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The IceCube Neutrino Observatory measures high energy atmospheric neutrinos with high statistics. These atmospheric neutrinos are produced in cosmic ray interactions in the atmosphere, mainly by the decay of pions and kaons. The rate of the measured neutrinos is affected by seasonal temperature variations in the stratosphere, which are expected to increase with the energy of the particle. In this contribution, seasonal energy spectra are obtained using a novel spectrum unfolding approach, the Dortmund Spectrum Estimation Algorithm (DSEA+), in which the energy distribution from 125 GeV to 10 TeV is estimated from measured quantities with machine learning algorithms. The seasonal spectral difference to the annual average flux will be discussed based on preliminary results from IceCube’s atmospheric muon neutrino data.
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1. Introduction

For current neutrino telescopes, lepton fluxes originating from cosmic ray interactions in the atmosphere impose a challenging background in identifying scarce astrophysical neutrinos. Besides the requirement of an accurate understanding of leptonic fluxes for detector calibration, they serve as indicators of probing hadronic interactions within cosmic ray-induced particle showers in the atmosphere.

A hadronic cascade of mesons, mainly composed of kaons and pions, is initiated by an interaction of a primary cosmic ray in the upper atmosphere [1]. Conventional atmospheric muons and neutrinos are produced in weak decays by these parent mesons. The relative probability for their decay or re-interaction inside the atmosphere is at equilibrium at the critical energy for each individual meson. The critical energy at a given atmospheric depth is proportional to the local atmospheric air density, which changes anti-proportionally with temperature. The probability of decay increases with temperature so that the muon and neutrino flux becomes temperature-dependent. The flux modulation based on temperature evaluation throughout the year is referred to as seasonal variations. A more detailed overview on the formalism can be found in [1, 2].

The modulation of the seasonal muon and neutrino rate has been studied extensively with respect to atmospheric temperature in various experiments, e. g. [2–5], but no energy-dependent measurement of the spectral shape has been conducted on a seasonal basis. In this contribution, we present an update on the progenitor analysis from [6] to 11.5 years of neutrino data from IceCube providing a measurement of the seasonal muon neutrino flux variations with respect to energy.

2. Neutrino Data

The IceCube Neutrino Observatory is a neutrino detector in the Antarctic ice at the geographic South Pole, located between depths of 1450 m and 2450 m with a comprised instrumented volume of a cubic kilometer. The detector array consists of 86 vertical cable strings which are equipped with 5160 digital optical modules (DOMs) in total. Energy, flavor, and directional reconstruction of the neutrinos rely on the optical detection of initiated Cherenkov radiation by charged particles produced in the interactions of neutrinos in the surrounding ice or the nearby bedrock [7].

The data sample consists of well reconstructed up-going tracks, classified as muons induced by neutrino interactions inside the ice, with a purity of more than 99.7% [8]. Down-going neutrinos, produced in cosmic ray induced air showers vertically above Antarctica, are excluded in this analysis as these events are hardly distinguishable within the dominating background of atmospheric muons from the same showers. The zenith arrival direction, θ, of the neutrinos is restricted to the Southern Hemisphere within 90° to 120° below the Tropic of Capricorn. Seasonal temperature variations at production heights of the neutrinos are required for this analysis. The Northern Hemisphere is excluded. A deeper description of the zenith direction and temperature variation throughout the year is given in [5]. The analysis includes events from May 2011 to December 2022, in which the full detector configuration was complete. This results in 523736 neutrino events within 11.3 years of effective livetime.
3. Spectrum Unfolding

The determination of the neutrino energy is an inverse problem as it needs to be inferred from an energy proxy of the induced muon in the ice. The muon, however, is exposed to stochastic energy losses and ionization, which in turn smears out the energy resolution. This inverse problem is addressed by a spectrum unfolding technique with an incorporated machine learning algorithm. The Dortmund Spectrum Estimation Algorithm (DSEA+) [9] estimates the probability of a given event corresponding to one of the predefined energy bins in terms of a multinomial classification task, which is performed in an iterative manner. The energy is estimated from two proxies, the number of DOMs triggered in each event and an energy reconstruction for the induced muon track [10]. For further explanation of DSEA+ and the optimized internal parameters refer to [6]. In contrast to the previous work described in [6], the unfolding algorithm is trained on Monte Carlo simulation weighted to the neutrino flux calculated by MCEq [11]. MCEq solves the cascade equations of particle interactions in atmospheric cosmic ray air showers numerically, based on different theoretical models. The H3a model [12] is selected as primary composition model of the cosmic rays, SIBYLL2.3c [13] as hadronic interaction model, and NRLMSISE-00 [14] as the empirical atmospheric model. DSEA+ is trained on the annual average predicted flux. No seasonal information is fed into the algorithm so that the seasonal variations strength can be determined independently of prior assumptions on the expected variation strength. The algorithm is robust against changes in spectral shape compared to its training spectrum so that an observation of deviations in spectral shape caused by seasonal variations is feasible.

