

Using Gradient Flow to Renormalise Matrix Elements for Meson Mixing and Lifetimes

Matthew Black,^{*a*,*} Robert Harlander,^{*b*} Fabian Lange,^{*c*,*d*,*e*,*f*} Antonio Rago,^{*g*} Andrea Shindler^{*b*} and Oliver Witzel^{*a*}

^aCenter for Particle Physics Siegen, Theoretische Physik 1, Naturwissenschaftlich-Technische Fakultät, Universität Siegen, 57068 Siegen, Germany

- ^b Institute for Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology, RWTH Aachen University, 52056 Aachen, Germany
- ^c Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland
- ^d Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland
- ^e Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Wolfgang-Gaede-Straße 1, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany
- ^f Institut für Astroteilchenphysik, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany
- ⁸ IMADA and Quantum Theory Center, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

E-mail: matthew.black@uni-siegen.de

Neutral meson mixing and meson lifetimes are theory-side parametrised in terms four-quark operators which can be determined by calculating weak decay matrix elements using lattice Quantum Chromodynamics. While calculations of meson mixing matrix elements are standard, determinations of lifetimes typically suffer from complications in renormalisation procedures because dimension-6 four-quark operators can mix with operators of lower mass dimension and, moreover, quark-line disconnected diagrams contribute.

We present work detailing the idea to use fermionic gradient flow to non-perturbatively renormalise matrix elements describing meson mixing or lifetimes, and combining it with a perturbative calculation to match to the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme using the short-flow-time expansion.

The 40th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory (Lattice 2023) July 31st - August 4th, 2023 Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

Preprints: SI-HEP-2023-23, P3H-23-076, ZU-TH 68/23, PSI-PR-23-38, TTP23-049, TTK-23-27

*Speaker

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

1. Introduction

The phenomenology of B physics has become a rich and diverse study area at both dedicated B factories such as Belle and BABAR and also more general collider experiments such as those at the LHC [1]. Over many years, there has been enormous efforts put in by the experimental community to increase the precision of measurements of the decays and properties of B mesons (see e.g. Ref. [2]), and thus to fully leverage this success the precision of theoretical predictions for these decays and properties should similarly increase. In particular, the behaviour of neutral meson mixing provides a key insight into CP violation in the Standard Model (SM) and can help constrain elements of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) quark mixing matrix which further tests the SM and aids in searches for new physics. Furthermore, the lifetime of a particle is one of its fundamental properties and thus is of great importance in testing the underlying theory for consistency. On the theory side, the lifetimes of B mesons are determined in the framework of the heavy quark expansion (HQE) where the result is described in terms of a series expansion in $1/m_b$ of perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) contributions and non-perturbative $\Delta B = 0$ matrix elements; for a review, see e.g. Ref. [3]. In fact, multiple calculations of the $\Delta B = 2$ matrix elements have already been carried out on the lattice [4-8] (and also using QCD sum rules [9-13]). For recent overviews, see [14, 15]; in addition RBC/UKQCD and JLQCD presented preliminary results for on-going work using an RI-SMOM renormalisation scheme [16–18]. When considering the ratio of B_s^0 over B^0 mixing, the SU(3) breaking parameter ξ can be defined [19]. Since renormalisation factors and other uncertainties cancel, the lattice determination of ξ is typically more precise [4–8, 20] and ξ is frequently used in global CKM unitarity triangle fits [21-23].

The history of the $\Delta B = 0$ four-quark matrix elements is less thorough. After early quenched studies [24, 25] and preliminary unquenched results [26] in the early 2000s, the topic received little attention from the lattice community until recently with some interest in lifetimes ratios and baryonic decays [27]. In the meantime, there have been predictions using QCD sum rules [12, 28]. These matrix elements present additional challenges for a lattice calculation due to contributions from disconnected diagrams where the signal-to-noise ratio worsens. Moreover, mixing with operators of lower mass dimension occurs in standard renormalisation procedures.

