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## 1. Introduction

Cascade-shower electrons show excess distribution of path-length due to the multiple Coulomb scattering with the matters of traverse, which is observed as the arrival-time-distribution of shower electrons in the air shower experiment. The distributions can be obtained from our Mellin transform of $\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle$, derived by solving the diffusion equation for the process [1].

Plain descriptions for the formulae $\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle$ under Approximation B are proposed, and the mean excess and the excess distribution of path-length averaged over shower electrons derived from our $\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle$ are indicated. The results are compared with those derived by a Monte Carlo (abbreviated by MC, hereafter) calculation [2]. The threshold-energy $E$ dependence of the results, appearing in the MC results, are also discussed.

## 2. The mean $k$-th moment of the excess-path-length distribution for shower electrons

Let $\pi(E, \vec{\theta}, \Delta, t) d E d \vec{\theta} d \Delta$ and $\gamma(E, \vec{\theta}, \Delta, t) d E d \vec{\theta} d \Delta$ be the numbers of electron and photon of energy $E$, direction $\vec{\theta}$ and excess-path-length $\Delta$ within the infinitesimal ranges of $d E, d \vec{\theta}$ and $d \Delta$, at the traversed thickness of $t$ in the unit of radiation length [3, 4]. Under the cascade process, $\pi(E, \vec{\theta}, \Delta, t)$ and $\gamma(E, \vec{\theta}, \Delta, t)$ satisfy the diffusion equation of

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\binom{\pi(E, \theta, \Delta, t)}{\gamma(E, \theta, \Delta, t)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-A^{\prime} & B^{\prime}  \tag{2.1}\\
C^{\prime} & -\sigma_{0}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\pi}{\gamma}-\frac{\theta^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta}\binom{\pi}{\gamma}+\frac{E_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}}{4 E^{2}} \nabla_{\theta}^{2}\binom{\pi}{0}+\frac{\varepsilon \partial}{\partial E}\binom{\pi}{0},
$$

where shower electrons lose their energies of $\varepsilon d t$ in each traverse of $d t$ by ionization with the critical energies $\varepsilon$ of 0 (Approximation A) or finite values (Approximation B). The operators $A^{\prime}$, $B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$ and the constants $\sigma_{0}, \varepsilon$ are indicated in Nishimura [4]. Note that the variable $\vec{\theta}$ in the densities are expressed by $\theta$ as $\pi(E, \theta, \Delta, t)$ and $\gamma(E, \theta, \Delta, t)$, as they are axially symmetric with $\vec{\theta}$.

We have the $k$-th moment of excess-path-length distribution for total shower electrons (with $E$ from 0 to $\infty$ ) from the diffusion equation under Approximation B [1], as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{\mathrm{B}}^{(k)}\left(E_{0}, 0, t\right) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} d E \int_{0}^{\infty} 2 \pi \theta d \theta J_{0}(\zeta \theta) \int_{0}^{\infty} \Delta^{k} \pi(E, \theta, \Delta, t) d \Delta \\
& \simeq \frac{\left(E_{\mathrm{S}}^{2} / 2 \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{k}}{2 \pi i} \int \frac{d s}{s}\left(\frac{E_{0}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{s} e^{\lambda_{1}(s) t} \frac{s}{s+2 k} \frac{\left\{D_{s} \phi_{0}^{(k)}(s ; \lambda)\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(s)}}{\lambda_{1}(s)-\lambda_{2}(s)}\left\{K_{0}^{(k)}(s,-s-2 k)\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(s)} . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Especially for $k=0$, we have the total number of shower electrons

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{\mathrm{B}}\left(E_{0}, 0, t\right) & \simeq \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \frac{d s}{s}\left(\frac{E_{0}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{s} e^{\lambda_{1}(s) t} \frac{\left\{D_{s} \phi_{00}(s ; \lambda)\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(s)}^{\lambda_{1}(s)-\lambda_{2}(s)}\left\{K_{0}^{(0)}(s,-s)\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(s)}}{} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { with } \\
\ln \frac{E_{0}}{\varepsilon}
\end{array}=-\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\left(E_{0}, \varepsilon, t\right) t+\frac{1}{\bar{s}},
\end{align*}
$$

indicated in the reviews of Rossi and Greisen, and Nishimura [3, 4], where $\Pi_{\mathrm{A}}\left(E_{0}, \varepsilon, t\right)$ denotes the number of shower electrons under Approximation A and $\bar{s}$ is called as the shower age. Thus we


Figure 1: Mean excess $\langle u\rangle$ of path-length under Approximation A for shower electrons, with the threshold energy of $E$ and $u \equiv 2 E^{2} \Delta / E_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}$ [1].


