

Dissipative effects on the propagation of spin modes

Rajeev Singh,^{a,b,∗} Victor E. Ambrus^{c,d} and Radoslaw Ryblewski^e

- *Center for Nuclear Theory, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York, 11794-3800, USA*
- *Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China*
- *Institut für Theoretische Physik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität, Max-von-Laue-Strasse 1, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany*
- *Department of Physics, West University of Timisoara, Bd. Vasile Pârvan 4, Timisoara 300223, Romania*
- *Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, PL 31-342 Kraków, Poland E-mail:* [rajeevofficial24@gmail.com,](mailto:rajeevofficial24@gmail.com) [victor.ambrus@e-uvt.ro,](mailto:victor.ambrus@e-uvt.ro) radoslaw.ryblewski@ifj.edu.pl

In relativistic hydrodynamics with spin, following de Groot–van Leeuwen–van Weert's energymomentum and spin tensor definitions, we analyze the propagation of spin degrees of freedom. We deduce an analytical formula for spin wave velocity, finding that it approaches half the speed of light in the ultra-relativistic limit. Only transverse degrees of freedom propagate, similar to electromagnetic waves. Additionally, we explore dissipative effects and determine the damping coefficients for Maxwell-Jüttner statistics.

25th International Spin Physics Symposium (SPIN 2023) 24-29 September 2023 Durham, NC, USA

∗Speaker

 \odot Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0). <https://pos.sissa.it/>

1. Introduction

Measurements of $\Lambda(\bar{\Lambda})$ hyperon spin polarization [\[1](#page-7-0)[–4\]](#page-7-1) have ignited significant interest in spin dynamics, particularly concerning spin-orbit coupling [\[5–](#page-7-2)[10\]](#page-8-0). The polarization is theoretically rooted in spin-orbit coupling as per the Dirac equation, with Vilenkin's 1980s work [\[11\]](#page-8-1) indicating a chiral flow along vorticity in a Dirac particle gas [\[12\]](#page-8-2). This chiral flow, linked to the axial anomaly and affected by vorticity or electromagnetic (EM) fields, is termed 'anomalous transport' [\[12\]](#page-8-2) and led to hydrodynamics with triangle anomalies [\[13\]](#page-8-3). However, for massive particles like hyperons, axial current conservation is explicitly broken, making the axial chemical potential model less suitable [\[14\]](#page-8-4). Models based on spin thermodynamic equilibrium [\[15–](#page-8-5)[18\]](#page-8-6) have aligned well with polarization data, but differential polarization measurements remain unclear [\[2,](#page-7-3) [19\]](#page-8-7). This spurred the integration of spin into hydrodynamics [\[20,](#page-8-8) [21\]](#page-8-9), using energy-momentum and spin tensor definitions by de Groot, van Leeuwen, and van Weert (GLW) [\[22\]](#page-8-10), with recent developments in this formalism [\[22–](#page-8-10)[30\]](#page-9-0). This paper analyzes linear perturbations in perfect-fluid spin hydrodynamics [\[22,](#page-8-10) [31\]](#page-9-1), finding that spin degrees of freedom in the conservation equation are decoupled from the background fluid, leading to separate analyses for fluid and spin wave spectra [\[32\]](#page-9-2). The spin tensor linearization implies validity only for unpolarized backgrounds, yielding a general analytic spin wave velocity expression both Maxwell-Jüttner (MJ), revealing $c_{\rm spin} = c/2$ in the ultra-relativistic limit. Spin degrees of freedom are divided into electric (C_{κ}) and magnetic (C_{ω}) parts, analogous to EM waves. An analysis of the dissipative part of the spin tensor [\[24,](#page-9-3) [33\]](#page-9-4) for the ideal MJ gas shows that dissipative effects lead to the damping of both the transverse and the longitudinal components of the spin tensor [\[28\]](#page-9-5). This paper is structured as follows:^{[1](#page-1-0)} a review of spin hydrodynamics is presented in Sec. [2,](#page-1-1) followed by an analysis of spin polarization perturbations and wave solutions in Sec. [3.](#page-2-0) Dissipation effects on the propagation of the spin wave are discussed in Sec. [4.](#page-4-0) Section [5](#page-7-4) summarizes our conclusions.

2. Relativistic spin hydrodynamics

This section summarizes the GLW-based hydrodynamic framework for spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles with mass m , where spin effects are small, not influencing the conservation laws for charge, energy, and momentum, but stemming from angular momentum conservation. The conservation laws for baryon current and energy-momentum tensor are outlined as [\[20,](#page-8-8) [22,](#page-8-10) [31\]](#page-9-1).

$$
\partial_{\alpha} N^{\alpha}(x) = 0, \quad \partial_{\beta} T^{\alpha\beta}(x) = 0,
$$
\n(1)

where N^{α} and $T^{\alpha\beta}$ are the barvon current and the energy-momentum tensor, respectively. For a perfect (non-dissipative) fluid, we have [\[20\]](#page-8-8)

$$
N^{\alpha} = N U^{\alpha}, \quad T^{\alpha \beta} = \mathcal{E} U^{\alpha} U^{\beta} - \mathcal{P} \Delta^{\alpha \beta}.
$$
 (2)

In this context, N represents the baryon charge density, $\mathcal E$ the energy density, and $\mathcal P$ the pressure. The fluid's four-velocity is indicated by U^{μ} , and $\Delta^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta} - U^{\alpha}U^{\beta}$ serves as the projector onto the

¹We adopt the Minkowski metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1)$ and natural (Planck) units, with $c = \hbar = k_B = 1$ (unless stated otherwise). The dot product of four-vectors a^{α} and b^{α} is $a \cdot b = a^{\alpha}b_{\alpha} = a^0b^0 - a \cdot b$, and for the Levi-Civita tensor $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$, we use $\epsilon^{txyz} = +1$. Antisymmetrization is denoted by square brackets, $M_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(M_{\mu\nu} - M_{\nu\mu})$.

surface orthogonal to U^{μ} . The symmetry of the energy-momentum tensor [\(2\)](#page-1-2) necessitates separate conservation of spin, as per total angular momentum conservation, $\partial_{\alpha} S^{\alpha,\beta\gamma}(x) = 0$ [\[22\]](#page-8-10).