In the following we outline a non-perturbative renormalisation scheme utilising the gradient flow [29–31] and the short-flow-time expansion [32–35] in which operator mixing is absent. A perturbative matrix is required to match each quantity to the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme, likely circumventing some of the difficulty in calculating $\Delta B = 0$ four-quark matrix elements. The method is first tested for $\Delta F = 2$ matrix elements where results can be verified against the literature. Our approach is similar to work by Suzuki et al. applying the short-flow-time expansion to neutral Kaon mixing or the determination of the energy-momentum tensor [36–38].

2. Gradient Flow and Short-Flow-Time Expansion

The gradient flow [29–32, 39–43] has become a well-known tool in lattice simulations with common usage for e.g. scale setting. One introduces an auxiliary dimension, the flow time τ [GeV⁻²] which acts as a UV regulator and provides a well-defined smearing of gauge and fermion

fields through the first-order differential equations

$$\partial_{\tau}B_{\mu}(\tau, x) = \mathcal{D}_{\nu}(\tau)G_{\nu\mu}(\tau, x), \quad B_{\mu}(0, x) = A_{\mu}(x), \tag{1}$$

$$\partial_{\tau}\chi(\tau, x) = \mathcal{D}^2(\tau)\chi(\tau, x), \qquad \chi(0, x) = q(x), \tag{2}$$

where $G_{\nu\mu}(\tau) = \partial_{\nu}B_{\mu}(\tau) - \partial_{\mu}B_{\nu}(\tau) + [B_{\nu}(\tau), B_{\mu}(\tau)]$ is the flowed gluon field strength tensor, $\mathcal{D}_{\nu}(\tau) = \partial_{\nu} + [B_{\nu}(\tau), \cdot]$ is the flowed covariant derivative, A_{μ} , q are the regular gauge and fermion fields respectively and $B_{\mu}(\tau), \chi(\tau)$ are those extended in the flow time. Operators evolved along positive gradient flow time are removed of UV divergences and are renormalised within a gradient flow (GF) scheme.

An effective Hamiltonian expressed normally as a sum of operators O_m and their Wilson coefficients C_m can be rewritten in terms of these 'flowed' operators $\tilde{O}_n(\tau)$ and similarly 'flowed' Wilson coefficients $\tilde{C}_n(\tau)$:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{m} C_m O_m = \sum_{n} \tilde{C}_n(\tau) \tilde{O}_n(\tau), \qquad (3)$$

where the flow-time dependence of the operators cancels with that of the coefficients [35, 38, 44, 45]. In the short-flow-time expansion, one can relate the 'flowed' operators to the regular ones as

$$\tilde{O}_n(\tau) = \sum_m \zeta_{nm}(\tau) O_m + O(\tau) \implies \sum_n \zeta_{nm}^{-1}(\mu, \tau) \langle \tilde{O}_n^{\text{GF}} \rangle(\tau) = \langle O_m^{\overline{\text{MS}}} \rangle(\mu), \tag{4}$$

where higher-dimensional operators are accompanied by higher powers of τ and are expected to be negligible for small τ [32–35]. The perturbatively-calculated matrix $\zeta_{nm}^{-1}(\mu, \tau)$ matches the GF renormalised operators to the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme.

For a general heavy quark field *F*, we focus for now only on the bag parameter of the $\Delta F = 2$ four-quark operator

$$O_1 = (\bar{F}\gamma_{\mu}(1 - \gamma_5)q)(\bar{F}\gamma_{\mu}(1 - \gamma_5)q).$$
 (5)

This is well-studied in the literature and is the only contributor to ΔM in the SM. In the future, we will extend our study to consider the full SUSY basis of $\Delta F = 2$ four-quark dimension-six operators as well as $\Delta F = 0$.

The bag parameters are defined as ratios of the three-point matrix element of a four-quark operator O_i to its vacuum insertion approximation. For a pseudoscalar meson state P with mass m and decay constant f, the bag parameter of O_1 is defined, at leading order, as

$$B_1 = \frac{\langle P|O_1|P\rangle}{\frac{8}{3}m^2f^2}.$$
(6)

