## PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

# PoS

## Higgs boson properties (mass/width) at CMS

Filippo Errico<sup>a,\*</sup>
<sup>a</sup> INFN Sezione Roma,
Roma, Italy
E-mail: erricof@roma1.infn.it

The Higgs boson mass and its decay width are fundamental properties of this particle. Here we summarise the latest measurements of these properties performed analysing Run 2 data at a centre of mass energy of 13 TeV, with the CMS experiment, in the four-lepton and di-photon final states. The most precise Higgs boson mass measurement is  $m_H = 125.38 \pm 0.14$  GeV, while for the width is  $\Gamma_H = 3.2^{+2.4}_{-1.7}$  MeV, excluding the scenario with  $\Gamma_H = 0$  with 3.6 standard deviation.

The European Physical Society Conference on High Energy Physics (EPS-HEP2023) 21-25 August 2023 Hamburg, Germany

#### \*Speaker

<sup>©</sup> Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

#### 1. Introduction

The Higgs boson (H) discovery was announced by the ATLAS [1] and CMS [2] Collaborations, on the 4th of July 2012 [3–5] and since then, much effort has been put into determining its properties. The mass of the H ( $m_H$ ) is a free parameter of the Standard Model (SM) and it must be measured with high precision since it determines all the other H properties. Currently, all theoretical information (such as production cross-section and branching ratios) is predicted by the SM for a Higgs boson mass of 125 GeV. For example, the couplings with other massive particles depend on  $m_H$  and are precisely predicted by the SM, thus its precise measurement, together with the measurement of the production cross sections and decays to SM particles are a suitable test for the SM. The Higgs boson width ( $\Gamma_H$ ) is predicted instead precisely within the SM, for a given  $m_H$ . However, modifications of the H boson couplings to the SM particles, or possible decays to yet undiscovered particles would alter these predicted values, and therefore it also needs to be experimentally measured.

#### 2. The Higgs boson mass

The  $m_H$  measurement is performed using the  $H \to ZZ^* \to 4\ell$  [6] and  $H \to \gamma\gamma$  [7] channels thanks to their very good mass resolution (1-2%) and complete reconstruction of the final state. Data collected during 2016 proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 36  $fb^{-1}$  have been analysed (see Figure 1). In the  $H \to ZZ^* \to 4\ell$  channel, final results have



Figure 1: Invariant mass distribution for the four-lepton [6] (left) and di-photon [7] (right) final state.

been extracted building a three-dimensional statistical model, with the four-lepton invariant mass, a kinematic discriminant  $(D_{bkg}^{kin})$  and the event-by-event mass uncertainty  $(\delta m_{4\ell})$ . The  $D_{bkg}^{kin}$  helps in discriminating signal from the main background due to  $gg/qq \rightarrow 4\ell$  events. The  $\delta m_{4\ell}$  instead helps in proper accounting for the signal mass resolutions for individual events.

The mass value measured using  $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$  channel is  $m_H = 125.26 \pm 0.21$  [0.19(stat)  $\pm 0.08(\text{syst})$ ] GeV [6]. The inclusion of  $D_{bkg}^{kin}$  and  $\delta m_{4\ell}$  in the model improves the mass measurement of about 4% and 10% respectively. The impact of including these variables in the model is visible in the scan of the profile likelihood projection on  $m_H$  in Figure 2.





Figure 2: Profile likelihood projection on  $m_H$  for different statistical models [6].

The measurement precision is limited by the statistical uncertainty with a small contribution from systematic uncertainties, mainly due to lepton momentum scale. In the  $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  channel instead the relative contribution of the two uncertainties is different since the calibration of the energy response of the detector to photons plays a crucial role. Cluster containment corrections are derived on simulation using a multivariate regression. The residual differences in energy scale and resolution between simulation and data is dealt with a multistep approach, using  $Z \rightarrow$  ee events in bins of electron  $\eta$  and  $p_T$ . In order to enhance the sensitivity, selected events are classified according to their production mode, the mass resolution and their predicted signal-to-background ratio. The mass value measured using  $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  channel is  $m_H = 125.78 \pm 0.26$  [0.18(stat)  $\pm$  0.19(syst)] GeV [7]. Figure 3 shows the breakdown of the systematic uncertainty: the main contributions come from photon calibrations and the non-uniformity of the light collected by the detector. This last effect is a direct consequence of the different shower shape of electrons (used to extract calibration) and photons.

