PoS

Quarkonium fragmentation in a variable-flavor number scheme: Towards NRFF1.0

Francesco Giovanni Celiberto^{*a*,*}

^a Universidad de Alcalá (UAH), Departamento de Física y Matemáticas, Campus Universitario, Alcalá de Henares, E-28805, Madrid, Spain

We report progress on the determination and study of quarkonium production within the fragmentation approximation. Our analyses address the moderate and large transverse-momentum regime, where the collinear fragmentation of a single parton is expected to dominate over the short-distance production, directly from the hard scattering, of the constituent $(Q\bar{Q})$ system. Parton fragmentation channels to pseudoscalar and vector quarkonia are built on the basis of non-Relativistic QCD next-to-leading computations, which we use to model initial-scale fragmentation inputs. Thus, a preliminary family of Variable-Flavor Number-Scheme (VFNS) fragmentation functions, named NRFF1.0, are constructed through standard DGLAP evolution. Statistical uncertainties are obtained from a Monte Carlo, replica-like approach embodying missing higher-order uncertainties.

31st International Workshop on Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS2024) 8–12 April 2024 Grenoble, France

*Speaker

[©] Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

1. Opening remarks

In the domain of fundamental interactions, hadrons containing either open or hidden heavy flavors play a crucial role. Heavy quarks, in particular, are key indicators in the search for signs of New Physics, due to their predicted interactions with beyond-Standard-Model particles. Moreover, their masses, which lie above the perturbative QCD threshold, make them ideal candidates for rigorous tests of the strong interaction. The study of formation mechanisms of quarkonia, the so-called "hydrogen atoms" of QCD [1], stands as a valuable tool to unveil core aspects of the strong force. Quarkonium physics bridges precision studies of perturbative QCD and explorations of the proton structure. Hadronic decays of S-wave bottomonia allow for precise determinations of α_s [2, 3]. Forward emissions of quarkonia test the positivity of gluon parton densities (PDFs) at small-x and $-O^2$ [4–7]. Quarkonia provide valuable insights for 3D tomographic imaging of the proton at small [8–14] and moderate x [15–21]. Unresolved photoproductions of J/ψ plus a charmjet at the EIC will "measure" the intrinsic-charm valence PDF in the proton [22–25]. The theoretical description of quarkonium hadronization is challenging. Numerous models have been proposed, but none fully account for all experimental observations. To unravel the quarkonium puzzle, an effective theory, known as Non-Relativistic QCD (NRQCD), was built [26, 27]. NRQCD prescribes that all possible Fock levels participate to the physical-quarkonium state. They are organized into a double series of powers of α_s and v, the latter being the $(Q\bar{Q})$ relative velocity. NRQCD cross sections are cast as a sum of perturbative Short-Distance Coefficients (SDCs), each of them being multiplied by a nonperturbative Long-Distance Matrix Element (LDME). For analogies with FFs for open heavy-flavored particles, see [28-30]. NRQCD allows for rigorous testing of quarkonium production mechanisms, especially the *short-distance* creation of a $(O\bar{O})$ pair in hard scatterings, which prevails at low $|\vec{p}_T|$. As $|\vec{p}_T|$ increases, another mechanism competes: the *fragmentation* of a single parton followed by its inclusive decay into the observed quarkonium [31]. We describe collinear fragmentation to pseudoscalar and vector quarkonia in color singlet, via a preliminary version of our NRFF1.0 FF sets. They builds on a new scheme, the Heavy-Flavor Non-Relativistic evolution (HF-NRevo) [32], which makes use of NLO NRQCD initial-scale inputs and embodies a consistent DGLAP evolution and a MHOU-driven uncertainty analysis from a MC, replica-like treatment [33].

2. Quarkonium fragmentation from HF-NRevo

Being masses of constituent heavy quarks well above Λ_{QCD} , initial-scale inputs of quarkonium FFs are thought to contains perturbative inputs. Therefore, a consistent use of collinear factorization is needed here. To this extend, we propose a novel methodology, named HF-NRevo [32]. It bases upon three core aspects: interpretation, evolution, and uncertainties. The interpretation allows one to decipher the short-distance formation at low transverse momentum ($|\vec{p}_T|$) as a two-parton fragmentation in a Fixed-Flavor Number Scheme (FFNS), and enabling subsequent FFNS-to-VFNS matching [34]. This is supported by the observation that, when accounting for transverse-momentum dependence is considered, distinct singularity patterns are found in the matching tails of low- $|\vec{p}_T|$ shape functions [35] and moderate- $|\vec{p}_T|$ FFs [36]. According to HF-NRevo [32], the DGLAP evolution of quarkonium FFs happens in two steps. First, an *expanded* and *decoupled* evolution



Figure 1: NLO charm to color-singlet η_c and J/ψ FFs. Preliminary results of NRFF1.0 sets.



Figure 2: NLO bottom to color-singlet η_b and Υ FFs. Preliminary results of NRFF1.0 sets.