The effect of systematic uncertainties on the unfolded spectrum is estimated from simulations with varied detector settings. Pseudo-samples are unfolded and the deviation to the reference unfolded pseudo-sample of average systematic parameters is evaluated for upper and lower constraints on each effect, elaborated below. All associated uncertainties are combined in the quadratic sum for each of the individual positive and negative deviations from the reference unfolded spectrum [6]. The efficiency of the optical modules in IceCube, the absorption and scattering effects in the glacial ice, and the optical properties of the re-frozen ice of the borehole around the strings are estimated from Monte Carlo simulation with varied respective parameter. The uncertainty of the imposed neutrino flux from MCEq in the weighting of the training sample can be constrained by the propagation of uncertainties from the primary cosmic ray composition and hadronic interaction models, as described in [15]. The propagated uncertainties of the neutrino flux are linearly interpolated between 100 GeV and 10 TeV. Two additional pseudo-samples are weighted to the upper and lower limit of the neutrino flux uncertainty and unfolded. The statistical uncertainty is determined by bootstrapping [16], in which the events from the seasonal data sets are sampled with replacement in 2000 trials and the standard deviation is calculated for the unfolded number of events in each energy bin. To convert the unfolded event spectrum into a differential flux, the effective area needs to be obtained from Monte Carlo simulations of the detector response and the spectrum is accounted for livetime of the seasonal data sets and solid angle of the arrival directions.
4. Results

The seasonal spectra are unfolded in ten equidistant bins in $\log(E_{\nu})$ from 125 GeV to 10 TeV and the variation strength is determined with respect to the annual average neutrino flux. The seasonal flux ratio is merely affected by propagation of the statistical uncertainties of the unfolded rates because the systematic uncertainties are largely independent of the season. Fig. 1 displays the unfolded seasonal fluxes for two different zenith regions, explained below. The upper panel in each figure shows the unfolded seasonal spectra scaled to $E^3$. The corresponding systematic uncertainties are shown in the shaded bands. The calculated fluxes from MCEq (with H3a, SIBYLL2.3c, NRLMSISE-00) are shown for comparison and are scaled up to match the normalization of the unfolded spectra. The scaling factor is determined by a fit to the unfolded spectrum with respect to the systematic and statistical uncertainties. The loss function considering the asymmetric error bars of the unfolded spectrum is minimized by the Nelder-Mead [17] approach and a scaling factor of 1.25 is obtained as best-fit value. The lower panel in each figure displays the ratio of the seasonal fluxes to the annual average flux. The ratio displays only statistical uncertainties because the systematic uncertainties cancel out in the ratio as described above. This allows to observe the increase in variation strength with increasing energy at per cent level.

Fig. 1(a) depicts the unfolded spectra for austral summer (December to February) and winter (June to August) within zenith angles from 90° to 120°. The unfolded fluxes are in agreement in shape with the seasonal MCEq fluxes. The energy spectrum is flat in the first energy bin due to threshold effects and declines with increasing energy. The observed seasonal variations increase with the neutrino energy up to 4 TeV, as predicted by MCEq. The increase in seasonal variation strength with increasing particle energy is attributed to the interactions of the secondary mesons in the upper stratosphere. High-energy primary cosmic rays interact higher in the atmosphere and produce secondaries at higher altitude, where the temperature variation throughout the year is larger. However, the observed variations decrease above 4 TeV, which is not consistent with the MCEq prediction.

To investigate the seasonal variation measurement and the observed decline at energies above 4 TeV in detail, the monthly average neutrino rate is investigated in zenith bands of $\Delta \theta = 10^\circ$ width. The three upper panels in Fig. 2 depict the relative average monthly neutrino rate variation with respect to the annual average in the respective zenith bands. The statistical uncertainty is depicted as error bars. The predicted variations from MCEq (H3a, SIBYLL2.3c) are shown in dashed lines based on the assumption of two different atmospheres. Besides the prediction using the atmospheric model NRLMSISE-00, as for the algorithm training and comparison to the unfolded spectra, a data-based prediction is obtained from temperature data from the Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) [18] on NASA’s Aqua satellite. The satellite orbits the Earth twice per day and the AIRS instrument provides a temperature measurement at pressure levels from 0.1 hPa to 1000 hPa with an angular resolution of $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ per longitude and latitude. The predicted neutrino rate from MCEq is calculated with daily temperature data from April 2012 - April 2017, as in [2], and the obtained daily rate is averaged per month. The first upper panel in Fig. 2 shows the rate variation between zenith angles from 90° to 100°. The maximum seasonal variation strength of $(4 \pm 2)\%$ is observable in December and January, the minimum of $(6 \pm 2)\%$ in July. The variation strength linearly decreases from January to July, a steep increase is observable from July to October. The
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Figure 1: Upper panel: unfolded seasonal muon neutrino spectra for austral summer and winter obtained from 11.5 years of IceCube data in the zenith range from 90° to 120° (a) and from 90° to 110° (b). Error bars denote statistical uncertainties, the bands the corresponding systematic uncertainty. The respective predicted flux from MCEq is shown in dashed lines with fitted normalization. Lower panel: ratio of the seasonal to annual average flux for both, the unfolded data and MCEq predictions. Flux deviations of ±5% are marked as black dashed lines. Systematic uncertainties remain the same for each season and cancel out in the ratio.
predicted variations from MCEq are both compatible with the observed neutrino rate within the statistical uncertainties. The predicted variation strength amplitude using AIRS data is approx. 1% to 2% higher compared to the calculated prediction with the atmospheric model NRLMSISE-00, except for June and July. The second panel depicts the relative rate variation between 100° to 110°. The variation strength decreases over all months compared to the previous zenith range. The rate variation reaches its maximum of approx. (2.5 ± 2.5)% in December, the minimum with (4 ± 2.5)% in July. The variation remains roughly constant from January to April and decreases from May to August. The third panel displays the zenith region from 110° to 120°. No variation is evident in the observed neutrino rate. The predicted variations are comparable for both atmospheric assumptions and fluctuate around 0%.

