

PROCEEDI

Update on flavor diagonal nucleon charges from clover fermions

Sungwoo Park,^{*a,b,c,∗*</sub> Tanmoy Bhattacharya,^{*d*} Rajan Gupta,^{*d*} Huey-Wen Lin,^{*f*}} **Santanu Mondal^e and Boram Yoon⁸**

Physical and Life Sciences Division, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, USA

- *Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA*
- *Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, 12000 Jefferson Avenue, Newport News, VA 23606, USA*
- *Theoretical Division T-2, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA*
- *Ibsyn Scientific, 75C Park St, Kolkata, India 700016*
- *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, MI, 48824, USA*
- *NVIDIA Corporation, Santa Clara, CA 95051, USA*
- *E-mail:* [park49@llnl.gov,](mailto:park49@llnl.gov) [tanmoy@lanl.gov,](mailto:tanmoy@lanl.gov) [rajan@lanl.gov,](mailto:rajan@lanl.gov)

[hwlin@pa.msu.edu,](mailto:hwlin@pa.msu.edu) [santanu.sinp@gmail.com,](mailto:santanu.sinp@gmail.com) byoon@nvidia.com

We present a summary of the full calculation of the axial, scalar and tensor flavor diagonal charges of the nucleon carried out using Wilson-clover fermions on eight ensembles generated using 2+1+1-flavors of highly improved staggered quarks (HISQ) by the MILC collaboration. We also give results for the 3×3 matrix of renormalization factors between the RI-sMOM and $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme for the 2+1 flavor theory that include flavor mixing. Preliminary results for $g_{A,S,T}^{u,d,s}$ are presented in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme at scale 2 GeV.

The 40th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory (Lattice 2023) July 31st - August 4th, 2023 Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

[∗]Speaker

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0). <https://pos.sissa.it/>

1. Introduction

We present lattice QCD results for flavor diagonal nucleon charges $g_{A,S,T}^{u,d,s}$ extracted from the matrix elements, within ground state nucleons, of axial, scalar, and tensor quark bilinear operators, $\overline{q}\Gamma q$ with the Dirac matrix $\Gamma = \gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5$, I, $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$, respectively. The calculations were done using Wilsonclover fermions on eight ensembles generated using 2+1+1-flavors of highly improved staggered quarks (HISQ) by the MILC collaboration [\[1\]](#page-7-0). The motivation for these calculations and much of the methodology used has already been published for g_A^q $\frac{q}{A}$ in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-7-1), g_T^q $\frac{q}{T}$ in [\[3\]](#page-7-2) and the the pionnucleon sigma term, $\sigma_{\pi N} = m_{u,d} \times g_S^{u+d}$, in [\[4\]](#page-7-3). A review of these quantities calculated until 2021 by various lattice collaborations has been presented in the latest FLAG report 2021 [\[5\]](#page-7-4). Here, we focus on the progress since Lattice 2022 [\[6\]](#page-7-5), in particular the full nonperturbative determination of renormalization factors including flavor mixing used to get $g_{A,S,T}^{u,d,s}$ in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme at scale 2 GeV.

2. Nonperturbative renormalization

We calculate the renormalization constants for the flavor diagonal bilinear operators O^f = $\bar{\psi}^f \Gamma \psi^f$ with Dirac matrix Γ and the flavor index $f = \{u, d, s\}$ in the $N_f = 3$ theory. The general relation between renormalized, O_R , and bare, O , operators including mixing between flavors is given by O_R^f $\sum_{f'}^{f} Z_{\Gamma}^{f'f'} O^{f'}$. We determine $Z_{\Gamma}^{f'f'}$ \int_{Γ}^{J} nonperturbatively on the lattice using the regularization independent (RI) renormalization scheme [\[7\]](#page-7-6) in which the renormalized vertex function is set to its tree-level value. The calculation is done with the gauge fields fixed to the landau gauge.