Quantum effects like non-local collisions can lead to deviations from above conservation equation [\[34–](#page-9-6)[38\]](#page-9-7), potentially causing the spin polarization tensor $\omega^{\mu\nu}$ [\(5\)](#page-2-1) to align with the local thermal vorticity. However, as the precise relaxation equation is still unknown, such effects are not included in our current analysis. At leading order, the spin tensor is decomposed as [\[22,](#page-8-10) [27,](#page-9-8) [31\]](#page-9-1).

$$
S^{\alpha,\beta\gamma} = S_{\text{ph}}^{\alpha,\beta\gamma} + S_{\Delta}^{\alpha,\beta\gamma}, \tag{3a}
$$

where $S_{\text{ph}}^{\alpha,\beta\gamma}$ (phenomenological) and $S_{\Delta}^{\alpha,\beta\gamma}$ $\alpha, \beta\gamma$ (auxiliary) contributions are [\[20,](#page-8-8) [27\]](#page-9-8)

$$
S_{\rm ph}^{\alpha,\beta\gamma} = (\mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_3)U^{\alpha}\omega^{\beta\gamma},\tag{3b}
$$

$$
S^{\alpha,\beta\gamma}_{\Delta} = (2\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_3) U^{\alpha} U^{\delta} U^{[\beta} \omega^{\gamma]}_{\delta} + \mathcal{A}_3 \left(\Delta^{\alpha\delta} U^{[\beta} \omega^{\gamma]}_{\delta} + U^{\alpha} \Delta^{\delta[\beta} \omega^{\gamma]}_{\delta} + U^{\delta} \Delta^{\alpha[\beta} \omega^{\gamma]}_{\delta} \right)
$$

with the thermodynamic coefficients [\[28\]](#page-9-5)

$$
\mathcal{A}_1 = \frac{\mathfrak{s}^2}{9} \left[\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial \xi} \right)_{\beta} - \frac{2}{m^2} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \beta} \right)_{\xi} \right], \quad \mathcal{A}_3 = \frac{2\mathfrak{s}^2}{9} \left[\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial \xi} \right)_{\beta} + \frac{1}{m^2} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \beta} \right)_{\xi} \right].
$$
 (4)

We utilized general formulas for \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_3 that are statistics-independent in our kinetic model [\[28\]](#page-9-5). For expressions specific to MJ statistics in an ideal gas, see Ref. [\[22\]](#page-8-10). Here, $\xi = \mu/T$ denotes the chemical potential to temperature ratio, β is the inverse temperature, and $s^2 = s(s+1)$, which equals $3/4$ for spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles, represents the square of the spin angular momentum magnitude [\[31\]](#page-9-1). Additionally, we define $z = m/T$ as the mass to temperature ratio. The antisymmetric spin polarization tensor $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ is given as [\[20\]](#page-8-8)

$$
\omega_{\mu\nu} = \kappa_{\mu} U_{\nu} - \kappa_{\nu} U_{\mu} + \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} U^{\alpha} \omega^{\beta}.
$$
 (5)

In this structure, κ_{μ} and ω_{μ} comprise six independent components. By design, these four-vectors are orthogonal to U^{μ} , satisfying $\kappa_{\mu} U^{\mu} = \omega_{\mu} U^{\mu} = 0$,

$$
\kappa_{\mu} = \omega_{\mu\alpha} U^{\alpha}, \quad \omega_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \omega^{\alpha\beta} U^{\gamma}, \tag{6}
$$

which, in the rest frame of the fluid, reduce to

$$
\kappa^{\mu} = (0, C_{\kappa}) = (0, C_{\kappa X}, C_{\kappa Y}, C_{\kappa Z}), \quad \omega^{\mu} = (0, C_{\omega}) = (0, C_{\omega X}, C_{\omega Y}, C_{\omega Z}), \tag{7}
$$

with C_{κ} and C_{ω} being the spin polarization components [\[22,](#page-8-10) [31\]](#page-9-1).

3. Spin mode dispersion relation

Now, examining the propagation of small disturbances in a fluid with spin degrees of freedom, we note that the background fluid's conservation equations [\(1\)](#page-1-3) are unaffected by polarization [\[31\]](#page-9-1), yielding the familiar sound wave spectrum [\[32\]](#page-9-2) that travels at the sound speed

$$
c_s^2 = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial \mathcal{E}}\right)_N + \frac{N}{\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{P}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial N}\right)_\mathcal{E}.
$$
 (8)

FIGURE 1 – (Left panel) c_{spin} as a function of z for MJ statistics together with small and large z limits [\[30\]](#page-9-0). (Right panel) The damping coefficients' dependence on z for longitudinal ($v_{\omega,||}$, $v_{\kappa,||}$, beginning at 0.2) and transverse ($v_{k, \perp}$, v_{\perp} , and $v_{\omega, \perp}$, starting at 0.15) modes, calculated with $\sigma^2 = 3/4$ as per Eqs. [\(25\)](#page-5-0), [\(27\)](#page-5-1), and [\(30\)](#page-6-0) [\[28\]](#page-9-5).

