

Manufacture Details of a SWGO Double-Layer Tank Design - Water Cherenkov Detector Prototype

José Bellido,^{*a*,*} Michael Schneider^{*b*} on behalf of the SWGO Collaboration^{*c*}

^aThe University of Adelaide,

North Terrace, South Australia, Australia

^bUniversity of Maryland,

College Park, MD, USA

^cThe Southern Wide-field Gamma-ray Observatory

E-mail: jose.bellidocaceres@physics.adelaide.edu.au

Water-Cherenkov detectors (WCD) have been manufactured in Australia by the company AQUA-MATE as part of the RD activities for SWGO. They consist of a steel tank frame with a bladder on its interior satisfying the SWGO double-layer tank design. Tanks and bladders have been custom designed to optimally accommodate the bladder inside the tank and with minimal material usage. They are delivered in compact boxes that are easy to transport. These boxes are designed to fit 24 tanks in a 20-foot container. The double-layer tank design has introduced new features to improve the discrimination between gamma-rays and cosmic rays. Some of these features created challenges for the manufacturing. Some units have been delivered to one of Peru's candidate sites at 4800 m and to Mexico (the HAWC Observatory, 4100 m) for prototype tests in real conditions. In this contribution we will describe manufacturing and construction details of the first SWGO prototype WCD. These details were envisaged to facilitate: the transport of the units, the assembly, the deployment and maintenance activities of the detectors. Furthermore, the units need to be resistant to strong winds, rain, snow and earthquakes. The costs are scalable with the detector volume. This information could be of interest to other Observatories that are in RD phase, such as the Global Cosmic Ray Observatory (GCOS) for the study of the highest-energy particles in the Universe and the Tau Air Shower Mountain-Based Observatory (TAMBO) for the search of PeV neutrinos.

The 38th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC2023) 26 July – 3 August, 2023 Nagoya, Japan



*Speaker

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

1. Introduction

The first experiments using water Cherenkov detectors (WCD) for air shower detection began in the 1960s. A pioneering example is the Haverah Park experiment in the United Kingdom [1], that operated from 1967 to 1984. It was a WCD array consisting of galvanized steel cylindrical tanks of 1.7m of diameter and filled with water up to an altitude of 1.2m. Since then, other experiments have used the WCD arrays to detect high energy cosmic rays (e.g. Auger [2]) and gamma rays (e.g. Milagro[3], HAWC [4]). The design and manufacture of the SWGO steel tanks and bladders has profited to a large extend from experiences in HAWC and Auger, and future experiments (e.g. TAMBO [5] and GCOS [6]) might benefit from it.

When an extensive air shower passes through the water tanks, Cherenkov light is produced by the shower particles and it is detected by PMTs. From the distribution of light intensities and arrival times over the array, the energy and direction of the primary cosmic rays or gamma-rays are determined.

The Southern Wide-field Gamma-ray Obsevatory (SWGO) is evaluating a few alternatives for the WCD designs. PVC and steel made tanks are being considered for the ground array, and floating bladder detectors are being considered to form an array of WCD [7]. The floating array could be deployed on a natural lake or on a pond. In this note, we will focus on the design and manufacture of steel tanks WCDs.

2. The SWGO double-layer tank design

We have manufacture a steel tank that has on its interior two independent chambers that are light tight, this is called the double-layer design. The upper chamber with black walls is larger in order to absorb the electromagnetic particles. The lower chamber, Tyvek lined, is intended detect the signal produced by penetrating muons. The separation of the electromagnetic from the muon signal is important to improve the gamma/hadron air showers discrimination. The performance of the double-layer design has been evaluated with simulation in [8].

Figure 1 shows the suggested dimensions for the two compartments of the double-layer design. The suggested height dimensions took into account not only the performance for the separation of the electromagnetic and muonic components of the shower, it also considered the cost. The diameter is restricted by the size limit to transport the detector by road. However, this limitation is only valid for PVC tanks, since steel tanks are assembled on site.