The perturbative calculations used in this work are described in [45, 46]. At next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO), the perturbative matching from GF to $\overline{\text{MS}}$ schemes for the bag parameter B_1 with

number of flavours n_f is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{B_1}^{-1}(\mu,\tau) &= 1 + \frac{a_s}{4} \left(-\frac{11}{3} - 2L_{\mu\tau} \right) \\ &+ \frac{a_s^2}{43200} \bigg[-2376 - 79650L_{\mu\tau} - 24300L_{\mu\tau}^2 + 8250n_f + 6000 \, n_f \, L_{\mu\tau} \\ &+ 1800 \, n_f \, L_{\mu\tau}^2 - 2775\pi^2 + 300 \, n_f \, \pi^2 - 241800 \log 2 \\ &+ 202500 \log 3 - 110700 \, \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) \bigg], \end{aligned}$$
(7)

where $L_{\mu\tau} = \log(2\mu^2\tau) + \gamma_E$ and $a_s = \alpha_s/\pi$. The final result for B_1 in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme is given by

$$B_{1}^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(\mu) = \lim_{\tau \to 0} \zeta_{B_{1}}^{-1}(\mu, \tau) B_{1}^{\text{GF}}(\tau).$$
(8)

3. Lattice calculation

We will consider six RBC/UKQCD 2+1-flavour domain-wall fermion (DWF) and Iwasaki gauge field ensembles with three lattice spacings $a \sim 0.11$, 0.08, 0.07 fm (determined by RBC/UKQCD [20, 47, 48]) and pion masses \in [267, 433) MeV. Light and strange quarks are simulated with the Shamir DWF action [49–52] with $M_5 = 1.8$. These ensembles are listed in Table 1.

	L	Т	L_s	a^{-1}/GeV	am_l^{sea}	am_s^{sea}	am_s^{val}	M_{π}/MeV	# cfgs	# sources
C1	24	64	16	1.785	0.005	0.040	0.03224	340	101	32
C2	24	64	16	1.785	0.010	0.040	0.03224	433	101	32
M1	32	64	16	2.383	0.004	0.030	0.02477	302	79	32
M2	32	64	16	2.383	0.006	0.030	0.02477	362	89	32
M3	32	64	16	2.383	0.008	0.030	0.02477	411	68	32
F1S	48	96	12	2.785	0.002144	0.02144	0.02167	267	98	24

Table 1: RBC/UKQCD ensembles used in the discussed simulations [47, 48, 53, 54]. am_l^{sea} and am_s^{sea} are the light and strange sea quark masses and M_{π} is the unitary pion mass. am_s^{val} are the valence strange quark masses, set to the physical mass.

Heavy quarks are simulated using stout-smeared gauge fields [55] and the Möbius DWF action [56], where the mass has been tuned to the physical charm on each ensemble through the D_s pseudoscalar meson [57]. Using a similar setup as Ref. [20], all propagators are generated with Z2-noise wall sources where the number of sources and smearing parameters are listed in Table 1; Gaussian smearing is also applied for the strange quarks.

In the following, we use exploratory results obtained on the C1, C2, and M1 ensembles. While testing the validity of our method, we remove the additional complications of extrapolations in the valence sector, studying only strange and charm quarks at their physical values. As such, we currently consider the short-distance contributions to 'neutral D_s ' meson mixing.

On the lattice, this is obtained in the large t and ΔT limit by the ratio of correlation functions,

$$R_{1}(t,\Delta T,\tau) = \frac{C_{O_{1}}^{3\text{pt}}(t,\Delta T,\tau)}{\frac{8}{3}C_{AP}^{2\text{pt}}(t,\tau)C_{PA}^{2\text{pt}}(\Delta T-t,\tau)} \to B_{1}^{\text{GF}}(\tau),$$
(9)

where t is the Euclidean time and ΔT is the separation of the two sources used in the three-point function as shown in Figure 1, and $C_{AP}^{2pt}(t,\tau)$, $C_{PA}^{2pt}(\Delta T - t,\tau)$ are the two-point functions with the pseudoscalar current at the sources and the flowed axial current at the sink. In this pilot study we only consider $\Delta T = 28$ for all data analysed so far. Dependence on the flow time τ is written



Figure 1: Quark line diagram of the lattice setup calculating the three-point correlation function with $\Delta F = 2$ four-quark operator insertion at time *t* between two sources placed at t_0 and $t_0 + \Delta T$.

here explicitly as the above ratio is evaluated for propagators taken at discrete steps in the flow. The Runge-Kutta evolution of the gradient flow is performed with $\epsilon = 0.01$. For small flow, measurements of two- and three-point functions are taken at steps of $\varepsilon = 0.1$ in lattice units, with this 'coarsening' to $\varepsilon = 0.4$ for $\tau/a^2 > 5$.

