

Measurement of J/ψ polarization and spin alignment in

² Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV at STAR

3 Dandan Shen and Qian Yang, for the STAR Collaboration

4 Shandong University,

7

5 72 Binhai Road, Jimo, Qingdao, P.R. China

6 *E-mail:* shendandan@mail.sdu.edu.cn

The heavy quark pairs are produced early in heavy-ion collisions and experience the full evolution of the Quark-Gluon Plasma created in these collisions. J/ψ serves as one of the important probes to study the properties of the QGP. Using the high-statistics Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr collision data at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV recorded by the STAR experiment, it has been observed that the J/ψ yield is strongly suppressed and its elliptic flow is consistent with zero indicating color screening of the heavy quark-antiquark pair potential in the medium and its potentially small regeneration contribution, respectively. Besides those observables, the J/ψ polarization can shed new light on the QGP properties and the J/ψ production mechanism in heavy-ion collisions.

In these proceedings, we present the first measurement of J/ψ polarization in heavy-ion collisions at RHIC. The study is carried out by reconstructing the J/ψ through its di-electron decay channel at mid-rapidity (|y| < 1). The J/ψ polarization parameters are measured in the Helicity frame, Collins-Soper frame, and the spin alignment is extracted with respect to the event plane. We conclude by presenting the physics implications of this measurement.

25th International Spin Physics Symposium (SPIN 2023) 24-29 September 2023 Durham, NC, USA

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

8 1. Introduction

Particle polarization in heavy-ion collisions provides a new insight into the study of Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP) and has recently gained increasing attention [1]. In 2005, Z. Liang and X. Wang introduced the concept of vector meson spin alignment in heavy-ion collisions [2], and the STAR collaboration reported for the first time the global spin alignment of ϕ meson [3]. Compared to strange quarks, charm quarks are produced early in heavy-ion collisions, allowing them to experience the full evolution of QGP. Therefore, the polarization of J/ψ may be influenced by the medium effects in heavy-ion collisions [4].

The polarization of J/ψ meson serves as a valuable tool for investigating the production 16 mechanism in proton+proton (p+p) collisions [5]. Various models, such as the Colour-Singlet 17 Model (CSM), Non-Relativistic QCD (NRQCD) approach, and Improved Color Evaporation Model, 18 predict different polarization values and dependencies on transverse momentum (p_T) [6]. However, 19 the interpretation of the J/ψ polarization measurement is more complex due to the feed-down 20 contribution, which accounts for about 40% of the observed J/ψ yield, and it contributes to the 21 J/ψ polarization [4]. To date, no significant polarization for inclusive J/ψ has been observed in 22 p+p collisions at RHIC and LHC energies [5, 7–10]. 23

The QGP could affect J/ψ polarization in heavy-ion collisions. In addition, the inclusive J/ψ 24 production may differ between heavy-ion and proton-proton collisions due to modifications in the 25 feed-down of J/ψ originating from suppressed $\psi(2S)$ and χ_c states in the QGP [4]. This sequential 26 melting of charmonium states has been observed at LHC energy [11] and also recently in Ru+Ru and 27 Zr+Zr collisions at the STAR experiment, confirming the stronger suppression of $\psi(2S)$ compared 28 to J/ψ . The J/ψ polarization has been previously measured in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ 29 TeV [12], and we may expect different polarization results at the RHIC energy due to the different 30 production mechanisms between the LHC and RHIC energies. Furthermore, a model predicts a 31 low- p_T polarization of J/ψ meson, between 0.35 and 0.4 in the Helicity frame (HX) for heavy-ion 32 collisions at RHIC energy if nonperturbative QCD effects are screened by the QGP [4]. On the 33 other hand, the J/ψ could also be produced through the charm and anti-charm coalescence process, 34 similar to the production of the ϕ meson in OGP. In this case, the coalesced J/ψ mesons could also 35 exhibit a global spin alignment behavior. At the LHC energies, there is a significant coalescence 36 contribution to the final J/ψ meson production, and ALICE has measured a J/ψ spin alignment 37 (ρ_{00}) of less than 1/3 in the forward rapidity region [13]. 38

Measuring the polarization of J/ψ mesons in heavy-ion collisions serves as a promising probe 39 for studying the QGP. The production via coalescence of J/ψ only plays a partial role in the 40 observed J/ψ meson production in Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr collisions at the top RHIC energy, primarily 41 due to smaller system size and lower collisions energy. Measuring the J/ψ spin alignment at RHIC, 42 which has different collision energy and rapidity coverage compared to the LHC, provides a unique 43 opportunity to study the polarization of primordially produced J/ψ mesons after undergoing QGP 44 evolution. In these proceedings, the results on J/ψ polarization and spin alignment in Ru+Ru and 45 Zr+Zr collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV at RHIC are reported. 46

