Observations of cosmic-ray Sun’s shadow with LHAASO-WCDA
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During the propagation of cosmic rays (CRs) in the solar system, they are blocked by the Sun and deflected by the magnetic field, resulting in a shadow on the celestial map. As CRs travel from the vicinity of the Sun to the Earth, they encounter deflection from the coronal magnetic field (CMF), the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF), and finally the geomagnetic field (GMF). The extent of deflection is determined by the magnetic field’s intensity, direction, and energy of the CRs. Variations in the magnetic field cause corresponding changes in the position and size of the Sun’s shadow. By observing the Sun’s shadow of CRs, we can investigate the magnetic fields between the Sun and the Earth, complementing other measurements on these magnetic fields. Furthermore, it serves as a means to validate magnetic field models. The Large High Altitude Air Shower Observatory (LHAASO) is a new generation cosmic-ray and gamma-ray experiment in Daocheng, western China. With a vast Water Cherenkov Detector Array (WCDA) spanning 78,000 m², LHAASO can successfully observe the significant Sun’s shadow within just a few days. Our study uses the WCDA to measure the Sun’s shadow effect caused by CRs over multiple Carrington rotation periods. Subsequently, we compare these results with simulations based on different magnetic field models.
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1. **Introduction**

The solar magnetic field is a crucial tool for studying solar physics. The calculation of the CMF is typically based on observations of the photosphere magnetogram and magnetic field models. Popular models for the CMF include the potential field source surface (PFSS) model [1] and the current sheet source surface (CSSS) model [2]. Previous observations have demonstrated that these models effectively describe the CMF during solar minimum. However, more precise observations are needed to further constrain the magnetic field models. The IMF is carried by the solar wind, which emanates from the Sun and fills the space between the Sun and the Earth. Previous measurements of the IMF have typically been made near the Earth, such as those obtained from the OMNI database 1. Recently However, the Parker Solar Probe (PSP) now provides magnetic field measurements in proximity to the Sun [3]. The Parker model is widely employed to characterize the IMF [4]. Due to the CMF’s role as a boundary condition, there is also uncertainty in calculating the IMF from the CMF. Furthermore, numerous modified models have been proposed for the IMF [5].

The origin of CRs remains a mystery even after a century since its discovery [6]. Prior to entering the solar system, CRs exhibit nearly isotropic distribution. Subsequently, they encounter obstruction from the Sun and undergo deflection by the magnetic field, resulting in the formation of a shadow on the CRs’ sky map. Consequently, the Sun’s shadow offers a valuable means to investigate the magnetic field in the region between the Sun and the Earth. Furthermore, given the cumulative effect of CRs’ deflection in the magnetic field, it becomes possible to measure the overall structure and evolution of the magnetic field. Hence, this approach serves as a complementary method to local measurements such as those conducted by the OMNI and the PSP.

The characteristics of the Sun’s shadow, such as displacement and extension, can be influenced by the Coronal Mass Ejections (CMEs) and the IMF. The relationship between the IMF and the displacement of the Sun’s shadow has been studied by the AS$\gamma$ collaboration [7–10]. The ARGO-YBJ collaboration has utilized the correlation between the Sun’s shadow displacement and the IMF to indirectly measure the IMF and its sector structures [11]. Additionally, the deficit ratio is an important physical quantity in addition to the Sun’s shadow displacement. The AS$\gamma$ collaboration has found a relationship between the deficit ratio and solar activity, with their results supporting the CSSS model [12]. Both the ARGO-YBJ and AS$\gamma$ collaborations have reported the rigidity-dependent features of the Sun’s shadow [13, 14]. LHAASO, with its advantages of a wide energy range, high sensitivity, and large statistics, is able to observe the changes in the Sun’s shadow with unprecedented sensitivity. In order to compare with the observations, a time dependent magnetic field model is proposed, and the simulations of the Sun’s shadow are compared with the LHAASO observations.

2. **Experiment and Data Analysis**

The reconstruction of the direction and core position is crucial for analyzing CRs air showers data. When a primary particle traverses through the atmosphere on top of the LHAASO array, it generates secondary particles that trigger the detectors. Subsequently, the time and integrated

---

1https://omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov
charge of the triggered detectors are recorded as a "hit". Through the integration of the arrival time, charge, and positional information of the hits, we can determine the core position and direction of the primary particle.