This result has been then combined with the one from  $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$  and the one obtained analysing data collected during 2011-2012 at 7 and 8 TeV corresponding to 5.1 and 19.7  $fb^{-1}$ , respectively (see Figure 4), resulting in  $m_H = 125.38 \pm 0.14$  [0.11(stat)  $\pm 0.08$ (syst)] GeV [7].

Source	Contribution (GeV)
Electron energy scale and resolution corrections	0.10
Residual $p_{\rm T}$ dependence of the photon energy scale	0.11
Modelling of the material budget	0.03
Nonuniformity of the light collection	0.11
Total systematic uncertainty	0.18
Statistical uncertainty	0.18
Total uncertainty	0.26

Figure 3: Breakdown of the systematic uncertainties [7].



**Figure 4:** Summary of the  $m_H$  measurement in the  $H \to ZZ^* \to 4\ell$  and  $H \to \gamma\gamma$  channels, using data collected during 2011, 2012 and 2016 at 7, 8 and 13 TeV respectively [7].

#### 3. The Higgs boson width

The direct measurement of the  $\Gamma_H$  is mainly affected by the detector resolution compared to the predicted value (4.07 MeV [8]). A proposed solution, adopted in the H  $\rightarrow$  ZZ channel, is the measurement of the Higgs boson width comparing the on-shell and off-shell production rates:

$$\frac{\sigma_{gg \to H \to ZZ^*}^{on-shell}}{\sigma_{gg \to H^* \to ZZ}^{off-shell}} \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma_H}$$

The analysis is performed using data collected during 2016-2018 at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 138  $fb^{-1}$  in the  $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$  and  $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 2\ell 2\nu$  final states [9]. Figure 5 shows the off-shell invariant mass distributions in the four-lepton and the transverse mass in the  $2\ell 2\nu$  final state. The measured value of the width is  $\Gamma_H = 3.2^{+2.4}_{-1.7} MeV$ . Figure 6 shows the profile likelihood projection on  $\Gamma_H$ , with the splitting of the contributions from the different final states. The scenario in which there is no off-shell contribution ( $\Gamma_H = 0$ ) is excluded at a p-value of 0.0003 (3.6 standard deviations).

#### 4. Conclusion

The Higgs boson properties (mass and width) as measured by the CMS Collaboration have been summarised. The Higgs boson mass, free parameter of the SM, is measured with a precision of the order to 0.1%. The best width measurement is extracted comparing on-shell with off-shell decay rates resulting in  $\Gamma_H = 3.2^{+2.4}_{-1.7} MeV$ , and excluding the scenario with  $\Gamma_H = 0$  with 3.6 standard deviation.



Figure 5: Distributions of the (transverse) ZZ invariant mass from the  $4\ell$  ( $2\ell 2\nu$ ) off-shell signal region [9].



**Figure 6:** 1D profile likelihood projection on  $m_H$  for the combination of on-shell data with  $4\ell$  and/or  $2\ell 2\nu$  off-shell data [9].

### References

- ATLAS Collaboration, "The ATLAS Experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider", JINST 3, S08003 (2008), 10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08003
- [2] CMS Collaboration, "The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC", JINST 3, S08004 (2008), 10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004
- [3] ATLAS Collaboration, "Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard

Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC", Phys. Lett. B 716, 1 (2012), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.020

- [4] CMS Collaboration, "Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC", Phys. Lett. B 716, 30 (2012), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021
- [5] CMS Collaboration, "Observation of a new boson with mass near 125 GeV in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 8TeV", JHEP 06, 81 (2013), https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2013)081
- [6] CMS Collaboration, "Measurements of properties of the Higgs boson decaying into the four-lepton final state in pp collisions at \$\$ \sqrt{s}=13 \$\$TeV", JHEP 11, 47 (2017), https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11%282017%29047
- [7] CMS Collaboration, "A measurement of the Higgs boson mass in the diphoton decay channel", Phys. Lett. B 805, (2020), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135425
- [8] R. L. Workman *et al.* [Particle Data Group], PTEP **2022**, 083C01 (2022), doi:10.1093/ptep/ptac097
- [9] CMS Collaboration, "Measurement of the Higgs boson width and evidence of its off-shell contributions to ZZ production", Nature Physics, 11 (2022), https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-022-01682-0