Measurement of J/ ψ *polarization and spin alignment in Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr collisions at* $\sqrt{s_{_{\rm NN}}}$ = 200 GeV at *STAR*

47 2. Analysis Methodology

The polarization of the J/ψ state in dilepton decay channel is reflected in the geometrical shape of the angular distribution of the two decay products, which can be expressed using three parameters λ_{θ} , λ_{ϕ} and $\lambda_{\theta\phi}$ as described by the equation: [6]

$$W(\cos\theta,\phi) \propto \frac{1}{3+\lambda_{\theta}} (1+\lambda_{\theta}\cos^2\theta + \lambda_{\phi}\sin^2\theta\cos^2\phi + \lambda_{\theta\phi}\sin^2\theta\cos\phi), \tag{1}$$

where θ and ϕ are the polar and azimuthal angles of the positively charged daughter lepton in the 51 J/ψ rest frame with respect to a chosen quantization axis (z-axis). The analysis involves the selection 52 of three distinct reference systems for determining angular variables: the HX and the Collins-Soper 53 frame (CS) with respect to the production plane, and the Event Plane frame (EP) with respect to 54 the second order event-plane [1]. In the CS frame, the z-axis is defined as the bisector of the angle 55 between one beam's direction and the opposite direction of the other beam in the rest frame of the 56 decaying particle. This definition enables the evaluation of polarization parameters with respect to 57 the motion direction of the colliding hadrons. In the HX reference frame, the z-axis is determined 58 by the direction of the decaying particle in the center-of-mass frame of the collision. Consequently, 59 polarization can be assessed with respect to the momentum direction of the J/ψ itself. The y-axis is 60 perpendicular to the xz-plane (production plane) containing the momenta of the colliding beams and 61 the decaying particle itself. J/ψ is considered fully transversely or longitudinally polarized when 62 the polarization parameters take the values of $(\lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_{\phi}, \lambda_{\theta\phi}) = (1, 0, 0)$ or (-1, 0, 0), respectively. 63 No polarization means (0, 0, 0). While the measured polarization values depend on the selection 64 of the quantization axis, one can construct a frame-invariant quantity to check the consistency of 65 measurements in different frames. It is defined as 66

$$\lambda_{inv} = \frac{\lambda_{\theta} + 3\lambda_{\phi}}{1 - \lambda_{\phi}} \tag{2}$$

⁶⁷ The measurement of λ_{inv} in both the HX and CS frames should give the same value.

In the EP frame, the z-axis is chosen to be the direction of global orbital angular momentum of the system, which is perpendicular to the reaction plane that is estimated by the event plane in the center of the mass frame of two colliding beams. In the analysis, we use the second-order event plane based on tracks in the STAR Time Projection Chamber (TPC) as a proxy for the reaction plane following the same procedure as in the previous study of the STAR Collaboration [14]. Electron candidates were excluded from the event plane determination, to avoid self-correlation between the event plane and those J/ψ 's under study.

⁷⁵ By relating the polarization parameters λ_{θ} and the spin density matrix element ρ_{00} (Eq.3), the ⁷⁶ function describing the angular distribution of the decayed positron in terms of ρ_{00} can be obtained. ⁷⁷ The absence of J/ψ spin alignment means that the ρ_{00} is equal to 1/3, while deviation from 1/3 ⁷⁸ implies the presence of spin alignment.

$$\lambda_{\theta} = \frac{1 - 3\rho_{00}}{1 + \rho_{00}},\tag{3}$$

79

$$\frac{dN}{d\cos\theta^*} \propto (1+\rho_{00}) + (1-3\rho_{00})\cos^2\theta^*,$$
(4)

where θ^* is the polar angle between the z-axis in the EP frame and the momentum direction of the

⁸¹ decayed particle. By fitting the angular distribution of decay particles with the function given in

Eq.4, one can infer the ρ_{00} value.