4. First Results

In Figure 2, we present our first results for the $\Delta F = 2$ bag parameter $B_1^{\text{GF}}(\tau)$ and its GF-to- $\overline{\text{MS}}$ matching coefficient at both NLO and NNLO, expressed with the renormalisation scale $\mu = 3$ GeV both as functions of the gradient flow time τ in physical units. The plot on the left shows the dependence of the lattice data on the GF time τ converted to physical units. The clear overlap of the data from different ensembles indicates a mild continuum limit for large enough flow times ($\tau \ge 0.2 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$) where the results become GF renormalised; for smaller flow times, the continuum limit may however carry a substantial systematic uncertainty. The plot on the right shows the perturbative matching with a clear difference between the next-to-leading order (NLO) and NNLO results.

When combining with the data from the lattice simulations, we expect to obtain the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalised result by taking the $\tau \rightarrow 0$ limit assuming a linear dependence on the GF time. The
outcome is shown in Figure 3. The purple circles utilize the NLO matching to $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme, whereas
the orange squares use NNLO matching coefficients. In both cases an extended linear region is
present. Using the NNLO coefficients this linear region extends to lower flow times compared to
the NLO results. The next step is to seek a window in flow time where the gradient flow has had



Figure 2: Evolution of the $\Delta F = 2$ bag parameter $B_1^{\text{GF}}(\tau)$ along the gradient flow for ensembles C1, C2, M1 in physical units with statistical errors only (left); perturbative GF-to- $\overline{\text{MS}}$ matching coefficient $\zeta_{B_1}^{-1}(\mu, \tau)$ as a function of flow time τ with $\mu = 3$ GeV at both NLO and NNLO from Refs. [45, 46] (right).

sufficient effect on the lattice results such that they are renormalised but the flow time is still small enough for higher-dimensional operators to be suppressed.

If we use as a first guess a flow time window $0.25 \text{ GeV}^{-2} \le \tau \le 0.67 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ for NNLO and $0.39 \text{ GeV}^{-2} \le \tau \le 0.67 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ for NLO, we can perform the $\tau \to 0$ extrapolation shown by the gray bands to obtain the renormalised bag parameter in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme at zero flow time. While the difference in the prediction may indicate systematics due to the order of the perturbative matching, we also point out that other systematic effects, e.g. from the continuum extrapolation, need to be accounted for. In addition we only consider a naive error estimate for the $\tau \to 0$ limit which warrants improvement.

Similar discussions regarding the $\tau \rightarrow 0$ extrapolation for the energy-momentum tensor in the short-flow-time expansion framework are given in e.g. Refs. [36, 37]. Further study is still required to fully understand the validity range of both extrapolations.

Phenomenologically, 'neutral D_s ' mixing as is calculated here does not exist, however the results should be similar in magnitude to that of short-distance D^0 mixing since any spectator effects are expected to be small. In the literature, the short-distance matrix elements for D^0 mixing have been calculated on the lattice by FNAL/MILC at $N_f = 2 + 1$ and ETMC at $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$, with $\mu = 3$ GeV. ETMC finds a value of $B_1^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = 0.757(27)$ [58] (they also have a calculation at $N_f = 2$ [59]). FNAL/MILC quotes a value for $\langle O_1 \rangle^{\overline{\text{MS}}}$; using PDG 2023 [57] and Eq. (6), this leads to $B_1^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = 0.795(56)$ [60]. In Ref. [12], there also exists a QCD sum rules calculation which, using PDG 2023 [57], results in $B_1^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = 0.636^{+0.091}_{-0.079}$. One can see in Figure 3 that our preliminary results extracted here lie between the two literature values from lattice QCD and slightly above that from QCD sum rules. While further scrutiny is still required, this is a promising sign for our method as a novel renormalisation and matching-to- $\overline{\text{MS}}$ procedure. It motivates further study of $\Delta F = 2$ matrix elements in the short-flow-time expansion as a test case towards a calculation of the long-sought-after $\Delta B = 0$ four-quark matrix elements.