3. Basic steel-tank/bladder concept.

The basic steel-tank/bladder concept is illustrated in Figure 2. The purpose of the steel tank is to support the bladder. The bottom 30cm of the steel panel goes in the ground. It provides the needed earthquake anchor. The top 30cm of the tank is empty (i.e. no water, no bladder) providing enough headroom for wave building during an earthquake. The roof and bladder hatch line up as does the divider hole for the double layer PMT. The bladder is water tight and it provides two compartments. We have in consideration a double layer design with a bottom chamber of 0.8m height, lined with Tyvek, and a top chamber of 2.2m with black walls. The steel tank wall has a



Figure 1: Cylindrical double-layered WCD doncept design with an upper chamber of 3.8 m diameter and 2.5 m depth, here with white reflective walls and black bases (top and bottom) and an entirely white reflective lower chamber of 0.5 m depth. The upper chamber contains a PMT facing upwards, and the lower chamber has a PMT facing downwards. For illustration, a simulated muon (green track) is shown that passes through both units and produces Cherenkov photons (red tracks) [8].

total height of 3.6m and a diameter of 3.6m. The roof and bladder hatches have a diameter of 45cm. The hatch should be big enough if a man access is needed.

4. General steel tank requirements

The steel tank needs to fulfill the following requirements:

- 1. The tank supports the bladder and creates a protective shield for the bladder.
- 2. The tank should be easy to produce and constructed in a modular way.
- 3. The steel tanks need to have a solid roof with access in the center to the bladder.
- 4. The roof must be strong enough to hold a snow load and withstand wind gusts.
- 5. The tank must have a lifetime of a minimum of 25 years and withstand corrosion and UV.
- 6. The tanks must be able to withstand class D earthquakes.
- 7. The tanks must be able to be placed close to ensure a high-density array.
- 8. If desired, the outer color should be to blend into the environment.

5. Available steel tank options

There are several steel tank providers world wide available. However, only one manufacturer was so far identified to be able to deliver a complete SWGO kit to be assembled on site. An SWGO kit includes all the parts to build a steel tank including a bladder based on our design and delivered films. We have worked on a design with Aquamate Australia[9] to be scalable from the base line design to much larger diameter steel tanks.



Figure 2: Tank bladder concept. These dimensions correspond to the WCD that were sent to a Peruvian site for testing. These dimensions are slightly different from the reference design described in Figure 1.

6. SWGO steel tank kit details

A photograph of the Aquamate shipping crate is shown in Figure 3. The total kit shipping crate weight is 690 kg and measures 230cm long x 96cm wide x 110cm high which is 2.428m³. A 40' shipping container can transport 24 of these crates. The bladder weight is about 50kg (Enviro liner). The kit has the following parts listed in the Check Sheet shown in Figure 4. A mechanical drawing provided by Aquamate for the SWGO tank is shown in Figure 5.



Figure 3: Shipping crate showing contents of SWGO kit for steel tank including (Enviro liner) bladder.

Item Description		Qty	Unit		Item Description	Qty	Unit
Walls	Tank Wall Panels - 0.6mm Galvanised	25	each	Roof	Roof Edge Beam - 50x50 Suit Eyelets	5	each
	Tank Wall Panels - 0.6mm Laminates	5	each		Roof Edge Beam - Bracket 50mm SWGO	5	each
	Tank Wall Bolts (5 spare)	235	each		Roof Edge Beam - M10 x 100 Cup Bolts (5 spare)	40	each
	Tank Wall Nuts (5 spare)	235	each		Roof Edge Beam - Tank Nuts (5 spare)	40	each
	Tank Wall Nut 15mm Impact Socket	1	each		Roof Sheet - Screws 12G-14x20 SDS (100 spare)	200	each
	Tank Wall Tape - High Tensile	4	rolls		Roof Sheet - Precut Corrugated Panels	10	each
	Tank Wall Poly Cover Strips	10	each		Roof Rafter - Ridge Brackets	2	each
	Tank Wall Desiccants	1	set		Roof Rafter - Tank Nuts (2 spare)	50	each
	Tank Wall SWGO Decal	1	each		Roof Rafter - Tank Bolts (2 spare)	50	each
	Tank Wall AQUAMATE Decal	1	each		Roof Rafter - Wall Mounts Right Hand	2	each
Bladder	Bladder - Enviro Liner 6020 - 3.6m D x 3.4m H	1	each		Roof Rafter - Wall Mounts Left Hand	2	each
	Bladder - Base Positioning Ring Conduit	6	pieces		Roof Rafter - C15012 Right Hand	2	each
	Bladder - Access Port Floats	4	each		Roof Rafter - C15012 Left Hand	2	each
	Bladder - Access Port Lid Only	1	each		Roof Access Port Lid & Screw Riing (1 spare)	2	set
	Bladder - Repair Kit	1	set		Roof Dust & Vermin Foam	3	rolls
	Bladder - Geotextile Underlay - Small	1	each		•		

