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In this proceeding, we present a proof of principle study for estimating the number of muons of inclined air showers proportional to their energy using hybrid radio and particle detection. We use the radiation energy of an air shower to estimate its electromagnetic energy and measure the muon number independently with the water-Cherenkov detector array (WCD) of the Pierre Auger Observatory. We select 32 high-quality events in almost six years of data with electromagnetic energies above 4 EeV to ensure full efficiency for the WCD reconstruction. The muon content in data is found to be compatible with the one for an iron primary as predicted by current-generation hadronic interaction models. This can be interpreted as a deficit of muons in simulations as a lighter mass composition is expected from $X_{\text{max}}$ measurements. Such a muon deficit was already observed in previous analyses of the Auger collaboration and is now confirmed for the first time with radio data. Currently, this analysis is limited by low statistics due to the small area of AERA of 17 km$^2$ and the high energy threshold. We will outline the advantages of using radio detection instead of the Auger Fluorescence Detector in future analyses allowing for high-statistic measurements of the muon content as a function of energy.
1. Introduction

Ultra-high energy cosmic rays can only be observed indirectly through air showers initiated in the Earth’s atmosphere. The mass composition of cosmic rays can be inferred from certain shower observables such as the depth of the shower maximum, $X_{\text{max}}$, and the number of muons. The muon number at ground-level increases nearly linearly with the cosmic-ray energy and with the mass of the cosmic ray. The interpretation of the measured muon number in data relies on the comparison with predictions made by full Monte-Carlo air-shower simulations based on hadronic interaction models. Previous studies performed by the Pierre Auger collaboration, but also at other experiments have shown a deficit of muons predicted by all current-generation hadronic interaction models compared to data [1].

The potential of a combined analysis of the radio emission and the muons was already shown for simulations [2]. In this proceeding, a new method to measure the muon content of inclined air showers with zenith angles above 60° using hybrid radio and particle detections at the Pierre Auger Observatory is presented. The feasibility of detecting and reconstructing such air showers with AERA has been demonstrated [3, 4]. For inclined air showers, the water-Cherenkov detector (WCD) performs an almost pure measurement of the muons, other particles are mostly absorbed in the atmosphere and do not reach the ground. However, the radio emission of the air shower can still be detected on the ground as there is neither significant absorption nor scattering in the atmosphere. The radio emission originates from the electromagnetic component of the air shower and allows the reconstruction of its energy. Hence, the electromagnetic energy and the muon content can be reconstructed independently.

2. AERA and the water-Cherenkov detector array of the Pierre Auger Observatory

The Pierre Auger Observatory is a multi-hybrid detector for the measurement of ultra-high-energy cosmic rays located in Mendoza, Argentina [5]. Its baseline detectors comprise the world’s largest Surface Detector (SD) and a Fluorescence Detector (FD) overviewing the array from 4 sites with 27 telescopes. The SD consists of 1600 water Cherenkov particle detectors deployed on a hexagonal grid with a spacing of 1500 m covering an area of 3000 km$^2$. For inclined air showers, it is fully efficient for primary energies above 4 EeV. The Auger Engineering Radio Array (AERA) [6] is located in the northwestern part of the SD. AERA consists of 153 radio stations distributed over an area of 17 km$^2$. It was deployed in 3 phases and contains two different kinds of electronics, a self-triggered part and one that is triggered externally. The layout of AERA is shown in Fig. 1. Only radio stations that can provide data on an external trigger are used in this analysis. This amounts to 76 stations before 2 March 2015 (AERA phase II). Afterwards, 29 additional radio stations have been deployed (AERA phase III).

The high energy threshold of the SD combined with the rather small area of AERA makes it challenging to gather high statistics. Therefore, we will not be able to provide a high precision measurement of the muon number in air showers. However, this work is meant as a proof of concept showing the feasibility of such a measurement. Using a radio detector instead of the FD has the benefit of an uptime of almost 100% whereas the FD has an uptime of ~15%. Furthermore, the geometrical phase space for high-quality event reconstructed with the FD is small for inclined
showers as a high fraction of air showers have the $X_{\text{max}}$ outside of the field of view of the telescopes. Such a selection is not needed with a radio detection hence one can collect data more efficiently.