2.1 Flavor mixing in the RI scheme

We start with the amputated vertex function $\Gamma^{ff'}(p_1, p_2)$ defined as,

$$
\Gamma^{ff'}(p_1, p_2) = \langle S^f(p_1) \rangle^{-1} \langle \psi^f(p_1) O^{f'} \psi^f(p_2) \rangle \langle S^f(p_2) \rangle^{-1}
$$
 (1)

where ψ^f and S^f are the quark field and the propagator with flavor-f, and each $\langle \cdots \rangle$ is color traced. The three-point function $\langle \psi^f(p_1) O^{f'} \psi^f(p_2) \rangle$ has both connected and disconnected dia-grams shown in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) With the wave function renormalization Z_{ν}^{f} $\frac{d}{d\nu}$ defined by (Z_d^f) $(\psi^{f})^{1/2}\psi^{f} = \psi^{f}_{F}$ j
R' the renormalized amputated vertex function is

$$
\Gamma_R^{ff'}(p_1, p_2) = \frac{Z_{\Gamma}^{f'f''}}{Z_{\psi}^f} \Gamma^{ff''}(p_1, p_2), \qquad (2)
$$

which defines the renormalization (including mixing) matrix Z_{Γ} . Next we do a spin trace using a projection operator P chosen appropriately depending on the Dirac structure and momentum of O to give the projected amputated vertex function $\Lambda^{ff'}$ = Tr $[\mathbb{P} \Gamma^{ff'}]$, and the RI condition $\Lambda^{ff'}_{R}$ $\int_{R}^{ff'}$ = Tr $\left[\mathbb{P} \Gamma_R^{ff'} \right]$ $\begin{bmatrix} f' \\ g' \end{bmatrix} = \delta^{ff'}$ fixes it to its tree-level value. Lastly we absorb Z_{ψ} by defining $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{ff''}\equiv\big(Z_\psi^f$ \int_{ψ}^{f})⁻¹ Λ ^{f f''} and get a simple form for the $N_f \times N_f$ flavor renormalization matrix,

$$
Z_{\Gamma}^{ff'} = \left[(\widetilde{\Lambda}^T)^{-1} \right]^{ff'}.
$$
 (3)

The determination of Z_{μ}^{f} ψ^J connecting Λ and Λ is done using two methods discussed later.

Figure 1: The amputated vertex diagrams defined in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0)

The amputated vertex function $\Gamma^{ff'} = \Gamma_{\text{conn}}(m^f, \mu^2) \delta^{ff'} - \Gamma_{\text{disc}}(m^f, m^{f'}, \mu^2)$ gets contribu-tions from both the connected and disconnected diagrams shown in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) The (-) sign in Γ_{disc} is due to the anticommuting nature of the fermion fields, i.e., it accounts for the quark loop. The projected amputated vertex functions c_f and $d_{ff'}$, including the factor (Z_{μ}^f) $\binom{f}{w}$ ⁻¹, are given by

$$
\widetilde{c}_{\Gamma}^{f} = \frac{1}{Z_{\psi}^{f}} \text{Tr} \left[\mathbb{P}_{C} \Gamma_{\text{conn}}(m^{f}, \mu^{2}) \right] = \frac{c_{\Gamma}^{f}}{Z_{\psi}^{f}} \qquad \widetilde{d}_{\Gamma}^{f f'} = \frac{1}{Z_{\psi}^{f}} \text{Tr} \left[\mathbb{P}_{C} \Gamma_{\text{disc}}(m_{f}, m_{f'}, \mu^{2}) \right] = \frac{d_{\Gamma}^{f f'}}{Z_{\psi}^{f}}. \tag{4}
$$

For the $N_f = 2 + 1$ isospin symmetric theory relevant to this work, the determination of $Z^{ff'}$ requires calculating the following 6 quantities,

$$
c_{\Gamma}^l
$$
, c_{Γ}^s , d_{Γ}^{ll} , d_{Γ}^{ls} , d_{Γ}^{sl} , and d_{Γ}^{ss} . (5)