Figure 4: Aquamate steel tank/bladder kit list for a SWGO tank prototype.



Figure 5: Mechanical drawing of Aquamate steel tank.

7. Safe assembling of steel tanks

Steel tanks are typically built out of multiple rings which are bolted together in two ways. Top-down or bottom-up. Top-down adds the upper panels first and then lift it with jacks to add the next panel layer under it. This way the personnel works on the ground and it is considered the safest way for construction. Bottom-up starts with the bottom panel ring and then adds the next one on top. For this the personnel needs to lift the individual panels up and bolt them together at the same time. With height, the requirements for a safe support of the workers increase dramatically. Also, lifting support for the parts is needed. This construction mode is also very sensitive to wind. Out of safety reasons, the preferred method is TOP DOWN.

8. Assembling the SWGO kit - Top-Down assembling

Top-down starts with the construction of the top ring on the ground by bolting the individual panels together to form the upper ring. These panels are typically around 0.9m tall. For a 3.6m water tank, one would construct five rings. The top ring then gets added on all the supporting edge beams needed to strengthen the tank and the roof. For SWGO, we added the edge ring on the top outer edge. Typical this ring is on the inside of the top panel to be not visible. Since we have a bladder on the inside, which needs to be protected, the ring was designed to be outside and be at the same time the support for the metal roof panels. This ring is 5cm wide and creates a strong connection to the roof. To this strong edge, the crossbars for the roof is attached. These bars provide the needed holding fixture for the individual roof panels but also support and access to the center of the tank. A second critical support is provided also to the bladder, which is attached to the top ring and in the center to the rafters to line up the opening of the tank and the bladder. The distance between the roof hatch and the bladder hatch.

The slope of the roof is kept to the minimum needed to ensure personal safety but also to let water and snow drain to the sides.

Figure 6 shows photos indicating the different assembling stages. Current estimate for the assembling time, based on recent experience, is that a trained crew of 4 can build a complete tank in 4 hours. This time could be reduced to 3 hours if not including the trench digging and back filling after construction. The current construction is calling for 5 jacks (Peru). The test tank at HAWC was constructed as a test with only 3 jacks. A fewer number of jacks allows for a denser tank placement. For larger construction numbers, like for SWGO, the jack method should be replaced by a local movable crane bay. This bay could be enclosed to support construction during windy days and protect the crew from rain and or sun. We also will reviewed if the tank could be placed directly on compacted leveled soil or needs to be placed into a trench for anchoring. Most tanks are placed, according to Aquamate, directly on compacted soil. The requirements for this are given by them in the foundation preparation.

9. Manufacture and shipping costs

The cost per kit is well understood but is influenced by material cost and shipping challenges. The cost for a single baseline kit will be better known if quotes for the new developed SWGO film become available.

A larger order could potentially reduce the manufacturing and shipping costs. Aquamate is able to produce 200 kits per month which include the bladder for the base design size. They also have the shipping and exporting knowledge to deal with a very large order. The kit for this size is optimized for 20' container which can hold 24 kits.



Figure 6: Steps followed to assemble the SWGO kit in HAWC and in Peru. a) bolt the top ring of the wall and the roof support bars, b) dig a trench and move the top ring in place, c) place the bladder inside the tank and bolt bladder support straps, d) bolt the roof panels and install the roof hatch and e) use the jacks to lift the tank and bolt lower rings. The photos in the bottom shows two different fully assembled SWGO kits at HAWC (4.3m height) and in Peru (3.6m height), both tanks have the same diameter of 3.6m.