(EDevo, done symbolically via JETHAD [37–45]), accounts for thresholds of all parton species. Then, the standard *all-order* evolution (AOevo, done numerically via APFEL++ [46]; connecting EKO [47] with JETHAD is underway) activates. Finally, the size of MHOUs due to variations of DGLAPevolution thresholds is gauged. In particular, we make a simultaneous scan of factorization and renormalization scales entering the initial inputs of our FFs, by varying them of a factor 1/2 to two. This strategy is in line with analyses on PDFs that use theory-covariance-matrix approaches [48, 49] or the MCscales method [50]. For simplicity, here we show four fragmentation channels, namely charm to charmonium and bottom to bottomonium FFs [51–53]. Left and right plots of Fig. 1 are for $(c \rightarrow \eta_c)$ and $(c \rightarrow J/\psi)$ NRFF1.0 FFs, with μ_F ranging from 30 to 120 GeV. Analogously, left and right plots of Fig. 2 are for $(b \to \eta_b)$ and $(b \to \Upsilon)$ NRFF1.0 FFs, with μ_F in the same range as before.

3. Towards NRFF1.0

By means of the novel HF-NRevo methodology, we built a preliminary version of NRFF1.0 quarkonium collinear FF sets. These functions feature color-singlet initial-scale inputs from all parton channels, calculated within NRQCD at NLO. We defined a consistent DGLAP scheme to set evolution thresholds and used a Monte Carlo replica-like treatment to address uncertainties arising from missing higher-order corrections. The NRFF1.0 FFs are set to replace the ZCW19⁺ and ZCFW22 determinations currently used in the study of vector quarkonia [54, 55] and B_c mesons [56, 57]. They will provide essential guidance for quarkonium physics at the HL-LHC [58, 59], the EIC [60–62], and future lepton machines [63]. Moreover, they will serve as a benchmark for AI-based extractions [64–68]. Future endeavors will include: exploring color-octet contributions [69, 70], implementing a general-mass VFNS [71–73], and extending our studies to exotic hadrons [74–76]. As a long-term goal, by suitably adapting the HF-NRevo framework, we also plan to address quarkonium-in-jet collinear fragmentation. This will provide us with a valuable tool to map the substructure of heavy-flavored jets, with potential applications to the study of quarkonium-modulated jet angularities.

Acknowledgments

F.G.C. is supported by the Atracción de Talento Grant n. 2022-T1/TIC-24176 (Madrid, Spain).

References

- [1] A. Pineda, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 67, 735 (2012), 1111.0165.
- [2] N. Brambilla et al., Phys. Rev. D 75, 074014 (2007), hep-ph/0702079.
- [3] D. d'Enterria et al. (2019), 1907.01435.
- [4] G. Altarelli, S. Forte, G. Ridolfi, Nucl. Phys. B 534, 277 (1998), hep-ph/9806345.
- [5] A. Candido, S. Forte, F. Hekhorn, JHEP 11, 129 (2020), 2006.07377.
- [6] J. Collins, T. C. Rogers, N. Sato, Phys. Rev. D 105, 076010 (2022), 2111.01170.
- [7] A. Candido et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 84, 335 (2024), 2308.00025.
- [8] M. Hentschinski, E. Padrón Molina, Phys. Rev. D 103, 074008 (2021), 2011.02640.
- [9] F. G. Celiberto et al., Phys. Lett. B786, 201 (2018), 1808.09511.
- [10] A. D. Bolognino et al., Eur. Phys. J. C78, 1023 (2018), 1808.02395.
- [11] A. D. Bolognino et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 81, 846 (2021), 2107.13415.
- [12] F. G. Celiberto, Nuovo Cim. C42, 220 (2019), 1912.11313.
- [13] F. Silvetti, M. Bonvini, Eur. Phys. J. C 83, 267 (2023), 2211.10142.
- [14] Z.-B. Kang, E. Li, F. Salazar, JHEP 03, 027 (2024), 2310.12102.