Working in the flavor basis $f \in \{u + d, u - d, s\}$, Z_{Γ} becomes block diagonal:

$$
Z_{\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{\Gamma}^{u-d,u-d} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z_{\Gamma}^{u+d,u+d} & Z_{\Gamma}^{u+d,s} \\ 0 & Z_{\Gamma}^{s,u+d} & Z_{\Gamma}^{ss} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{c}_{\Gamma}^{d} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \vec{c}_{\Gamma}^{d} - 2\vec{d}_{\Gamma}^{d} & -2\vec{d}_{\Gamma}^{sl} \\ 0 & -\vec{d}_{\Gamma}^{ls} & \vec{c}_{\Gamma}^{s} - \vec{d}_{\Gamma}^{ss} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.
$$
 (6)

2.2 RI-sMOM scheme

Calculation of Z_{Γ} is done in the RI-sMOM scheme [\[8\]](#page-7-7) in which the 4-momentum of the external legs $\{p_1, p_2\}$ satisfies the symmetric momentum condition $p_1^2 = p_2^2 = (p_1 - p_2)^2 = \mu^2$ with μ^2 defining the renormalization scale. We find that the matrix $Z^{RI\text{-}sMOM}(\mu)$ is close to diagonal with c_{τ}^l $\frac{l}{\Gamma} \sim O(1)$ and $d_{\Gamma}^{ff'}$ $f^{ff'}$ at most a few percent at $\mu \ge 2$ $\mu \ge 2$ GeV. This is illustrated in Fig. 2 for the scalar charge (largest mixing) for 3 disconnected projected amputated Green's function $d_{\Gamma}^{ff'}$ \int_{Γ}^{J} , including f' = charm, calculated at various μ . The value decreases as the quark mass in the loop is increased from light to strange to charm, becoming subpercent for charm for $\mu \geq 2$ GeV. To get a signal for such small mixing, we use the momentum source method and choose the momenta $\{p_1, p_2\}$ to minimize $O(4)$ -symmetry breaking. An example is $p_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1)$ and $p_2 = (1, 1, 1, -1)$.

2.3 Matching and RG running

At each value of the scale $\mu^2 = p_i^2 = q^2$, the renormalization factors (mixing matrix elements) in RI-sMOM scheme are matched perturbatively [\[9\]](#page-7-8) to $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme, $C^{\text{RI-SMOM}\rightarrow\overline{\text{MS}}}(\mu) = \frac{Z^{\text{RI}}(\mu)}{Z^{\overline{\text{MS}}}_\mu}$ $\frac{Z^{\text{H}}(\mu)}{Z^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(\mu)}$

Figure 2: Disconnected projected amputated Green's function $\tilde{d}_{\Gamma}^{ff'}$ with quark flavors f and f' for a scalar bilinear operator $O_S^{f'}$ $\int_{S}^{f'}$ at $M_{\pi} = 220$ MeV ensemble. Here, $\mu^2 = p^2 = p'^2 = (p - p')^2$ in the RI-sMOM scheme.

One of the advantages of using RI-sMOM scheme for the scalar channel is the better convergence in the perturbative series of the conversion factor compared to the RI-MOM scheme [\[8,](#page-7-7) [10\]](#page-7-9).

Flavor nonsinglet axial current is conserved and therefore there is no matching from RI to $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme nor RG running due to the Ward identity. On the other hand, conservation of the flavor singlet current is broken by the chiral anomaly, and the renormalization constant becomes nontrivial at the two-loop level. Here, we use the 3-loop anomalous dimension [\[11\]](#page-7-10) for the RG running. On the other hand, the matching to $\overline{\text{MS}}$ is $1 + O(\alpha^2)$ [\[12,](#page-7-11) [13\]](#page-7-12) and we drop the two-loop contribution that is expected to be subpercent. For scalar and tensor operators, two-loop conversion to $\overline{\text{MS}}$ [\[9\]](#page-7-8) and three-loop running [\[10,](#page-7-9) [14\]](#page-8-0) is used.