The total muon number is reconstructed by rescaling two-dimensional reference maps of the lateral muon density to the measured signals of the WCD stations. The rescaling factor can be interpreted as a relative muon number, $R_\mu$, with respect to the reference model, proton showers simulated at an energy of $10^{19}$ eV using QGSjetII-03 as hadronic interaction model [8]. An example of such a reference map is shown in Fig. 2 (left) for a zenith angle of 84°.

The lateral distribution of the radio signal is described with a model made for inclined air showers [9]. An example of a fitted lateral distribution function (LDF) for an event with a zenith angle of $(70.1 \pm 0.1)^\circ$ coming from $(10.36 \pm 0.03)^\circ$ west of south is shown in Fig. 2 (right). Integrating the LDF over the whole footprint yields the total radiation energy, $S_{\text{rad}}$, which is directly related to the energy of the electromagnetic particle cascade $E_{\text{EM}}$ [10]. A full reconstruction of the primary energy from the radio data also requires an estimate of the contribution of non-electromagnetic energy. This will be done in the future in a data driven method similar to [11], together with a detailed study of the systematic uncertainties. For the present work, data is presented as a function of the total radiation energy $S_{\text{rad}}$, while the highest energy events are selected according to the conversion described in [9].
3. Predicted muon content in simulations

The scaling of the muon content as a function of $S_{\text{rad}}$ as predicted in simulations is derived by simulating more than $100\,000$ inclined air showers with CORSIKA [12] using QGSjetII-04 [13], EPOS-LHC [14], and Sibyll 2.3d [15] as hadronic interaction models. The simulations are made using protons and iron nuclei as primaries with energies between $10^{18.4}$ eV and $10^{19.6}$ eV. For each simulated air shower the total number of muons is counted and divided by a reference muon number. This reference is obtained from a zenith-angle dependent parametrization of the total muon number based on QGSjetII-03 simulations. The electromagnetic energy of the air shower is given by the sum of the energy deposited by all electromagnetic particles. This is converted to the corresponding $S_{\text{rad}}$ based on [9]. Fitting a power law results in the dashed and dash-dotted lines in Fig. 3 for each primary and hadronic interaction model.

The performance of the reconstruction is validated with a set of more than $1000$ air showers simulated with CoREAS [16] using QGSjetII-04 as hadronic interaction model and proton and iron nuclei as primary particles. The geometry and energy are sampled randomly and cover the full phase space of possible event detection. Showers were generated with energies between $2$ EeV and $40$ EeV and zenith angles between $58^\circ$ and $82^\circ$. The core positions were randomised such that a sufficient number of antennas is expected to be within a maximum of three Cherenkov radii $^1$. The simulations are reconstructed including a realistic detector simulation and the addition of measured environmental noise from randomly selected timestamps.

A high-quality event selection is applied which limits the zenith-angle range from $60^\circ$ to $80^\circ$. Furthermore, signals must be measured in a complete hexagon of SD stations around the station with the largest energy deposit. This yields a bias-free energy reconstruction (estimated from the

$^1$The radius of the Cherenkov ring in the shower plane increases from ~200 m at a zenith angle of $60^\circ$ to more than 700 m at $80^\circ$. 

---

**Figure 2:** Contour plot of the average muon density in the shower plane for a 10 EeV proton showers with a zenith angle of $84^\circ$ (left). The y-axis is oriented in the direction of the magnetic field projected onto the shower plane. Figure from [8]. Distribution of the radio signal as a function of the distance from the shower axis (right) for an event passing the high-quality event selection, cf. Tab 1. A signal is found for 37 antennas.
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Figure 3: The different lines represent the predicted muon content of proton and iron induced air showers for three different hadronic interaction models. The different primary particles are denoted by color, the models by the linestyle. The profile indicates the reconstructed average muon content for discrete energy bins, the $y$-uncertainty is given by the uncertainty of the mean. See text for details.