Figure 2: Root-Mean-Square excess $\sqrt{\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle-\langle u\rangle^{2}}$ of path-length under Approximation A for shower electrons, with the threshold energy of $E$ and $u \equiv 2 E^{2} \Delta / E_{\mathrm{S}}^{2}$ [1].


Figure 3: Mean excess $\langle u\rangle$ of path-length under approximation B for shower electrons, with the threshold energy $E$ of 0 and $u \equiv 2 \varepsilon^{2} \Delta / E_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \equiv 2 \varepsilon^{2} \Delta / E_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the excess of path-length under Approximation B.

## 3. Plain descriptions of our Mellin transform $\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle$ under Approximation B

Let $d p_{\mathrm{B}}(u, \bar{s}) / d u$ be the probability density for electrons to show excess $u$ of path-length in the shower of age $\bar{s}$. Mellin transform of the probability density is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} u^{\kappa} \frac{d p_{\mathrm{B}}(u, \bar{s})}{d u} d u \equiv\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that the mean $k$-th moment $\left\langle u^{k}\right\rangle$ is the special value of the Mellin transform $\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle$ with $\kappa$ at the integer $k$. So, we can obtain our Mellin transform $\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle$ by generalizing the mean $k$-th moment $\left\langle u^{k}\right\rangle$ from integer $k$ to real $\kappa$ with interpolation [1]. The results are described plainly as follows.

We express the functions of $\ln \left\{K_{0}^{(0)}(\bar{s},-\bar{s})\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})}, \ln \left\{K_{0}^{(1)}(\bar{s},-\bar{s}-2)\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})}$, and $\ln \{\Lambda(\bar{s})\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})}$ explicitly by quartic polynomials;

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ln \left\{K_{0}^{(0)}(\bar{s},-\bar{s})\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})} \simeq a_{4} \bar{s}^{4}+a_{3} \bar{s}^{3}+a_{2} \bar{s}^{2}+a_{1} \bar{s} \quad \text { with } \\
a_{4}=-0.0130, a_{3}=0.144, a_{2}=-0.522, a_{1}=1.20,  \tag{3.2}\\
\ln \left\{K_{0}^{(1)}(\bar{s},-\bar{s}-2)\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})} \simeq b_{4} \bar{s}^{4}+b_{3} \bar{s}^{3}+b_{2} \bar{s}^{2}+b_{1} \bar{s}+b_{0} \quad \text { with } \\
b_{4}=0.0176, b_{3}=-0.239, b_{2}=1.10, b_{1}=-1.11, b_{0}=3.24,  \tag{3.3}\\
\ln \{\Lambda(\bar{s})\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})} \simeq c_{4} \bar{s}^{4}+c_{3} \bar{s}^{3}+c_{2} \bar{s}^{2}+c_{1} \bar{s}+c_{0} \quad \text { with } \\
c_{4}=-0.0101, c_{3}=0.155, c_{2}=-0.984, c_{1}=4.09, c_{0}=2.54, \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

by interpolating the exact values of those at $\bar{s}=1,2, \cdots$, and 5 derived through the recurrence equations, escaping from the converging ambiguities of infinite series for those at non-integer $\bar{s}$ [1].

