of Eq. (14) into one similar to Eq. (13), the structure in Eq. (14) is preferable. Indeed, the polynomial degree of the unknown variable $a$ is lower as noted in Ref. [47].

Following this strategy we have identified an alphabet which can be split into two subsets, $\mathbf{W}_{R}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{A}$, which are, respectively, rational and algebraic in the kinematic invariants. For the rational letters we define,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{W}_{R}:=\mathbf{W}_{K} \cup \mathbf{W}_{T} \cup \mathbf{W}_{S} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the algebraic letters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{W}_{A}:=\mathbf{W}_{S R-1} \cup \mathbf{W}_{T R} \cup \mathbf{W}_{S R-2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rational set of letters $\mathbf{W}_{R}$ can be furthermore divided into three subsets. The subset $\mathbf{W}_{K}$ is made by letters which are linear combinations of the Mandelstam variables $s_{i j}=\left(p_{i}+p_{j}\right)^{2}$. The letters in the subset $\mathbf{W}_{T}$ can be written as traces over $\gamma$-matrices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(i j \cdots k)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\not p_{i} \not 中_{j} \cdots \not p_{k}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the rational letters in the third subset, $\mathbf{W}_{S}$, are related to the roots that appear in the differential equations system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{W}_{S}:=\left\{\beta^{2},\left(\Delta_{1}\right)^{2},\left(\Delta_{2}\right)^{2}, 4\left(d_{12}+d_{23}+m_{t}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta_{3}\right)^{2},\left(\Delta_{5}\right)^{2},\left(\Delta_{4}\right)^{2},\left(\Delta_{6}\right)^{2}, \operatorname{tr}_{5}^{2}\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta & =\sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{t}^{2}}{s_{12}},} & \\
\Delta_{1} & =\sqrt{\operatorname{det} G\left(p_{23}, p_{1}\right)}, & \Delta_{2}=\sqrt{\operatorname{det} G\left(p_{15}, p_{2}\right)}, \\
\Delta_{3} & =\sqrt{1-\frac{4 s_{45} m_{t}^{2}}{\left(s_{12}+s_{23}-m_{t}^{2}\right)^{2}}}, & \Delta_{4}=\sqrt{1+\frac{4 s_{34} s_{45} m_{t}^{2}}{s_{12}\left(s_{15}-s_{23}\right)^{2}}}, \\
\Delta_{5} & =\sqrt{1-\frac{s_{45} m_{t}^{2}}{4 d_{15} d_{23}},} & \Delta_{6}=\sqrt{1-\frac{s_{34} s_{45} m_{t}^{2}}{4 d_{15} d_{23} s_{12}}}, \\
\operatorname{tr}_{5} & =4 \sqrt{\operatorname{det} G\left(p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{5}, p_{1}\right)}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma_{5} \not p_{3} \not \phi_{4} \phi_{5} p_{1}\right), &
\end{align*}
$$

where $G_{i j}(\vec{v})=v_{i} \cdot v_{j}$ is the Gram matrix.
Similarly to the rational subset of letters, also the algebraic one $\mathbf{W}_{A}$ can be split into three subsets. The first one, $\mathbf{W}_{S R-1}$, contains letters which can be written in terms of the quantity $\Omega$ as defined above in Eq. (13). Instead, the letters associated to the subset $\mathbf{W}_{T R}$, contain dependence on the Dirac $\gamma_{5}$ matrix. Therefore, they can be written as ratios of $\operatorname{tr}_{ \pm}(i j \cdots k)$ objects, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}_{ \pm}(i j \cdots k)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(1 \pm \gamma_{5}\right) \not \phi_{i} \phi_{j} \cdots \not p_{k}\right) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The final subset, $\mathbf{W}_{S R-2}$, is made by letters in terms of $\tilde{\Omega}$ as defined above in Eq. (14).
I finish this discussion we the following consideration. The alphabet structure just presented shows a similar pattern to the ones observed in other five-particle kinematic configurations [44, 47, 50, 85]. This feature suggests that it might exists a general alphabet structure for all polylogarihmic two-loop integrals with five or fewer legs.

### 2.3 Numerical Evaluation

In order to validate our work we exploited the package DifFExp [63] to evaluate numerically the MIs. This package implements the generalised power series method [62], which gives a semianalytical solution to the system of differential equations as an expansion around its singular points:

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{I}}(t, \epsilon)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{k} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \rho_{i}(t) \vec{I}_{i}^{(k)}(t), \quad \rho(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & t \in\left[t_{i}-r_{i}, t_{i}+r_{i}\right)  \tag{21}\\
0, & t \notin\left[t_{i}-r_{i}, t_{i}+r_{i}\right)
\end{array},\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{I}}_{i}^{(k)}(t)=\sum_{l_{1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{N_{i, k}} c_{k}^{\left(i, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}\left(t-t_{i}\right)^{\frac{l_{1}}{2}} \log \left(t-t_{i}\right)^{l_{2}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the previous equations $t$ is a variable that parametrise the integration path in the kinematic invariants space, $t_{i}$ are singular points for the system of differential equations, $r_{i}$ is the radius of convergence of the series solution around $t_{i}$ and $c_{k}^{\left(i, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}$ are matrices which depend on the system of differential equations and the boundary conditions. Since we were interested in a numerical evaluation of the MIs, the system of differential equations has been integrated using high-precision numerical boundary conditions obtained with the package AMFLow [64], which implements the auxiliary mass flow method [65-67]. The numerical solution obtained with DiffExp has been checked for several points against an independent evaluation performed with AMFLOw finding full agreement for all the points under study.

The solution for the MIs presented in Ref. [41] has not been optimised for a realistic phasespace integration. However, the successful applications of the generalised power series method to phenomenological studies in Refs. [42, 47, 50, 68-74], offers hope that a phenomenologically oriented improvement of the implementation previously discussed may be achievable in the near future.
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[^0]:    *Speaker

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ For example a large number of MIs for the two-mass four-point $p p \rightarrow W t$ scattering [81] appear as subtopologies in our 88 integral system. This feature allowed us to reduce the number of completely unknown MIs in UT form to 40.
    ${ }^{2}$ This approach is discussed in Ref. [59].