10. Conclusion

The production of steel tanks using panels is mature and used worldwide. The current SWGO design is based on off-the-shelf products which have been worldwide deployed and are in use with an expected and observed lifetime of over 30 years. There is no maintenance required. No tank failures have been seen with properly constructed tanks. The current SWGO baseline size has been produced and delivered already 5 times and more orders are being placed for testing.

At this time the development for the SWGO baseline design is revised to address improvements discovered during the first tank constructions. The current design can be easy adapted to larger steel tanks (or smaller ones). The next step is to get the bladder ready for PMT deployment and fill it with water. A detailed construction guide will be developed. A review of trenchless construction without jacks will follow.

Acknowledgement

The authors want to acknowledge the support from Astronomy Australia Limited (AAL).

References

- [1] RM Tennent. "The Haverah Park extensive air shower array". In: Proceedings of the Physical Society 92.3 (1967), p. 622.
- [2] "The Pierre Auger Cosmic Ray Observatory". In: Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 798 (Oct. 2015), pp. 172–213. DOI: 10.1016/j.nima.2015.06.058. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.nima.2015.06.058.
- [3] A. A. Abdo et al. "THE LARGE-SCALE COSMIC-RAY ANISOTROPY AS OBSERVED WITH MILAGRO". In: *The Astro-physical Journal* 698.2 (June 2009), pp. 2121–2130. DOI: 10.1088/0004-637x/698/2/2121. URL: https://doi.org/10.1088/2F0004-637x%2F698%2F2%2F2121.
- [4] A.U. Abeysekara et al. "The High-Altitude Water Cherenkov (HAWC) observatory in México: The primary detector". In: Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 1052 (July 2023), p. 168253. DOI: 10.1016/j.nima.2023.168253. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.nima.2023.168253.
- [5] Andres Romero-Wolf et al. An Andean Deep-Valley Detector for High-Energy Tau Neutrinos. 2020. arXiv: 2002.06475 [astro-ph.IM].
- [6] Jörg R. Hörandel and. "GCOS The Global Cosmic Ray Observatory". In: Proceedings of 37th International Cosmic Ray Conference — PoS(ICRC2021). Sissa Medialab, Sept. 2021. DOI: 10.22323/1.395.0027. URL: https://doi.org/10. 22323%2F1.395.0027.
- [7] H. Goksu. Updates on the Lake Design of the SWGO. Proc. 38th ICRC, Nagoya (2023).
- [8] Samridha Kunwar et al. "A double-layered Water Cherenkov Detector array for Gamma-ray astronomy". In: Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 1050 (2023), p. 168138. ISSN: 0168-9002. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2023.168138. URL: https://www.sciencedirect. com/science/article/pii/S0168900223001286.
- [9] Aquamate. URL: https://www.aquamate.com.au/.