2.1 Data Selection

To ensure the acquisition of high-quality data samples, we employed the following criteria:

(1) We selected publicly available data of the MK version from March 5, 2021, to December 31, 2021.

(2) The ratio of "nbadq" to "ngoodq" must be less than $8 \times 10^{-4}$. Here, "ngoodq" represents the number of hits with good charge conversions, and "nbadq" represents the number of hits with bad charge conversions.

(3) The number of cells with an Npe value greater than 0.5 should exceed 100.

(4) We excluded data points with zenith angles outside the range of $5^\circ$ to $45^\circ$.

By employing the aforementioned criteria, the median energy of the dataset approximates 4 TeV.

2.2 Background Extinction

The purpose of background estimation in this study is to obtain the background for a specific region of the sky and determine the significance of the signal exceeding the background. The equi-zenith angle method is employed for this purpose. Within this band, we symmetrically select a specific number of circular regions on either side of the source region in the same zenith band, each being the same size as the source region. In this case, we choose six evenly distributed off-source windows with an angular distance of $5^\circ$ from the source window. Both the source and off-source windows are divided into grid cells measuring $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$. The number of events falling into each cell is recorded.

We obtained one on-source map and six off-source maps. The maps were then smoothed using the Point Spread Function (PSF). Next, we applied the Li-Ma formula to calculate the significance, given by:

$$ S = \sqrt{2} \left[ N_{\text{on}} \ln \left( \frac{1 + \alpha}{\alpha} \right) \left( \frac{N_{\text{on}}}{N_{\text{on}} + N_{\text{off}}} \right) + N_{\text{off}} \ln(1 + \alpha) \left( \frac{N_{\text{on}}}{N_{\text{on}} + N_{\text{off}}} \right) \right]^{1/2} \quad (1) $$

Here, $N_{\text{on}}$, $N_{\text{off}}$, and $\alpha$ represent the number of on-source events, the number of off-source events, and the scaling factor between the off-source and on-source, respectively.

2.3 Moon’s Shadow

In Fig. 1, the left panel presents a significance sky map illustrating the Moon’s shadow in April 2021. The most pronounced deficit is observed at the center, reaching a significance level of approximately 22 $\sigma$. This indicates a noticeable eastward shift of the Moon’s shadow center, amounting to approximately $0.58^\circ$.

The analysis of the Sun’s or Moon’s shadow focuses on determining its position and extent. To achieve this, we project the events within a range of $\pm 1$ degree around the point of highest deficit significance onto the east-west or north-south direction, resulting in a one-dimensional distribution.
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2.4 Observation of the Sun’s Shadow

The significance sky map of the Sun’s shadow from March 5th, 2021, to December 31st, 2021 is presented in the left panel of Fig. 3. The highest deficit significance level reaches approximately 57 $\sigma$ at the center. The middle and right panels in Fig. 3 display the one-dimensional distribution of the Sun’s shadow in the east-west and north-south directions, respectively.

Thanks to its extensive statistical data, LHAASO has achieved an unprecedented ability to observe the Sun’s shadow in just a few days.

3. Monte Carlo Simulation

3.1 Time-Dependent Magnetic Field

The observation of the Sun’s shadow could be completed in just a few days, thereby requiring a corresponding time period for the magnetic field model in simulations. The magnetic field from
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Figure 3: Left panel: the significance sky map of the Sun’s shadow. Middle panel: the one-dimensional distribution in the east-west direction. Right panel: the one-dimensional distributions in the north-south direction

the Sun to the Earth can be categorized into three parts: the CMF, the IMF, and the GMF.

Traditionally, the CMF and IMF models used for simulations are assumed to remain constant during a solar rotation (SR). However, it is important to note that the CMF does undergo changes during a SR, and these changes can affect the IMF. Moreover, it takes time for the IMF to reach the Earth due to its transportation by the solar wind, which has a speed of about a few hundred kilometers per second. As a result, it typically takes several days for the solar wind to reach our earth.

On the other hand, cosmic-ray particles are relativistic and can travel from the vicinity of the Sun to the Earth in just a few minutes. Therefore, when considering the magnetic field, it is crucial to account for its temporal variations.