Then we express $\ln \left\{\phi_{0}^{(k)}(\bar{s} ; \lambda) / \phi_{00}(\bar{s} ; \lambda)\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})}$ under Approximation B by quadratic function of $\kappa$;

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ln \left\{\frac{\phi_{0}^{(\kappa)}(\bar{s} ; \lambda)}{\phi_{00}(\bar{s} ; \lambda)}\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})} \simeq f_{1} \kappa+f_{2} \kappa^{2} \equiv f(\kappa) \quad \text { with }  \tag{3.5}\\
f_{1}=-\frac{1}{2} \ln \left\{\frac{\phi_{0}^{(2)}(\bar{s} ; \lambda)}{\phi_{00}(\bar{s}, \lambda)}\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})}+2 \ln \left\{\frac{\phi_{0}^{(1)}(\bar{s} ; \lambda)}{\phi_{00}(\bar{s} ; \lambda)}\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})}, \quad f_{2}=\ln \left\{\frac{\phi_{0}^{(1)}(\bar{s} ; \lambda)}{\phi_{00}(\bar{s} ; \lambda)}\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})}-f_{1}, \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where they denote
$\frac{\phi_{0}^{(1)}(s ; \lambda)}{\phi_{00}(s ; \lambda)}=\frac{\hat{v}^{2}+(B C)_{s+2}}{D_{s+2}^{2}}$,
$\frac{\phi_{0}^{(2)}(s ; \lambda)}{\phi_{00}(s ; \lambda)}=\frac{2}{D_{s+2} D_{s+4}}\left[\frac{4 \hat{v}^{4}+4 \hat{v}\{2 \hat{v}+(\lambda+A(s+4))\}(B C)_{s+4}}{D_{s+4}^{2}}+\frac{\hat{v}^{2}+(B C)_{s+2}}{D_{s+2}} \frac{\hat{v}^{2}+(B C)_{s+4}}{D_{s+4}}\right]$
with $\hat{v} \equiv \lambda+\sigma_{0},(B C)_{s} \equiv B(s) C(s)$, and $D_{s} \equiv\left(\lambda-\lambda_{1}(s)\right)\left(\lambda-\lambda_{2}(s)\right)$. On the other hand, as $K_{0}^{(\kappa)}(\bar{s},-\bar{s}-2 \kappa)$ diverges at $\kappa=2$ due to the pole of the second degree [1] we express $\ln \{(\kappa-$ $\left.2)^{2} K_{0}^{(\kappa)}(\bar{s},-\bar{s}-2 \kappa) /\left(4 K_{0}^{(0)}(\bar{s},-\bar{s})\right)\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})}$ by quadratic function of $\kappa$;

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ln \left\{\frac{(\kappa-2)^{2} K_{0}^{(\kappa)}(\bar{s}--\bar{s}-2 \kappa)}{4 K_{0}^{(0)}(\bar{s},-\bar{s})}\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})} \simeq g_{1} \kappa+g_{2} \kappa^{2} \equiv g(\kappa) \quad \text { with }  \tag{3.9}\\
g_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \ln \left\{\frac{\Lambda(\bar{s})}{K_{0}^{(0)}(\bar{s},-\bar{s})}\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})}-\ln \left\{\frac{K_{0}^{(1)}(\bar{s},-\bar{s}-2)}{K_{0}^{(0)}(\bar{s},-\bar{s})}\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})}, \quad g_{1}=\ln \left\{\frac{K_{0}^{(1)}(\bar{s},-\bar{s}-2)}{4 K_{0}^{(0)}(\bar{s},-\bar{s})}\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})}-g_{2} . \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus we have our Mellin transform of $\left\langle u^{K}\right\rangle$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle=\frac{\bar{s} / 2}{\kappa+\bar{s} / 2}\left\{\frac{\phi_{0}^{(\kappa)}(\bar{s} ; \lambda)}{\phi_{00}(\bar{s} ; \lambda)} \frac{K_{0}^{(\kappa)}(\bar{s},-\bar{s}-2 \kappa)}{K_{0}^{(0)}(\bar{s},-\bar{s})}\right\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(\bar{s})} \simeq \frac{\bar{s} / 2}{\kappa+\bar{s} / 2} \frac{4}{(\kappa-2)^{2}} e^{f(\kappa)+g(\kappa)} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Though our $\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle$ was generalized from $\left\langle u^{k}\right\rangle$ with interpolation within $0<\kappa<2$, we confirmed our $\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle$ is enough reliable up to the extended region of $-\bar{s} / 2 \leq \kappa[1]$.