Remaining dependence on the RI-sMOM scale is removed by fits using the quadratic ansatz, $Z^{\overline{\rm MS}}(2\,{\rm GeV};\mu) = Z^{\overline{\rm MS}}(2\,{\rm GeV}) + c_1\mu^2 + c_2\mu^4$. We do not have enough data points at small μ^2 where non-perturbative effects can be large. So we make fits with a large lower value of μ , and no longer include a $1/\mu^2$ term in the ansatz as was done in Refs. [\[15,](#page-8-1) [16\]](#page-8-2).

2.4 Renormalization Strategies Z_1 and Z_2 based on Z_u^q $\hat{\psi}$

The renormalization constants for the *isovector* bilinear operators in the RI scheme is given by $Z_{\Gamma}|_{\text{RI}}(p) = \frac{Z_{\psi}(p)}{C_{\Gamma}(p)}$ $\frac{Z_{\psi}(p)}{C_{\Gamma}(p)}$, where $\sqrt{Z_{\psi}}$ is the renormalization constant for the fermion field and c_{Γ} is the projected amputated connected 3-point function calculated in Landau gauge and defined in Eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-1).

We calculate Z_{ψ} in two ways, which define the two renormalization strategies Z_1 and Z_2 :

- Z_1 : Z_{ψ} is calculated from the projected bare quark propagator, $Z_{\psi}(p) = \frac{i}{12}$ $\frac{i}{12p^2} \text{Tr} [S_B^{-1}(p) p]$
- Z_2 : We use $Z_{\psi}^{\text{VWI}}(p) = c_V(p)/g_V$, where c_V is the projected amputated connected 3-point function with insertion of the local vector operator within the quark state while the isovector vector charge g_V is from insertion of the vector current within the nucleon state. Using the vector Ward identity (VWI) $Z_V g_V = 1$ implies $Z_{\Gamma}|_{Z_2} = c_V/c_{\Gamma}$.

These two strategies were used in Ref. [\[15,](#page-8-1) [16\]](#page-8-2) for *isovector* bilinear operators of light quarks, i.e., $g_{\Gamma}Z_{\Gamma}$ and $Z_{\Gamma}/Z_V \times g_{\Gamma}/g_V$. Later, they were called Z_1 and Z_2 in Ref. [\[17\]](#page-8-3). In Ref. [\[15,](#page-8-1) [16\]](#page-8-2) we showed that they have different behavior versus q as the various discretization effects are different in Z_{ψ} and Z_{ψ}^{VWI} obtained from $S_B(p)$ and $c_V(p)/g_V$, respectively. Our data show

• For both the light and strange quarks, $Z_V|_{Z_1} \times g_V^{l,\text{bare}} \to 1$ as $a \to 0$, however the deviation at a given *a* increases for $m_l \rightarrow m_s$ (a significant mass effect due to discretization) as shown in

Figure 3: ${}_{V}^{f}Z_{V}^{f}$ $\frac{f}{V}|_{Z_1}$ for the light and strange valence quark masses. The isovector vector charge g_V^f $\frac{J}{V}$ is from the forward matrix element with a nucleon state for both quark masses. The vector renormalization factor is $Z_{\rm s}^j$ $|Z_{V}^{f}|Z_{1} = Z_{\psi}^{f}$ \int_{ψ}/c_{V}^{f} \int_V^f for a flavor $f \in \{l, s\}$. The vector Ward Identity (VWI), $g_V Z_V|_{Z_1} = 1$ is likely restored in the continuum limit, however, a linear extrapolation is insufficient.

Fig. [3.](#page-4-0) Satisfying this relation in the continuum limit implies that renormalization using Z_1 and Z_2 will give consistent results.