The number of muons) with a resolution of $19.3\%$ for events with a primary energy above the full efficiency threshold of $4\,\text{EeV}$ [8]. The radio events need to have a successful LDF fit and a signal from a station inside of the Cherenkov ring. To ensure a high quality of the fit result more than 5 signal stations are required and the reduced $\chi^2$ needs to be smaller than 5. As we only have direct access to the electromagnetic energy, but not the primary energy we require that $E_{\text{EM}}$ is above $4\,\text{EeV}$, which guarantees a primary energy above the full efficiency threshold. Occasionally the reconstruction exhibits large uncertainties. We select events with a relative uncertainty on the reconstructed $E_{\text{EM}}$ below $20\%$. Events that have an opening angle between the shower direction reconstructed with the WCD and AERA larger than $2.08^\circ$ are removed from the analysis. The threshold is given by the mean value plus three standard deviations of a Gumble fit to the full opening angle distribution [17].

The profile of the reconstructed values is shown in Fig. 3. It is fluctuating around the model line for proton primaries but exhibits a bias for iron nuclei. This bias originates from an energy-dependent bias in the $E_{\text{EM}}$ reconstruction as the used LDF model is developed for the AugerPrime Radio Detector [18] and not yet optimized for AERA. It can likely be improved with an optimized LDF in the future. For the sake of the here presented proof-of-concept, study there is a sufficiently good agreement of the reconstruction with the model prediction.
Table 1: Number of events after each cut starting with 2663 reconstructed events. The first set of cuts is related to the WCD reconstruction, the second one to the AERA reconstruction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cut</th>
<th>number of events after cut</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$60^\circ \leq \theta_{SD} \leq 80^\circ$</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of candidate stations $\geq 5$</td>
<td>1108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full hexagon of stations</td>
<td>953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no thunderstorm conditions</td>
<td>849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD-RD opening angle $&lt; 2.08^\circ$</td>
<td>788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has LDF fit with a station inside Cherenkov radius</td>
<td>532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{EM} &gt; 4$ EeV</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of stations $&gt; 5$</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reduced $\chi^2$ of LDF fit $&lt; 5$</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relative $E_{EM}$ uncertainty $&lt; 0.2$</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Measurement of the muon content

In the following, the AERA data recorded between 26 June 2013 (start of AERA phase II) and 1 Mai 2019 (last date for which we have bad periods) are analyzed. The same event selection as in Sec. 3 is applied. Furthermore, events that fall into thunderstorm periods [17] are excluded. This selection yields 32 high-quality hybrid events, the number of events after each cut is given in Tab. 1. The strongest cut is the minimum energy of 4 EeV due to the size of AERA.

The muon content in data is shown in Fig. 4 as a function of $S_{rad}$. The profile indicates an increasing number of muons for increasing values of $S_{rad}$, i.e. with increasing energy. The measured muon content is compatible with the prediction of hadronic interaction models for iron nuclei. A thorough estimation of the systematic uncertainties will be done in a future publication. The expected mass composition can be derived from $X_{max}$ measurement of the Auger FD. In the energy range of this analysis, the mean atomic mass number is expected to be between proton and nitrogen [19]. Hence, one can conclude that there is a deficit of muons in simulations. This was already found by other Auger analyses for primary energies above 4 EeV [20] as well as for primary energies between $2 \cdot 10^{17}$ eV and $2 \cdot 10^{18}$ eV [21].

5. Conclusion

We showed a first estimate of the muon content of inclined air showers using hybrid measurements combining radio and particle detection. This serves as a proof of concept for future analyses with hybrid radio and particle events. We find a muon content in data that is compatible with the prediction of hadronic interaction models for iron-induced air showers even though the composition is expected to be between proton and nitrogen. This is the first time that it is demonstrated that hybrid detection of the radio emission and the particles can be used to investigate the already known muon puzzle.
Figure 4: Muon content as a function of energy estimator (top) and normalized muon content (bottom) to remove the power-law scaling. In both figures, the model predictions are identical to Fig. 3. Measured data are shown in black, a profile of the data is given in orange.
Currently, the analysis is limited by the low statistics of 32 high-quality events which originate from the small area of AERA of $17 \text{ km}^2$ and the high energy threshold of 4 EeV needed for the reconstruction with the 1500 m WCD array. The event statistics can be increased moderately by including 4 more years of data in a future publication. A reconstruction of inclined air shower with the 750 m WCD array is currently being developed which will allow reducing the energy threshold considerably and therefore collect more statistics at energies below 4 EeV. With the AugerPrime Radio Detector currently being deployed, this analysis can be extended to the highest energies to allow for in-depth tests of hadronic interaction models with large statistics [22].
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