Figure 3: Results for the combination $\zeta_{B_1}^{-1}(\mu, \tau)B_1^{\text{GF}}(\tau)$ as a function of flow time, taking NLO (purple) and NNLO (orange) perturbative matching with $\mu = 3$ GeV. Our error bars reflect only statistical uncertainties but we know that for small flow times ($\tau \leq 0.2 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$) the continuum extrapolation contributes a large systematic uncertainty. Using the purple/orange shaded region in flow time, we perform an uncorrelated fit for the $\tau \to 0$ extrapolations shown as gray bands.

5. Summary

The $\Delta B = 0$ four-quark dimension-six matrix elements are important quantities in accurately and precisely predicting the lifetime of a *B* meson from the heavy quark expansion. Lattice QCD calculations of these matrix elements are strongly sought-after, but no full calculation has been performed to date, with part of the difficulty coming from mixing with lower-dimensional operators under standard renormalisation procedures. Here we have outlined the idea of using the gradient flow and short-flow-time expansion as an alternative renormalisation scheme and matching-to- $\overline{\text{MS}}$ method to bypass the issue of operator mixing. First simulations were carried out with the focus on $\Delta F = 2$ operators where results can be validated against lattice calculations in the literature. Removing additional extrapolations, the initial analysis has been performed at the physical D_s scale. Preliminary results show promise and consistency with literature values of short-distance contributions to D^0 mixing. However further scrutiny on estimating systematic uncertainties is warranted and getting deeper insight in how to choose the flow time window is desired.

In future work, we aim to extend simulations to all lattice ensembles listed in Table 1, and also to multiple heavy quark masses and replacing the strange quarks with light quarks. This will allow extrapolation to physical *B* and *B_s* systems where further validation against $\Delta B = 2$ calculations may be done and physical results for the ultimate goal of the $\Delta B = 0$ matrix elements can be reached.

Acknowledgments

Measurements were performed using Grid [61, 62] and Hadrons [63]. Computations used resources provided by the OMNI cluster at the University of Siegen and the HAWK cluster at the High-Performance Computing Center Stuttgart. This work was partially supported by DeiC National HPC (g.a. DEIC-SDU-L5-13). We used gauge field configurations generated on the DiRAC Blue Gene Q system at the University of Edinburgh, part of the DiRAC Facility, funded by BIS National E-infrastructure grant ST/K000411/1 and STFC grants ST/H008845/1, ST/K005804/1 and ST/K005790/1. M.B., R.H., F.L., O.W. received support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemein-schaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) through grant 396021762 - TRR 257 "Particle Physics Phenomenology after the Higgs Discovery". The work of F.L. was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) under contract TMSGI2_211209. We thank ECT* for support at the Workshop "The Gradient Flow in QCD and other strongly coupled field theories" during which this work has developed. Special thanks is given to Felix Erben, Ryan Hill, and J. Tobias Tsang for assistance in setting up the simulation code.