Figure 4: The threshold-energy $E$ dependence of the number $\Pi_{\mathrm{B}}\left(W_{0}, E, t\right)$ (top left), the mean first moment $\langle u\rangle$ (bottom left), and the mean second moment $\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle$ (right), appearing in the MC results with finite $E$ 's. The results with $E=0$ show those of analytically derived.

## 4. Mean moments of excess-path-length distribution for shower electrons

We indicate the analytical results [1] of mean excess $\langle u\rangle$ and root-mean-square excess $\sqrt{\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle-\langle u\rangle^{2}}$ of path-length for shower electrons under Approximation A in Figs. 1 and 2. We also indicate those of mean excess $\langle u\rangle$ under Approximation B for the total shower electrons with the threshold energy $E$ of 0 in Fig. [3](lines), which can be derived from the $k$-th moment of Eq. (2.6) with $k=1$ and the age $\bar{s}$ determined by Eq. (2.4). Though, root-mean-square excess $\sqrt{\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle-\langle u\rangle^{2}}$ of path-length with the threshold energy $E$ of 0 diverges under Approximation B, as $\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle$ determined by Eq. (2.6) with $k=2$ diverges [1].

We compare the analytical results of mean excess $\langle u\rangle$ for shower electrons with the incident energy $E_{0}$ of $10^{4} \varepsilon$ and the threshold energy $E$ of 0 under Approximation B (lines) with the MC results (dots) with $E_{0}$ of $10^{4} \varepsilon$ and $E$ of $0.01 \varepsilon$ [2] in Fig. 3]. We find the MC results show smaller values about a half compared with the analytical results, which disagreements come from the difference of the threshold energies $E$ between the both.

We indicate in Fig. 4 the threshold-energy $E$ dependence of the number $\Pi_{\mathrm{B}}\left(W_{0}, E, t\right)$, the mean first moment $\langle u\rangle$, and the mean second moment $\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle$ of the shower electrons appearing in the MC results. The mean excesses $\langle u\rangle$ at $E$ of $0.01 \varepsilon$ appearing in the MC results also show about a half of those at $E$ of 0 derived from the analytical $\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle$ of Eq. (2.6) with $k=1$, as indicated in Fig 3., The mean second moments $\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle$ show strong dependence on the threshold energy $E$ at finite energy regions, as indicated in Fig. 4. We have to take much care in evaluation of the threshold energy of $E$, in quantitative analyses of shower electrons relating to the root-mean-square width $\sqrt{\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle-\langle u\rangle^{2}}$ of shower electrons.

## 5. Excess-path-length distribution for shower electrons

We can derive the $\Delta$ - or $u$-weighted excess-path-length distribution under Approximation B [1], as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \frac{d P_{\mathrm{B}}\left(E_{0}, 0, \Delta, t\right)}{d \Delta}=u \frac{d p_{\mathrm{B}}(u, \bar{s})}{d u} \simeq \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int u^{-\kappa}\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle d \kappa \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

from our Mellin transform $\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle$ of Eq. (3.11), where $P_{\mathrm{B}}\left(E_{0}, 0, \Delta, t\right)$ or $p_{\mathrm{B}}(u, \bar{s})$ denotes the probability for the total shower electrons (the threshold energy $E$ of 0 ) to show their excess-path-lengths smaller than $\Delta$ or $u$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \frac{d p_{\mathrm{B}}(u, \bar{s})}{d u} \simeq \frac{2 \bar{s} u^{-\bar{\kappa}} e^{f(\bar{\kappa})+g(\bar{\kappa})}}{(\bar{\kappa}+\bar{s} / 2)(2-\bar{\kappa})^{2}} / \sqrt{2 \pi\left\{f^{\prime \prime}(\bar{\kappa})+g^{\prime \prime}(\bar{\kappa})+\frac{1}{(\bar{\kappa}+\bar{s} / 2)^{2}}+\frac{2}{(2-\bar{\kappa})^{2}}\right\}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the saddle point method, where the saddle point $\bar{\kappa}$ is taken at $-\bar{s} / 2<\bar{\kappa}<2$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln u=f^{\prime}(\bar{\kappa})+g^{\prime}(\bar{\kappa})-\frac{1}{\bar{\kappa}+\bar{s} / 2}+\frac{2}{2-\bar{\kappa}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results of excess-path-length distribution under Approximation A [1] and B are indicated in Figs. 5 and 6 (lines).