3. Analysis details

84 3.1 Signal extraction

The data used for this analysis is obtained from the STAR detector, which provides a coverage 85 range of $|\eta| < 1$ within the full azimuthal angle $(-\pi < \phi < \pi)$. The main subdetectors used in this 86 analysis include TPC, Time-of-Flight (TOF), and Barrel Electromagnetic Calorimeter (BEMC), 87 which are used for electron identification. Data were collected by the STAR detector during the 88 2018 RHIC Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr (isobar) run at a collision energy of $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The minimum 89 bias (MB) trigger, which is given by a coincidence of signals from the two Zero Degree Calorimeters 90 (ZDCs), is used to select events for our analysis. The electron candidates with opposite-sign charges 91 are paired, and the resulting distribution of invariant mass is depicted in Fig.1. In order to extract 92 the raw J/ψ yield, we perform a fitting procedure on the invariant mass distribution. This fitting 93 procedure involves using a crystal ball function to characterize the J/ψ signal, a mix-event unlike-94 sign to account for the combinatorial background, and an exponential function to describe the 95 residual background. The parameters of the crystal ball function, including the mean, n, α , and σ , 96 are fixed to the parameters extracted from the simulation. In the case of J/ψ spin alignment, the 97 yields are derived by extracting J/ψ signal in seven bins of $\cos\theta$ spanning from -1 to 1, within each 98 $p_{\pi}^{J/\psi}$ and centrality interval. And, for J/ψ polarization, the yields are obtained through extracting 99 data in ten bins of $\cos\theta$ spanning from -1 to 1 and fifteen bins of ϕ spanning from $-\pi$ to π within 100 each $p_T^{J/\psi}$ and centrality interval. J/ψ yields with a significance less than 3 are disregarded. The 101 upper panels of Fig.2 depict the raw J/ψ yield, represented by black open circles, as a function of 102 $\cos\theta$ and ϕ for a range of $p_{\rm T}^{J/\psi}$ from 0.2 to 10.0 GeV/c and centrality from 0 to 80% in the CS 103 frame. 104

105 3.2 Acceptance and efficiency

The efficiencies of single electron identification with the TPC and TOF detectors are determined by analyzing a pure electron sample data originating from photon conversions [15]. The TPC tracking efficiency and the efficiency of the electron identification using the BEMC detector are calculated using the embedding technique. The J/ψ acceptance and efficiency (A × ϵ) as a function of cos θ or ϕ is evaluated by folding the single electron and positron efficiency through Monte Carlo (MC) simulations.

However, the true distribution of J/ψ decayed positron in MC is not known a priori. The simulation data lack polarization information, potentially resulting in inaccurate efficiency and acceptance values. To address this issue, an iterative procedure for the A × ϵ correction is employed, which tunes the J/ψ polarization in the simulation according to data. In the first iteration, the A × ϵ is evaluated using non-polarized J/ψ in the simulation, and the polarization parameters are extracted from data after correcting for A × ϵ . In subsequent iterations, the inputs to the simulation are generated using the polarization parameters acquired from the previous iteration, and the new



Figure 1: The e^-e^+ invariant mass spectrum for the same event unlike-sign (blue solid circles) and mix-event unlike-sign (black open circles) in Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The black open circles are plotted along with a fit using a crystal ball function (shades of green) for J/ψ signal and an exponential function (red solid line) for the background.

polarization parameters are extracted. This process continues until the difference in polarization parameters between two adjacent iterations is less than 0.1 of the polarization parameter error, which can be judged as convergence [5].

122 **3.3 Extraction of polarization parameters**

Following the iterative procedure, the efficiency multiplied by the detector acceptance from the last iteration is shown in the upper panel of Fig.2 as blue dashed lines. These lines are scaled to have the same integral as the normalized data distribution. To extract the J/ψ polarization in the dielectron decay channel, we can integrate Eq.1 over ϕ and $\cos\theta$, yielding two one-dimensional (1D) distributions:

$$W(\cos\theta) \propto 1 + \lambda_{\theta} \cos^2\theta, \tag{5}$$

128

$$W(\phi) \propto 1 + \frac{2\lambda_{\phi}}{3 + \lambda_{\theta}} \cos 2\phi,$$
 (6)

 J/ψ polarization parameters (λ_{θ} and λ_{ϕ}) can be extracted by simultaneously fitting the corrected yield distributions using Eqs.5 and 6. The lower panels of Fig.2 display the fully corrected J/ψ yield as a function of $\cos\theta$ and ϕ , along with the simultaneous fit to both distributions represented by red solid lines. The polarization parameters are obtained from the simultaneous fit and are listed in Fig.2. Similarly, the J/ψ yield in $|\cos(\theta^*)|$ bins are fitted with Eq.4 to obtain ρ_{00} .





Figure 2: Upper: the raw J/ψ yield and $A \times \epsilon$ as a function of $\cos\theta$ (left) and ϕ (right) from the final iteration of the correction procedure for efficiency and acceptance in the CS frame for $0.2 < p_T^{J/\psi} < 10$ GeV/c. Lower: the acceptance and efficiency corrected J/ψ yields along with the simultaneous fit of corrected yield distributions in $\cos\theta$ and ϕ . The counts are after arbitrary normalization.