References

- [1] Heavy Flavor Averaging Group, HFLAV collaboration, Y. S. Amhis et al., Averages of b-hadron, c-hadron, and τ-lepton properties as of 2021, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 052008 [arXiv:2206.07501].
- [2] A. Lenz, M. L. Piscopo and A. V. Rusov, *Disintegration of beauty: a precision study*, JHEP 01 (2023) 004 [arXiv:2208.02643].
- [3] A. Lenz, Lifetimes and heavy quark expansion, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 30 (2015) 1543005 [arXiv:1405.3601].
- [4] ETM collaboration, N. Carrasco et al., *B-physics from* $N_f = 2 \text{ tm}QCD$: the Standard Model and beyond, JHEP **03** (2014) 016 [arXiv:1308.1851].
- [5] RBC collaboration, Y. Aoki, T. Ishikawa, T. Izubuchi, C. Lehner and A. Soni, *Neutral b meson mixings and b meson decay constants with static heavy and domain-wall light quarks*, Phys.Rev.D 91 (2015) 114505 [arXiv:1406.6192].
- [6] HPQCD collaboration, E. Gamiz et al., *Neutral B Meson Mixing in Unquenched Lattice QCD*, Phys. Rev. D80 (2009) 014503 [arXiv:0902.1815].
- [7] Fermilab/MILC collaboration, A. Bazavov et al., $B^0_{(s)}$ -mixing matrix elements from lattice qcd for the standard model and beyond, Phys.Rev.D 93 (2016) 113016 [arXiv:1602.03560].
- [8] HPQCD collaboration, R. Dowdall, C. Davies, R. Horgan, G. Lepage, C. Monahan, J. Shigemitsu et al., *Neutral b-meson mixing from full lattice qcd at the physical point*, Phys.Rev.D **100** (2019) 094508 [arXiv:1907.01025].
- [9] A. G. Grozin, R. Klein, T. Mannel and A. A. Pivovarov, $B^0 \overline{B}^0$ mixing at next-to-leading order, Phys.Rev.D 94 (2016) 034024 [arXiv:1606.06054].
- [10] A. G. Grozin, T. Mannel and A. A. Pivovarov, *Towards a Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order analysis of matching in B⁰-B̄⁰ mixing*, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 074032 [arXiv:1706.05910].
- [11] A. G. Grozin, T. Mannel and A. A. Pivovarov, $B^0 \overline{B}^0$ mixing: Matching to HQET at NNLO, Phys. Rev. D **98** (2018) 054020 [arXiv:1806.00253].
- [12] M. Kirk, A. Lenz and T. Rauh, Dimension-six matrix elements for meson mixing and lifetimes from sum rules, JHEP 12 (2017) 068 [arXiv:1711.02100], [Erratum: JHEP 06, 162 (2020)].
- [13] D. King, A. Lenz and T. Rauh, B_s mixing observables and $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|$ from sum rules, JHEP **05** (2019) 034 [arXiv:1904.00940].

- [14] J. T. Tsang, Neutral meson mixing in the B⁰_(s) sector from Lattice QCD, PoS CKM2021 (2023) 107 [arXiv:2204.01259].
- [15] V. Chobanova et al., Summary of Working Group 4: Mixing and mixing-related CP violation in the B system: Δm, ΔΓ, φ_s, φ₁/β, φ₂/α, φ₃/γ, PoS CKM2021 (2023) 017 [arXiv:2205.15662].
- [16] P. Boyle, F. Erben, A. Jüttner, T. Kaneko, M. Marshall, A. Portelli et al., *BSM B* \bar{B} mixing on JLQCD and RBC/UKQCD N_f = 2 + 1 DWF ensembles, PoS LATTICE2021 (2022) 224 [arXiv:2111.11287].
- [17] Erben, Felix, D-meson mixing from lattice QCD. Parallel Talk CHARM 2023, Siegen, Germany, 2023.
- [18] Tsang, Justus Tobias, $B_{(s)}$ -mixing parameters from all-domain-wall-fermion simulations. Parallel Talk Lattice 2023, Fermilab, Illinois, USA, 2023.
- [19] C. W. Bernard, T. Blum and A. Soni, SU(3) flavor breaking in hadronic matrix elements for $B \overline{B}$ oscillations, Phys.Rev. **D58** (1998) 014501 [arXiv:hep-lat/9801039].
- [20] RBC/UKQCD collaboration, P. A. Boyle, L. Del Debbio, N. Garron, A. Jüttner, A. Soni, J. T. Tsang et al., SU(3)-breaking ratios for D_(s) and B_(s) mesons, arXiv:1812.08791.
- [21] CKMfitter Group collaboration, J. Charles et al. http://ckmfitter.in2p3.fr/.
- [22] UTfit collaboration, M. Bona et al., *The Unitarity Triangle Fit in the Standard Model and Hadronic Parameters from Lattice QCD: A Reappraisal after the Measurements of Delta m(s) and BR(B —> tau nu(tau))*, JHEP **10** (2006) 081 [arXiv:hep-ph/0606167].
- [23] UTfit collaboration, M. Bona et al., New UTfit Analysis of the Unitarity Triangle in the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa scheme, Rend. Lincei Sci. Fis. Nat. 34 (2023) 37 [arXiv:2212.03894].
- [24] UKQCD collaboration, M. Di Pierro and C. T. Sachrajda, A Lattice study of spectator effects in inclusive decays of B mesons, Nucl. Phys. B 534 (1998) 373 [arXiv:hep-lat/9805028].
- [25] UKQCD collaboration, M. Di Pierro, C. T. Sachrajda and C. Michael, *An Exploratory lattice* study of spectator effects in inclusive decays of the Λ_b baryon, Phys. Lett. B **468** (1999) 143 [arXiv:hep-lat/9906031], [Erratum: Phys.Lett.B 525, 360–360 (2002)].
- [26] D. Becirevic, *Theoretical progress in describing the B meson lifetimes*, PoS HEP2001 (2001) 098 [arXiv:hep-ph/0110124].
- [27] J. Lin, W. Detmold and S. Meinel, *Lattice Study of Spectator Effects in b-hadron Decays*, PoS LATTICE2022 (2023) 417 [arXiv:2212.09275].
- [28] D. King, A. Lenz and T. Rauh, SU(3) breaking effects in B and D meson lifetimes, JHEP 06 (2022) 134 [arXiv:2112.03691].
- [29] R. Narayanan and H. Neuberger, Infinite N phase transitions in continuum Wilson loop operators, JHEP 03 (2006) 064 [arXiv:hep-th/0601210].
- [30] M. Lüscher, *Trivializing maps, the Wilson flow and the HMC algorithm*, Commun. Math. Phys. 293 (2010) 899 [arXiv:0907.5491].
- [31] M. Lüscher, Properties and uses of the Wilson flow in lattice QCD, JHEP 08 (2010) 071 [arXiv:1006.4518], [Erratum: JHEP 03, 092 (2014)].