Turning to excitations within the spin tensor [\(3a\)](#page-2-2), we assume a stationary background fluid (U^{μ} = $g^{t\mu}$) and treat $\omega^{\mu\nu}$ as a small factor, indicating an unpolarized background fluid. Under these conditions, Eqs. [\(3b\)](#page-2-3) and [\(3c\)](#page-2-3) simplify to

$$
S_{\text{ph}}^{\alpha,\mu\nu} = (\mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_3) g^{t\alpha} \omega^{\mu\nu},
$$

\n
$$
S_{\Delta}^{\alpha,\mu\nu} = 2(\mathcal{A}_1 - 2\mathcal{A}_3) g^{t\alpha} g^{t[\mu} \omega^{\nu]t} + \mathcal{A}_3 (g^{t[\mu} \omega^{\nu]\alpha} + g^{\alpha[\mu} \omega^{\nu]t} - g^{t\alpha} \omega^{\mu\nu}).
$$
\n(9)

Assuming homogeneity in the x and y directions, taking the divergence of Eq. [\(9\)](#page-3-0) results in

$$
\partial_{\alpha} S_{\text{ph}}^{\alpha,\mu\nu} = (\mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_3) \partial_t \omega^{\mu\nu}, \n\partial_{\alpha} S_{\Delta}^{\alpha,\mu\nu} = (2\mathcal{A}_1 - 3\mathcal{A}_3) g^{t[\mu} \partial_t \omega^{\nu]t} + \mathcal{A}_3 (\partial^{[\mu} \omega^{\nu]t} - \partial_t \omega^{\mu\nu} + g^{t[\mu} \partial_z \omega^{\nu]z}),
$$
\n(10)

which for $\mu = 0$, $\nu = i$ and $\mu = i$, $\nu = j$, respectively, give

$$
\partial_{\alpha} S^{\alpha,ti} = \mathcal{A}_3 \left(\partial_t \omega^{ti} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_z \omega^{iz} \right), \quad \partial_{\alpha} S^{\alpha,ij} = \mathcal{A}_1 \partial_t \omega^{ij} + \mathcal{A}_3 \partial^{[i} \omega^{j]t}.
$$
 (11)

Considering Eq. [\(7\)](#page-2-4), the components of $\omega^{\mu\nu}$ are expressible in terms of $C_{\kappa i}$ and $C_{\omega k}$ as

$$
\omega^{ti} = -C_{\kappa i}, \qquad \omega^{ij} = -\epsilon^{tijk} C_{\omega k}.
$$
 (12)

Requiring $\partial_{\alpha} S^{\alpha,\mu\nu} = 0$, we get

$$
\partial_t C_{\kappa i} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijz} \partial_z C_{\omega j} = 0, \quad \partial_t C_{\omega i} - \frac{\mathcal{A}_3}{2\mathcal{A}_1} \epsilon^{ijz} \partial_z C_{\kappa j} = 0.
$$
 (13)

The presence of the Levi-Civita symbol results in $\partial_t C_{\kappa Z} = \partial_t C_{\omega Z} = 0$, meaning that the longitudinal components do not propagate. Consequently, polarization propagates solely as transverse waves, akin to EM waves. This is derived by considering $i = x$, y in Eq. [\(13\)](#page-3-1), yielding

$$
\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - c_{\text{spin}}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right) C = 0,
$$
\n(14)

with $C \in \{C_{\kappa X}, C_{\kappa Y}, C_{\omega X}, C_{\omega Y}\}\$ and spin wave speed fulfils

$$
c_{\text{spin}}^2 = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\mathcal{A}_3}{\mathcal{A}_1} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\partial \mathcal{E}/\partial T)_{\xi} - z^2 (\partial N/\partial \xi)_T}{(\partial \mathcal{E}/\partial T)_{\xi} + \frac{z^2}{2} (\partial N/\partial \xi)_T}.
$$
(15)

In $z \to 0$ (ultra-relativistic) limit, c_{spin} reduces to 1/2 regardless of statistics. The formula for c_{spin}^2 can be written for the ideal MJ gas as

$$
c_{\text{spin}}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{K_3(z)}{K_3(z) + \frac{z}{2} K_2(z)},\tag{16}
$$

that is independent of chemical potential ξ , which for $z \ll 1$ and for $z \gg 1$ (non-relativistic limit) reduce to

$$
c_{\text{spin}}\Big|_{z \ll 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{z^2}{16} + O(z^4) \right], \quad c_{\text{spin}}\Big|_{z \gg 1} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2z}}, \tag{17}
$$

respectively. Figure [1](#page-3-2) shows that c_{spin} monotonically decreases with increasing z as $0 < c_{spin} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$. The lower limit of this range corresponds to a cold, massive particle gas (non-relativistic limit), and the upper limit applies to high temperatures or massless particles.

4. Dissipative effects on spin mode propagation

This section examines how dissipation affects the propagation of spin modes, drawing on the analysis of dissipative effects from Ref. [\[33\]](#page-9-4). Under the relaxation time approximation, the dissipative adjustments to $T^{\mu\nu}$ and N^{μ} are found to be independent of the spin tensor, with the dissipative correction to the spin component expressed as

$$
\delta S^{\lambda,\mu\nu} = \tau_R (B_{\Pi}^{\lambda,\mu\nu} \theta + B_n^{\kappa\lambda,\mu\nu} \nabla_{\kappa} \xi + B_\pi^{\kappa\delta\lambda,\mu\nu} \sigma_{\kappa\delta} + B_{\Sigma}^{\eta\beta\gamma\lambda,\mu\nu} \nabla_{\eta} \omega_{\beta\gamma}),\tag{18}
$$