The SWGO Collaboration



P. Abreu^{1,2}, A. Albert³, R. Alfaro⁴, A. Alfonso⁵, C. Álvarez⁶, Q. An⁷, E. O. Angüner⁸, C. Arcaro⁹, R. Arceo⁶, S. Arias¹⁰, H. Arnaldi¹¹, P. Assis^{1,2}, H. A. Ayala Solares¹², A. Bakalova¹³, U. Barres de Almeida^{14,15}, I. Batković^{9,16}, J. Bazo¹⁷, J. Bellido^{18,19}, E. Belmont⁴, S. Y. BenZvi²⁰, A. Bernal²¹, W. Bian²², C. Bigongiari²³, E. Bottacini^{9,16}, P. Brogueira^{1,2}, T. Bulik²⁴, G. Busetto^{9,16}, K. S. Caballero-Mora⁶, P. Camarri^{25,26}, S. Gamma-ray Observatory C. Castromonte³¹, J. Chang²⁸, O. Chaparro³², S. Chen²², M. Chianese^{33,34}, A. Chriavassa^{35,36}, L. Chytka¹³, R. Colallillo^{33,34}, R. Conceição^{1,2}, G. Consolati^{37,38}, R. Cordero³⁹, P. J. Costa^{1,2}, J. Cotzomi⁴⁰, S. Dasso⁴¹, A. De Angelis^{9,16}, P. Desiati⁴², F. Di Pierro³⁶, Cornecição^{1,2}, G. Consolati^{37,38}, R. Cordero³⁹, P. J. Costa^{1,2}, J. Cotzomi⁴⁰, S. Dasso⁴¹, A. De Angelis^{9,16}, P. Desiati⁴², F. Di Pierro³⁶, Cotzomi⁴⁰, S. Dasso⁴¹, A. De Angelis^{9,16}, P. Desiati⁴², F. Di Pierro³⁶, Conceição^{1,2}, G. Consolati^{37,38}, R. Cordero³⁹, P. J. Costa^{1,2}, J. Cotzomi⁴⁰, S. Dasso⁴¹, A. De Angelis^{9,16}, P. Desiati⁴², F. Di Pierro³⁶, Conceição^{1,2}, G. Consolati^{37,38}, R. Cordero³⁹, P. J. Costa^{1,2}, J. Cotzomi⁴⁰, S. Dasso⁴¹, A. De Angelis^{9,16}, P. Desiati⁴², F. Di Pierro³⁶, Conceição^{1,2}, G. Consolati^{37,38}, R. Cordero³⁹, P. J. Costa^{1,2}, J. Cotzomi⁴⁰, S. Dasso⁴¹, A. De Angelis^{9,16}, P. Desiati⁴², F. Di Pierro³⁶, Conceição^{1,2}, G. Consolati^{37,38}, R. Cordero³⁹, P. J. Costa^{1,2}, J. Cotzomi⁴⁰, S. Dasso⁴¹, A. De Angelis^{9,16}, P. Desiati⁴², F. Di Pierro³⁶, Conceição^{1,2}, G. Consolati^{37,38}, R. Cordero³⁹, P. J. Costa^{1,2}, J. Cotzomi⁴⁰, S. Dasso⁴¹, A. De Angelis^{9,16}, P. Desiati⁴², F. Di Pierro³⁶, P. Cotzon⁴¹, Cotzomi⁴⁰, S. Dasso⁴¹, A. De Angelis^{9,16}, P. Desiati⁴², F. Di Pierro³⁶, P. Cotzon⁴¹, Cot