- Francesco Giovanni Celiberto
- [15] D. Boer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 122001 (2016), 1511.03485.
- [16] J.-P. Lansberg et al., Phys. Lett. B 784, 217 (2018), 1710.01684.
- [17] U. D'Alesio et al., Phys. Rev. D 102, 094011 (2020), 2007.03353.
- [18] A. Bacchetta et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 733 (2020), 2005.02288.
- [19] A. Bacchetta et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 84, 576 (2024), 2402.17556.
- [20] D. Chakrabarti et al., Phys. Rev. D 108, 014009 (2023), 2304.09908.
- [21] F. G. Celiberto, Nuovo Cim. C44, 36 (2021), 2101.04630.
- [22] C. Flore et al., Phys. Lett. B 811, 135926 (2020), 2009.08264.
- [23] R. D. Ball et al. (NNPDF), Nature **608**, 483 (2022), 2208.08372.
- [24] M. Guzzi et al., Phys. Lett. B 843, 137975 (2023), 2211.01387.
- [25] R. D. Ball et al. (NNPDF), Phys. Rev. D 109, L091501 (2024), 2311.00743.
- [26] W. E. Caswell et al., Phys. Lett. B 167, 437 (1986).
- [27] G. T. Bodwin et al., Phys. Rev. D 51, 1125 (1995), hep-ph/9407339.
- [28] B. Mele, P. Nason, Nucl. Phys. B 361, 626 (1991).
- [29] M. Cacciari, M. Greco, Nucl. Phys. B 421, 530 (1994), hep-ph/9311260.
- [30] M. Cacciari, A. Ghira, S. Marzani, G. Ridolfi (2024), 2406.04173.
- [31] M. Cacciari, M. Greco, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 1586 (1994), hep-ph/9405241.
- [32] F. G. Celiberto, Proceedings of Moriond QCD (2024), 2405.08221.
- [33] S. Forte et al., JHEP 05, 062 (2002), hep-ph/0204232.
- [34] Z.-B. Kang et al., Phys. Rev. D 90, 034006 (2014), 1401.0923.
- [35] M. G. Echevarria, JHEP 10, 144 (2019), 1907.06494.
- [36] D. Boer et al., JHEP 08, 105 (2023), 2304.09473.
- [37] F. G. Celiberto, Eur. Phys. J. C 81, 691 (2021), 2008.07378.
- [38] F. G. Celiberto, Phys. Rev. D 105, 114008 (2022), 2204.06497.
- [39] F. G. Celiberto, Eur. Phys. J. C 83, 332 (2023), 2208.14577.
- [40] F. G. Celiberto et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 81, 293 (2021), 2008.00501.
- [41] A. D. Bolognino et al., Phys. Rev. D 103, 094004 (2021), 2103.07396.
- [42] F. G. Celiberto et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 81, 780 (2021), 2105.06432.
- [43] F. G. Celiberto et al., Phys. Rev. D 104, 114007 (2021), 2109.11875.
- [44] F. G. Celiberto et al., Phys. Rev. D 105, 114056 (2022), 2205.13429.
- [45] F. G. Celiberto et al., Phys. Rev. D 106, 114004 (2022), 2207.05015.
- [46] V. Bertone, S. Carrazza, J. Rojo, Comput. Phys. Commun. 185, 1647 (2014), 1310.1394.
- [47] A. Candido, F. Hekhorn, G. Magni, Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 976 (2022), 2202.02338.

- [48] L. A. Harland-Lang, R. S. Thorne, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, 225 (2019), 1811.08434.
- [49] R. D. Ball et al. (NNPDF), Eur. Phys. J. C 84, 517 (2024), 2401.10319.
- [50] Z. Kassabov, M. Ubiali, C. Voisey, JHEP 03, 148 (2023), 2207.07616.
- [51] E. Braaten et al., Phys. Rev. D 48, 4230 (1993), hep-ph/9302307.
- [52] X.-C. Zheng et al., Phys. Rev. D 100, 014005 (2019), 1905.09171.
- [53] X.-C. Zheng, X.-G. Wu, X.-D. Huang, JHEP 07, 014 (2021), 2105.14580.
- [54] F. G. Celiberto, M. Fucilla, Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 929 (2022), 2202.12227.
- [55] F. G. Celiberto, Universe 9, 324 (2023), 2305.14295.
- [56] F. G. Celiberto, Phys. Lett. B 835, 137554 (2022), 2206.09413.
- [57] F. G. Celiberto, Eur. Phys. J. C 84, 384 (2024), 2401.01410.
- [58] E. Chapon et al., Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 122, 103906 (2022), 2012.14161.
- [59] S. Amoroso et al., Acta Phys. Polon. B 53, A1 (2022), 2203.13923.
- [60] R. A. Khalek et al., Nucl. Phys. A **1026**, 122447 (2022), 2103.05419.
- [61] R. A. Khalek et al. (2022), 2203.13199.
- [62] R. Abir et al. (2023), 2305.14572.
- [63] I. Adachi et al. (ILC International Community) (2022), 2203.07622.
- [64] C. Allaire et al., Comput. Softw. Big Sci. 8, 5 (2024), 2307.08593.
- [65] F. Hekhorn, Proceedings of DIS (2024), 2406.06083.
- [66] E. Hammou et al., JHEP 11, 090 (2023), 2307.10370.
- [67] M. N. Costantini et al. (2024), 2402.03308.
- [68] E. Hammou, Proceedings of Moriond QCD (2024), 2405.09270.
- [69] P. L. Cho, A. K. Leibovich, Phys. Rev. D 53, 150 (1996), hep-ph/9505329.
- [70] M. Cacciari, M. Krämer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4128 (1996), hep-ph/9601276.
- [71] M. Cacciari, M. Greco, P. Nason, JHEP 05, 007 (1998), hep-ph/9803400.
- [72] S. Forte et al., Nucl. Phys. B 834, 116 (2010), 1001.2312.
- [73] M. Guzzi et al., Phys. Rev. D 86, 053005 (2012), 1108.5112.
- [74] F. G. Celiberto, A. Papa, Phys. Lett. B 848, 138406 (2024), 2308.00809.
- [75] F. G. Celiberto, Symmetry 16, 550 (2024), 2403.15639.
- [76] F. G. Celiberto, G. Gatto, A. Papa (2024), 2405.14773.