with τ_R being the relaxation time and $\nabla_\mu = \Delta_\mu{}^\nu \partial_\nu = \partial_\mu - U_\mu U^\nu \partial_\nu$. In this section, we continue examining small perturbations in an unpolarized background in thermal equilibrium. Our focus is on understanding how dissipation affects these perturbations in spin hydrodynamics and ensuring the theory's stability. We treat perturbation amplitudes, including $\delta S^{\lambda,\mu\nu} \sim \omega^{\mu\nu}$, as infinitesimal but allow for large gradient magnitudes proportional to the wave number k . This approach helps identify potential instabilities at small wavelengths ($k \to \infty$), though it's important to note that our analysis may not fully capture the physics when $\tau_R \gg 1$ and/or $k \gg 1$. Referring to Eq. [\(18\)](#page-4-1), the coefficients $B_{\tau}^{\lambda,\mu\nu}$ $\lim_{\Pi} \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} \mu, B_n^{k\partial\lambda,\mu\nu}$ are linked to the spin polarization tensor $\omega^{\mu\nu}$, treated as first-order relative to perturbation amplitude in an unpolarized background. These coefficients are multiplied by first-order gradient terms like $\theta = \partial_{\mu} u^{\mu}$, $\nabla_{\kappa} \xi$, and $\sigma_{\kappa} \delta = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{\kappa} u_{\delta} + \nabla_{\delta} u_{\kappa}) - \frac{1}{3}\theta \Delta_{\kappa \delta}.$ Given their second-order nature with respect to perturbation amplitude, the first three terms in Eq. [\(18\)](#page-4-1) can be disregarded, allowing us to concentrate on the last term [\[33\]](#page-9-4)

$$
B_{\Sigma}^{\eta\beta\gamma\lambda,\mu\nu} = B_{\Sigma}^{(1)} \Delta^{\lambda\eta} g^{\beta[\mu} g^{\nu]\gamma} + B_{\Sigma}^{(2)} \Delta^{\lambda\eta} u^{\gamma} u^{[\mu} \Delta^{\nu]\beta} + B_{\Sigma}^{(3)} (\Delta^{\lambda\eta} \Delta^{\gamma[\mu} g^{\nu]\beta} + \Delta^{\lambda\gamma} \Delta^{\eta[\mu} g^{\nu]\beta} + \Delta^{\gamma\eta} \Delta^{\lambda[\mu} g^{\nu]\beta}) + B_{\Sigma}^{(4)} \Delta^{\gamma\eta} \Delta^{\lambda[\mu} \Delta^{\nu]\beta} + B_{\Sigma}^{(5)} u^{\gamma} \Delta^{\lambda\beta} u^{[\mu} \Delta^{\nu]\eta},
$$
\n(19)

where $B_{\infty}^{(i)}$ $_{\Sigma}^{(i)}$ are [\[28\]](#page-9-5) $B_{r}^{(1)}$ $\zeta_{\Sigma}^{(1)} = -\frac{4s^2 \cosh \xi}{3}$ $\frac{\cosh \xi}{3} I_{21}^{(1)}, \quad B_{\Sigma}^{(2)} = -\frac{8s^2 \cosh \xi}{3m^2}$ $\overline{3m^2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $I_{41}^{(1)}$ + $I_{41}^{(1)}I_{31}^{(0)}$ $\overline{m^2I_{10}^{(0)}-2I_{31}^{(0)}}$), $B_{\Sigma}^{(3)} = -\frac{8s^2 \cosh \xi}{3m^2}$ $\frac{\cosh \xi}{3m^2} I_{42}^{(1)},$ $B_{\rm S}^{(4)}$ $\zeta^{(4)} = -\frac{8s^2 \cosh \xi}{3m^2}$ $\frac{2}{3}m^2$ $I_{41}^{(1)}I_{31}^{(0)}$ $m^2 I_{10}^{(0)} - (I_{30}^{(0)} + I_{31}^{(0)})$ $B_{\Sigma}^{(5)} = \frac{8s^2 \cosh \xi}{3m^2}$ $\frac{3m^2}{2m}$ $I_{41}^{(1)}I_{31}^{(0)}$ $\overline{m^2I_{10}^{(0)}-2I_{31}^{(0)}}$ (20)

and $I_{na}^{(r)}$ are thermodynamic integrals. As $A^{\eta} \nabla_{\eta} \omega_{\beta\gamma}$ simplifies to $A^{\zeta} \partial_{\zeta} \omega_{\beta\gamma}$, the index η can be effectively replaced with z , hence, we proceed with the splitting

$$
\partial_{\lambda} \delta S^{\lambda, \mu\nu} = \tau_R \sum_{i} B_{\Sigma}^{(i)} \mathfrak{T}^{(i)\mu\nu}, \qquad (21)
$$

and obtain

$$
\mathfrak{T}^{(1)\mu\nu} = -\partial_z^2 \omega^{\mu\nu}, \quad \mathfrak{T}^{(2)\mu\nu} = -g^{t[\mu} \partial_z^2 \omega^{\nu]t}, \quad \mathfrak{T}^{(3)\mu\nu} = \partial_z^2 \omega^{\mu\nu} + g^{t[\mu} \partial_z^2 \omega^{\nu]t} + 2g^{z[\mu} \partial_z^2 \omega^{\nu]z}, \n\mathfrak{T}^{(4)\mu\nu} = g^{z[\mu} \partial_z^2 \omega^{\nu]z} - g^{z[\mu} g^{\nu]t} \partial_z^2 \omega^{tz}, \quad \mathfrak{T}^{(5)\mu\nu} = g^{z[\mu} g^{\nu]t} \partial_z^2 \omega^{tz}.
$$
\n(22)

Summing the above terms together, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\tau_R} \partial_{\lambda} \delta S^{\lambda, \mu\nu} = -\left(B_{\Sigma}^{(1)} - B_{\Sigma}^{(3)}\right) \partial_z^2 \omega^{\mu\nu} - \left(B_{\Sigma}^{(2)} - B_{\Sigma}^{(3)}\right) g^{t[\mu} \partial_z^2 \omega^{\nu]t} + 2B_{\Sigma}^{(3)} g^{z[\mu} \partial_z^2 \omega^{\nu]z} - \left(B_{\Sigma}^{(4)} - B_{\Sigma}^{(5)}\right) g^{z[\mu} g^{\nu]t} \partial_z^2 \omega^{tz} + B_{\Sigma}^{(4)} g^{z[\mu} \partial_z^2 \omega^{\nu]z}.
$$
\n(23)