- [32] M. Lüscher and P. Weisz, Perturbative analysis of the gradient flow in non-abelian gauge theories, JHEP 02 (2011) 051 [arXiv:1101.0963].
- [33] H. Suzuki, Energy-momentum tensor from the Yang-Mills gradient flow, PTEP 2013 (2013) 083B03 [arXiv:1304.0533], [Erratum: PTEP 2015, 079201 (2015)].
- [34] H. Makino and H. Suzuki, Lattice energy-momentum tensor from the Yang-Mills gradient flow-inclusion of fermion fields, PTEP 2014 (2014) 063B02 [arXiv:1403.4772], [Erratum: PTEP 2015, 079202 (2015)].
- [35] C. Monahan and K. Orginos, Locally smeared operator product expansions in scalar field theory, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 074513 [arXiv:1501.05348].
- [36] WHOT-QCD collaboration, Y. Taniguchi, S. Ejiri, K. Kanaya, M. Kitazawa, H. Suzuki and T. Umeda, N_f = 2+1 QCD thermodynamics with gradient flow using two-loop matching coefficients, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 014510 [arXiv:2005.00251], [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 102, 059903 (2020)].
- [37] H. Suzuki and H. Takaura, $t \rightarrow 0$ extrapolation function in the small flow time expansion method for the energy-momentum tensor, PTEP **2021** (2021) 073B02 [arXiv:2102.02174].
- [38] A. Suzuki, Y. Taniguchi, H. Suzuki and K. Kanaya, Four quark operators for kaon bag parameter with gradient flow, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 034508 [arXiv:2006.06999].
- [39] M. Lüscher, *Chiral symmetry and the Yang–Mills gradient flow*, JHEP **04** (2013) 123 [arXiv:1302.5246].
- [40] M. Lüscher, Future applications of the Yang-Mills gradient flow in lattice QCD, PoS LATTICE2013 (2014) 016 [arXiv:1308.5598].
- [41] M. Lüscher, Step scaling and the Yang-Mills gradient flow, JHEP 06 (2014) 105 [arXiv:1404.5930].
- [42] L. Del Debbio, A. Patella and A. Rago, Space-time symmetries and the Yang-Mills gradient flow, JHEP 11 (2013) 212 [arXiv:1306.1173].
- [43] A. Shindler, Gradient Flow: Perturbative and Non-Perturbative Renormalization, EPJ Web Conf. 274 (2022) 01005 [arXiv:2301.07438].
- [44] R. V. Harlander, F. Lange and T. Neumann, *Hadronic vacuum polarization using gradient flow*, JHEP 08 (2020) 109 [arXiv:2007.01057].
- [45] R. V. Harlander and F. Lange, *Effective electroweak Hamiltonian in the gradient-flow formalism*, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) L071504 [arXiv:2201.08618].
- [46] J. Borgulat, R. V. Harlander, J. T. Kohnen and F. Lange, to appear.
- [47] RBC/UKQCD collaboration, T. Blum et al., *Domain wall QCD with physical quark masses*, Phys. Rev. **D93** (2016) 074505 [arXiv:1411.7017].
- [48] RBC/UKQCD collaboration, P. A. Boyle, L. Del Debbio, A. Jüttner, A. Khamseh, F. Sanfilippo and J. T. Tsang, *The decay constants* f_D and f_{D_s} in the continuum limit of $N_f = 2 + 1$ domain wall lattice QCD, JHEP **12** (2017) 008 [arXiv:1701.02644].
- [49] D. B. Kaplan, A Method for simulating chiral fermions on the lattice, Phys. Lett. B288 (1992) 342 [arXiv:hep-lat/9206013].