Since no seasonal variations are observed within the third zenith band, a deeper investigation of the seasonal modulation is conducted by removing events arriving from zenith angles between 110° to 120°. The zenith range from 90° to 110° is depicted in the lower panel in Fig. 2. The maximum relative rate variation is in December with (4 ± 2)%; the minimum in July with (5 ± 2)%. The variation strength decreases smoothly and slowly from January to July, which is attributed to radiation cooling in the atmosphere, whereas a steep increase in the rate from July to October is likely originating from rapid warming of the stratosphere during sunrise in the Southern Hemisphere. A similar modulation pattern is observed in the muon rate measured in IceCube and its progenitor detector AMANDA [4]. The observed rate variation is similar to the rate variation in the zenith.
range between 90° to 100° in the upper panel in Fig. 2. It indicates that the seasonal modulation within 90° to 110° is dominated by events close to the horizon. The zenith distribution of the neutrino sample is shown in 3. The zenith cut at Θ = 110° results in a reduction of 26% of neutrino events in the same detector uptime.

Fig. 1(b) displays the seasonal unfolding for the zenith range from 90° to 110°. The definition of austral summer (October to January) and winter (May to August) are adjusted compared to Fig. 1(a) by the selection of months with similar average monthly neutrino rates, observable in Fig. 2. The unfolded seasonal event samples of both zenith bands have comparable statistics so that the reduction of the zenith region does not impact the measurement sensitivity. The unfolded energy spectra are comparable to the spectra in Fig. 1(a) with the same fitted scaling factor for the MCEq normalization. The ratio of the unfolded seasonal flux to the annual average increases with energy from approx. (2 ± 1)% at 125 GeV up to (4 ± 1)% at 7 TeV for October to January. Despite a drop in the ratio to approx. (4.5 ± 1)% in the first energy bin, the ratio decreases up to 7 TeV for May to August, as expected from MCEq. A decrease in the seasonal variation strength with an absolute value of approx. 2.5% is apparent in the highest energy bin between 7 TeV to 10 TeV for both austral summer and winter.

5. Conclusion

This work presents the first measurement of seasonal atmospheric muon neutrinos spectra in the zenith range from 90° to 120° and 90° to 110°. The zenith region from 110° to 120° is removed for further investigation as no seasonal modulation is observable. The unfolded modulation of the austral summer and winter relative flux variation for neutrinos from the zenith region between 90° to 110° increases with the neutrino energy up to 7 TeV. The observed pattern is expected, as the interactions of the parent meson becomes the dominant process with increasing energy compared to instant decay. These interactions occur at higher altitudes in the stratosphere, which have a stronger relative temperature modulation throughout the year than lower altitudes. However, the observed variation strength decreases between 7 TeV to 10 TeV despite the expectation from MCEq. Further investigations are required to determine the cause of the decline. The prompt component of the atmospheric neutrino flux, defined as neutrinos produced by prompt decays from charmed mesons, has not been observed so far. This component is seasonally-independent due to the instant decay of the parent particle. However, this component is expected to have a dominant contribution to the atmospheric neutrino flux only above 100 TeV [1], so it is unlikely that the decrease in variation strength at few TeV is attributed to prompt neutrinos. Furthermore, the seasonal modulation at high energies can be investigated by analyzing sub-samples defining a finer seasonal grid throughout the year. The detector enhancement to IceCube-Gen2 [19] and the collection of additional data will constrain the measurement of seasonal variations even further while reducing the statistical
uncertainty. An extension of the energy range beyond 10 TeV would give conclusion whether the trend of decreasing seasonal variation strength persists due the increasing contribution of prompt neutrinos to the conventional atmospheric flux.
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