We know that $\omega^{ti} = -C_{ki}$ and $\omega^{ij} = -\epsilon^{0ijk} C_{\omega k}$, thus, we get

$$
\partial_{\lambda}\delta S^{\lambda,tx} = v_{\kappa,\perp}\mathcal{A}_{3}\partial_{z}^{2}C_{\kappa X}, \qquad \partial_{\lambda}\delta S^{\lambda,yz} = v_{\omega,\perp}\mathcal{A}_{1}\partial_{z}^{2}C_{\omega X}, \n\partial_{\lambda}\delta S^{\lambda,ty} = v_{\kappa,\perp}\mathcal{A}_{3}\partial_{z}^{2}C_{\kappa Y}, \qquad \partial_{\lambda}\delta S^{\lambda,zx} = v_{\omega,\perp}\mathcal{A}_{1}\partial_{z}^{2}C_{\omega Y}, \n\partial_{\lambda}\delta S^{\lambda,tz} = v_{\kappa,||}\mathcal{A}_{3}\partial_{z}^{2}C_{\kappa Z}, \qquad \partial_{\lambda}\delta S^{\lambda,xy} = v_{\omega,||}\mathcal{A}_{1}\partial_{z}^{2}C_{\omega Z}, \qquad (24)
$$

where we identified $(v_{\kappa,||}, v_{\omega,||})$ and $(v_{\kappa, \perp}, v_{\omega, \perp})$ as longitudinal and transverse kinematic viscosities, respectively,

$$
\nu_{\kappa,||} = \frac{\tau_R}{\mathcal{A}_3} B_{\Sigma}^{(3)}, \quad \nu_{\omega,||} = \frac{\tau_R}{\mathcal{A}_1} \left(B_{\Sigma}^{(1)} - B_{\Sigma}^{(3)} \right), \n\nu_{\kappa, \perp} = \frac{\tau_R}{\mathcal{A}_3} \left(B_{\Sigma}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} B_{\Sigma}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} B_{\Sigma}^{(3)} \right), \quad \nu_{\omega, \perp} = \frac{\tau_R}{\mathcal{A}_1} \left(B_{\Sigma}^{(1)} - 2 B_{\Sigma}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2} B_{\Sigma}^{(4)} \right). \tag{25}
$$

Putting in Eq. [\(11\)](#page-3-3) reveals that both $C_{\kappa Z}$ and $C_{\omega Z}$ demonstrate exponential decay

$$
\partial_t C_{\kappa Z} - \nu_{\kappa,||} \partial_z^2 C_{\kappa Z} = 0, \quad \partial_t C_{\omega Z} - \nu_{\omega,||} \partial_z^2 C_{\omega Z} = 0. \tag{26}
$$

Assuming $C_{\kappa/\omega;Z} \sim e^{-i\omega t + ikz} \widetilde{C}_{\kappa/\omega;Z}$, where $\widetilde{C}_{\kappa/\omega;Z}$ is a constant, we obtain $\omega = -ik^2 v_{\kappa/\omega,||}$ where

$$
\nu_{\kappa,||} = \frac{4s^2 \tau_R}{45G(z)} [-5z + G(z)(3 + z^2) - z^2 Gi(z)] \approx \frac{4s^2 \tau_R}{15} \left[1 - \frac{z^2}{12} + O(z^4) \right],
$$

\n
$$
\nu_{\omega,||} = \frac{4s^2 \tau_R}{15(2G(z) + z)} [5z + G(z)(2 - z^2) + z^2 Gi(z)] \approx \frac{4s^2 \tau_R}{15} \left[1 - \frac{z^4}{16} + O(z^5) \right], \quad (27)
$$

with $G(z) = K_3(z)/K_2(z)$ and $Gi(z) = Ki_1(z)/K_2(z)$. Further employing a Fourier decomposition $C = \widetilde{C}e^{-i\omega t + ikz}$ of the transverse modes give

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\omega + ik^2 v_{\kappa, \perp} & -k/2 \\
\frac{k \mathcal{A}_3}{2\mathcal{A}_1} & \omega + ik^2 v_{\omega, \perp}\n\end{pmatrix}\n\begin{pmatrix}\n\widetilde{C}_{\kappa X} \\
\widetilde{C}_{\omega Y}\n\end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad\n\begin{pmatrix}\n\omega + ik^2 v_{\kappa, \perp} & k/2 \\
-\frac{k \mathcal{A}_3}{2\mathcal{A}_1} & \omega + ik^2 v_{\omega, \perp}\n\end{pmatrix}\n\begin{pmatrix}\n\widetilde{C}_{\kappa Y} \\
\widetilde{C}_{\omega X}\n\end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (28)
$$

where the dispersion relation is

$$
\omega_{\pm} = -ik^2 \nu_{\perp} \pm kc_{\text{spin}} \,, \qquad \nu_{\perp} = \frac{\nu_{\kappa, \perp} + \nu_{\omega, \perp}}{2} \,, \quad c_{\text{spin}}^2 = -\frac{\mathcal{A}_3}{4\mathcal{A}_1} - \frac{k^2}{4} \left(\nu_{\kappa, \perp} - \nu_{\omega, \perp}\right)^2 \,. \tag{29}
$$

The damping coefficient, v_{\perp} , is the average of the individual damping coefficients for κ and ω

$$
\nu_{\perp} = \frac{2\mathfrak{s}^2 \tau_R [3G(z) + 2z]}{45G(z)[2G(z) + z]} [-5z + G(z)(3 + z^2) - z^2 \text{Gi}(z)] \simeq \frac{\mathfrak{s}^2 \tau_R}{5} \left[1 - \frac{z^2}{24} + O(z^4) \right]. \tag{30}
$$