Figure 3: Inclusive J/ψ polarization parameters (from top to bottom: $\lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_{\phi}, \lambda_{inv}$) as a function of $p_{\rm T}$ (a) and centrality (b), with the centrality integrated point shown on the right from the vertical dashed line, for isobaric collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 200$ GeV. The error bars indicate statistical uncertainties, while the boxes denote systematic uncertainties. On the left side of the plot, the polarization parameters in the helicity reference frame are presented, while on the right side, those corresponding to the Collins-Soper frame are depicted.





Figure 4: The spin alignment (ρ_{00}) of inclusive J/ψ as a function of centrality (left) and N_{part} (right) for isobar collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV in the rapidity interval -1 < y < 1, compared with results obtained in Pb + Pb collisions by ALICE at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV in the rapidity interval 2.5 < y < 4.2. The statistical uncertainties are represented by vertical bars, while systematic uncertainties are depicted as shaded boxes.

134 4. Results

135 **4.1** J/ψ polarization

These parameters are measured in six $p_{\rm T}$ bins, as presented in the left panel of Fig.3. λ_{θ} and λ_{ϕ} are found to be consistent with zero in both the HX and CS frames. There is an indication of non-trivial $p_{\rm T}$ dependence observed in the HX frame. The values of λ_{inv} are consistent between the HX and CS frames. This result is in good agreement, within the uncertainties, with the STAR measurement in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 200$ GeV [5]. There is no significant dependence of λ_{θ} and λ_{ϕ} observed as the collision centrality varies from central to peripheral events.

142 **4.2** J/ψ spin alignment

The J/ψ spin alignment (ρ_{00}) in the second-order event plane frame is studied as a function of centrality and N_{part} in the range of $0.3 < p_T < 6.0$ GeV/*c*. The results are presented in Fig.4. It is found that ρ_{00} is lower than 1/3 with a significance of 3.5 σ for p_T ranging from 0.3 < $p_T < 6.0$ GeV/*c* and for events spanning 0-80% centrality. No significant dependence on centrality and N_{part} is observed within the uncertainties. Interestingly, the value of ρ_{00} at RHIC energy is comparable to the results obtained at the LHC energy [13] within the uncertainties, despite the different collision energy, systems, and rapidity.

150 **5.** Summary

We have presented the first measurements of the inclusive J/ψ polarization in HX and CS frames and spin alignment with respect to the second-order event plane in Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The J/ψ polarization parameters are consistent with zero in the p_T range of 0.2 to 10 GeV/*c* and centrality range of 0-80% for both the HX and CS frames. Additionally, no significant centrality or p_T dependence is observed. The λ_{inv} measured in the HX and CS frames are consistent with each other within uncertainties. J/ψ global spin alignment ρ_{00} is found to be ¹⁵⁷ lower than 1/3 with a significance of 3.5 σ for $p_{\rm T}$ ranging from 0.3 to 6 GeV/*c* and centrality ¹⁵⁸ range of 0-80%. Moreover, no significant centrality and N_{part} dependence is observed within the ¹⁵⁹ uncertainties. The ρ_{00} values at RHIC and LHC energies are similar, despite very different collision ¹⁶⁰ energies, systems, and rapidity. Theory calculations are needed to explore the underlying physics.

161 Acknowledgements

The authors are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under No. 163 11890710, 11890713, and 12105155 and by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province 164 of China with Grant No. ZR2020QA086.

165 **References**

- ¹⁶⁶ [1] J.Zhao and B.Chen, arXiv:2312.01799 [hep-ph] 2023
- ¹⁶⁷ [2] Z. Liang and X. Wang, Phys. Lett. B **629**, 20 (2005)
- ¹⁶⁸ [3] STAR Collaboration, Nature **614**, 244-248 (2023)
- ¹⁶⁹ [4] B. Ioffe and D. Kharzeev, Phys. Rev. D 68, 061902(R) (2003)
- ¹⁷⁰ [5] STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 092009 (2020)
- [6] P. Faccioli et al, Eur. Phys. J. C 69: 657-673 (2010)
- 172 [7] ALICE Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 082001 (2012)
- 173 [8] ALICE Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (7) (2018)
- ¹⁷⁴ [9] LHCb Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C **73** (11) 2631 (2013)
- ¹⁷⁵ [10] CMS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B **727** 381–402 (2013)
- 176 [11] ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2210.08893 [nucl-ex] 2022
- 177 [12] ALICE Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 815 815 136146 (2021)
- 178 [13] ALICE Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131 4, 042303 (2023
- ¹⁷⁹ [14] A. Poskanzer, S. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. C 58, 1671–1678 (1998).
- 180 [15] PHENIX Collaboration, Phys. Rev. C 81, 034911 (2010)