• Final continuum limit values of nucleon charges and form factors using Z_1 and Z_2 agree within the quoted errors [\[17\]](#page-8-3).

The data for Z_{Γ} in $\overline{\text{MS}}$ at 2 GeV is shown in Fig. [4](#page-5-0) for the *a*06*m*310 ensemble as a functions of μ along with a quadratic extrapolation in $\mu^2.$ The results for the diagonal parts of Z_1 and Z_2 show a difference which vanishes in the continuum limit. The off-diagonal mixing elements $Z_{\Gamma}^{u+d,s}$ $\sum_{\Gamma}^{u+d,s}$ and $Z_{\Gamma}^{s,u+d}$ Γ shown in the bottom two rows are all smaller than 1% and the Z_1 and Z_2 results essentially overlap.

3. Chiral-Continuum-Finite-Volume (CCFV) extrapolation and results

We have carried out four analyses. (i) Standard fits to remove ESC with Z_1 renormalization. (ii) Fits including $N\pi$ state to remove ESC with Z_1 renormalization. (iii) Standard fits to remove ESC with Z_2 renormalization. (iv) Fits including $N\pi$ state to remove ESC with Z_2 renormalization. For details on ESC fits see Refs. [\[4,](#page-7-3) [6\]](#page-7-5).

The renormalized axial, $g_A^{u,d,s}$ $_{A}^{u,d,s}$, tensor, $g_T^{u,d,s}$ $_{T}^{u,d,s}$, and strange scalar, g_S^s charges are extrapolated to the physical point, $a \to 0$, $M_\pi = 135$ MeV, and $M_\pi L \to \infty$ using the CCFV ansatz, $g(a, M_{\pi}, M_{\pi}L) = c_0 + c_a a + c_2 M_{\pi}^2 + c_3 \frac{M_{\pi}^2 e^{-M_{\pi}L}}{\sqrt{M_{\pi}L}}$ that includes the leading corrections in all three variables $\{a, M_{\pi}, M_{\pi}L\}$. For the scalar charges $g_{\varsigma}^{u,d}$ $\int_{S}^{u,a}$, the leading pion mass dependence starts $O(M_{\pi})$ due to the pion loop and a different finite volume correction [\[4\]](#page-7-3), $g_S^{u,d}$ $_{S}^{u,d}(a, M_{\pi}, M_{\pi}L) =$ $d_0 + d_a a + d_2 M_\pi + d_3 M_\pi^2 + d_4 M_\pi \left(1 - \frac{2}{M_\pi L}\right) e^{-M_\pi L}$. We ignore higher order terms (chiral logs, etc.) since we only have data at three values of M_{π} . Results (to be considered preliminary until published) for all four analyses are summarized in Table [1.](#page-6-0)

Figure [5](#page-6-1) shows CCFV fits to g_A^u . The data from two renormalization methods, Z1 and Z2 defined in Sec. [2.4,](#page-3-1) show different a and M_{π} dependence, but the extrapolated results are consistent. This

Figure 4: Z_{Γ} in $\overline{\text{MS}}$ at 2 GeV and quadratic extrapolation in μ^2 , calculated on a06m310 ensemble. The results from two different strategies, Z_1 (blue) and Z_2 (red) are being compared. Each column represents axial, scalar, tensor and vector operator, respectively. Each row represents one of the 5 nonzero matrix elements of Z in $\{u - d, u + d, s\}$ in Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-2).

holds for all charges $g_{A,S,T}^{u,d,s}$. Similarly, results from the two excited state fit methods, 'standard' and N π are consistent for $g_{A,T}^{u,d,s}$, however, there is a significant difference between the 'standard' and $N\pi$ results for the scalar charges $g_S^{u,d,s}$ $\int_{S}^{u,a,s}$. Note that the ESC fits to the current data do not distinguish between the two on the basis of χ^2/dof but give different estimates. The large enhancement in $g_{\sigma}^{u,d}$ $\int_S^{u,d}$ due to $N\pi$ state contribution is discussed in Ref. [\[4\]](#page-7-3). For the strange charges g_I^s $\frac{s}{\Gamma}$, we do not expect a large contribution from multihadron states since the lowest state is ΣK , which has a large mass gap ($> N(1440)$), so we consider the 'standard' analysis more appropriate than ' $N\pi$ '.