The spin wave speed undergoes a negative dissipative correction, estimated as $c_{\text{spin}}^2 = c_{\text{spin}:0}^2(1 \delta c_{\text{spin}}^2$), with $c_{\text{spin:0}}^2 = -\mathcal{A}_3/4\mathcal{A}_1 > 0$, and

$$
\delta c_{\rm spin}^2 = \frac{k^2 (\nu_{\kappa,\perp} - \nu_{\omega,\perp})^2}{-\mathcal{A}_3/\mathcal{A}_1} \simeq \frac{k^2 \mathfrak{s}^4 \tau_R^2}{8100} z^4 [1 + O(z^6)],\tag{31}
$$

which is suppressed for small values of z . However, for finite z , a sufficiently large wave number can make c_{spin}^2 negative, occurring when k surpasses a certain threshold value

$$
k_{\rm th} = \frac{2c_{\rm spin;0}}{|v_{\kappa,\perp} - v_{\omega,\perp}|}.
$$
 (32)

When k exceeds k_{th} , c_{spin} turns imaginary, halting wave propagation, similar to effects seen in first-order hydrodynamics of spinless systems. An example is sound modes in ultra-relativistic fluids, where $\tau_R k_{\text{th}} = \frac{5\eta}{4\varphi}$ $\frac{5\eta}{4P}k_{\text{th}} = 15/2$ [\[32\]](#page-9-2). In scenarios where $k \gg k_{\text{th}}$, Eq. [\(29\)](#page-6-1) indicates that stability is maintained provided, $v_{\perp} - \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}_{\kappa, \perp} - \mathbf{v}_{\omega, \perp}| = \min(\mathbf{v}_{\kappa, \perp}, \mathbf{v}_{\omega, \perp}) > 0$, which remains true for the formalism studied here. This can be checked analytically for small values of z , when

$$
\nu_{\kappa,\perp} \simeq \frac{\mathfrak{s}^2 \tau_R}{5} \left[1 - \frac{z^2}{72} + O(z^4) \right], \quad \nu_{\omega,\perp} \simeq \frac{\mathfrak{s}^2 \tau_R}{5} \left[1 - \frac{5z^2}{72} + O(z^4) \right],\tag{33}
$$

and $\tau_R k_{\rm th} \simeq 18/(5z^2 \mathfrak{s}^2)$ $\tau_R k_{\rm th} \simeq 18/(5z^2 \mathfrak{s}^2)$ $\tau_R k_{\rm th} \simeq 18/(5z^2 \mathfrak{s}^2)$. The right panel of Fig. 1 shows that both $v_{k,\perp}$ and $v_{\omega,\perp}$ stay positive for large z , indicating stability against linear perturbations.

We now assess the effect of dissipation on spin wave propagation in heavy-ion collisions, focusing on the $z \ll 1$ limit. In this case, the shear viscosity η relates to the relaxation time as $\eta = \frac{4}{5}$ $\frac{4}{5}\tau_R P$ [\[32\]](#page-9-2). Imposing a constant η/S ratio, where $S = (\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{P} - \mu \mathcal{N})/T \approx 4\mathcal{P}/T$ represents the entropy density (assuming $|\xi| \ll 1$), we find

$$
\tau_R \simeq \frac{5}{4\pi^2 T} \times (4\pi \eta/S). \tag{34}
$$

Putting $s^2 = 3/4$, the damping time $t_{\text{damp};\perp} = 1/k^2 v_{\perp}$ can be computed as

$$
t_{\text{damp};\perp} \simeq \frac{4\lambda^2 T/3}{4\pi \eta/S} = \left(\frac{\lambda}{1 \text{ fm}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{T}{600 \text{ MeV}}\right) \times \frac{4 \text{ fm}/c}{4\pi \eta/S},\tag{35}
$$

with $\lambda = 2\pi/k$ as the wavelength, indicating that the lifespan of spin waves is comparable to that of the QGP fireball.

5. Summary

In this study, we explored the wave spectrum in spin hydrodynamics using the GLW pseudogauge, focusing on the antisymmetric tensor $\omega^{\mu\nu}$ with six independent degrees of freedom, split into three electric and three magnetic components. Our findings highlight the transverse nature of spin waves in ideal fluids, where longitudinal components don't propagate, but transverse ones do, similar to EM waves. The spin wave speed, c_{spin} , varies with medium parameters (temperature T, chemical potential μ) and particle properties (mass m, statistics). In the ultra-relativistic limit $(z = m/T \ll 1)$, $c_{\text{spin}} \simeq 1/2$, regardless of statistics. For an ideal MJ gas, c_{spin} is unaffected by $\xi = \mu/T$. In the large z limit, we found that $c_{spin} \sim 1/\sqrt{2z}$ for classical (Maxwell-Jüttner) statistics. Dissipation effects on spin waves show that all transverse components are damped similarly (v_1) , while longitudinal components decay at different rates ($v_{\kappa,||}$ and $v_{\omega,||}$). Viscous corrections affect $c_{\rm spin}$ significantly at high wave numbers, turning imaginary beyond a threshold wavenumber $k_{\rm th}$, thus preventing wave propagation. This approach, focusing on $\omega^{\alpha\beta}$, does not encompass anomalous transport phenomena. Adding vortical terms to N^{α} and $T^{\alpha\beta}$ alters the fluid sector's wave spectrum, introducing excitations like the chiral magnetic wave [\[39\]](#page-9-9), chiral vortical wave [\[40\]](#page-9-10), or helical vortical wave [\[41\]](#page-9-11). Future research could intriguingly explore the interaction between anomalous transport and spin polarization tensor dynamics.

Acknowledgements. R.S. acknowledges the support of Polish NAWA Bekker program No.: BPN/BEK/2021/1/00342. V.E.A. acknowledges support through a grant of the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitization, CNCS - UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P1-1.1-TE-2021-1707, within PNCDI III. This research was also supported in part by the Polish National Science Centre Grant No. 2018/30/E/ST2/00432.