G. Di Sciascio²⁵, J. C. Díaz Vélez⁴², C. Dib²⁹, B. Dingus³, J. Djuvsland⁴³, C. Dobrigkeit⁴⁴, L. M. Domingues Mendes^{1,45}, T. Dorigo⁹, M. Doro^{9,16}, A. C. dos Reis¹⁴, M. Du Vernois⁴², M. Echiburú⁵, D. Elsaesser⁴⁶, K. Engel^{2,47}, T. Ergin⁴⁸, F. Espinoza⁵, K. Fang⁴², F. Farfán Carreras⁴⁹, A. Fazzi^{38,50}, C. Feng⁵¹, M. Feroci²³, N. Fraija²¹, S. Fraija²¹, A. Franceschini¹⁶, G. F. Franco¹⁴, S. Funk⁵², S. Garcia¹⁰, J. A. García-González⁵³, F. Garfias²¹, G. Giacinti²², L. Gibilisco^{1,2}, J. Glombitza⁵², H. Goksu⁴³, G. Gong⁵⁴, B. S. González^{1,2}, M. M. Gonzalez²¹, J. Goodman⁴⁷, M. Gu²⁸, F. Guarino^{33,34}, S. Gupta⁵⁵, F. Haist⁴³, H. Hakobyan²⁹, G. Han⁵⁶, P. Hansen⁵⁷, J. P. Harding³, J. Helo⁵, I. Herzog⁵⁸, H. d. Hidalgo⁶, J. Hinton⁴³, K. Hu⁵¹, D. Huang⁴⁷, P. Huentemeyer⁵⁹, F. Hueyotl-Zahuantitla⁶, A. Iriarte²¹, J. Isaković⁶⁰, A. Isolia⁶¹, V. Joshi⁵², J. Juryšek¹³, S. Kaci²², D. Kieda⁶², F. La Monaca²³, G. La Mura¹, R. G. Lang⁵², R. Laspiur²⁷, L. Lavitola³⁴, J. Lee⁶³, F. Leitl⁵², L. Lessio²³, C. Li²⁸, J. Li⁷, K. Li²⁸, T. Li²², B. Liberti^{25,26}, S. Lin⁶⁴, D. Liu⁵¹, J. Liu²⁸, R. Liu⁶⁵, F. Longo^{66,67}, Y. Luo²², J. Lv⁶⁸, E. Macerata^{38,50}, K. Malone³, D. Mandat¹³, M. Manganaro⁶⁰, M. Mariani^{38,50}, A. Mariazzi⁵⁷, M. Mariotti^{9,16}, T. Marrodan⁴³, J. Martinez³², H. Martínez-Huerta⁶⁹, S. Medina⁵, D. Melo⁷⁰, L. F. Mendes², E. Meza⁷², D. Miceli⁹, M. Marlottl^{-1,0}, 1. Marloda^{-1,0}, J. Marline²⁻, H. Marline²⁻, H. Marline²⁻, H. Marlor^{1,0}, S. Micza^{1,2}, S. Micza^{1,2}, A. Molinario^{36,71}, O. G. Morales-Olivares⁶, E. Moreno⁴⁰, A. Morselli^{25,26}, E. Mossin^{38,50}, M. Mostafá¹², F. Muleri²³, F. Nardi^{9,16}, A. Negro^{35,36}, L. Nellen⁷³, V. Novotny¹³, E. Orlando^{66,67}, M. Osorio²¹, L. Otiniano⁷², M. Peresano^{35,36}, G. Piano²³, A. Pichel⁴¹, M. Pihet^{9,16}, M. Pimenta^{1,2}, E. Prandini^{9,16}, J. Qin⁷, E. Quispe^{72,74}, S. Raino⁷⁵, E. Rangel²¹, A. Reisenegger⁵⁵, H. Ren⁴³, F. Reščić⁶⁰, B. Reville⁴³, C. D. Rho⁷⁶, M. Riquelme⁷⁷, G. Rodriguez Fernandez²⁵, Y. Roh⁶³, G. E. Romer⁴⁹, B. Rossi³⁴, A. C. Rovero⁴¹, E. Ruiz-Velasco⁴³, G. Salazar²⁷, J. Samanes⁷², F. Sanchez⁷⁰, A. Sandoval⁴, M. Santander⁷⁸, R. Santonico^{25,26}, G. L. P. Santos¹⁴, N. Saviano^{33,34}, M. Schneider⁴⁷, M. Schneider⁵², H. Schoorlemmer⁷⁹, J. Serna-Franco⁴, V. Serrano²⁷, A. Smith⁴⁷, Y. Son⁶³, Santos^{1,1}, N. Saviano^{-1,2}, M. Schneider^{1,1}, M. Schneider^{2,2}, H. Schoorlemmer^{1,2}, J. Serna-Franco⁷, V. Serrano^{2,1}, A. Smith^{1,1}, Y. Son⁰³, O. Soto⁸⁰, R. W. Springer⁶², L. A. Stuani⁸¹, H. Sun⁵¹, R. Tang²², Z. Tang⁷, S. Tapia²⁹, M. Tavani²³, T. Terzić⁶⁰, K. Tollefson⁵⁸, B. Tomé^{1,2}, I. Torres³⁰, R. Torres-Escobedo²², G. C. Trinchero^{36,71}, R. Turner⁵⁹, P. Ulloa⁸⁰, L. Valore^{33,34}, C. van Eldik⁵², I. Vergara⁵⁷, A. Viana⁸², J. Vícha¹³, C. F. Vigorito^{35,36}, V. Vittorini²³, B. Wang⁵¹, J. Wang⁴³, L. Wang²⁸, X. Wang⁵⁹, X. Wang⁶⁵, X. Wang⁸³, Z. Wang²², M. Waqas^{33,34}, I. J. Watson⁶³, F. Werner⁴³, R. White⁴³, C. Wiebusch⁸⁴, E. J. Willox⁴⁷, F. Wohlleben⁴³, S. Wu²⁸, S. Xi²⁸, G. Xiao²⁸, L. Yang⁶⁴, R. Yang⁷, R. Yanyachi¹⁸, Z. Yao²⁸, D. Zavrtanik⁸⁵, H. Zhang²², H. Zhang⁶⁵, S. Zhang⁸⁶, X. Zhang²⁸, Y. Zhang⁶⁸, J. Zhao²⁸, L. Zhao⁷, H. Zhou²², C. Zhu⁵¹, P. Zhu⁸⁶, X. Zuo²⁸

- ² Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal
- ³ Physics Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA

⁴ Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito de la Investigación científica, C.U., A. Postal 70-364, 04510 Cd. de México, México

- ⁵ Universidad de La Serena, Chile
- ⁶ Facultad de Ciencias en Física y Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Chiapas, C. P. 29050, Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas, México
- ⁷ School of physical science, University of Science and Technology of China, 96 Jinzhai Road, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China
- ⁸ TÜBİTAK Research Institute for Fundamental Sciences, 41470 Gebze, Turkey
- ⁹ INFN Sezione di Padova, I-35131, Padova, Italy
- ¹⁰ Universidad Nacional de San Antonio Abad del Cusco, Av. de la Cultura, Nro. 733, Cusco Perú
- ¹¹ Centro Atómico Bariloche (CNEA-CONICET-IB/UNCuyo), Av. E. Bustillo 9500, (8400) San Carlos de Bariloche, Rio Negro, Argentina
- ¹² Department of Physics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA
- ¹³ Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic
- ¹⁴ Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290-180 Rio de Janeiro, Brasil
- 15 Universidade de São Paulo, Instituto de Astronomia, Geofísica e Ciências Atmosféricas, Departamento de Astronomia, Rua do Matão 1226, 05508-090 São Paulo, Brasil
- ¹⁶ Università di Padova, I-35131, Padova, Italy
- ¹⁷ Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Av. Universitaria 1801, San Miguel, 15088, Lima, Perú
- ¹⁸ Universidad Nacional de San Agustin de Arequipa, Santa Catalina Nro. 117. Arequipa
- ¹⁹ University of Adelaide, Adelaide, S.A., Australia
- ²⁰ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA
- ²¹ Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior, C.U., A. Postal 70-264, 04510 Cd. de México, México
- ²² Tsung-Dao Lee Institute and School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 520 Shengrong Road, Shanghai 201210. China

¹ Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP, Av. Prof. Gama Pinto, 2, 1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal

- ²³ Istituto Nazionale Di Astrofisica (INAF), Roma, Italy
- ²⁴ Astronomical Observatory Warsaw University, 00-478 Warsaw, Poland
- ²⁵ INFN, Roma Tor Vergata, Italy
- ²⁶ Department of Physics, University of Roma Tor Vergata, Viale della Ricerca Scientifica 1, I-00133 Roma, Italy
- ²⁷ Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Nacional de Salta, Avda. Bolivia 5150, A4408FVY, Salta, Argentina
- ²⁸ Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Science, 19B Yuquan Road, Shijingshan District, Beijing 100049, China
- ²⁹ CCTVal, Universidad Tecnica Federico Santa Maria, Chile
- ³⁰ Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica, Puebla, Mexico
- ³¹ Universidad Nacional de Ingeniería, Av. Túpac Amaru 210 Rímac. Apartado 1301, Lima Perú
- ³² Centro de Investigación en Computación, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Ciudad de México, Mexico
- ³³ Università di Napoli "Federico II", Dipartimento di Fisica "Ettore Pancini", Napoli, Italy
- ³⁴ INFN, Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy
- ³⁵ Università degli Studi di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy
- ³⁶ INFN, Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy
- ³⁷ Politecnico di Milano, Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Aerospaziali, Milano, Italy
- ³⁸ INFN, sezione di Milano, Milano, Italy
- ³⁹ Departamento de Física, Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Chile
- ⁴⁰ Facultad de Ciencias Físico Matemáticas, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Av. San Claudio y 18 Sur, Ciudad Universitaria 72570, Puebla, Mexico
- ⁴¹ Instituto de Astronomía y Física del Espacio (IAFE (CONICET-UBA)), Ciudad Universitaria, CABA, Argentina
- ⁴² Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA
- ⁴³ Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Saupfercheckweg 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany
- ⁴⁴ Departamento de Raios Cósmicos e Cronologia, Instituto de Física "Gleb Wataghin", Universidade Estadual de Campinas, C.P. 6165, 13083-970 Campinas, Brasil
- ⁴⁵ Centro Federal de Educação Tecnológica Celso Suckow da Fonseca (CEFET), Rio de Janeiro, Brasil
- ⁴⁶ Technische Universität Dortmund, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany
- ⁴⁷ Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA
- ⁴⁸ Middle East Technical University, Northern Cyprus Campus, 99738 Kalkanli via Mersin 10, Turkey
- ⁴⁹ Instituto Argentino de Radioastronomía (CONICET, CIC, UNLP), Camino Gral. Belgrano Km 40, Berazategui, Argentina
- ⁵⁰ Politecnico di Milano, Dipartimento di Energia, Milano, Italy
- ⁵¹ Key Laboratory of Particle Physics and Particle Irradiation (MOE), Institute of Frontier and Interdisciplinary Science, Shandong University, Qingdao, Shandong 266237, China
- ⁵² Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen Centre for Astroparticle Physics, Nikolaus-Fiebiger-Str. 2, D 91058 Erlangen, Germany
- ⁵³ Tecnologico de Monterrey, Escuela de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Ave. Eugenio Garza Sada 2501, Monterrey, N.L., Mexico, 64849
- ⁵⁴ Dept. of Engineering Physics, Tsinghua University, 1 Tsinghua Yuan, Haidian District, Beijing 100084, China
- ⁵⁵ Universidad Metropolitana de Ciencias de la Educación (UMCE), Chile
- ⁵⁶ School of Mechanical Engineering and Electronic Information, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China
- ⁵⁷ IFLP, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata, Argentina
- ⁵⁸ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA
- ⁵⁹ Michigan Technological University, Houghton, Michigan, 49931, USA
- ⁶⁰ University of Rijeka, Faculty of Physics, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia
- ⁶¹ Università di Catania, Catania, Italy
- ⁶² Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA
- ⁶³ University of Seoul, Seoul, Rep. of Korea
- ⁶⁴ School of Physics and Astronomy, Sun Yat-sen University, Zhuhai, Guangdong 519082, China
- ⁶⁵ School of Astronomy and Space Science, Nanjing University, Xianlin Avenue 163, Qixia District, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210023, China
- ⁶⁶ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Trieste, Trieste, Italy
- ⁶⁷ INFN Sezione di Trieste, via Valerio 2, I 34149, Trieste, Italy
- ⁶⁸ Aerospace Information Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Science, 9 Dengzhuang South Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100094, China
- ⁶⁹ Departamento de Física y Matemáticas, Universidad de Monterrey, Av. Morones Prieto 4500, 66238, San Pedro Garza García NL, México
- ⁷⁰ Instituto de Tecnologías en Detección y Astropartículas (CNEA, CONICET, UNSAM), Buenos Aires, Argentina
- ⁷¹ Instituto Nazionale Di Astrofisica (INAF), Torino, Italy
- 72 Comisión Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo Aeroespacial, Perú
- ⁷³ Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior, C.U., A. Postal 70-543, 04510 Cd. de México, México
- ⁷⁴ Universidad Nacional de Moquegua, None
- 75 Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro, Italy
- ⁷⁶ Department of Physis, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, South Korea

- ⁷⁷ Universidad de Chile, Chile
- ⁷⁸ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, 35487, USA
- ⁷⁹ IMAPP, Radboud University Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- ⁸⁰ Unidade Acadêmica de Física, Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, Av. Aprígio Veloso 882, CY2, 58.429-900 Campina Grande, Brasil
- ⁸¹ Instituto de Física de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Trabalhador São-carlense 400, São Carlos, Brasil
- 82 School of Integrated Circuit, Ludong University, 186 Hongqi Middle Road, Zhifu District, Yantai, Shandong, China
- ⁸³ III. Physics Institute A, RWTH Aachen University, Templergraben 56, D-52062 Aachen, Germany
- ⁸⁴ Center for Astrophysics and Cosmology (CAC), University of Nova Gorica, Nova Gorica, Slovenia
- ⁸⁵ College of Engineering, Hebei Normal University, 20 South Second Ring East Road, Shijiazhuang, Hebei, China
- ⁸⁶ School of mechanical engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, 30 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100083, China