4. Conclusions

We have now determined all the nucleon flavor diagonal charges, $g_{A,S,T}^{u,d,s}$, removing previous approximations in renormalization and ESC fits made in Ref. [\[2,](#page-7-1) [3\]](#page-7-2), which are shown to be negligible. Further details will be provided in a paper under preparation. A key issue with the ES analysis is

| | Standard Method for removing ESC | | | $N\pi$ method | | |
|------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|----------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| \boldsymbol{q} | g_A^q | g_T^q | g_S^q | g_A^q | g_T^q | g_S^q |
| u | 0.794(29) | 0.789(27) | 6.48(66) | 0.784(34) | 0.788(37) | 8.8(1.3) |
| d | $-0.385(26)$ | $-0.203(11)$ | 6.09(73) | $-0.416(36)$ | $-0.188(17)$ | 8.69(89) |
| S | $-0.051(11)$ | $-0.0016(11)$ | 0.38(12) | $-0.066(12)$ | $-0.0016(11)$ | 0.67(16) |
| u | 0.784(30) | 0.778(28) | 6.45(68) | 0.777(34) | 0.780(37) | 8.9(1.4) |
| d | $-0.381(26)$ | $-0.201(12)$ | 6.09(75) | $-0.414(37)$ | $-0.185(17)$ | 8.75(91) |
| S | $-0.053(11)$ | $-0.0015(12)$ | 0.36(13) | $-0.069(13)$ | $-0.0015(12)$ | 0.67(17) |

Table 1: Preliminary results for flavor diagonal charges of proton (for neutron interchange $u \leftrightarrow d$) with the two strategies used to remove ESC, "standard" and $N\pi$. The top three rows give the results obtained using the Z_1 renormalization method, and the bottom three with the Z_2 renormalization method.

Figure 5: Each column shows the CCFV extrapolation for g_A^u versus a, M_π and $M_\pi L$ with the other 2 variables set to their physical point values. The rows give the 4 different analyses done: (i) {standard, Z_1 }, (ii) {standard, Z_2 }, (iii) { $N\pi$, Z_1 } and (iv) { $N\pi$, Z_2 }.

the need for a data driven method to distinguish between the 'standard' and ' $N\pi$ ' fits, particularly for $g_{AT}^{u,d}$. To address this limitation, we are increasing the statistics on two physical M_{π} ensembles.

Acknowledgments

We thank the MILC collaboration for providing the 2+1+1-flavor HISQ lattices. The calculations used the Chroma software suite [\[18\]](#page-8-4). This research used resources at (i) the NERSC, a DOE Office of Science facility supported under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231; (ii) the OLCF, a DOE Office of Science User Facility supported under Contract DE-AC05-00OR22725, through ALCC awards LGT107 and INCITE awards PHY138 and HEP133; (iii) the USQCD collaboration, which is funded by the Office of Science of the U.S. DOE; and (iv) Institutional Computing at Los Alamos National Laboratory. S.P. acknowledges support from the U.S. DOE Contract No. DE-AC05-06OR23177, under which Jefferson Science Associates, LLC, manages and operates Jefferson Lab. Also acknowledged is support from the Exascale Computing Project (17-SC-20-SC), a collaborative effort of the U.S. DOE Office of Science and the National Nuclear Security Administration. S.P. acknowledges the support of the DOE under contract No. DE-AC52-07NA27344 (LLNL) with support from the ASC COSMON project. T.B. and R.G. were partly supported by the U.S. DOE, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics under Contract No. DE-AC52- 06NA25396. S.P., T.B., R.G., S.M. and B.Y. were partly supported by the LANL LDRD program, and S.P. by the Center for Nonlinear Studies.