References

- [1] **STAR** Collaboration, L. Adamczyk *et al.*, "Global Λ hyperon polarization in nuclear collisions: evidence for the most vortical fluid," *Nature* **548** [\(2017\) 62–65,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature23004) [arXiv:1701.06657 \[nucl-ex\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1701.06657).
- [2] **STAR** Collaboration, J. Adam *et al.*, "Polarization of Λ ($\bar{\Lambda}$) hyperons along the beam direction in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV," *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.132301)* **123** no. 13, (2019) [132301,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.132301) [arXiv:1905.11917 \[nucl-ex\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1905.11917).
- [3] **ALICE** Collaboration, S. Acharya *et al.*, "Evidence of Spin-Orbital Angular Momentum Interactions in Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** [no. 1, \(2020\) 012301,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.012301) [arXiv:1910.14408 \[nucl-ex\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1910.14408).
- [4] **ALICE** Collaboration, S. Acharya *et al.*, "Global polarization of ΛΛ¯ hyperons in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 and 5.02 TeV," *Phys. Rev. C* 101 [no. 4, \(2020\) 044611,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.101.044611) [arXiv:1909.01281 \[nucl-ex\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1909.01281).
- [5] Z.-T. Liang and X.-N. Wang, "Globally polarized quark-gluon plasma in non-central A+A collisions," *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.102301, 10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.039901)* **94** (2005) 102301, [arXiv:nucl-th/0410079 \[nucl-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0410079). [Erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett.96,039901(2006)].
- [6] Z.-T. Liang and X.-N. Wang, "Spin alignment of vector mesons in non-central A+A collisions," *Phys. Lett.* **B629** [\(2005\) 20–26,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2005.09.060) [arXiv:nucl-th/0411101 \[nucl-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0411101).
- [7] J.-H. Gao, S.-W. Chen, W.-T. Deng, Z.-T. Liang, Q. Wang, and X.-N. Wang, "Global quark" polarization in non-central A+A collisions," *Phys. Rev.* **C77** [\(2008\) 044902,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.77.044902) [arXiv:0710.2943 \[nucl-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0710.2943).
- [8] S.-W. Chen, J. Deng, J.-H. Gao, and Q. Wang, "A General derivation of differential cross-section in quark-quark scatterings at fixed impact parameter," *[Front. Phys. China](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11467-009-0064-0)* **4** [\(2009\) 509–516,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11467-009-0064-0) [arXiv:0801.2296 \[hep-ph\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0801.2296).
- [9] D.-L. Wang and S. Pu, "Stability and causality criteria in linear mode analysis: stability means causality," $arXiv:2309.11708$ [hep-th].
- [10] X.-Q. Xie, D.-L. Wang, C. Yang, and S. Pu, "Causality and stability analysis for the minimal causal spin hydrodynamics," *Phys. Rev. D* **108** [no. 9, \(2023\) 094031,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.094031) [arXiv:2306.13880](http://arxiv.org/abs/2306.13880) [\[hep-ph\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2306.13880).
- [11] A. Vilenkin, "Quantum Field Theory at finite temperature in a rotating system," *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.21.2260)* **21** [\(1980\) 2260–2269.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.21.2260)
- [12] D. E. Kharzeev, J. Liao, S. A. Voloshin, and G. Wang, "Chiral magnetic and vortical effects in high-energy nuclear collisions – status report," *[Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2016.01.001)* **88** (2016) 1–28, [arXiv:1511.04050 \[hep-ph\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.04050).
- [13] D. T. Son and P. Surowka, "Hydrodynamics with Triangle Anomalies," *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.191601)* **103** [\(2009\) 191601,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.191601) [arXiv:0906.5044 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0906.5044).
- [14] M. N. Chernodub and V. E. Ambrus, "Phase diagram of helically imbalanced QCD matter," *Phys. Rev. D* **103** [no. 9, \(2021\) 094015,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.094015) [arXiv:2005.03575 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2005.03575).
- [15] F. Becattini and I. Karpenko, "Collective Longitudinal Polarization in Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions at Very High Energy," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** [no. 1, \(2018\) 012302,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.012302) [arXiv:1707.07984 \[nucl-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1707.07984).
- [16] F. Becattini, M. Buzzegoli, and A. Palermo, "Spin-thermal shear coupling in a relativistic fluid," *[Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136519)* **820** (2021) 136519, [arXiv:2103.10917](http://arxiv.org/abs/2103.10917).
- [17] F. Becattini, M. Buzzegoli, G. Inghirami, I. Karpenko, and A. Palermo, "Local Polarization and Isothermal Local Equilibrium in Relativistic Heavy Ion Collisions," *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.272302)* **127** [no. 27, \(2021\) 272302,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.272302) [arXiv:2103.14621](http://arxiv.org/abs/2103.14621).
- [18] B. Fu, S. Y. F. Liu, L. Pang, H. Song, and Y. Yin, "Shear-Induced Spin Polarization in Heavy-Ion Collisions," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** [no. 14, \(2021\) 142301,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.142301) [arXiv:2103.10403](http://arxiv.org/abs/2103.10403).
- [19] **ALICE** Collaboration, S. Acharya *et al.*, "Polarization of Λ and Λ hyperons along the beam direction in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV," [arXiv:2107.11183 \[nucl-ex\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2107.11183).