We find the probability density $d p_{\mathrm{B}} / d u$ starts with $u^{\bar{s} / 2-1}$ at the shower front of $u \ll 1$, due to the pole at $\kappa=-\bar{s} / 2$ in our Mellin transform $\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle$ of Eq. (3.11). This fact is a characteristic property of the shower at the age $\bar{s}$, comparable with the fact that the lateral distribution decreases with $\left(\varepsilon^{2} r^{2} / E_{\mathrm{S}}^{2}\right)^{\bar{s} / 2-1}$ near the shower axis of $r \ll 1[4]$. The density also falls with $u^{-3} \ln u$ at $u \gg 1$, due to the pole of the second degree at $\kappa=2$ included in our $\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle$. Note that $d p_{\mathrm{B}} / d u$ is function of only $\bar{s}$, and $d p_{\mathrm{B}} / d u$ does not depend on the incident particle of electron or photon.

We compare our analytical results of excess-weighted probability density $u d p_{\mathrm{B}} / d u$ for shower electrons with the incident energy $E_{0}$ of $10^{4} \varepsilon$ and the threshold energy $E$ of 0 under Approximation B (lines) with those of the MC results [2] with $E_{0}$ of $10^{4} \varepsilon$ and $E$ of $0.01 \varepsilon$ (dots) in Fig. 6. We find our analytical results of excess-path-length distribution agree fairly well with those derived by the MC method, in spite of the difference of the threshold energies $E$ between the both.

## 6. Conclusions and discussions

Plain descriptions for our Mellin transform $\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle$ of excess-path-length distribution are proposed for shower electrons under Approximation B with the threshold energy $E$ of 0 (Section 3).

The mean excesses $\langle u\rangle \equiv 2 \varepsilon^{2}\langle\Delta\rangle / E_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}$ of path-length for shower electrons with the threshold energy $E$ of 0 derived from Eq. (2.6) with $k=1$ are compared with those derived by the MC method with $E$ of $0.01 \varepsilon$. The both increase similarly with the increase of traversed thickness, though show different values about twice due to difference of the threshold energies between the both (Fig. 3).

Threshold-energy $E$ dependence of the mean $k$-th moment of the excess distribution is investigated for $k$ of 0,1 , and 2 in the MC showers. The above difference in values of the mean excess $\langle u\rangle$ is indicated again. Strong dependence of the mean second moment $\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle$ on the threshold energy is confirmed in finite regions of $E$ (Fig. 4). Confirmation of the threshold energy will be important for


Figure 5：Probability densities of excess－path－length for shower elec－ trons under Approximation A at $\bar{s}=$ $0.6,1.0,1.4$ ，and 2.0 （thick lines）， together with those determined by the single Rutherford scatterings（thin straight lines）［1］．


Figure 6：Probability densities of excess－path－length for shower electrons under Approximation B with the incident energy $E_{0}$ of $10^{4} \varepsilon$ and the threshold energy $E$ of 0 at $t=$ $5,10,15,20$ ，and 25 （thick lines）together with those de－ termined by the single Rutherford scatterings（thin straight lines）［1］，compared with those determined by the MC method with $E_{0}$ of $10^{4} \varepsilon$ and $E$ of $0.01 \varepsilon$（dots）．
quantitative analyses of shower experiments relating to the root－mean－square width of the shower front（Fig．4）．

The excess－weighted probability densities $u d p / d u$ for shower electrons with the threshold energy $E$ of 0 derived analytically from our Mellin transform of $\left\langle u^{\kappa}\right\rangle$ are compared with those derived by the MC method with $E$ of $0.01 \varepsilon$ ．The both agreed fairly well，in spite of the difference of the threshold energies between the both（Fig．6）．
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