- [50] Y. Shamir, *Chiral fermions from lattice boundaries*, Nucl. Phys. **B406** (1993) 90 [arXiv:hep-lat/9303005].
- [51] V. Furman and Y. Shamir, Axial symmetries in lattice QCD with Kaplan fermions, Nucl. Phys. B439 (1995) 54 [arXiv:hep-lat/9405004].
- [52] T. Blum and A. Soni, QCD with domain wall quarks, Phys. Rev. D56 (1997) 174 [arXiv:hep-lat/9611030].
- [53] RBC/UKQCD collaboration, C. Allton et al., *Physical Results from 2+1 Flavor Domain Wall QCD and SU(2) Chiral Perturbation Theory*, Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 114509 [arXiv:0804.0473].
- [54] RBC/UKQCD collaboration, Y. Aoki et al., Continuum Limit Physics from 2+1 Flavor Domain Wall QCD, Phys.Rev. D83 (2011) 074508 [arXiv:1011.0892].
- [55] C. Morningstar and M. J. Peardon, *Analytic smearing of SU(3) link variables in lattice QCD*, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 054501 [arXiv:hep-lat/0311018].
- [56] R. C. Brower, H. Neff and K. Orginos, *The Möbius domain wall fermion algorithm*, Comput. Phys. Commun. 220 (2017) 1 [arXiv:1206.5214].
- [57] Particle Data Group collaboration, R. L. Workman and Others, *Review of Particle Physics*, PTEP **2022** (2022) 083C01.
- [58] ETM collaboration, N. Carrasco, P. Dimopoulos, R. Frezzotti, V. Lubicz, G. C. Rossi, S. Simula et al., $\Delta S=2$ and $\Delta C=2$ bag parameters in the standard model and beyond from $N_f=2+1+1$ twisted-mass lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) 034516 [arXiv:1505.06639].
- [59] N. Carrasco et al., $D^0 \overline{D}^0$ mixing in the standard model and beyond from $N_f = 2$ twisted mass QCD, Phys. Rev. D **90** (2014) 014502 [arXiv:1403.7302].
- [60] A. Bazavov et al., Short-distance matrix elements for D^0 -meson mixing for $N_f = 2 + 1$ lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 034513 [arXiv:1706.04622].
- [61] P. Boyle, G. Cossu, A. Portelli and A. Yamaguchi, github.com/paboyle/Grid: Grid.
- [62] P. A. Boyle, G. Cossu, A. Yamaguchi and A. Portelli, *Grid: A next generation data parallel C++ QCD library*, PoS LATTICE2015 (2016) 023.
- [63] A. Portelli, R. Abott, N. Asmussen, A. Barone, P. A. Boyle, F. Erben et al., github.com/aportelli/hadrons: Hadrons v1.3, Mar., 2022. 10.5281/zenodo.6382460.