In our study, we utilize the PFSS model and the CSSS model to analyze the CMF. The boundary condition, specifically the magnetic map of the photosphere, is obtained from observations made by the Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI) [1]. To ensure consistency, the time-dependent observations from the HMI are combined, resulting in a time bin of one day for the CMF model. Additionally, we set the source surface ($R_{ss}$) for the PFSS model and CSSS model as 2.5 $R_{\odot}$ and 10 $R_{\odot}$, respectively.

When studying the IMF, we employ the Parker model [2]:

$$B_{r}(r, \theta, \phi) = B_{r}(R_{ss}, \theta, \phi_{0})(\frac{R_{ss}}{r})^{2}$$
$$B_{\theta}(r, \theta, \phi) = 0$$
$$B_{\phi}(r, \theta, \phi) = -B_{r}(R_{ss}, \theta, \phi)(\frac{\Omega_{\text{Sun}}}{V_{sw}})(r-R_{ss})\sin\theta$$
$$\phi = \phi_{0} - \frac{R_{ss}}{V_{sw}}\frac{\Omega_{\text{Sun}}}{R_{ss}}(\frac{r}{R_{ss}} - 1 - \ln(\frac{r}{R_{ss}}))$$  (2)

The equations (2) of Parker model are expressed in the Carrington coordinates and the $V_{sw}$ represents the speed of the solar wind. Since the CMF model is time dependent, the IMF is time dependent as a consequence, as is shown in equations (2). Currently, we consider the variation of solar wind speed with latitude only. The solar wind speed is measured using the interplanetary scintillation method [15]. For simplicity, we extend the results of year 2020 [15] to 2021 and study the Sun’s shadow in the Carrington rotation 2243. Furthermore, we use the International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) [16] to describe the GMF.
Finally, the CMF model tends to systematically underestimate the field strength, necessitating an overall correction of the model. The findings of the time-dependent Parker model are compared to the observations of the PSP\(^2\) in Figure 4. Consequently, the IMF and CMF models are adjusted by scaling them with a constant derived from the data obtained from the PSP.

### 3.2 Simulation Method

We employ the "back tracing method" to simulate the Sun’s shadow. Specifically, antiparticles are emitted in all directions within an angular range of \(4° \times 4°\) around the Sun. To track the deflection of particles in the magnetic field, we utilize a fourth-order Runge-Kutta algorithm. If an antiparticle collides with the Sun, it is considered a "missing event". This indicates that a particle with an opposite charge cannot reach the Earth along the trajectory of the missing event. We collect these missing events to construct the simulated shadow of the Sun.

To align with the data analysis, we limit the zenith angle of particle launch from the Sun to a range of \(5°\) to \(45°\). To conserve computational resources, we exclude the simulation of the air shower and the subsequent reconstruction procedure. Instead, we utilize the PSF of the experiment.

The kinetic energy spectrum of incident particles is determined from both observation and simulation, with an average energy of approximately 4 TeV. Furthermore, the reconstructed energy follows an approximately logarithmic Gaussian distribution.

\[
\log(E_k) \sim N(\mu = 0.6243, \sigma = 0.5548) \quad (3)
\]

The formula for calculating the deficit ratio in simulations is as follows:

\[
\text{deficit ratio} = \frac{N_{\text{hit with } B}}{N_{\text{all}}} \quad (4)
\]

In this equation, the numerator represents the number of events that hit the Sun in the presence of a magnetic field. The denominator, on the other hand, represents the total number of simulation events within a radius of \(0.5°\) centered around the Sun.

\(^2\)https://cdaweb.gsfc.nasa.gov
3.3 Result

Finally, a Monte Carlo simulation is conducted to calculate the north-south displacement and deficit ratio of the Sun’s shadow. The simulation results for different CMF models are depicted in Fig. 5. It is evident that disparities exist between the results obtained from the CSSS and PFSS models. In the future, we intend to employ LHAASO observations to evaluate the magnetic field models.

![Simulation of the Sun’s shadow](image)

**Figure 5:** Simulation of the Sun’s shadow. The longitude is the Earth location in Carrington coordinate. Left panel: north-south displacement of the Sun’s shadow. Right panel: deficit ratio of the Sun’s shadow.

4. Summary

We conducted an analysis of the Moon’s shadow and Sun’s shadow based on observations from LHAASO. Additionally, we performed a Monte Carlo simulation to further investigate this phenomenon. We compared the influence of different models of the CMF on the simulated Sun’s shadow. In the future, we will present a systematic comparison between the observations and the simulation results.
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