- [20] W. Florkowski, B. Friman, A. Jaiswal, and E. Speranza, "Relativistic fluid dynamics with spin," *Phys. Rev.* **C97** [no. 4, \(2018\) 041901,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.97.041901) [arXiv:1705.00587 \[nucl-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1705.00587).
- [21] W. Florkowski, B. Friman, A. Jaiswal, R. Ryblewski, and E. Speranza, "Spin-dependent distribution functions for relativistic hydrodynamics of spin-1/2 particles," *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.116017)* **D97** [no. 11, \(2018\) 116017,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.116017) [arXiv:1712.07676 \[nucl-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.07676).
- [22] W. Florkowski, A. Kumar, and R. Ryblewski, "Thermodynamic versus kinetic approach to polarization-vorticity coupling," *Phys. Rev.* **C98** [no. 4, \(2018\) 044906,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.98.044906) [arXiv:1806.02616](http://arxiv.org/abs/1806.02616) [\[hep-ph\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1806.02616).
- [23] W. Florkowski, A. Kumar, R. Ryblewski, and R. Singh, "Spin polarization evolution in a boost invariant hydrodynamical background," *Phys. Rev. C* **99** [no. 4, \(2019\) 044910,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.99.044910) [arXiv:1901.09655](http://arxiv.org/abs/1901.09655).
- [24] S. Bhadury, W. Florkowski, A. Jaiswal, A. Kumar, and R. Ryblewski, "Relativistic dissipative spin dynamics in the relaxation time approximation," *[Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136096)* **814** (2021) [136096,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136096) [arXiv:2002.03937](http://arxiv.org/abs/2002.03937).
- [25] R. Singh, G. Sophys, and R. Ryblewski, "Spin polarization dynamics in the Gubser-expanding background," *Phys. Rev. D* **103** [no. 7, \(2021\) 074024,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.074024) [arXiv:2011.14907](http://arxiv.org/abs/2011.14907).
- [26] R. Singh, M. Shokri, and R. Ryblewski, "Spin polarization dynamics in the Bjorken-expanding resistive MHD background," *Phys. Rev. D* **103** [no. 9, \(2021\) 094034,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.094034) [arXiv:2103.02592](http://arxiv.org/abs/2103.02592).
- [27] W. Florkowski, R. Ryblewski, R. Singh, and G. Sophys, "Spin polarization dynamics in the non-boost-invariant background," [arXiv:2112.01856](http://arxiv.org/abs/2112.01856).
- [28] V. E. Ambrus, R. Ryblewski, and R. Singh, "Spin waves in spin hydrodynamics," *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.014018) D* **106** [no. 1, \(2022\) 014018,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.014018) [arXiv:2202.03952](http://arxiv.org/abs/2202.03952).
- [29] R. Singh, M. Shokri, and S. M. A. T. Mehr, "Relativistic hydrodynamics with spin in the presence of electromagnetic fields," *[Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2023.122656)* **1035** (2023) 122656, [arXiv:2202.11504](http://arxiv.org/abs/2202.11504).
- [30] R. Singh, "Collective dynamics of polarized spin-half fermions in relativistic heavy-ion collisions," *[Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X23300119)* **38** no. 20, (2023) 2330011, [arXiv:2212.06569](http://arxiv.org/abs/2212.06569).
- [31] W. Florkowski, R. Ryblewski, and A. Kumar, "Relativistic hydrodynamics for spin-polarized fluids," *[Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2019.07.001)* **108** (2019) 103709, [arXiv:1811.04409](http://arxiv.org/abs/1811.04409).
- [32] V. E. Ambrus, "Transport coefficients in ultrarelativistic kinetic theory," *[Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.97.024914)* **97** [no. 2, \(2018\) 024914,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.97.024914) [arXiv:1706.05310 \[physics.flu-dyn\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.05310).
- [33] S. Bhadury, W. Florkowski, A. Jaiswal, A. Kumar, and R. Ryblewski, "Dissipative Spin Dynamics in Relativistic Matter," *Phys. Rev. D* **103** [no. 1, \(2021\) 014030,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.014030) [arXiv:2008.10976](http://arxiv.org/abs/2008.10976).
- [34] Y. Hidaka and D.-L. Yang, "Nonequilibrium chiral magnetic/vortical effects in viscous fluids," *Phys. Rev. D* **98** [no. 1, \(2018\) 016012,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.016012) [arXiv:1801.08253](http://arxiv.org/abs/1801.08253).
- [35] N. Weickgenannt, E. Speranza, X.-l. Sheng, Q. Wang, and D. H. Rischke, "Generating Spin Polarization from Vorticity through Nonlocal Collisions," *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.052301)* **127** no. 5, (2021) [052301,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.052301) [arXiv:2005.01506](http://arxiv.org/abs/2005.01506).
- [36] D.-L. Yang, K. Hattori, and Y. Hidaka, "Effective quantum kinetic theory for spin transport of fermions with collsional effects," *JHEP* **20** [\(2020\) 070,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2020)070) [arXiv:2002.02612](http://arxiv.org/abs/2002.02612).
- [37] Z. Wang, X. Guo, and P. Zhuang, "Local Equilibrium Spin Distribution From Detailed Balance," [arXiv:2009.10930](http://arxiv.org/abs/2009.10930).
- [38] N. Weickgenannt, E. Speranza, X.-l. Sheng, Q. Wang, and D. H. Rischke, "Derivation of the nonlocal collision term in the relativistic Boltzmann equation for massive spin-1/2 particles from quantum field theory," *Phys. Rev. D* **104** [no. 1, \(2021\) 016022,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.016022) [arXiv:2103.04896](http://arxiv.org/abs/2103.04896).
- [39] D. E. Kharzeev and H.-U. Yee, "Chiral Magnetic Wave," *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.085007)* **83** (2011) 085007, [arXiv:1012.6026 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.6026).
- [40] Y. Jiang, X.-G. Huang, and J. Liao, "Chiral vortical wave and induced flavor charge transport in a rotating quark-gluon plasma," *Phys. Rev. D* **92** [no. 7, \(2015\) 071501.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.071501)
- [41] V. E. Ambrus and M. N. Chernodub, "Vortical effects in Dirac fluids with vector, chiral and helical charges," *[Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11244-0)* **83** no. 2, (2023) 111, [arXiv:1912.11034 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1912.11034).