References

- [1] **MILC** Collaboration, A. Bazavov, C. Bernard, J. Komijani, C. DeTar, L. Levkova, W. Freeman, S. Gottlieb, R. Zhou, U. M. Heller, J. E. Hetrick, J. Laiho, J. Osborn, R. L. Sugar, D. Toussaint, and R. S. Van de Water *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013), no. 5 054505, [[1212.4768](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/1212.4768)].
- [2] H.-W. Lin, R. Gupta, B. Yoon, Y.-C. Jang, and T. Bhattacharya *Phys. Rev.* **D98** (2018), no. 9 094512, [[1806.10604](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/1806.10604)].
- [3] R. Gupta, B. Yoon, T. Bhattacharya, V. Cirigliano, Y.-C. Jang, and H.-W. Lin *Phys. Rev.* **D98** (2018), no. 9 091501, [[1808.07597](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/1808.07597)].
- [4] R. Gupta, S. Park, M. Hoferichter, E. Mereghetti, B. Yoon, and T. Bhattacharya *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** (2021), no. 24 242002, [[2105.12095](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/2105.12095)].
- [5] **Flavour Lattice Averaging Group (FLAG)** Collaboration, Y. Aoki *et al. Eur. Phys. J. C* **82** (2022), no. 10 869, [[2111.09849](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/2111.09849)].
- [6] S. Park, T. Bhattacharya, R. Gupta, H.-W. Lin, S. Mondal, and B. Yoon *PoS* **LATTICE2022** (2023) 118, [[2301.07890](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/2301.07890)].
- [7] G. Martinelli, C. Pittori, C. T. Sachrajda, M. Testa, and A. Vladikas *Nucl.Phys.* **B445** (1995) 81–108, [[hep-lat/9411010](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/9411010)].
- [8] C. Sturm, Y. Aoki, N. H. Christ, T. Izubuchi, C. T. C. Sachrajda, and A. Soni *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 014501, [[0901.2599](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/0901.2599)].
- [9] J. A. Gracey *Eur. Phys. J.* **C71** (2011) 1567, [[1101.5266](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/1101.5266)].
- [10] K. G. Chetyrkin and A. Retey *Nucl. Phys.* **B583** (2000) 3–34, [[hep-ph/9910332](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/9910332)].
- [11] S. A. Larin *Phys. Lett. B* **303** (1993) 113–118, [[hep-ph/9302240](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/9302240)].
- [12] J. Green, N. Hasan, S. Meinel, M. Engelhardt, S. Krieg, J. Laeuchli, J. Negele, K. Orginos, A. Pochinsky, and S. Syritsyn *Phys. Rev.* **D95** (2017), no. 11 114502, [[1703.06703](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/1703.06703)].
- [13] J. A. Gracey *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020), no. 3 036002, [[2001.11282](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/2001.11282)].
- [14] J. A. Gracey *Phys.Lett.* **B488** (2000) 175–181, [[hep-ph/0007171](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0007171)].
- [15] T. Bhattacharya, V. Cirigliano, S. D. Cohen, R. Gupta, H.-W. Lin, and B. Yoon *Phys. Rev.* **D94** (2016), no. 5 054508, [[1606.07049](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/1606.07049)].
- [16] B. Yoon *et al. Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017), no. 7 074508, [[1611.07452](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/1611.07452)].
- [17] **Nucleon Matrix Elements (NME)** Collaboration, S. Park, R. Gupta, B. Yoon, S. Mondal, T. Bhattacharya, Y.-C. Jang, B. Joó, and F. Winter *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022), no. 5 054505, [[2103.05599](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/2103.05599)].
- [18] **SciDAC, LHPC, UKQCD** Collaboration, R. G. Edwards and B. Joó *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **140** (2005) 832, [[hep-lat/0409003](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0409003)].